## BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio for Approval of an Alternative Form of Regulation.	) ) )	Case	No.	93-487-TP-ALT
In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio for an Order Approving a Reasonable Arrangement Between The Toledo Edison Company and Ameritech Ohio, Pursuant to Section 4905.31 of the Revised Code of Ohio.	) ) ) )	Case	No.	88-1549-TP-AEC
In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange and Network Services Tariff, PUCO No. 1, to Reflect the Current End User Common Line (EUCL) Multiline Business Rate as it Relates to the Parity Provision Adjustment for Centrex CO 100 Service and Centrex CO Zone - Type I and II Service.	) ) ) ) ) )	Case	No.	89-1147-TP-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange and Network Services Tariff, PUCO No. 1, to Establish a New Service Called Ameritech Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) Local Calling Value Plan (AILCVP).	) ) ) ) )	Case	No.	94-1132-TP-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Private Line Service Tariff, PUCO No. 2, To Establish Rates and Regulations for Power Fault Protection.	) ) ) )	Case	No.	94-1472-TP-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange and Network Services Tariff, PUCO No. 1, To Establish Regulation and Rates for Two-Way Direct Inward Dialing (DID) With Call Transfer.	) ) ) )	Case	No.	94-1615-TP-ATA

.

Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT et al. Page -2-

In The Matter of the Application of ) Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange ) Case No. 94-1706-TP-ATA and Network Tariff, PUCO No. 1, to ) Establish Regulations and Rates For ) Ameritech Customer Location Alternative ) Routing and Amertiech Network Switch ) Alternative Routing. ) In The Matter of the Application of ) Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange Case No. 94-1925-TP-ATA ) and Network Tariff, PUCO No. 1, to ) Revise the Regulations For Call ) Blocking. ) In the Matter of the Application of ) Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange } and Network Services Tariff, PUCO Case No. 94-1939-TP-ATA ) No. 1, to Modify Advanced Custom ) Calling Rates and Change Multiple ) Feature Discounts. ) In the Matter of the Application of ) Ameritech Ohio to Revise its Exchange } and Network Services Tariff, PUCO ) Case No. 94-2004-TP-ATA No. 1, To Extend the Trial Period for ) Toll Restriction. )

## ENTRY

The Commission finds:

(1) Ameritech Ohio (also known as The Ohio Bell Telephone Company) filed with the Commission on September 20, 1994, a joint stipulation and recommendation for alternative regulatory relief. This Commission's opinion and order, journalized November 23, 1994, in Case No. 91-487-TP-ALT, approved and adopted, with some modifications, the joint stipulation and recommendation. Ameritech Ohio's stipulated plan provides for certain rate changes in residence, nonresidence, and carrier access rates; caps all rates for one year; and allows limited price cap regulation of certain noncore services. The stipulation establishes certain revenue reductions to be phased in on an annual basis over the term of the plan. The year-one tariffs were to be effective no sooner than 45 days from the date of the Commission's opinion and order approving the stipulation. Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT et al. Page -3-

- (2) In accordance with the opinion and order, applicant has submitted for Commission review and approval complete printed copies of its tariffs to be effective on January 9, 1995. A proposed notice was also submitted by Ameritech Ohio for Commission review and approval.
- (3) The Commission's staff has reviewed the applicant's proposed tariffs and finds that the applicant's proposed tariffs are in conformity with the approved stipulation with one exception: the maximum rates shown for the three operator service rates increased pursuant to the stipulation, as depicted on Sheet No. 6.3 of Section 2 in Ameritech Ohio's Message Toll Telephone Service Tariff, should be those rates specified in the stipulation and not twice the stipulated rates. Specifically, the maximum rates for those services should be as follows:
  - (a) Automated Calling Card Station-to-Station \$ .35
  - (b) Customer Dialed Operator Assisted Calling Card 1.00 Station to Station
  - (c) Operator Handled Third Number Billed 1.25

Staff recommends approval of the proposed tariffs with the changes noted above.

- (4) After review of the applicant's proposed tariffs, the Commission finds that applicant's tariffs, with the changes noted in finding (3), above, are consistent with the Commission's opinion and order in Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT. It is the Commission's opinion that neither its order nor the stipulation should be interpreted to permit applicant to utilize the stipulated operator service rates as minimum rates. These tariffs should be approved so long as applicant revises the maximum operator service rates in accordance with those specified in this entry.
- (5) The Commission further finds, pursuant to 4901:1-1-03 Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), that applicant's proposed notices to customers affected by the tariff changes approved in 93-487-TP-ALT contain appropriate text and should be approved.
- (6) As stated in finding 1, above, the approved stipulation included certain price reductions to be phased in, on an annual basis, over the term of the plan. In that those price reductions will necessitate tariff amendments, Ameritech Ohio

is hereby directed to file its proposed amendments no later than December 1 each year prior to the implementation of each of the scheduled price reductions which are to take effect on the following January 9. Unless suspended, the proposed tariffs will be automatically approved on the 31st day and shall be effective on January 9. Ameritech Ohio shall file three complete, printed final copies of its tariffs with the Commission, each year, on or before January 9.

(7) Applicant also has pending, at this time, the above-cited cases. A thorough review of each application has been conducted by staff, and staff recommends to the Commission that the following applications be approved, and that their tariffs be permitted to take effect concurrently with the tariffs approved pursuant to Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT:

94-1132-TP-ATA	-	ISDN Local Calling Value Plan
94-1472-TP-ATA	-	Power Fault Protection
94-1615-TP-ATA		2-Way DID with Call Transfer
94-1706-TP-ATA	-	Disaster Recovery Alt. Routing
94-1925-TP-ATA	-	Revise 900/976 Call Blocking
94-1939-TP-ATA	-	Modify Multiple Feature Discounts
94-2004-TP-ATA	-	Extend Toll Restriction Trial

Appendix A to this entry also lists the cell placement and basket for the services of each of these applications. Additionally, Appendix A lists several cases which have been or will be approved prior to or on January 9, 1995, pursuant to the automatic procedures of Case No. 84-944-TP-COI and 86-1144-TP-COI, as provided by the Commission's opinion and order in Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT.

(8) Staff further recommends that the following cases be dismissed, and closed as a matter of record:

88-1549-TP-AEC	~	Contract with Toledo Edison
89-1147-TP-ATA	-	Revise EUCL

Ameritech Ohio filed a new agreement between itself and Toledo Edison on September 26, 1994, under the automatic approval process designed for Centrex services (Case No. 94-772-TP-AEC). This new contract was approved automatically on September 26, 1994, thereby rendering the filing in Case No. 88-1549-TP-AEC moot.

On December 21, 1994, Ameritech Ohio filed a request to withdraw its application filed July 28, 1989 in Case No. 89-1147-TP-ATA (which was to adjust the parity provision for

Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT et al. Page -5-

> Centrex CO 100 Service and Centrex CO Zone - Type I and II). According to applicant, that filing is rendered moot by the adoption of new Centrex tariffs pursuant to Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT.

(9) The applications listed in finding 7, above, have been filed pursuant to Section 4909.18, Revised Code, and the Commission finds, as the applicant alleges, that they are not for an increase in any rate, joint rate, toll, classification, charge, or rental and do not appear to be unjust or unreasonable and should be approved. Therefore, the Commission finds it unnecessary to hold a hearing in these matters. The Commission further finds that the cases listed in finding 8, above, should be dismissed and closed as a matter of record.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That Ameritech Ohio is authorized to file in final form three complete, printed copies of tariffs consistent with the findings of this entry, and to cancel and withdraw its superseded tariffs. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the effective date of the new tariffs shall be the date applicant files three complete, printed final copies of its tariffs with this Commission or January 9, 1995, whichever is later. The rates contained in the new tariffs shall be applicable to all bills rendered on or after the effective date. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the applicant shall commence notification of all customers affected by the tariff changes pursuant to 4901:1-1-03, 0.A.C., within 30 days of the effective date of this Entry. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the cases listed in finding 8 be dismissed. It is, further,

ORDERED, That nothing in this Entry shall be binding upon this Commission in any future proceeding or investigation involving the justness or reasonableness of any rate, charge, rule or regulation, including any contracts and arrangements entered into pursuant to this tariff. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served on all parties of record, and a copy placed in each of the above-cited case files. It is, further,

ORDERED, That these cases be closed of record.

Case	No.	93-487-TP-ALT	et	al.	
Page	-6-				

	THE PUBLIC UTTLITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
	Concessor Chairman
0	Craig A. Glazer, Chairman
Ť	I Michael Biddison Jolynn Barry Butler
Kan	at M. Tanell V Julie Johna
( ×	Richard M. Fanelly David W. Johnson
V <sub>HJM:ss</sub>	

Entered in the Journal JAN 5 1995 A True Copy Gard E. Vigorito Secretary .

.

Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT et al. Page -7-

## APPENDIX A

CASE NO.	DESCRIPTION	CELL/BASKET
94-1132	ISDN Local Calling Value Plan	1/Non-Res.
94-1472	Power Fault Protection	1 Noncore/Non-Res.
94-1615	Two-Way DID with Call Transfer	1/Non-Res.
94-1706	Disaster Recovery Alt. Routing	3/Non-Res.
94-1925	Revise 900/976 Call Blocking	1/ResNon-Res.
94-1939	Modify Multiple Feature Discounts	follows service
94-2004	Extend Toll Restriction Trial	3/Res.

Cases approved pursuant to the automatic procedures of 84-944-TP-COI and 86-1144-TP-COI:

CASE NO.	DESCRIPTION	AUTO DATE	<u>CELL/BASKET</u>
94-1638	Revise Scan Alert	12/12/94	3/ResNon-Res.
94-1661	DS3 & Rev. Reconfiguration	12/17/94	2 or 4/Non-Res.
94-1683	Add 4 ISDN Prime Features	12/20/94	3/Non-Res.
94-1822	Add 0C-3 & 0C-12	1/09/95	2 or 4/Non-Res.