

From: Danny White  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/2/2012 8:33:17 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Danny White  
41469 Starcher Rd  
Pomeroy, OH 45769-9711

PUCO

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV  
2012 JUN -5 AM 11:25

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician                      Date Processed JUN 05 2012

From: Stephen Burge  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/2/2012 9:59:24 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Burge  
7828 Silver Lake Ct  
Westerville, OH 43082-7740

From: Mark Kehlmeier  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/2/2012 11:59:48 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Mark Kehlmeier  
145 Crestview Rd  
Columbus, OH 43202-2205

From: Trevor Sthultz  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 6:18:43 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Trevor Sthultz  
42 Auburn Ave  
Columbus, OH 43205-1502

From: John Maynard  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 6:24:48 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
John Maynard  
1300 Glenboro Way  
Galloway, OH 43119-9129

From: Charles McKean  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 6:32:45 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Charles McKean  
195 Fairfield Church Rd  
Gallipolis, OH 45631-8557

From: Jo Massie  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 7:09:48 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Jo Massie  
124 Tabilore Loop  
Delaware, OH 43015-9168

From: William Bynum  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 7:53:11 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
William Bynum  
44 Tabilore Loop  
Delaware, OH 43015-9167



From: Jason Smeltzer  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 8:05:53 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Jason Smeltzer  
21165 Trail 283  
Coshocton, OH 43812

From: Courtney Green  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 8:15:04 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Courtney Green  
7212 Havencroft Dr  
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-7065

From: Bryan Dearing  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 8:49:48 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Dearing  
24 W 5th St  
Dresden, OH 43821-9640

From: Jeffrey Bartsch  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 8:50:49 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Bartsch  
8682 Birch Brook Loop  
Pickerington, OH 43147-7867

From: J. Paul Davis  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 9:12:32 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
J. Paul Davis  
3316 River Landings Blvd  
Hilliard, OH 43026-7825

From: Tina Thacker  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 9:20:26 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Tina Thacker  
11639 London Rd  
Orient, OH 43146-9140

From: Frank Dargham  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 9:42:29 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Frank Dargham  
111 Brookhill Dr  
Gahanna, OH 43230-1765

From: James Bifulco  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 10:16:00 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
James Bifulco  
768 Yorkshire Dr  
Lima, OH 45804-3377



From: Tracy Wickline  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 10:34:35 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Tracy Wickline  
8701 Kilbourne Rd  
Sunbury, OH 43074-8509

From: Ricky Whitlatch  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 10:36:34 AM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Ricky Whitlatch  
1044 Yeater Ln  
Glen Easton, WV 26039-1594

From: Michelle Kaseff  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 12:28:50 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Kaseff  
7534 Cantigern Dr  
Columbus, OH 43235-6713

From: Mark Westfall  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 1:22:33 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Mark Westfall  
1399 Boulevard Dr  
Belpre, OH 45714-1545

From: Robert Boris  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 1:38:30 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Robert Boris  
1901 Countryside Dr  
Austintown, OH 44515-5574

From: Scot Finlay  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 2:27:30 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Scot Finlay  
47953 T.R.1142  
Coshocton, OH 43812-9142

From: Rocky Hartz  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 3:41:58 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Rocky Hartz  
2267 Lower Elkton Rd  
Columbiana, OH 44408-9412

From: Dorra Campbell  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 4:18:59 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Dorra Campbell  
3160 Reynolds Rd  
Washington Court House, OH 43160-9519



From: Joshua McClurg-Geneves  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 5:01:56 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Joshua McClurg-Geneves  
29 Blenheim Rd  
Columbus, OH 43214-3229

From: Steven Root  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 5:29:04 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Steven Root  
5219 N Street Route 53  
Tiffin, OH 44883-9606

From: Jeffrey Clark  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 5:34:17 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Clark  
5286 Predmore Pl  
Gahanna, OH 43230-1561

From: Jeffrey Clark  
To: ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO  
Received: 6/4/2012 5:42:33 PM  
Message:  
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Clark  
5286 Predmore Pl  
Gahanna, OH 43230-1561

**\*\*Notes edited by D.A. on Monday, June 04, 2012 8:45 AM\*\***

Received: 6/2/2012 7:46:15 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 67027 AT:06-02-2012 at  
07:46 PM

Related Case Number: 12-1230

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mr. William Allen

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

136 River Rd

Ostrander , Ohio 43061

PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: 740-363-4717

E-MAIL: waallen@aol.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: Ohio Edison

Name on account: William Allen

Service address: 136 River Rd

(no service phone number provided?)

(no account number provided?)

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

The First Energy proposal to extend their ESP by two years and use a three year auction product is bad for customers. They claim to smooth rates by charging more in the early years and less in the outer years. I can manage my own money and would prefer not to pay early for a service I may not take in the future. Also the three year product will result in costs increasing over the entire term of the plan - not just change the timing of payments. Please reject the FE plan or at a minimum require them to provide three single year auction products.