BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan

Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO

REPLY OF DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC AND DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS, LLC TO THE MEMORANDUM CONTRA OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY THE MOTION TO COMPEL OF DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC AND DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

On May 9, 2012, Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC (collectively "Direct Energy") filed a Motion to Compel in this docket requesting that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") compel Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FirstEnergy" or "Companies") to respond to certain interrogatory requests and produce certain documents requested by Direct Energy in its First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents, served upon FirstEnergy on April 23, 2012. On May 14, 2012, FirstEnergy filed a Memorandum Contra to the Motion to Compel, making several arguments that the Commission should reject. Direct Energy respectfully files this Reply to the Memorandum Contra explaining why the Commission should reject FirstEnergy's arguments.

Time is of the essence with this issue, as Direct Energy's testimony in this proceeding is due by 3:00 p.m. EST on Monday, May 21, 2012, and the discovery responses sought by Direct Energy are critical to Direct Energy's testimony. As such, <u>Direct Energy respectfully requests that the Commission require FirstEnergy to electronically serve responses to the discovery requests by 5:30 p.m. EST on Friday, May 18, 2012, so they may be incorporated into testimony filed by Direct Energy.</u>

II. DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND FIRSTENERGY RESPONSES

As explained in its Motion to Compel, Direct Energy requests that the Commission compel FirstEnergy to respond to three discovery requests – one interrogatory and two requests for production of documents. These requests and FirstEnergy's responses to those requests read as follows:

Set 1-INT 1-19

Without identifying any CRES by name, please provide an anonymous breakdown (by percentage) of the CRES load served by CRES providers in the respective Companies' service territories. Please also include government aggregation customers in the breakdown.

Response: Objection. The information sought is irrelevant, beyond the scope of this proceeding, and is subject to confidentiality provisions. Subject to and without waiving the objections, information related to shopping customers, shopping sales, and governmental aggregation shopping is publicly available on the Commission's website.

RPD No. 1 – 002

Please produce copies of a FirstEnergy customer's bills (personal information redacted if necessary) that was receiving CRES service and returned to SSO service with a CRES arrearage

remaining unpaid after the 9th billing cycle. Specifically, please produce copies of bills for the 8th, 9th, 10th and 11th billing cycles where the CRES amount remains unpaid after the 9th billing cycle.

Response: Objection. Irrelevant, overly burdensome.

RPD No. 1 – 003

Please produce copies of a First Energy customer's bills (personal information redacted if necessary) on both a "One Six" and "One Ninth" deferred payment plan as those plans are described under O.A.C. 4901:1-18-05(B). Specifically, please produce copies of bills for the four (4) billing cycles from initial payment on the deferred payment plan for a FirstEnergy customer making payments under a deferred payment plan.

Response: Objection. Irrelevant, overly burdensome.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Interrogatory No. 19

Interrogatory No. 19 asks FirstEnergy to provide an anonymous breakdown (by percentage) of the CRES load served by CRES providers in the respective Companies' service territories, and to include government aggregation customers in the breakdown. Consistent with its discovery response, FirstEnergy argues in its Memorandum Contra that this information is irrelevant, beyond the scope of this proceeding, and cannot be disclosed due to confidentiality considerations.

As to FirstEnergy's relevancy/beyond the scope arguments, Direct Energy intends to file testimony showing the state of the competitive market and how the Commission, by modifying the Stipulation, can enhance the retail competitive marketplace in the FirstEnergy territories for

all CRES. The information requested by Direct Energy in Interrogatory No. 19 will provide Direct Energy and the Commission with a snapshot of the competitiveness of the current marketplace and provide a macro-level view of supplier market share and effective competition in the FirstEnergy service territories, all of which is very relevant to this ESP.

The Commission's rules require (and the Commission denied a FirstEnergy Motion for Waivers of these rules) information regarding how an ESP affects the retail competitive marketplace,¹ and the Commission is further required to monitor the state of retail competition under its rules, Section 4928.06, Revised Code. Respectfully, and based upon the broad scope of discovery that the rules of this Commission and Ohio law provides for, Direct Energy asks that the Commission discard FirstEnergy's assertion that the information requested is irrelevant and not within the scope. The information requested by Direct Energy *is* relevant (has a tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence) and is well within the scope of this proceeding.

FirstEnergy also objects on the grounds that the information is confidential and cannot be disclosed under Rule 4901:1-37-04(D)(4), Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), as well as under its own tariffs. Again, these arguments should be rejected. Direct Energy disputes FirstEnergy's notion that aggregated, anonymous information about the marketplace in the Ohio Edison, Cleveland Electric Illuminating, and Toledo Edison territories violates any confidentiality duties carried by FirstEnergy.

The rule that FirstEnergy relies upon is part of Chapter 4901:1-37, O.A.C., which governs the relationships between electric distribution companies and their affiliates. The specific rule cited, Rule 4901:1-37-04, O.A.C., contains subsections pertinent to structural

¹ See, for example, Rules 4901:1-35-03(C)(6), (C)(7), (C)(8), and (C)(9).

⁴¹⁰⁰⁹⁰⁰v4

safeguards, separate accounting, financial arrangements, a code of conduct, and emergency situations. Rule 4901:1-37-04(D)(4), O.A.C., is specifically targeted to a code of conduct ensuring that an electric distribution company does not favor its own affiliates. However, code of conduct and affiliate relationship matters are not at issue in this discovery dispute. The Commission should reject this argument inasmuch as the rule cited by FirstEnergy is inapplicable to this discovery dispute.

As to the tariff, the language in the tariff (Companies Supplier Tariffs, Section XVIII, p. 33) permits the disclosure of confidential information if ordered by a regulatory agency or court of law. As stated above, Direct Energy disputes that anonymous, aggregated information about market share is confidential inasmuch as it will not directly reveal the identity or information about any CRES and is not intended to reveal such information. However, even if the Commission finds this information is confidential, the Commission should (as permitted by tariff) issue an order from an administrative agency requiring disclosure as requested by Direct Energy.

It is telling that no CRES filed a Memorandum Contra or a Motion for Protective Order to combat Direct Energy's Motion to Compel. If in fact FirstEnergy is protecting the interests of other CRES, one would logically conclude that these CRES would also seek to maintain the supposed confidentiality of the information requested from FirstEnergy. No such filing was made by any CRES.

FirstEnergy also dismisses the fact that Dominion East Ohio ("DEO") provides similar information (an anonymous pie chart of competitive retail natural gas supplier ("CRNGS") market share) to what Direct Energy requests as part of this proceeding. As demonstrated above, Rule 4901:1-37-04(D)(4), O.A.C., is inapplicable in this instance. Further, Rule 4901:1-25-

5

02(A), O.A.C. contains no provisions related to the confidentiality of the market monitoring reports submitted to the Commission or its staff. The release of this information by DEO, which also has a "thriving" market with the largest amount of switching of any natural gas company in Ohio, has not harmed the competitive marketplace or overtly harmed or allowed for identification of any of the CRNGS participating in that marketplace. The same reasons that such aggregated, anonymous information for DEO is important and relevant to the ongoing monitoring of the marketplace by the Commission are equally applicable in this instance as well.

FirstEnergy is aware that this information is discoverable, hence its plea for an in-camera inspection. Should the Attorney Examiner grant FirstEnergy's request for an in-camera inspection, Direct Energy respectfully requests that the Attorney Examiner ensure that such a request is not a delay tactic aimed at preventing Direct Energy from including this information in its testimony due on Monday, May 21st. If an in-camera inspection is indeed conducted and the Motion to Compel is granted, Direct Energy should not be prejudiced by the in-camera inspection, which could be accomplished by (amongst other alternatives) explicitly permitting Direct Energy to file a late-filed exhibit to its testimony or *sua sponte* granting an extension of the testimony deadline for the purpose of including this information in its testimony.

B. <u>**RPD No. 1-002 and 1-003</u></u></u>**

Additionally, as to both of Direct Energy's document requests, FirstEnergy's Memo Contra asserts that the requested documentation is not relevant, beyond the scope of the proceeding, and production of RPD No. 1-002 would be overly burdensome for FirstEnergy.²

As explained in the Motion to Compel, these simple document requests are highly relevant inasmuch as they would show, both factually as well as in a pictorial/bill statement

² Memo Contra at 7-10.

⁴¹⁰⁰⁹⁰⁰v4

format, an explanation of how FirstEnergy customers are informed (or not informed) about CRES services under FirstEnergy utility payment arrangements.

Direct Energy intends to present evidence in this case supporting a modification to the proposed Stipulation that would require FirstEnergy to offer a purchase of receivables ("POR") program to enhance the competitive market in the FirstEnergy service territories. These discovery responses are needed to provide information to the Commission as to how the payment priority system in the FirstEnergy territories is working in reality, how it hinders CRES participation in the FirstEnergy marketplace, and how a POR program could remedy these issues.

The bill statements requested will show what FirstEnergy customers actually see as they proceed through the bill payment cycle, including what happens when a CRES drops a customer back to utility service for non-payment, and how confusion about this process caused in part by what customers see on their bills hinders the competitive marketplace. Enhancements to the competitive marketplace are highly relevant to this proceeding, and FirstEnergy's position that competition itself is not relevant to its own ESP proceeding is a position that should not be supported.

FirstEnergy's reliance on the Stipulation and Recommendation ("02-1944 Stipulation") in Case No. 02-1944-EL-CSS is misguided. First, the very terms of the Stipulation prohibit FirstEnergy from relying on the 02-1944 Stipulation in this proceeding or any other proceeding and therefore cannot be used as a reason to deny Direct Energy's Motion to Compel.³ Further, the 02-1944 Stipulation approved by the Commission is almost ten (10) years old and the practical effects of the payment priority currently employed by FirstEnergy, as will be

³ WPS Energy Services, Inc. and Green Mountain Energy Co v. FirstEnergy Corp. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, and Ohio Edison Company, PUCO Case No. 02-1944-EL-CSS, Stipulation and Recommendation at 15 (April 24, 2003).

demonstrated by Direct Energy if given the appropriate discovery as requested, hinders development of the competitive market in the FirstEnergy service territories.

As to its overly burdensome claim, FirstEnergy asserts that the request should be denied because FirstEnergy approximates it would take at least 32 employee hours to develop this information, and this amount of time is unreasonable.

First, Direct Energy's respective RPD requests ask for representative samples of customer bills for a <u>single customer</u> for the two different scenarios. Direct Energy is not asking for samples for multiple customers of the same effect – just one respective sample of customer bills as described in the respective discovery requests. Further, had this case gone through the ordinary process, without the expedited timeframe that FirstEnergy asked be imposed on the non-signatory parties to the Stipulation, then perhaps Direct Energy could have worked with FirstEnergy to gather this information without having to go through litigation channels to find it.

It is not unreasonable or an undue burden to expect efforts (including those described by FirstEnergy) to respond to discovery. FirstEnergy is required by law to make such efforts. Further, it is not unreasonable to expect some effort when the ordinary procedural schedule is as rushed as it is in this case, especially when that accelerated timeframe is at FirstEnergy's request.

Again, in an effort to provide information to the Commission on how the competitive marketplace in the FirstEnergy territories could be enhanced, the documents requested are directly relevant and will aide Direct Energy in its efforts to provide testimony demonstrating how the payment priority system in the FirstEnergy territories is working in reality, how it hinders CRES participation in the FirstEnergy marketplace, and how a POR program could remedy these issues.

8

III. Conclusion

Direct Energy respectfully requests that the Commission grant Direct Energy's Motion to Compel and <u>respectfully requests the Commission require FirstEnergy to electronically</u> <u>serve responses to the discovery requests by 5:30 p.m. EST on Friday, May 18, 2012 so they</u> <u>may be incorporated into testimony filed by Direct Energy that is due by 3:00 p.m. EST on</u> <u>Monday, May 21, 2012.</u>

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Joseph M. Clark Joseph M. Clark *Counsel of Record* 6641 North High Street, Suite 200 Worthington, Ohio 43085 Tel. (614) 781-1896 Fax (812) 492-9275 jmclark@vectren.com

Asim Z. Haque (0081880) Direct Dial: (614) 462-1072 E-mail: <u>Asim.Haque@icemiller.com</u> Christopher L. Miller (0063259) Gregory J. Dunn (0007353) Alan G. Starkoff (0003286) Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 462-2700 (Main Number)

Attorneys for Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing *Reply of Direct Energy* Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC to The Memorandum Contra of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company The Motion To Compel Of Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business LLC was served upon the parties of record listed below this 16th day of May, 2012 via electronic mail.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	Ohio Energy Group (OEG)
Robert Fortney	Michael K. Kurtz
Tammy Turkenton	David F. Boehm
Ray Strom	Jody M. Kyler
Doris McCarter	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
180 East Broad Street, 3 rd Floor	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Columbus, OH 43215	Cincinnati, OH 45202
E-Mail: <u>Robert.fortney@puc.state.oh.us</u>	E-Mail: mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
Tammy.turkenton@puc.state.oh.us	dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
Ray.Strom@puc.state.oh.us	jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com
Doris.mccarter@puc.state.oh.us	
Thomas McNamee	Ohio Consumers' Counsel
William L. Wright	Larry S. Sauer, Counsel of Record
Asst. Attorneys General	Terry L. Etter
Public Utilities Section	Melissa R. Yost
180 East Broad Street, 6 th Floor	Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
Columbus, OH 43215	10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
E-mail: <u>Thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us</u>	Columbus, OH 43215-3485
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us	E-Mail: sauer@occ.state.oh.us
	etter@occ.state.oh.us
	yost@occ.state.oh.us
Kroger Co.	Exelon Business Services Company
Mark S. Yurick	Sandy I-ru Grace
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP	101 Constitution Avenue N.W.
65 East State Street, Suite 1000	Suite 400 East
Columbus, OH 43215	Washington, DC 20001
E-mail: <u>myurick@cwslaw.com</u>	E-mail: <u>sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com</u>

/s/ Joseph M. Clark Joseph M. Clark

The Ohio Environmental Council	Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition
Barth E. Royer	(NOAC) Toledo
Nolan Moser	Leslie A. Kovacik
Trent A. Dougherty	420 Madison Avenue, Suite 100
Cathryn N. Lucas	Toledo, OH 43604-1219
Bell & Royer, LPA	Phone: 419-245-1893
33 South Grant Avenue	Fax: 419-245-1853
Columbus, OH 43215	E-mail: leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov
Email: barthroyer@aol.com	E man. <u>resne.kovaerk(a/toredo.on.gov</u>
nmoser@theoec.org	
trent@theoec.org	
Cathy@theoec.org	
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy	Constellation Energy Commodities Group,
Colleen L. Mooney	Inc. and Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
231 West Lima Street	M. Howard Petricoff
Findlay, OH 45839-1793	Stephen M. Howard
E-mail: Cmooney2@columbus.rr.com	Vorys, Sater, Seymore and Pease, LLP
	52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
	Columbus, OH 43216-1008
	E-Mail: <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u>
	smhoward@vorys.com
	<u>simowara(d)vorys.com</u>
Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.	Cynthia A. Brady
Garrett A. Stone	David I. Fein
Michael K. Lavanga	Constellation Energy Resources, LLC
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone	550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW	Chicago, IL 60661
Eighth Floor, West Tower	E-mail: <u>david.fein@constellation.com</u>
Washington, DC 20007-5201	Cynthia.brady@constellation.com
E-mail: gas@bbrslaw.com	<u>Syndratorial (b) constent to incom</u>
mkl@bbrslaw.com	
Ohio Hospital Association	The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
Richard L. Sites	(OMA) Energy Group
155 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor	Lisa G. McAlister
Columbus, OH 43215-3620	J. Thomas Siwo
Phone: (614) 221-7614	Bricker & Eckler LLP
E-mail: ricks@ohanet.org	100 South Third Street
	Columbus, OH 43215
	E-mail: lmcalister@bricker.com
	tsiwo@bricker.com

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, Consumers for Fair Utility Rates, United Clevelanders Against Poverty, Cleveland Housing Network, The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland (Citizens Coalition) Joseph P. Meissner The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West 6 th Street Cleveland, OH 44113 Phone: (216) 687-1900 E-mail: jpmeissn@lasclev.org	Ohio Schools Council Glenn S. Krassen Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 E. 9 th Street, Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 E-mail: <u>gkrassen@bricker.com</u> <u>mwarnock@bricker.com</u>
GEXA Energy – Ohio, LLC Dane Stinson Bailey Cavalieri LLC 10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, OH 43215 E-mail: <u>Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com</u>	Citizen Power Theodore S. Robinson 2121 Murray Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217 E-mail: robinson@citizenpower.com
Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council (NOPEC) Glenn S. Krassen Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 E. 9 th Street, Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 E-mail: <u>gkrassen@bricker.com</u>	Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council (NOPEC) Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 E-mail: <u>mwarnock@bricker.com</u>
Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. Gregory K. Lawrence Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP One World Financial Center New York, NY 10281 E-mail: greg.lawrence@cwt.com	Natural Resources Defense CouncilHenry W. Eckhart50 West Broad Street, #2117Columbus, OH 43215E-mail: henryeckhart@aol.com
Duke Energy Ohio Amy Spiller Duke Energy Business Services, Inc. 221 East Fourth Street, 25th Floor Cincinnati, OH 45202 E-mail: <u>amy.spiller@duke-energy.com</u>	Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC Amy Spiller Jeanne W. Kingery 139 E. Fourt Street, 1303-Main Cincinnati, OH 45202 E-mail: <u>Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com</u> Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com

PJM Power Providers GroupM. Howard PetricoffStephen M. HowardVorys, Sater, Seymore and Pease, LLP52 East Gay StreetP.O. Box 1008	The Sierra Club <i>Christopher J. Allwein</i> Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 E-mail: <u>callwein@wamenergylaw.com</u>
Columbus, OH 43216-1008 E-Mail: <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u> <u>smhoward@vorys.com</u>	
Environmental Law and Policy Center Robert Kelter 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601 E-mail: <u>rkelter@elpc.org</u>	Natural Resources Defense Council Christopher J. Allwein Williams Allwein & Moser, L.L.C. 1373 Grandview Avenue, Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 E-mail: <u>callwein@wamenergylaw.com</u>
Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition Thomas R. Hays John Borell Lucas County Prosecutors Office 700 Adams Street, Suite 251 Toledo, OH 43604 E-mail: trhayslaw@gmail.com jaborell@co.lucas.oh.us	Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 E-mail: <u>dakutik@jonesday.com</u>
Exelon Generation Company, LLC and Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. M. Howard Petricoff Lija Kaleps-Clark Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 E-mail: <u>mhpetricoff@vssp.com</u> <u>lkalepsclark@vorys.com</u>	Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company James F. Lang Laura C. McBride Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44114 E-mail: jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com
Material Sciences Corporation Craig I. Smith 2824 Conventry Road Cleveland, OH 44120 Phone: (216) 561-9410 E-mail: wttpmic@aol.com	Kevin Schmidt The Ohio Manufacturers' Association 33 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215-3005 E-mail: <u>kschmidt@ohiomfg.com</u>

Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS)	Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS)
Vincent Parisi	Barth Royer
Matthew White	Bell & Royer Co., LPA
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.	33 South Grant Avenue
6100 Emerald Parkway	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927
Dublin, OH 43016	E-mail: BarthRoyer@aol.com
E-mail: <u>vparisi@igsenergy.com</u>	
mswhite@igsenergy.com	
The Dayton Power and Light Company	Ohio Power Company
Judi L. Sobecki	Matthew J. Satterwhite
Randall V. Griffin	Steven T. Nourse
The Dayton Power and Light Company	American Electric Power Service Corp.
1065 Woodman Drive	1 Riverside Plaza, 29 th Floor
Dayton, OH 45432	Columbus, OH 43215
E-mail: judi.sobecki@DPLINC.com	E-mail: mjsatterwhite@aep.com
Randall.griffin@DPLINC.com	stnourse@aep.com
Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.	FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.
Michael K. Lavanga	Morgan E. Parke
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.	FirstEnergy Service Company
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.	76 South Main Street
8 th Floor, West Tower	A-GO-15
Washington, D.C. 20007	Akron, OH 44308
Fax: 202-342-0807	E-mail: <u>mparke@firstenergycorp.com</u>
FirstEnergy Service Company	Exelon Generation Company, LLC
James W. Burk	Stephen Bennett
Mark A. Hayden	300 Exelon Way
Arthur E. Korkosz	Kennett Square, PA 19348
Ebony L. Miller	E-mail: <u>stephen.bennett@exeloncorp.com</u>
FirstEnergy Service Company	
76 South Main Street	
Akron, OH 44308	
E-mail: <u>burkj@firstenergycorp.com</u>	
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com	
korkosza@firstenergycorp.com	
elmiller@firstenergycorp.com	

Industrial Energy Users (IEU)	TOBrien@bricker.com
Samuel C. Randazzo	dsullivan@nrdc.org
D. Ryan	Ccunningham@Akronohio.gov
E. Hess	Matt@matthewcoxlaw.com
J. Bowser	nolan@theOEC.org
K.M. Murray	steven.huhman@morganstanley.com
V. Leach-Payne	RHorvath@city.cleveland.oh.us
J. Oliker	jejadwin@aep.com
Daniel J. Neilsen	
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC	
21 East State Street, 17 th Floor	
Columbus, OH 43215	
E-mail: <u>sam@mwncmh.com</u>	
dryan@mwncmh.com	
ehess@mwncmh.com	
jbowser@mwncmh.com	
murraykm@mwncmh.com	
joliker@mwncmh.com	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/16/2012 10:38:32 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-1230-EL-SSO

Summary: Reply of Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC to the Memorandum Contra of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company The Motion to Compel of Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC electronically filed by Mr. Asim Z. Haque on behalf of Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business, LLC and Business, LLC a