



Todd A. Snitchler
Chairman
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Snitchler,

RE: AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER (AEP) PROPOSED PRICE FOR CAPACITY CASE 10-2929

I am writing to inform you of my concern regarding the new price for capacity proposed by AEP. The proposal will directly affect our community and I ask you to do everything in your power to ensure that current electric prices do not increase. I understand it is your responsibility and the commission's mission to ensure access to adequate utility services at fair prices and to promote competition in the energy markets in Ohio. In my opinion, the proposed increase in capacity charges contradicts this mission.

As a consumer of energy, I will be directly affected by the newly proposed price for capacity. If the proposed increase in capacity cost is approved, my electric supplier will be forced to increase my electricity costs. Please don't put the interest of AEP ahead of the interest of the residential customers of the State of Ohio.

Please take my view as a customer of a competitive service provider and a current citizen of the State of Ohio into consideration when making your decision in this matter.

Sincerely,

Concerned Energy Consumer of Ohio

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV



MID-OHIO PRODUCTS, INC.

4329 REYNOLDS DRIVE • HILLIARD, OHIO 43026 614/771-2795 • FAX 614/771-9115

Todd A. Snitchler Chairman Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Snitchler,

RE: AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER (AEP) PROPOSED PRICE FOR CAPACITY CASE 10-2929

I am writing to inform you of my concern regarding the new price for capacity proposed by AEP. The proposal will directly affect our community and I ask you to do everything in your power to ensure that current electric prices do not increase. I understand it is your responsibility and the commission's mission to ensure access to adequate utility services at fair prices and to promote competition in the energy markets in Ohio. In my opinion, the proposed increase in capacity charges contradicts this mission.

As a consumer of energy, I will be directly affected by the newly proposed price for capacity. If the proposed increase in capacity cost is approved, my electric supplier will be forced to increase my electricity costs. Please don't put the interest of AEP ahead of the interest of the residential customers of the State of Ohio.

Please take my view as a customer of a competitive service provider and a current citizen of the State of Ohio into consideration when making your decision in this matter.

The second secon

Sincerely,

Concerned Energy Consumer of Ohio

2012 JUN 18 PM:12: 57



Certified Oil Company 949 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212 tel 614.421.7500 fax 614.421.6525 www.certifiedoil.com

Chairman Todd Snitchler Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 June 12, 2012

RE Case 11-0346-EL-AIR Case 10-2929-EL-UNC

Dear Chairman Snitchler,

Like most businesses, Certified Oil finds itself constantly trying to find ways to squeeze out a profit in an industry where margins seem to be racing to zero. In 2010, we took the proactive approach in managing the company's energy portfolio, and selected wholesale electric suppliers that were able to provide us with reliable service at the most affordable price in the geographic area where an option exists, including American Electric Power. In November 2010, we switched suppliers for some of these stores based on estimated savings and budget certainty.

Yesterday, we received a shocking letter from our supplier regarding our stores behind American Electric Power. The letter indicated the PUCO issued an order on May 30, 2012 increasing the cost of American Electric Power capacity effective June 1, 2012, and as a result Certified Oil is staring down the barrel of a potential huge increase.

Certified Oil shopped electric generation supply for lower costs and budget certainty. We are angry and frustrated that you would approve a measure that would have such a devastating effect on our efforts. The increase that our supplier is passing through wipes out the savings we expected from our shopping efforts and may even now be higher than what we would have paid if we had not shopped.

The process of shopping was very time consuming and difficult for us. We are very frustrated that we are now faced with the task of evaluating whether are shopping efforts are going to cost us more money. AEP had a choice as to which business model they wished to follow. Unlike the other investor owned utilities in Ohio, AEP chose a different path, and now wants Certified Oil to pick up the cost associated with that decision. Why would you agree to that?

We understand that your approval of the increase in AEP's capacity costs is temporary, pending your decision in several proceedings currently before you. We ask you to consider the effect your decisions have on our efforts to bring low cost essentials to our customers. Please deny the increase that AEP is asking for and that our supplier is passing on to us so that we can continue to rely on the savings and budget certainty that we are relying and counting upon.

Sincerely,

Dave Hogan
Certified Oil

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DI