```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
     In the Matter of the
    Application of the AEP
    Ohio Transmission Company:
     for a Certificate of :Case No. 11-1314-EL-BTX
5
    Environmental
    Compatibility and Public :
    Need for the Trent-Vassell:
6
    138 Kilovolt Transmission:
7
    Line Project.
8
9
                          PROCEEDINGS
10
    before Katie Stenman and Elizabeth Stevens,
    Administrative Law Judges, at the Public Utilities
11
12
    Commission of Ohio, held at Big Walnut High School,
    555 South Old 3C Road, Sunbury, Ohio, called at 6:00
13
    p.m. on Monday, May 7, 2012.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
2.3
                     Columbus, Ohio 43215
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            American Electric Power Company
 2
            By Ms. Erin Miller
 3
            And Mr. Matthew Satterwhite
            1 Riverside Plaza
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Company.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS		PAGE	
4	Douglas Smith		8	
5	Linda Rinehart		10	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Δ

1 Monday Evening Session, 2 May 7, 2012. 3 4 EXAMINER STENMAN: Let's go on the 5 record. 6 The Ohio Power Siting Board has assigned for public hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 8 11-1314-EL-BTX being In the Matter of the Application 9 of the AEP Ohio Transmission Company for a 10 certificate of environmental compatibility and public 11 need for the Trent-Vassell 138 kilovolt transmission 12 line project. 13 My name is Katie Stenman, and with me 14 tonight is Elizabeth Stevens, and we are the 15 administrative law judges assigned by the Ohio Power 16 Siting Board to preside over this case. 17 Also in attendance from the Board is Matt Butler with our Public Affairs Department, and you 18 19 probably met him when you signed up to testify. 20 At this time I'd like to start by taking 21 the appearances of the parties, starting with the 2.2 company. 23 MS. MILLER: Erin Miller and Matthew

Satterwhite on behalf of the AEP Transmission

Company, 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

24

25

And I'd also like to note that we do have a company representative in attendance. Raise your hand. In the event that folks have questions afterward, he's happy to answer any questions that the people might have.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

I don't think I see anyone else but are there any other intervenors present who would like to make an appearance?

(No response.)

2.2

EXAMINER STENMAN: Seeing no one, we'll move forward.

The Power Siting Board staff filed a Staff Report on April 20, 2012. In its report staff did recommend that AEP Transmission Company utilize the alternative route proposed in the application.

Please keep in mind that this is just a recommendation and the Board is free to accept or reject that recommendation.

The purpose of tonight's hearing is to receive comments from the public. It's not a question-and-answer session but it is your opportunity to give the Ohio Power Siting Board information about your opinion.

There's also an evidentiary hearing

scheduled in this matter for May 16 at the Board offices in Columbus, Ohio.

When you arrived you were offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. Once I complete my little opening statement I will begin calling witnesses forward that have signed up on the sign-up sheet. Also, if you did not sign up I will give everyone an opportunity to testify at the conclusion of the witnesses that signed up.

Before you present your testimony I will ask that you take an oath or affirmation that what you are about to say is the truth. I'll also ask that you state your name and address for the record.

Your testimony tonight will be considered part of the official record of this case and will be reviewed by the Board before they make their final decision on AEP's application.

Additionally, the parties to this case and I will be permitted to ask you questions after you make your statement.

If I call your name and you do not want to testify, feel free to just pass. If you reconsider at a later time you're welcome to testify at the conclusion of the hearing.

Also, once you finish testifying or if

```
you're just here to observe, feel free to get up and leave at any time.
```

2.2

As you can probably see, tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter. If you plan to testify, please speak plainly and clearly, and if have a written copy of your statement please provide it to her when you finish speaking. You can just set it up here on the stage and we'll gather them up toward the end.

We'll get started. I just want to let you know the Board really appreciates your anticipation tonight and we want everybody that wants to make a public statement to do so, so keep your comments within a reasonable length of time.

Does anyone have any questions before we get started?

All right, we'll start with John M.
Hopfinger.

MR. HOPFINGER: I'm not here to offer any testimony tonight, just here to observe.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Okay. Douglas Smith?

Come on up here to the podium.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER STENMAN: Please state your name and address.

MR. SMITH: My name is Douglas L. Smith.

2 I live at 12610 Hartford Road, Sunbury, Ohio, 43074.

2.2

EXAMINER STENMAN: You can go ahead.

MR. SMITH: I'm speaking for my wife and myself. My wife's name's Marcella A. Smith. And our primary concern is that the alternate route is very close to our existing house and property. According to the aerial photograph, the alternate route runs parallel to the west side of our property and runs the entire property line.

Our property line is approximately

1,150 feet. Again, from the aerial map I think that
the line will be approximately 175 to 200 feet from
my property. And again, it runs the entire length of
my property.

Some of the adverse affects we believe that this will result in are, number one, most people don't want to see power lines from their house or their yard and the alternate line will be very close to our property, and if we decide to sell our property, we believe it will have a very negative affect on that fact.

Number two, our deck, our back room, and yard face the alternate line, proposed alternate line. Quite frankly, we don't want to have that as

a

part of our view.

2.2

Number three, there is a perceived health risk to close proximity to power lines. Whether or not there is a health risk is to be set aside for now. The fact is there is perceived risk. Potential buyers will see this perceived risk and by having that line so close and the entire length of our property, it would negatively affect our property value.

Four, we do not feel that it is necessary to run a line so close to an existing house. The house is on the property and it exists. We note there are 160 acres just to the west of our property and if the line could be moved just to the other side of the stand of woods, then it would avoid an existing property.

In conclusion, we know that progress needs to be made and power lines have to be brought through the county, but we would appreciate you considering us as a property owner and take our concerns into consideration.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

Linda Rinehart.

Please raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record.

MS. RINEHART: I'm Linda Rinehart and I live at 930 Joe Walker Road.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. You can go ahead.

MS. RINEHART: AEP says they're not going to pay us anything for our declining property values, even though they're building a \$265 million health hazard in our backyard.

They'd be responsible if they bulldozed our house down but they say they're not responsible for our house losing half of its value.

This is the biggest substation in Ohio, possibly the biggest in the United States, and they say they're not responsible.

One thing I've learned from this whole process is AEP has become way too big and powerful. They pretty much do what they want, when they want to do it, and nobody can stop them.

I'll be switching electricity companies and trying to convince others to do the same for the way AEP has treated us. And all you people are wasting your time with the Siting Board. They have not mentioned one time about our property values

```
going down.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.2

23

24

25

They told AEP that they must mitigate all complaints and come up with a solution. But of course, this does not include the declining property values.

And PUCO, they should change their name to the American Electric Power Agency, because they are not there to help the public. Aren't we the public? Aren't we the ones a hundred foot away from this thing?

We have been customers of the AEP for years but we won't be anymore. And I hope others will follow me.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

Steve Martin?

MR. MARTIN: I'll pass.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Bill Holtry?

MR. HOLTRY: I'll pass.

19 EXAMINER STENMAN: Matt Haley?

MR. HALEY: Pass.

21 EXAMINER STENMAN: Liz Decima?

MS. DECIMA: Pass.

EXAMINER STENMAN: All right, that concludes our testimony in terms of people that have signed up. Is there anyone else who would like to

```
give testimony who has not had an opportunity to do?
1
2
                 (No response.)
3
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: I see we've got a few
4
     folks coming in. We'll wait just a moment.
5
                 We're at the conclusion of our hearing.
6
    Did either of you want to give testimony?
7
                 FROM THE FLOOR: No thanks.
8
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Anyone else?
9
                 (No response.)
10
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Seeing no one else,
11
     I'd like to thank you, everyone, for coming out
    tonight, and this will conclude this evening's public
12
    hearing and it will be submitted on the record for
13
    the Board's consideration of this case.
14
15
                 I'll be here for a little while, if you
    have any questions, just come up and ask or ask any
16
17
    of the staff with the Commission or the AEP that's
18
    hanging around. Thank you.
19
                 (Hearing adjourned at 6:11 p.m.)
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, May 7, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Julieanna Hennebert, Registered Professional Reporter and RMR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires February 19, 2013.

13 (JUL-1866)

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/21/2012 2:21:45 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-1314-EL-BTX

Summary: Transcript in the matter of AEP Ohio Transmission Company hearing held on 05/07/12 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Hennebert, Julieanna Mrs.