

From: Anthony Amos To: ContactThePUCO Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO Received: 6/13/2012 8:21:30 PM Message: Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

	This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
Sincerely,	document delivered in the regular course of business.
Anthony Amos	Technician Date Processed
2099 Creekview Ct	
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-	4218

2012 JUN 15 PH 3: 57 PUCO From: Connie Winch To: ContactThePUCO Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO Received: 6/14/2012 1:24:04 PM Message: Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely, Connie Winch 5923 Tuckahoe Ln Dublin, OH 43016-4235 From: Travis Brown To: ContactThePUCO Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO Received: 6/14/2012 4:43:58 PM Message: Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely, Travis Brown 1404 W Huntsville St Broken Arrow, OK 74011-6221 From: Emily McClary To: ContactThePUCO Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO Received: 6/14/2012 4:44:26 PM Message: Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely, Emily McClary 7856 E Independence St Tulsa, OK 74115-6918 From: Roger Stein To: ContactThePUCO Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO Received: 6/15/2012 9:25:24 AM Message: Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely, Roger Stein 7552 Harvestdale Ln Florence, KY 41042-7782