

FILE

From: Randall Thompson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:41:46 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Randall Thompson
5484 Air Base Rd
Groveport, OH 43125-9604

PUCO

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV
2012 MAY 24 AM 8:08

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician DR Date Processed 5/24/12

From: John Popovich
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:41:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John Popovich
2351 Fishinger Rd
Upper Arlington, OH 43221-1250

From: Jagannath Chalasani
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:42:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jagannath Chalasani
8836 Emerald Hill Dr
Lewis Center, OH 43035-7220

From: Uday Sharma
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:42:11 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Uday Sharma
1368 Milk St
Apt C
Gahanna, OH 43230-6746

From: Raymond Kackley
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:42:21 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Raymond Kackley
9687 Crawford Dr
Pickerington, OH 43147-8449

From: Jeffrey Wiegand
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:42:45 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Wiegand
44 Simmons Cove Rd
Vincent, OH 45784-9075

From: Thomas Festi
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:43:01 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

First Energy was allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan, AEP's plan is much more customer friendly than FE's. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition is FAIR for Ohio, AEP customers, and AEP. A transition as supported by FES would ONLY BENEFIT FES and in the long run be detrimental to Ohio, customers, and businesses in OHIO. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Thomas Festi
10513 Brettridge Dr
Powell, OH 43065-8479

From: Charles Rinker
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:43:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Charles Rinker
1326 Amberlea Dr E
Gahanna, OH 43230-6855

From: Randy Sheidler
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:43:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

In my own words, it is only fair that you treat AEP the same way other previous companies during this transition. Special interest groups complaining about high rates need to understand that the cost of electricity is only increasing. This is especially true with the strict and unreasonable EPA regulations being enacted. The market is not the same as it was even a few years ago with these EPA mandates.

Sincerely,
Randy Sheidler
1624 Mill Creek Rd
Gallipolis, OH 45631-8240

From: Lynette Cregge
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:44:11 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lynette Cregge
8813 Taylor Woods Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-6700

From: Thomas Myers
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:44:44 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Thomas Myers
4407 Olmsted Rd
New Albany, OH 43054-9674

From: Sunita Modak
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:45:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Sunita Modak
6666 Brodie Blvd
Dublin, OH 43017-8043

From: John Bricker
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:46:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John Bricker
5712 Danmar Dr
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-8671

From: Brian Hattery
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:46:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brian Hattery
775 W Franklin St
Kenton, OH 43326-1746

From: Chad Hastings
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:47:00 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Chad Hastings
19300 Leatherwood Rd
Salesville, OH 43778-9645

From: Jau Guo
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:47:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jau Guo
7215 Keiler Ct
Dublin, OH 43017-2621

From: Karla Calderon
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:48:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Karla Calderon
19240 Greist Rd
Stoutsville, OH 43154-9748

From: Suihua Pan
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:48:44 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Suihua Pan
8034 Crossgate Ct S
Dublin, OH 43017-8432

From: Zachary Van Tassel
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:49:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Zachary Van Tassel
2277 Mecca Rd
Columbus, OH 43224-4513

From: Carolyn Martin
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:49:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Martin
404 Vista Dr
Gahanna, OH 43230-5914

From: Eugene Horton
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:50:09 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Eugene Horton
8768 Needle Point Ct
Powell, OH 43065-9231

From: Susan Shuck
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:50:25 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

I am truly concerned about the economy in the State of Ohio, and specifically, Coshocton County, the county in which I live and work.

Sincerely,
Susan Shuck
501 E Russell Ave
West Lafayette, OH 43845-1241

From: Brian Griffis
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:50:24 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brian Griffis
3961 N County Line Rd
Sunbury, OH 43074-9729

From: Kevin Kuehne
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:50:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Kuehne
9231 Preakness Pl
Pickerington, OH 43147-8233

From: Ryan Heck
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:51:19 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Ryan Heck
117 Crown Hill Ct
Gahanna, OH 43230-2305

From: Robert Jessee
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:51:18 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Jessee
865 Wynncrest Dr
Marietta, OH 45750-6995

From: Richard Mathieu
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:51:32 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Richard Mathieu
3470 County Road 22A
Bloomington, OH 43910-7973

From: Bryan St John
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:51:50 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Bryan St John
2948 Honeysuckle Ln
Hilliard, OH 43026-8835

From: Susan Wagner
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:52:05 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Susan Wagner
4232 Wincove Dr
Groveport, OH 43125-8926

From: Cheryl Thirtyacre
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:52:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Thirtyacre
9419 Street Route 762
Orient, OH 43146-9568

From: Aaron Hill
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:53:03 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Aaron Hill
730 Gatehouse Ln
Columbus, OH 43235-1732

From: Carolyn Gilkey
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:53:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Gilkey
550 Frederick St S
Lancaster, OH 43130-2643

From: Kristen Thompson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:53:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kristen Thompson
53 Dellenbaugh Loop
Etna, OH 43062-9643

From: Marci Wilson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:53:44 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Marci Wilson
2578 Green Branch Rd NE
New Lexington, OH 43764-9050

From: Kathleen Silcott
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:53:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Silcott
5820 Hollie St NE
Lancaster, OH 43130-7724

From: Erica Patterson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:54:06 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Erica Patterson
6335 Century City N
Apt 3
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-4258

From: Linda Craig
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:54:45 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Linda Craig
Concerned AEP Ohio Customer

Sincerely,
Linda Craig
7802 Windwood Dr
Dublin, OH 43017-7626

From: Robert Moenter
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:54:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Moenter
10910 Dutch Rd
Delphos, OH 45833-9109

From: Tracy McLaughlin
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:55:59 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tracy McLaughlin
5000 Ivyvine Blvd
Dublin, OH 43016-7165

From: Kevin Patten
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:57:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Patten
50 River Bend Dr
South Bloomfield, OH 43103-9234

From: Amanda Butler
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:58:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Amanda Butler
3358 Oaklawn St
Columbus, OH 43224-3453

From: Craig Davis
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:59:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Craig Davis
1038 Mastell Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-7708

From: Dale Heydlauff
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:59:56 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dale Heydlauff
2390 Sheringham Rd
Upper Arlington, OH 43220-4368

From: James VanSickle
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:00:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James VanSickle
9351 State Route 13
Thornville, OH 43076-9751

From: Randall Port
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:00:57 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Randall Port
5721 Running Brook Dr
Westerville, OH 43081-6613

From: Frank Nemchik
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:01:16 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Frank Nemchik
2330 Park Ridge Ct
Grove City, OH 43123-1818

From: Frank Nemchik
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:03:26 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Frank Nemchik
2330 Park Ridge Ct
Grove City, OH 43123-1818

From: Ellen McAninch
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:03:25 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Ellen McAninch
1892 Ironton Dr
Columbus, OH 43228-8473

From: Frederick Wheeler
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:03:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Frederick Wheeler
7 Oakwood Dr
Gallipolis, OH 45631-1120

From: James Beller
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:03:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James Beller
790 Oxford St
Worthington, OH 43085-3576

From: Cherie Long
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:04:20 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Cherie Long
6636 Twp Rd. 34 W
Fostoria, OH 44830-9442

From: Tracie Greene
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:04:53 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tracie Greene
3090 Cheaves Pl
Columbus, OH 43224-3711

From: David Buzza
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:05:14 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Buzza
629 Polo Woods Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244-5037

From: Jan Leeth
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:05:56 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jan Leeth
250 Owens Rd
Waverly, OH 45690-9255

From: Waddie Ballard
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:06:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Waddie Ballard
1059 Bergenia Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-6743

From: Erik Diekmeyer
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:06:56 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Erik Diekmeyer
274 Shakespeare Garden Pl
P
Columbus, OH 43235-8952

From: Amanda Butler
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:08:08 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Amanda Butler
3358 Oaklawn St
Columbus, OH 43224-3453

From: Hooper McCoy
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:08:07 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Hooper McCoy
317 E 6th St
West Lafayette, OH 43845-1417

From: Abass Bangura
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:08:46 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Abass Bangura
3092 Ambarwent Rd
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-7060

From: James Fleming
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:09:46 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James Fleming
PO Box 80
Conesville, OH 43811-0080

From: Donald Roberts
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:10:48 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Donald Roberts
4336 Secludedwood Ct
Gahanna, OH 43230-4153

From: Dana Sheets
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:11:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dana Sheets
5187 Threshing Ct
Gahanna, OH 43230-1084

From: Ted Lunsford
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:12:37 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Ted Lunsford
5038 Edgeley Dr
Hilliard, OH 43026-3410

From: David Hazlett
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:12:41 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Hazlett
3835 Dragoo Rd
Nashport, OH 43830-9649

From: Albert Kohler
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:12:55 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Albert Kohler
8754 Dustin Ln NW
Strasburg, OH 44680-9107

From: Timothy Adkins
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:15:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Adkins
156 Shaker Run Rd
Peebles, OH 45660-9400

From: Julia Sloat
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:16:04 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Julia Sloat
4500 Summit Ridge Rd
Columbus, OH 43220-2251

From: William Reinhart
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:16:08 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
William Reinhart
183 Canyon Dr
Columbus, OH 43214-3105

From: Kyle Lehmkuhl
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:17:24 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kyle Lehmkuhl
4626 Kenross Dr
Columbus, OH 43207-8765

From: Vicky Williams
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:18:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Vicky Williams
8064 Storrow Dr
Westerville, OH 43081-5010

From: Adam Velegol
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:18:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Adam Velegol
5456 Genoa Farms Blvd
Westerville, OH 43082-8374

From: Tracy Elich
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:18:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tracy Elich
365 Fernbank Rd
Zanesville, OH 43701-1603

From: Brian Healy
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:18:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brian Healy
13464 Church View Dr
Pickerington, OH 43147-7719

From: Seth Jenkins
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:19:45 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Seth Jenkins
3162 Ardmore Ct
Powell, OH 43065-9130

From: Lynn Stefanko
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:20:02 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lynn Stefanko
326 52nd St NW
Canton, OH 44709-4829

From: Andrew McCabe
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:20:23 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Andrew McCabe
1108 Black Gold Pl
Gahanna, OH 43230-1876

From: Lindsay Hart
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:20:56 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lindsay Hart
10536 Woodburn Dr
Powell, OH 43065-8635

From: Dale Cory
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:21:00 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dale Cory
6338 Rising Sun Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-8881

From: Kevin Connolly
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:22:11 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Connolly
914 Pierce Ave
Toronto, OH 43964-1052

From: Timothy Engle
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:23:23 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Engle
6791 Damson Pl
Westerville, OH 43082-9671

From: Christopher Vinson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:23:59 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Christopher Vinson
311 3rd Ave
Apt B
Gallipolis, OH 45631-1199

From: Debra Carder
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:24:35 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Debra Carder
895 W Hysell St
Middleport, OH 45760-1492

From: Deana Elizondo
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:24:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Deana Elizondo
249 Key Blvd
Pataskala, OH 43062-8121

From: Patrick Casto
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:24:42 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Patrick Casto
233 Beechnut St
Pickerington, OH 43147-7977

From: John Didlott
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:24:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John Didlott
4054 Largo Ct
Columbus, OH 43230-1168

From: Holly Antos
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:26:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Holly Antos
6069 Lakes at Taylor Station Dr
Columbus, OH 43213-4423

From: Shane Grudier
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:26:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Shane Grudier
2618 Lafayette Blvd
Steubenville, OH 43952-1145

From: Nicholas Bostick
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:27:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Bostick
PO Box 314
Gallipolis, OH 45631-0314

From: Julie Williams
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:27:21 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Julie Williams
104 Waldenmyer Dr
Dover, OH 44622-9482

From: Joseph Stimpfl
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:28:32 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Joseph Stimpfl
5187 Canterbury Dr
Powell, OH 43065-7798

From: Jennifer Lofton
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:29:50 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Lofton
69697 Oxford Dr
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950-9109

From: Dale Koski
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:29:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dale Koski
1316 Pin Oak Ln
Heath, OH 43056-8234

From: Dean Kester
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:30:46 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dean Kester
4710 Leap Ct
Hilliard, OH 43026-1153

From: Kathy Petty
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:31:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kathy Petty
4770 Bis Rd SW
Lancaster, OH 43130-9605

From: Cheryl Thirtyacre
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:32:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Thirtyacre
9419 Street Route 762
Orient, OH 43146-9568

From: Gary Ekwall
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:32:29 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Gary Ekwall
7368 Birdie Ln
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-8038

From: Bruce Wiggington
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:33:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Bruce Wiggington
823 Riva Ridge Blvd
Gahanna, OH 43230-1803

From: Terri Hamilton
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:36:44 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Terri Hamilton
1147 Orchard Hill Rd
Gallipolis, OH 45631-8294

From: James Carlson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:36:50 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James Carlson
1127 E Walnut St
Westerville, OH 43081-2568

From: Steven Austin
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:37:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Steven Austin
661 Prairie Run Dr
Sunbury, OH 43074-8551

From: Susan Nees
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:37:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Susan Nees
406 Runyan Ave
Lima, OH 45801-3639

From: Paula Igo
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:38:16 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Paula Igo
4915 Morning Light Ct
Grove City, OH 43123-8367

From: Lisa Barton
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:39:09 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lisa Barton
4535 Coldstream Ct
Westerville, OH 43082-8719

From: Gail Crane
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:40:37 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Gail Crane
198 E Hinman Ave
Columbus, OH 43207-1182

From: Jacqueline Rich
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:41:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Rich
607 Olentangy Woods Dr
Columbus, OH 43235-3494

From: John Lyons
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:41:48 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John Lyons
35209 Texas Rd
Pomeroy, OH 45769-9040

From: Steven Thacker
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:41:56 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Steven Thacker
1124 Pleasant Hill Rd
Gallipolis, OH 45631-8573

From: Sandra Williams
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:42:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Sandra Williams
251 N Cassingham Rd
Bexley, OH 43209-1461

From: Johnny Dixon
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:44:20 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

John Dixon

Sincerely,
Johnny Dixon
10582 State Route 554
Bidwell, OH 45614-9418

From: Gordon Fisher
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:44:19 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Gordon Fisher
5844 Rushwood Dr
Dublin, OH 43017-2252

From: David Powell
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:46:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Powell
990 Thomas Ln
Columbus, OH 43220-5047

From: Billy Brumfield
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:47:25 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Billy Brumfield
2971 Blendon Woods Blvd
Columbus, OH 43231-2353

From: Kevin Vass
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:48:15 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Vass
712 Suntree Dr
Westerville, OH 43081-5015

From: Philip Lewis
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:50:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Philip Lewis
69682 Crestview Ln
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950-8335

From: Edward Wingard
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:50:23 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Edward Wingard
10485 Dexter Ct
Powell, OH 43065-8135

From: Jason Parker
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:51:26 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jason Parker
728 Southridge Dr
Mount Vernon, OH 43050-4746

From: Krystal Kreitzer Bosley
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:52:14 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Krystal Kreitzer Bosley
1982 Twin Flower Cir
Grove City, OH 43123-8025

From: Bruce Braine
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:53:23 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Bruce Braine
4131 Fairfax Dr
Columbus, OH 43220-4524

From: Kevin Starkey
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:53:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Starkey
1644 Turnberry Dr
Pickerington, OH 43147-6822

From: Thomas Seward
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:57:23 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Thomas Seward
1270 Dehart Ave
Coshocton, OH 43812-2659

From: William Kraft
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:57:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
William Kraft
3569 Lake Louise Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-8517

From: Daniel Bard
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:58:01 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Daniel Bard
503 Theori Ave
Gahanna, OH 43230-2222

From: Daniel Groff
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:58:14 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Daniel Groff
2886 Creekwood Estates Dr
D
Blacklick, OH 43004-8058

From: Cheryl Strawser
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 2:59:07 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

Please PUCO Commissioners! I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs and move us fairly into competition.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. Why not AEP????

I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Thank you,
Cheryl L. Strawser - Ratepayer

Sincerely,
Cheryl Strawser
4875 Tayport Ave
Grove City, OH 43123-8370

From: Charles George
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:03:01 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Charles George
195 Millrose Dr
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950-1405

From: Timothy Riordan
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:04:09 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Riordan
8800 Chateau Dr
Pickerington, OH 43147-8265

From: Michael Mason
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:07:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Michael Mason
8085 Reynoldswood Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-9348

From: David Hoop
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:07:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Hoop
21467 Street Route 16
Coshocton, OH 43812-9404

From: Homer Clark
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:07:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Homer Clark
5994 Poplar Ridge Dr
Westerville, OH 43081-6033

From: Joseph Wilson
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:09:46 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Joseph Wilson
235 Villa Rd
Springfield, OH 45503-1205

From: John Grimm
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:10:15 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John Grimm
11055 Converse Chapel Rd
Plain City, OH 43064-9634

From: Robert Brandner
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:11:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Brandner
8895 Morse Rd SW
Pataskala, OH 43062-8557

From: Timothy Dooley
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:14:28 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Dooley
6951 Mesquite Ct
Dublin, OH 43017-2822

From: Latoya Cook
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:22:04 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Latoya Cook
3194 Kings Realm Ave
Columbus, OH 43232-5498

From: Tara Thomas
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:22:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tara Thomas
6323 Upperridge Dr
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-9769

From: John McDonald
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:23:55 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
John McDonald
125 Valuska Dr
Mingo Junction, OH 43938-1356

From: Gene Mullett
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:24:29 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Gene Mullett
19860 State Route 16
Coshocton, OH 43812-9403

From: Brian Calvert
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:25:05 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brian Calvert
46231 Country Lake Dr
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950-7702

From: Kathleen Kelley
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:26:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kelley
824 Bernese Ct
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-2761

From: David Cheney
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:36:07 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Cheney
26343 Street Route 643
Coshocton, OH 43812-9055

From: Devin Christman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:35:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Devin Christman
59052 Marietta Rd
Byesville, OH 43723-9631

From: Timothy Clary
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:38:42 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Clary
8651 Street Route 218
Crown City, OH 45623-9261

From: Melissa McHenry
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:47:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Melissa McHenry
8776 Davington Dr
Dublin, OH 43017-8762