BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus	:	
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power	:	Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO
Company for Authority to Establish a Standard	:	11-348-EL-SSO
Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Ohio	:	
Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security	:	
Plan.	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus	:	Case Nos. 11-349-EL-AAM
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power	:	11-350-EL-AAM
Company for Approval of Certain Accounting	:	
Authority	:	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF RAYMOND W. STROM On Behalf of the Staff of The Public Utilities commission of Ohio Energy & Environment Department Efficiency & Renewables Division

STAFF EX.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician 404 Date Processed MAY 0 9 2012

ECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

012 MAY -9 PH 5: 6

PUCO

1 Barrie

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and your business address.
2		A.	My name is Raymond W. Strom. My business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
4			
5	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
6		А.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio as a Public
7			Utilities Administrator 3, in the Efficiency and Renewables Division of the
8			Energy and Environment Department.
9			
10	3.	Q.	Please summarize your educational background.
11		A.	I have earned B.S. and M.S. degrees from Ohio University, both in the bio-
12			logical/environmental sciences. I have also earned a Master of Business
13			Administration degree from Capital University.
14			
15	4.	Q.	Please summarize your work experience.
16		A.	Prior to my employment with the PUCO, I was employed as a chemist, a
17			laboratory technician, a graduate teaching assistant, a research technician
18			and a quality control coordinator for various organizations. In 1987, I
19			joined the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio as Supervisor of
20			the Electric Fuel Component Section. In 1992, I was promoted to Public
21			Utility Administrator 1. Starting in 1999, I served as a Public Utility
22			Administrator 2 in the Facilities, Siting and Environmental Analysis Divi-

.

1			sion. In October of 2009 I was promoted to Chief of the Efficiency and
2			Renewables Division.
3			
4	5.	Q.	Have you testified in prior proceedings before the Commission?
5		A.	Yes. I have testified in several cases before the Commission.
6			
7	6.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
8		A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide Staff's recommendations regard-
9			ing the Companies' proposed Alternative Energy Rider (AER).
10			
11	7.	Q.	What are Staff's recommendations regarding the AER?
12		A.	Staff supports the concept of separately identifying and recovering costs
13			associated with renewable energy requirements, rather than continuing to
14			recover such costs in a fuel adjustment rider. In general, I believe that the
15			Companies' proposal for the AER is reasonable. However, there are sev-
16			eral specific aspects of the proposal that I believe need to be clarified.
17			
18			The Companies' proposal does not address the issue of auditing the costs
19			included in the AER and the decisions made by the Companies in compli-
20			ance with alternative energy requirements. I recommend that the Commis-
21			sion require that the AER be audited annually, and that the audit be con-
22			ducted by the same auditor chosen to conduct the Fuel Adjustment Clause

•

,

2

1	(FAC) audit. Annual audit proceedings would provide a forum for deter-
2	mination of the appropriateness and recoverability of costs associated with
3	the Companies' renewable energy requirement compliance activities, and
4	for determination of the proper assignment of costs (FAC vs AER).
5	Because the FAC will continue to be audited on an annual basis, and
6	because there is an interrelationship between FAC issues and AER issues, it
7	is logical to have the audits conducted at the same time by the same auditor.
8	When the FAC ends, and FAC audits are no longer conducted, the AER
9	audits should continue, but the schedule could be revised so that they would
10	be conducted every other year and cover a two year period.
11	
12	The Companies have proposed a methodology for the determination and
12 13	The Companies have proposed a methodology for the determination and allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled
13	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled
13 14	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an
13 14 15	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an allocation of cost components of bundled products is reasonable. However,
13 14 15 16	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an allocation of cost components of bundled products is reasonable. However, details of how to determine the cost components and how to apply the allo-
13 14 15 16 17	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an allocation of cost components of bundled products is reasonable. However, details of how to determine the cost components and how to apply the allo- cation to specific situations should be determined in the context of the AER
13 14 15 16 17 18	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an allocation of cost components of bundled products is reasonable. However, details of how to determine the cost components and how to apply the allo- cation to specific situations should be determined in the context of the AER audits. Similarly, with regard to renewable generation from the Com-
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	allocation of REC expense associated with the purchase of bundled products. As a general concept, the Companies' proposal to conduct an allocation of cost components of bundled products is reasonable. However, details of how to determine the cost components and how to apply the allo- cation to specific situations should be determined in the context of the AER audits. Similarly, with regard to renewable generation from the Com- panies' currently existing generation facilities, I recommend that an alloca-

•

,

3

8. Q. Doe this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testi mony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes avail able or in response to positions taken by other parties.

٠

•

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Raymond W. Strom submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 9th day of May, 2012.

Werner L. Margard III Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

tsiwo@bricker.com dclark1@aep.com grady@occ.state.oh.us keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com kpkreider@kmklaw.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com ned.ford@fuse.net pfox@hilliardohio.gov ricks@ohanet.org stnourse@aep.com cathy@theoec.org dsullivan@nrdc.org aehaedt@jonesday.com dakutik@jonesday.com haydenm@firstenergycorp.com dconway@porterwright.cmo jlang@clafee.com cmiller@szd.com ahaque@szd.com gdunn@szd.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com lmcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com etter@occ.state.oh.us

trent@theoeg.com nolan@theoec.org gpoulos@enernoc.com emma.hand@snrdenton.com doug.bonner@snrdenton.com clinton.vince@snrdenton.com sam@mwncmh.com dstahl@eimerstahl.com aaragona@eimerstahl.com ssolberg@eimerstahl.com tsantarelli@elpc.org callwein@wamenergylaw.com malina@wexlerwalker.com jkooper@hess.com kguerry@hess.com afreifeld@viridityenergy.com swolfe@viridityenergy.com korenergy@insight.rr.com sasloan@aep.com dane.stinson@baileycavalierie.com cendsley@ofbp.org jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com rsugarman@kegler.brown.com asimhaque@icemiller.com

small@occ.state.oh.us cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com david.fein@constellation.com dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com amy.spiller@duke-energy.com dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com tobrien@bricker.com jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com zkravtiz@cwslaw.com jejadwin@aep.com msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org bakahn@vorys.com gary.a.jeffries@dom.com stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com dmeyere@kmklaw.com holly@raysmithlaw.com barthroyer@aol.com philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com carolyn,flahive@thompsonhine.com terrance.mebane@thompsonhine.com drinebolt@ohioparterns.corg

gregory.dunn@icemiller.com christopher.miller@icemiller.com bpbarger@bcslawyers.com yalami@aep.com todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com Imalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com gthomas@gtpowergroup.com wmassev@cov.com henryeckhart@aol.com laurac@chappelleconsulting.net whit@shitt-sturtevant.com thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com sandry.grace@exeloncorp.com joliker@mwncomh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com jestes@skaddenc.om paul.wight@skadden.com smhoward@vorvs.com mjsettineri@vorys.cmo lkalepsclark@vorys.com cmooney2@columbus.rr.com