

From: Lisa Napier-Garcia
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:36:18 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lisa Napier-Garcia
4788 Tayport Ave
Grove City, OH 43123-8043

RECEIVED-COCKETING DIV
2012 MAY 24 AM 8:32
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician JD Date Processed 5/24/12

From: Donald Franz
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:52:39 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Donald Franz
219 Cheyenne Trl
Malvern, OH 44644-9527

From: Douglas Duvall
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:45:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Douglas Duvall
2614 Prairie Grass Dr
Lancaster, OH 43130-8078

From: Joshua Eick
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:43:53 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Joshua Eick
740 Ridgewood Dr
Coshocton, OH 43812-2748

From: Kevin Lee
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:36:47 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Lee
6507 Karl Rd
Columbus, OH 43229-1369

From: William Pascarella
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:27:04 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
William Pascarella
641 Churchill Dr
Gahanna, OH 43230-1890

From: Vicki Martin
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:27:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Vicki Martin
3936 Laurel Valley Dr
Powell, OH 43065-8093

From: Aaron Kurka
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:22:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Aaron Kurka
2022 31st St NW
Canton, OH 44709-2703

From: Johnnothan Layne
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:19:53 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Johnnothan Layne
1681 Quail Meadows Dr
Lancaster, OH 43130-8110

From: Michael Finneran
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:17:45 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Michael Finneran
480 Delaneys Cir
Powell, OH 43065-7544

From: Janet Swanger
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:17:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Janet Swanger
10684 Cardale St SW
Beach City, OH 44608-9512

From: Paul Kramarz
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:17:08 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Paul Kramarz
57 Auburn Ave
Apt A
Columbus, OH 43205-1557

From: Meredith Gafford
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:15:39 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Meredith Gafford
9497 S Bloomfield Royalton Rd
Ashville, OH 43103-9799

From: Beverly Matthews
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:13:54 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Beverly Matthews
2626 Jefferson Estates Dr
D
Blacklick, OH 43004-8793

From: Thomas Mellinger
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:13:43 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Thomas Mellinger
4577 Olentangy River Rd
Apt 207
Columbus, OH 43214-2451

From: Linet Middlebrooks
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:12:12 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Linet Middlebrooks
6601 Albany Pond
New Albany, OH 43054-9260

From: Donald Martin
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:11:57 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Donald Martin
9469 State Route 554
Bidwell, OH 45614-9417

From: Jeffrey Zerkle
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:10:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Zerkle
357 Marsh Hawk Ct
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-8517

From: Brian Vetter
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:07:36 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brian Vetter
999 James Rd
Lancaster, OH 43130-2046

From: Greg Edwards
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:06:24 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Greg Edwards
5924 Northcliff Blvd
Dublin, OH 43016-3295

From: Michele Bair
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:06:08 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Michele Bair
7651 Middlebrook Ln
Columbus, OH 43235-1641

From: Julie Novak
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:05:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Julie Novak
433 30th St NW
Canton, OH 44709-3107

From: David Lucas
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:04:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
David Lucas
4743 Glencross Ct
Grove City, OH 43123-8711

From: Randy Payne
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:04:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Randy Payne
1509 State Highway 53 N
Mc Cutchenville, OH 44844-9710

From: Rita Kramer
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:02:58 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Rita Kramer
51191 Key Bellaire Rd
Jacobsburg, OH 43933-9711

From: Aimee Toole
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:02:00 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

Please support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Aimee Toole

Sincerely,
Aimee Toole
722 Tricolor Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-6724

From: Robert Ivinskas
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:01:34 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Ivinskas
723 Autumn Tree Pl
Westerville, OH 43081-3114

From: George Gerdeman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:00:31 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
George Gerdeman
253 Camrose Ct
Gahanna, OH 43230-4605

From: Robert Boris
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:58:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Boris
1901 Countryside Dr
Austintown, OH 44515-5574

From: Adam Kirk
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:50:21 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Adam Kirk
9937 MacDonald Dr
Dublin, OH 43017-8074

From: Kyle Stechschulte
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 3:49:19 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kyle Stechschulte
2357 Hartsdale Dr
Powell, OH 43065-9216

From: Timothy Reed
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:40:34 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Timothy Reed
3565 Silverado Dr
Columbus, OH 43228-9546

From: Scott Weaver
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:40:26 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Scott Weaver
995 Summit St
Columbus, OH 43201-6507

From: Sarah Doughty
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:40:06 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Sarah Doughty
824 Arbors Cir
Gahanna, OH 43230-3446

From: Dawn Triplett
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:40:10 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Dawn Triplett
1660 Sapphire Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-8360

From: Girish Modak
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:59 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Girish Modak
6666 Brodie Blvd
Dublin, OH 43017-8043

From: Stephen Vagnier
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:42 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Stephen Vagnier
6433 Irish Hills Dr
Delaware, OH 43015-8176

From: Lynette Conley
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:29 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lynette Conley
128 Broad St
Lucasville, OH 45648-7703

From: Karl Maki
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Karl Maki
78 E Chestnut St
Apt 107
Columbus, OH 43215-2583

From: Garcie Barnett
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Garcie Barnett
2419 Oakthorpe Dr
Hilliard, OH 43026-8767

From: Daniel Drew
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:39:33 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Daniel Drew
10079 Gorsuch Rd
Galena, OH 43021-9624

From: Nancy Spencer
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:38:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Nancy Spencer
3572 Lake Louise Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-8515

From: Tony Triplett
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:38:41 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tony Triplett
1660 Sapphire Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-8360

From: Kenneth Boldman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:38:48 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Boldman
124 Woodland Dr
Lucasville, OH 45648-8541

From: Brenton Savage
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:38:29 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Brenton Savage
1093 Hooverview Dr
Westerville, OH 43082-7439

From: Charles Grandy
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:38:10 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Charles Grandy
7006 Parnell Ct
Dublin, OH 43017-1046

From: Eugene McPeek
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:37:49 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Eugene McPeek
2731 Tremont St
Dover, OH 44622-9417

From: Gyorgy Guttner
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:37:52 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Gyorgy Guttner
3672 Mason Rd
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-8980

From: Sharon Sheets
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:37:36 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Sharon Sheets
3979 Yukon Ave
Groveport, OH 43125-9481

From: Floyd Ridenour
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:37:39 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Floyd Ridenour
PO Box 117
Chester, OH 45720-0117

From: Nicholas Biller
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:37:30 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Biller
132 Valley Dr
Newark, OH 43055-2285

From: Hugh McCoy
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:36:43 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Hugh McCoy
522 Hunt Valley Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-1021

From: Mark Majka
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:36:51 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Mark Majka
1315 London Dr
Columbus, OH 43221-1541

From: Tamara Ridout
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:36:52 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tamara Ridout
8620 Smokey Hollow Dr
Lewis Center, OH 43035-8790

From: Victor Blasutta
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 1:36:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Victor Blasutta
5548 Glasgow Pl
Columbus, OH 43235-7545

From: Lonni Dieck
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:40:40 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Lonni Dieck
7037 Lake Trail Dr
Westerville, OH 43082-7702

From: Sonja Hunter
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:17:10 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Sonja Hunter
5716 Templar St
Columbus, OH 43232-7449

From: Celia Zimmerman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:17:04 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Celia Zimmerman
1980 Plains Blvd
Powell, OH 43065-9553

From: James Sorrels
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:14:11 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James Sorrels
5192 Hialeah Ct
Columbus, OH 43221-5716

From: Kevin Brady
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:12:29 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brady
138 S Parkview Ave
Bexley, OH 43209-1645

From: Tara Rose
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:12:21 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Tara Rose
54320 New Portland Rd
Portland, OH 45770-9736

From: Eric Blind
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:06:17 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Eric Blind
9875 Kingston Cir
Powell, OH 43065-7369

From: Ken Zimmerman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:05:37 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Ken Zimmerman
4188 Haymaker Ln
Dublin, OH 43017-1566

From: William Wolfe
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:04:27 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
William Wolfe
2279 Vanco Rd
Gallipolis, OH 45631-8417

From: Ronald Baker
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 5:01:38 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Ronald Baker
104 Lexington Dr
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950-1184

From: Melissa Hanni
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 5/23/2012 4:55:42 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Melissa Hanni
3915 20th St NW
Canton, OH 44708-2995