FILE SNR DENTON T

SNR Denton US LLP Thomas R. Millar
1301 K Street, NW Associate
Suite 600, East Tower thomas.millar@snrdi
Washington, DC 20005-3364 USA D +1 202 408 3241

Thomas R. Millar
Associate
thomas.millar@snrdenton.com
D +1 202 408 3241
T +1 202 408 6400
F +1 202 408 6399
snrdenton.com

July 9, 2012

BY OVERNIGHT FEDERAL EXPRESS

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Division 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 Fax: (614)-466-0313 Case Nos.:

Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM 7012 JUL 10 PM 1:42

Re:

Confidential Version of Ormet Reply Brief for Filing Under Seal in *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143. Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.* Case Nos. 11-0346-EL-SSO, et al.

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find <u>for filing under seal</u> an original and three (3) copies of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's unredacted, confidential Post-Hearing Reply Brief in the above-captioned case.

The public, redacted version was filed electronically today, July 9, 2012 with the enclosed confidential version following via overnight Federal Express in accordance with the permission given to Ormet by Hearing Examiner See. Also enclosed is the Motion for Protective Order of the Confidential Version of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Post-Hearing Reply Brief and Memorandum in Support, which corresponds with the unredacted version of enclosed herein.

Before filing anything, if you have any questions at all, please do not hesitate to contact me.

An additional copy is enclosed to be date-stamped and returned to me in the enclosed, self-addressed Federal Express envelope.

Sincerely

Thomas R. Millar

Enclosures:

<u>Confidential</u> Version of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Post-Hearing Reply Brief (original and 3x copies)

Motion for Protective Order of the Confidential Version of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Post-Hearing Reply Brief and Memorandum in Support.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
)	
In the Form of an Electric Security Plan)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority	<u> </u>	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF THE CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S POST-HEARING REPLY BRIEF

Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation ("Ormet"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("Commission") rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(D)), hereby moves the Commission to enter a protective order keeping confidential certain designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained on pages 2-3, 8-9 and 14 of the unredacted confidential version of Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief. Consistent with the requirements of Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules and the instructions of Hearing Examiner See, an original and three unredacted confidential copies of the Ormet Post-Hearing Reply Brief filed today have been sent via overnight Federal Express to the Commission for filing under seal. The relevant portions of the Reply Brief, for which Ormet seeks the protective order, are redacted in the public version filed today for the reasons supporting this motion detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support.

/s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR DENTON US LLP Emma F. Hand Dan Barnowski James Rubin Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Counsel for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: July 9, 2012

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	
)	
)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
)	
)	
)	
)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
)	
)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF THE CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S POST-HEARING REPLY BRIEF

Ormet respectfully requests that portions of its Post-Hearing Reply Brief be designated as confidential and protected from public disclosure. The information for which protection is sought includes information that was introduced during the recently-concluded hearing in the above-captioned matter in closed, confidential session and that was produced to Ormet in discovery subject to a Confidentiality Agreement. Specifically, the brief discusses information that Ohio Power Company (now merged with Columbus Southern Power Company and referred to herein as "AEP Ohio") produced in response to First Energy Solutions' ("FES") Interrogatory 5-05, which has been treated by the Commission as confidential. Ormet introduced this interrogatory response into evidence at the hearing subject to its confidential treatment as CONFIDENTIAL Ormet Exhibit 101. To introduce that document and question Mr. David M. Roush about it, Ormet also moved the hearing into confidential session. *See generally* Hr'g Tr., vol. IV, May 22, 2012 (Confidential). Additionally, Ormet again moved the Commission into confidential session when putting on its own witness Whitfield A. Russell, who offered testimony regarding information that drew upon the AEP Ohio's response to FES-INT-5-05 and Hr'g Tr.,

vol. XIV (Confidential). See generally Hr'g Tr., vol. XIV, June 6, 2012 (Confidential).

The portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief where confidential information is discussed are listed in the table below and identified in the brief with the designation [BEGIN

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ... END CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]:

Redacted Sentence	Page Number
Indeed, while AEP Ohio has repeatedly asserted that its present application	2
would impose only a modest rate increase upon ratepayers, AEP Ohio's own	
projections acknowledge that the current Electric Security Plan ("ESP II")	
application could increase Ormet's electricity rates by 2013 by more than	le
[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION END	
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION] over Ormet's 2011 rates.	
Unfortunately, AEP Ohio's projections understated the harm to Ormet. As was	2
explained in the uncontested testimony of Ormet witness Whitfield A. Russell,	
Ormet's rates in 2013 will have increased over its 2011 rates by an astounding	
[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION	
END CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION] if the fuel cost increases projected	
by AEP Ohio witness Oliver J. Sever prove accurate.	
The component parts of this rate increase include: (a) annual RSR payments of	3
anywhere from \$7.38-\$20 million per year (see infra p. 10; Ormet Ex. 106B at	
14), (b) annual PIRR payments of approximately \$13.25 million starting in mid-	
2013, (c) a decreasing special arrangement discount that will be \$16 million less	
than its 2011 discount, and [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]	
TEND CONTENDED AT	i
SECTION].	
Putting aside the RSR (or deferred amount), the ESP II application would	8-9
increase Ormet's 2013 rates over its 2011 rates by approximately [BEGIN]	
CONFIDENTIAL SECTION	
END	
CONFIDENTIAL SECTION].	
The component parts of that increase include: (a) annual RSR payments of	9
anywhere from \$7.38-\$20 million per year (see infra p. 10; Ormet Ex. 106B at	
14), (b) annual PIRR payments of approximately \$13.25 million starting in mid-	
2013, (c) a decreasing special arrangement discount that will be \$16 million less	
than its 2011 discount, and [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]	
END CONFIDENTIAL	
SECTION].	<u> </u>
AEP Ohio's own projections demonstrate that it is about to get much worse,	15
predicting that the 2013 FAC rates will likely increase by another [BEGIN	
CONFIDENTIAL SECTION END CONFIDENTIAL SECTION].	
Ormet agrees wholeheartedly with Commissioner Porter's statement, made in	15

the middle of these hearings after learning of AEP Ohio's projected increases,

[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL PORTION]

END CONFIDENTIAL PORTION. See Hr'g

15

Tr. vol. IV, 1233:24-1236:22 (confidential).

AEP Ohio has asserted that the public release of the information designated as confidential in Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief would harm the Company. Other portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief have been redacted because they reveal information obtained in portions of the hearing designated confidential and non-public. They were treated confidentially at hearing and are a part of this motion because they discuss the information contained in FES-5-05. For these reasons, as more specifically laid out below, the information redacted from the public version of Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief should be subject to a protective order by the Commission. Ormet therefore requests that the Commission enter such an order to ensure that this information remains confidential and protected and not subject to public disclosure.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-24(D) (2012). As set forth herein, the information described above has been represented as confidential and sensitive business information by AEP Ohio and has been provided to Ormet subject to a protective agreement. Therefore, Ormet requests that this information be protected from disclosure. The Commission regularly grants such protective orders. *See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, Sept. 21, 1989); *Ohio Bell Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); *Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, Aug. 17, 1990).

5

While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read *in pari materia* with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, Feb. 17, 1982). Section 1333.61(D) identifies the definition of a trade secret as set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade Secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 1331.61(D) (West 2012). The Commission facilitates the protection of such trade secrets in its rules in the Administrative Code as well. See Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-124(A)(7) (2012). AEP Ohio, in providing the information for which Ormet is requesting a protective order, has asserted that their projections of fuel cost changes, and discussion of them, constitute trade secrets.

In The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Department of Insurance, the Ohio Supreme Court adopted a six factor test to analyze whether information is a trade secret under Revised Code section 1331:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business; (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees; (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information; and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

80 Ohio St. 3d 513, 524-25 (1997).

Applying these factors to the portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief for which Ormet seeks confidential treatment indicates that a protective order should be granted. Refraining from stating its opinion on the matter, Ormet acknowledges that AEP Ohio could argue for protection of the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") as follows: the FAC projections are not known outside the business; they are known inside AEP Ohio only to a limited extent; AEP Ohio has consistently sought its treatment as a trade secret; AEP Ohio's competitors could use that information to their competitive advantage; the information and forecasting and negotiation efforts that underlie were expensive for AEP Ohio to develop; and others would face comparable cost to develop it on their own, if that were even possible.

Id. For these reasons, the Plain Dealer factors could arguably favor treating the confidential portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Reply Brief as Trade Secrets.
Id. AEP Ohio's representations to that effect is why the Hearing Examiners were justified in moving the hearing into closed session when these topics came up, and that is why Ormet has redacted the portions of its Post-Hearing Reply Brief that draw on the confidential hearing transcripts discussing these issues.

Accordingly, by this motion and memorandum in support Ormet upholds its agreement with AEP Ohio and treat the information AEP Ohio has designated as confidential as such in the Post-Hearing Reply Brief and ensure that it is filed under seal pursuant to a confidentiality order by the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR DENTON US LLP Emma F. Hand Dan Barnowski James Rubin Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Counsel for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: July 9, 2012

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Protective Order of The Confidential Version of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Post-Hearing Reply Brief was served this 9th day of July, 2012, via the PUCO electronic filing system and by U.S. mail and email, on the parties listed below.

/s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR Denton US LLP Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Attorney for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite Yazen Alami American Electric Power Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Vick Leach-Payne
Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph E. Oliker
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Fl
Columbus, OH 43215
The Kroger Co.
Linda Viens
60 Worthington Mall
Worthington, OH 43085

Michael Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Kurt Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Paul F. Wight John Estes, III Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Maureen R. Grady Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

The Kroger Co.
John W. Bentine
Mark Yurick
Zachary D. Kravitz
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe LLP
65 E State Street
Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215

Jesse A. Rodriquez Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Lisa G. McAlister Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215

Lija K. Kaieps-Clark M. Howard Petricoff Stephen Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Sandy I-ru Grace 101 Constitution Avenue, NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001

Jeffrey Small
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 180
Columbus, OH 43215

Thomas J. O'Brien
Teresa Orahood
Ohio Hospital Association
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Andrew Campbell Melissa L. Thompson Mark A. Whitt Whitt Sturtevant LLP PNC Plaza, Ste. 2020 155 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Ste. 2100
Columbus, OH 43215

Deb J. Bingham
Patti Mallarnee
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 W. Broad St., 18th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215

Christopher L. Miller Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Stephen J. Smith C. Todd Jones Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215

United Way of Jefferson County 501 Washington Street P.O. Box 1463 Steubenville, OH 43952

Vincent Parisi Interstate Gas Supply 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016 Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street
Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817

Ohio Construction Materials Coalition Attn: Brian Barger Brady, Coyle & Schmidt, Ltd. 4052 Holland-Sylvania Road Toledo, OH 43623 David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

Constellation NewEnergy Inc.
Cynthia Fonner Brady
David Fein
550 W Washington Street, Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661

Allison E. Haedt Grant W. Weber Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 325 John H. McConnell Boulevard Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43216

Steve Howard 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215

Joseph M. Clark Direct Energy Services, LLC 6641 North High Street, Ste. 200 Worthington, OH 43085 Dianne Kuhnell Matt Walz, Vice President Duke Energy Business Services 139 East Fourth Street EA025 PO Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201

Chad A. Endsley
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
280 N. High Street
Columbus, OH 43218

Jennifer Duffer Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, OH 43215

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy David C. Rinebolt 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839

Robert A. McMahon Eberly McMahon LLC 2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100 Cincinnati, OH 45206

AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC Anne M. Vogel 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Amy Spiller Rocco D'Ascenzo Elizabeth Watts 139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Roger P. Sugarman Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter 1800 Capitol Square 65 East State Street Columbus, OH 43215

Larry F. Eisenstate Richard Lehfeldt Bradley Hutter Robert Kinder, Jr. Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Carolyn S. Flahive Philip B. Sineneng Terrance Mebane Stephanie Chmiel Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Ste. 1700 Columbus, OH 43215

Sue Salamido Kristin Watson Cloppert, Latanick, Sauter & Washburn 225 E. Broad St., 4th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Nolan Moster Trent Dougherty Tara Santarelli The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212

Andrea P. Govan Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 Sara Reich Bruce Staff Counsel Ohio Metro Automobile Dealers Association 655 Metro Place South, Ste. 270 Dublin, OH 43017

Christopher Allwein Todd M. Williams Williams Allwein & Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave, Suite 212r Columbus, OH 43212

Randy Hart Rob Remington David Michalski Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP 200 Public Square, Ste. 2800 Cleveland, OH 44114

Robert Burke Braith Kelly Competitive Powers Ventures, Inc. 8403 Colesville, Road, Ste. 915 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mary W. Christensen Christensen Law Offices 8760 Orion Place, Ste. 300 Columbus, OH 43240-2109

Kenneth Kreider
Holly Rachel Smith
Keating Muething & Klekamp PPL
Hitt Business Center
3603 Rectortown Road
Marshall, VA 20115

First Energy Solutions Corp Louis M. D'Alessandris 341 White Pond Drive Akron, OH 44320 Judi L. Sobecki Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432

Matthew R. Cox Matthew Cox Law, Ltd. 4145 St. Theresa Blvd. Avon, OH 44011

Jeanne W. Kingery Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 139 E. Fourth Street Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Teresa Ringenbach, Manager Direct Energy & Services LLC Government & Regulatory Affairs 9605 El Camino Lane Plain City, OH 43064

Christen M. Moore Porter Wright Morris & Arthur, LLP 41 South High Street, 30th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Carys Cochern Duke Energy 155 East Borad Street, 21st Floor Columbus, OH 43215

PUCO

State Agencies | Online Services

Search PUCO Website

Case Lookup 00-0000

Step 7 **E-Filing Confirmation**

Please print and save this page as confirmation that the following document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System:

Date & Time; 7/9/2012 3:29:16 PM

Case Number(s): 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion Motion for Protective Order of Ormet Reply Brief electronically filed by Ms. Emma F Hand on

behalf of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Confirmation Number: 5345aa16-dd0e-45ef-9cd6-9d13e0efd333

Official PDF File: 5345aa16-dd0e-45ef-9cd6-9d13e0efd333 Official emmahand79201232856PM Motion for Protective Order of Ormet Reply BriefSecure.pdf

Filings received after 5:30 p.m. Eastern Time will be deemed to be filed the following business day. All filings are subject to review and acceptance by the PUCO Docketing Division. Please call (614) 466-4095 if you have questions or need assistance. Do not reply to this message. Send any correspondence to docketing@puc.state.oh.us.

Continue

PUCO.Ohio.gov

DIS Home Privacy Notice Contact <u>login</u>

Ohio.gov

Online Services State Agencies