

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company and The Toledo)	Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Edison Company for Authority to Provide)	
for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)	
R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric)	
Security Plan)	

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATIONAL FILING OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY,
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY AND THE
TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY**

Come now Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (“Companies”) by counsel and respectfully timely file the requested information pursuant to the Commission’s Order issued in this proceeding on dated April 25, 2012 (“Order”).

The Commission’s Order granted the Companies’ Motion for Waivers in part and denied it in part. The information included with this filing provides responses to those provisions of O.A.C. 4901:1-35-03(C) for which the Commission did not grant the waivers sought by the Companies. Specifically, those rule provisions include O.A.C. 4901:1-35-03(C)(2), 4901:1-35-03(C)(3), 4901:1-35-03(C)(5), 4901:1-35-03(C)(6), 4901:1-35-03(C)(7), 4901:1-35-03(C)(8), 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(c), 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(g), and 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(h).

The information responsive to the rules other than 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and 4901:1-35-03(C)(3) is attached as Exhibit 1; the information responsive to 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) is attached as Exhibit 2 to this filing; and the information responsive to 4901:1-35-03(C)(3) is attached as Exhibit 3 to this filing.

/s/ James W. Burk

James W. Burk, Counsel of Record
Arthur E. Korkosz
FIRSTENERGY SERVICE COMPANY
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114

David A. Kutik
JONES DAY
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANTS, OHIO
EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND
ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY,
AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Supplement Information was served
this 2nd day of May, 2012, via e-mail upon the parties below.

/s/ James W. Burk

One of the Attorneys for the Companies

"Amy.Spiller@Duke-Energy.com" <Amy.Spiller@Duke-Energy.com>,
"cynthia.brady@constellation.com" <cynthia.brady@constellation.com>,
"dakutik@JonesDay.com" <dakutik@JonesDay.com>, "dane.stinson@baileycavalieri.com"
<dane.stinson@baileycavalieri.com>, "david.fein@constellation.com"
<david.fein@constellation.com>, "DBoehm@bkllawfirm.com" <DBoehm@bkllawfirm.com>,
"drinebolt@ohiopartners.org" <drinebolt@ohiopartners.org>, "dryan@mwncmh.com"
<dryan@mwncmh.com>, "ehess@mwncmh.com" <ehess@mwncmh.com>,
"Garrett.Stone@bbrslaw.com" <Garrett.Stone@bbrslaw.com>, "gregory.dunn@icemiller.com"
<gregory.dunn@icemiller.com>, "GKrassen@Bricker.com" <GKrassen@Bricker.com>,
"jbrowser@mwncmh.com" <jbrowser@mwncmh.com>, "Lang, Jim" <JLang@Calfee.com>,
"korkosza@firstenergycorp.com" <korkosza@firstenergycorp.com>, "mhpeticoff@vorys.com"
<mhpeticoff@vorys.com>, "Mike.Lavanga@bbrslaw.com" <Mike.Lavanga@bbrslaw.com>,
"MKurtz@bkllawfirm.com" <MKurtz@bkllawfirm.com>, "mparke@firstenergycorp.com"
<mparke@firstenergycorp.com>, "murraykm@mwncmh.com" <murraykm@mwncmh.com>,
"MWarnock@Bricker.com" <MWarnock@Bricker.com>, "Ray.Strom@puc.state.oh.us"
<Ray.Strom@puc.state.oh.us>, "ricks@ohanet.org" <ricks@ohanet.org>,
"TOBrien@Bricker.com" <TOBrien@Bricker.com>, "trent@theOEC.org" <trent@theOEC.org>,
"VLeach-Payne@mwncmh.com" <VLeach-Payne@mwncmh.com>,
"jpmeissn@lasclev.org" <jpmeissn@lasclev.org>, "burkj@firstenergycorp.com" <burkj@firstenergycorp.com>,
"jpmeissn@lasclev.org" <jpmeissn@lasclev.org>, "robert.fortney@puc.state.oh.us"
<robert.fortney@puc.state.oh.us>, "McBride, Laura" <LMcBride@Calfee.com>,
"doris.mccarter@puc.state.oh.us" <doris.mccarter@puc.state.oh.us>,
"Ccunningham@Akronohio.Gov" <Ccunningham@Akronohio.Gov>, "rkelter@elpc.org"
<rkelter@elpc.org>, "joliker@mwncmh.com" <joliker@mwncmh.com>, "dsullivan@nrdc.org"
<dsullivan@nrdc.org>, "callwein@wamenergylaw.com" <callwein@wamenergylaw.com>,
"lmcaster@bricker.com" <lmcaster@bricker.com>, "matt@matthewcoxlaw.com"
<matt@matthewcoxlaw.com>, "greg.lawrence@cwt.com" <greg.lawrence@cwt.com>,
"cathy@theoec.org" <cathy@theoec.org>, "Tammy.Turkenton@puc.state.oh.us"
<Tammy.Turkenton@puc.state.oh.us>, "teresa.ringenbach@directenergy.com"
<teresa.ringenbach@directenergy.com>, "ray.strom@puc.state.oh.us"
<ray.strom@puc.state.oh.us>, "robinson@citizenpower.com" <robinson@citizenpower.com>,
"ricks@ohanet.org" <ricks@ohanet.org>, "myurick@taftlaw.com" <myurick@taftlaw.com>,
"nolan@theOEC.org" <nolan@theOEC.org>, "sam@mwncmh.com" <sam@mwncmh.com>,
"smhoward@vorys.com" <smhoward@vorys.com>, "steven.huhman@morganstanley.com"
<steven.huhman@morganstanley.com>, "Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us"
<Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us>, "jmclark@vectren.com" <jmclark@vectren.com>,
"gpoulos@enernoc.com" <gpoulos@enernoc.com>, "cmooney2@columbus.rr.com"
<cmooney2@columbus.rr.com>, "RHorvath@city.cleveland.oh.us"
<RHorvath@city.cleveland.oh.us>, "christopher.miller@icemiller.com"
<christopher.miller@icemiller.com>, "asim.haque@icemiller.com" <asim.haque@icemiller.com>,
"vparisi@igsenergy.com" <vparisi@igsenergy.com>, "sauer@occ.state.oh.us"
<sauer@occ.state.oh.us>, "etter@occ.state.oh.us" <etter@occ.state.oh.us>,
"yost@occ.state.oh.us" <yost@occ.state.oh.us>, "leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov"
<leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov>, "trhayslaw@gmail.com" <trhayslaw@gmail.com>,

"Judi.sobecki@dplinc.com" <Judi.sobecki@dplinc.com>, "Randall.Griffin@dplinc.com" <Randall.Griffin@dplinc.com>, "Jkyler@bklawfirm.com" <Jkyler@bklawfirm.com>, "tswio@bricker.com" <tswio@bricker.com>, "jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com" <jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com>, "dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com" <dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com>, "jejadwin@aep.com" <jejadwin@aep.com>, "mdortch@kravitzllc.com" <mdortch@kravitzllc.com>, "mjsatterwhite@aep.com" <mjsatterwhite@aep.com>, "stnourse@aep.com" <stnourse@aep.com>, "sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com" <sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com>, "stephen.bennett@exeloncorp.com" <stephen.bennett@exeloncorp.com>, "lkalepsclark@vorys.com" <lkalepsclark@vorys.com>, "wttpmlc@aol.com" <wttpmlc@aol.com>

Exhibit 1

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(5)

This rule requires each electric utility shall provide a statement as to whether its operational support plan has been implemented and whether there are any outstanding problems with the implementation. The Companies state that their operational support plan was implemented as directed by the Commission following the ETP Order in Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP and related orders. There are no outstanding problems with the implementation.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(6)

This rule requires a description of how the electric utility proposes to address governmental aggregation programs and implementation of divisions (I), (J), and (K) of section 4928.20 of the Revised Code. The Companies currently have very high levels of shopping, much of which is part of governmental aggregation programs. As of December 2011, as reported on the Commission's website, across Ohio over 1.4 million residential customers and nearly 200,000 non-residential customers are shopping with competitive retail electric service suppliers through governmental aggregation programs, and the Companies had over 1.25 million residential customers shopping. The high levels of customer participation in governmental aggregation programs in the Companies' service territory before and during the term of the Companies' current ESP, ESP 2, demonstrates that governmental aggregation programs have been successfully implemented during ESP 2. The Companies have not proposed any changes in ESP 3 that would negatively impact the implementation or continued operation of governmental aggregation programs in their service territories.

The proposed ESP 3 specifically addresses governmental aggregation programs in Attachment D to the Stipulation and Recommendation, which covers issues associated with phasing-in increases due to the implementation of an electric security plan under the authority of R.C. 4928.144. The language contained in the Stipulation and Recommendation is identical to the language on the same topic that was contained in the Companies' ESP 2, which was approved by the Commission as a part of that proceeding. In the instant proceeding, the Companies have not proposed a phase-in as part of their ESP 3 proposal, and do not believe that any increases will occur as a result of proposals within the ESP 3 filing that would form the basis to support any such phase-in. Specifically, as to R.C. 4928.20(I), which deals with what portion of a surcharge authorized under R.C. 4928.144 will be paid by customers in governmental aggregation programs, the Companies are not proposing that any such surcharge be implemented as a result of the proposals made in the Companies' ESP 3. As to R.C. 4928.20(J), the Companies' Stipulation at Section A.3 specifically states that there will be no minimum default service rider or standby charges. R.C. 4928.20(K) is a charge to the Commission to review rules related to large scale governmental aggregation. The Companies state that they are in compliance with Commission rules related to governmental aggregation.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(7)

This rule requires a description of the effect on large-scale governmental aggregation of any unavoidable generation charge proposed to be established in the ESP. The Companies propose to establish no new unavoidable generation charges as part of their ESP 3 filing. All existing unavoidable generation charges were previously approved by the Commission as part of the Companies' current ESP 2 and have been in place at least since June 1, 2011 if not earlier and are simply proposed to continue under their current authority and terms and conditions. Given the extraordinarily high levels of shopping through governmental aggregation programs in the Companies' service territories with the current unavoidable generation charges in place as approved in ESP 2, the Companies do not believe there has been any negative effect from any unavoidable generation charges.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(8)

This rule requires that the initial filing for an ESP shall include a detailed account of how the ESP is consistent with and advances the policy of this state as delineated in divisions (A) to (N) of section 4928.02 of the Revised Code.¹ Following the initial filing,

¹ R.C. 4928.02 reads as follows: It is the policy of this state to do the following throughout this state :

(A) Ensure the availability to consumers of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service;

(B) Ensure the availability of unbundled and comparable retail electric service that provides consumers with the supplier, price, terms, conditions, and quality options they elect to meet their respective needs;

(C) Ensure diversity of electricity supplies and suppliers, by giving consumers effective choices over the selection of those supplies and suppliers and by encouraging the development of distributed and small generation facilities;

(D) Encourage innovation and market access for cost-effective supply- and demand-side retail electric service including, but not limited to, demand-side management, time-differentiated pricing, and implementation of advanced metering infrastructure;

(E) Encourage cost-effective and efficient access to information regarding the operation of the transmission and distribution systems of electric utilities in order to promote both effective customer choice of retail electric service and the development of performance standards and targets for service quality for all consumers, including annual achievement reports written in plain language;

(F) Ensure that an electric utility's transmission and distribution systems are available to a customer-generator or owner of distributed generation, so that the customer-generator or owner can market and deliver the electricity it produces;

(G) Recognize the continuing emergence of competitive electricity markets through the development and implementation of flexible regulatory treatment;

subsequent filings shall include how the state policy is advanced by the ESP. The Companies' proposed ESP filing in the instant proceeding is the Companies' third ESP filing. Therefore, under this rule, a description of how the state policy is advanced by the ESP is required. Initially, it should be pointed out that the vast majority of provisions contained in the Companies' ESP 3 filing are a continuation of provisions that have been previously approved by the Commission, which, by definition, would indicate that the provisions are consistent with and advance state policy.

More specifically, the proposed ESP 3 continues the Companies' successful competitive bidding process and distribution related provisions that, when combined, meet the requirements of subpart (A) by ensuring the availability of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service. Given the high levels of shopping customers and number of registered CRES suppliers in the Companies' service territories, the provisions regarding the availability of competitive retail service in subparts (B) and (C) have been met. In addition, as to subparts (C), (F), and (K), the Companies have previously established tariffs and a process to address distributed and small generation facilities. As to subpart (D), the Companies currently have an approved energy efficiency/peak demand reduction plan in place that contains a number of approved programs that support or encourage cost-effective supply and demand side retail electric service; they have a time-differentiated pricing available through Rider CPP, Rider RTP, and the time of use provisions in Rider GEN, and they have implemented and installed over 5,000 smart meters as part of an ongoing study of advanced metering infrastructure and customer behavior associated with price signals

(H) Ensure effective competition in the provision of retail electric service by avoiding anticompetitive subsidies flowing from a noncompetitive retail electric service to a competitive retail electric service or to a product or service other than retail electric service, and vice versa, including by prohibiting the recovery of any generation-related costs through distribution or transmission rates;

(I) Ensure retail electric service consumers protection against unreasonable sales practices, market deficiencies, and market power;

(J) Provide coherent, transparent means of giving appropriate incentives to technologies that can adapt successfully to potential environmental mandates;

(K) Encourage implementation of distributed generation across customer classes through regular review and updating of administrative rules governing critical issues such as, but not limited to, interconnection standards, standby charges, and net metering;

(L) Protect at-risk populations, including, but not limited to, when considering the implementation of any new advanced energy or renewable energy resource;

(M) Encourage the education of small business owners in this state regarding the use of, and encourage the use of, energy efficiency programs and alternative energy resources in their businesses;

(N) Facilitate the state's effectiveness in the global economy. In carrying out this policy, the commission shall consider rules as they apply to the costs of electric distribution infrastructure, including, but not limited to, line extensions, for the purpose of development in this state.

during periods of critical peak demand. As to subpart (E), the Companies annually file reports detailing information about their distribution and transmission systems and the reliability standards related thereto. The Companies also file a long term forecast report that provides information related to forecasted energy usage, among other topics. As to subpart (G), the Companies have consistently auctioned off their SSO load through a competitive bidding process which fully recognizes and supports the continuing, robust wholesale and retail competitive electricity markets in the Companies service territories. As to subpart (H), the Companies no longer own generation plants and bid out their SSO load through a Commission-approved competitive bidding process conducted by an independent bid manager. The same approach is proposed by the Companies in their ESP 3 filing. The Companies have no tariffs that collect generation charges through transmission or distribution rates. As to subpart (I), the Companies adhere to Commission rules regarding interactions with customers and competitive suppliers. Because the Companies own no generation, they are not in a position to incent technologies that can adapt to environmental mandates related to generation as contemplated by subpart (J). As to subpart (L), the Companies have proposed as part of their ESP 3 filing to continue substantial contributions to support a fuel fund, which is used to help low income customers who are struggling to pay their electric bill. This approach provides financial assistance both in response to paying costs associated advanced energy and renewable energy and for any other aspect of electric service. The ESP 3 Stipulation also retains provisions that there will be no prepaid meters or automated disconnection as part of the smart meter study discussed above. Further, the Stipulation continues to retain a discount for PIPP customers of 6% off their generation costs to help mitigate PIPP customer arrearages. As to subpart (M), the Companies conduct a collaborative process wherein customer groups representing different interests have input into which energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs are proposed for Commission approval. Through the organizations that participate in the collaborative on behalf of small business owners, programs reflect the needs of those entities, and through that same conduit, customers are educated as to the availability of energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs in which they may participate.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(c)

This rule requires a description of any terms, conditions, or charges related to retail shopping by customers. As to subpart (i), the Companies have retained a number of provisions from their current ESP that promote shopping. For instance, there is no minimum stay for residential and small commercial customers; there is no minimum default service rider or standby charges; there are no rate stabilization charges; and there are no shopping credit caps. As to subpart (ii), the Companies have proposed to lengthen the recovery period for costs of acquiring renewable energy credits to comply with R.C. 4928.64, with such recovery period now extending through May 31, 2016. Deferrals related to Rider AER costs were previously authorized and will be continued, but it is expected that all such costs together with associated carrying charges will be recovered by May 31, 2016. Rider AER is avoidable by customers that shop. As to subpart (iii), the Companies have proposed no unavoidable charges for standby, back-up, or supplemental power as part of their ESP 3 filing.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(g) – Information on Alternative Regulation Mechanisms or Programs Related to Distribution Service

This rule requires a discussion of any alternative regulation mechanisms or programs, including infrastructure and modernization incentives, relating to distribution service as part of an ESP. The Companies' ESP 3 proposal does not include any new alternative regulation mechanisms or new programs related to distribution service. ESP 3 does include an extended commitment that no adjustment to the base distribution rates of the Companies would go into effect prior to June 1, 2016, subject to the "significantly excessive earnings test", except in a case of emergency pursuant to the provision of R.C. 4909.16. ESP 3 also includes a provision that the Delivery Capital Recovery Rider ("Rider DCR") would continue to be in effect through May 31, 2016. Finally, the ESP 3 includes a provision that the Smart Grid Modernization Initiative and the associated cost recovery in the Advanced Metering Infrastructure /Modern Grid Rider ("Rider AMI") will continue pursuant to approvals already received in Case Nos. 09-1820-EL-ATA et al, and 10-388-EL-SSO.

Base Rate Freeze

Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at B1 (pages 18-19). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts do not include any adjustments to the base distribution rates of the Companies prior to June 1, 2016.

Rider DCR

Rider DCR, discussed at B.2 in the Stipulation and Recommendation, will continue in effect and provide the Companies with the opportunity to recover property taxes, commercial activity tax, associated income taxes and earn a return on and of plant associated with distribution, sub transmission, and general and intangible plant, including an allocation of general and intangible plant from FirstEnergy Service Company that supports the Companies, which was not included in the rate base determined in the Opinion and Order of January 21, 2009 in Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR et al. Rider DCR excludes recovery of capital additions recovered elsewhere including Riders LEX, EDR and AMI. Rider DCR will be adjusted quarterly to reflect net capital additions. The quarterly Rider DCR update filing is not an application to increase rates within the meaning of R.C. 4909.18. The scope of the plant and expenses, together with the reconciliation periods remain unchanged from that approved in ESP 2. The revenue collected by the Companies shall be capped at \$195 million for the period June 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015 and be capped at \$210 million for the period June 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016. An annual audit shall be conducted to confirm that the amounts for which recovery is sought are not unreasonable.

Rider DCR exists to encourage investment in the delivery system of the Companies.

Rider DCR was approved in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO and the Rider DCR charge is not avoidable.

Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include adjustments to the Rider DCR to reflect the \$15 million annual increase in the cap.

Smart Grid Modernization Initiative

The Companies are implementing Ohio Site Deployment of the FirstEnergy Smart Grid Modernization Initiative. The Ohio Site Deployment has been targeted to a particular geographic area located in CEI's service territory comprised of a mix of residential and commercial customers. The geographic area serves customers on 34 distribution circuits and such circuits are serviced from 14 substations. The Ohio Site Deployment is designed to produce an integrated system of protection, performance, efficiency and economy that extends across the local energy delivery system, providing multiple stakeholder benefits. Key components of the Ohio Site Deployment include distribution automation, voltage control, substation relay-based protective strategies, alternative pricing programs and communication and data infrastructure installation. This Smart Grid Modernization Initiative was approved on June 30, 2010 in Case No. 09-1820-EL-ATA et al. Some of the issues in the Companies' proposal for cost recovery in Case No. 09-1820-EL-ATA were approved in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO. Those provisions shall continue without modification in ESP 3. Recovery of costs associated with the Ohio Smart Grid Modernization Initiative shall be over a 10 year period in Rider AMI.

Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E1 i-viii (pages 29-30). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts do not include any adjustments associated with Rider AMI as those costs were approved for recovery in Case No. 09-1820-EL-ATA and Case No 10-388-EL-SSO. ESP 3 does not include any provision that impacts rate projections associated with Rider AMI.

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(h) – Information Concerning Provisions for Economic Development, Job Retention and Energy Efficiency Programs

This rule requires the electric utility to provide a complete description of the proposal, together with cost-benefit analysis or other quantitative justification, and quantification of the program's projected impact on rates. The Companies' ESP 3 filing includes continuation of the existing economic development and job retention provisions through May 31, 2016 as well as the addition of one new economic development and job retention provision. It also includes continuation of the existing energy efficiency provisions through May 31, 2016 as well as the addition of one new energy efficiency provision. These provisions are described in further detail below.

Economic Development and Job Retention Continuing Provisions

The Companies will contribute, in aggregate, \$2 million during the period June 1, 2014 – May 31, 2016 to support economic development and job retention activities in their service territories, including without limitation to fund customer-owned transformers, redundant feeds, and substations that improve overall performance. These funds will not be used for special contracts and/or reasonable arrangements filed with the Commission. The Companies will not seek recovery of these amounts from customers. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at F1 (page 34). The financial projections and projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3) respectively do not include any adjustments associated with Company-funded economic development and retention activities prior to June 1, 2016.

ESP 3 includes without modification the provisions associated with infrastructure improvements at The Cleveland Clinic (“Clinic”) Main Campus located at 9500 Euclid Avenue in Cleveland Ohio. These infrastructure improvements are needed to support the Clinic’s expansion plan to meet growing local, national, and international patient demand and to increase direct employees in Ohio by adding more than 1000 new high-quality jobs in Cleveland, Ohio. Recovery of costs associated with these infrastructure improvements is included in the Economic Development Rider (“Rider EDR”) provision (g) which was approved in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at F2 (pages 34-37). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts do not include any adjustments associated with the infrastructure improvements at the Clinic since these cost were authorized for recovery in another proceeding.

ESP 3 continues the provisions associated with domestic automaker facilities through May 31, 2016. This provision provides for a discount to domestic automakers for energy used in excess of their baseline level used in 2009. Recovery of costs associated with these automaker discounts is included in Rider EDR (i). Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at F3 (page 37). The financial projections and projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3) include the impact of the automaker provision.

ESP 3 continues without modification the LED streetlight pilot program for the City of Cleveland approved in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at F4 (page 38). The financial projections and projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3) do not include any adjustments associated with the LED streetlight pilot program.

Economic Development and Job Retention New Provision

To provide economic development and retain existing manufacturing jobs in Ohio that otherwise would be at risk of being lost, ESP 3 contains a provision that allows Toledo Edison to charge a Material Sciences Corporation, an existing large industrial customer that utilizes a unique manufacturing process, a charge of \$6.00 per kVa of billing demand

under Rider EDR (d). This provision is designed to promote the economic development in the Toledo, Ohio region and support the retention of existing manufacturing jobs for Material Sciences Corporation in this state. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at Page 37. Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of this load factor provision discount.

Energy Efficiency Continuing Provisions

ESP 3 continues the provision associated with Administrator compensation where certain Administrators are provided funding for their roles as energy efficiency administrators in lieu of the fixed compensation provided pursuant to Case No. 09-553-EL-EEC. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E2 (Pages 30-31). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of this load factor provision discount.

ESP 3 continues the provision that allows the Companies to receive lost distribution revenue for all energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs approved by the Commission. Lost distribution revenues do not include approved historical mercantile self directed projects. Lost distribution revenues are recovered in the Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency Rider 2 ("Rider DSE 2"). Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E3 (Page 31). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of lost revenue associated with Commission approved programs.

ESP 3 continues the provision that provides funding for the Community Connections program for energy efficiency. Community Connections funding is recovered in Rider DSE 2. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E4 (Page 31). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of the continuation of the Community Connections funding.

ESP 3 continues the provision without modification that allows AICUO college or university members to be treated as mercantile customers for limited purposes. AICUO members will work cooperatively with the Companies to determine whether its members have professionals capable of performing energy related research.

ESP 3 continues the provision that provides \$100,000 in 2014 and 2015 to the City of Akron to make available energy efficiency programs to the residents and to enable the City of Akron to achieve its energy efficiency and sustainability goals. This funding will be recovered through Rider DSE2. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E7 (Page 32). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3).

The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of the continuation of the City of Akron energy efficiency and sustainability funding.

ESP 3 continues the provision that provides \$100,000 in 2014 and 2015 to Lucas County to make available energy efficiency programs to the residents and to enable Lucas County to achieve its energy efficiency and sustainability goals. This funding will be recovered through Rider DSE2. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E8 (Page 33). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts include the impact of the continuation of Lucas County energy efficiency and sustainability funding.

Energy Efficiency New Provision

ESP 3 contains a new provision whereby the Companies will use reasonable best efforts and expend the additional time and resources to alter their energy efficiency plan in an effort to quantify and qualify energy efficiency resources to offer into the PJM Base Residual Auction (“BRA”) in May 2012. Revenue received by the Companies for any such energy efficiency resources will be returned to the customers in Rider DSE2. Please see Stipulation and Recommendation at E9 (Page 33). Also, see the financial projections provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2) and the projected rate impacts provided pursuant to Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3). The financial projections and projected rate impacts do not include the impact of offering the energy efficiency resources into the PJM BRA as the value of the offer is unknown at this time.

Exhibit 2

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(2)

Financial Projections - This rule requires pro forma financial projections of the effect of the ESP's implementation upon the electric utility for the duration of the ESP

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Income Statement

Description	2014	2015	2016
Operating Revenues			
Sales of Electricity	1,211,840,000	1,226,990,000	1,241,850,000
Other Operating Revenues	235,700,000	235,700,000	236,580,000
Total Electric Operating Revenues	1,447,540,000	1,462,690,000	1,478,430,000
Operating Expenses			
Operation & Maintenance	858,050,000	862,830,000	863,830,000
Depreciation / Amortization	100,340,000	105,470,000	108,900,000
Regulatory Credits/Debits	64,320,000	64,320,000	64,320,000
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	192,370,000	197,370,000	202,370,000
Operating Expenses Before Income Taxes	1,215,080,000	1,229,990,000	1,239,420,000
Current Income Taxes	86,160,000	83,120,000	83,820,000
Deferred Income Taxes	(29,160,000)	(26,180,000)	(23,830,000)
Investment Tax Credit Adjustment	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses and Taxes	1,272,080,000	1,286,930,000	1,299,410,000
Operating Income	175,460,000	175,760,000	179,020,000
Other Income & Expenses	29,800,000	29,890,000	30,300,000
Income Before Interest	205,260,000	205,650,000	209,320,000
Interest Expense	71,460,000	71,460,000	72,140,000
Net Income	133,800,000	134,190,000	137,180,000
Less: Subsidiary Income	(18,950,000)	(19,670,000)	(19,810,000)
Adjusted Net Income	114,850,000	114,520,000	117,370,000

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Income Statement

Description	2014	2015	2016
Operating Revenues			
Sales of Electricity	902,840,000	914,150,000	920,560,000
Other Operating Revenues	10,730,000	10,730,000	10,730,000
Total Electric Operating Revenues	913,570,000	924,880,000	931,290,000
Operating Expenses			
Operation & Maintenance	372,480,000	376,170,000	376,650,000
Depreciation / Amortization	83,990,000	87,840,000	91,690,000
Regulatory Credits/Debits	52,460,000	52,460,000	52,460,000
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	177,450,000	183,450,000	189,450,000
Operating Expenses Before Income Taxes	686,380,000	699,920,000	710,250,000
Current Income Taxes	67,200,000	64,650,000	63,700,000
Deferred Income Taxes	(25,690,000)	(23,260,000)	(22,370,000)
Investment Tax Credit Adjustment	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses and Taxes	727,890,000	741,310,000	751,580,000
Operating Income	185,680,000	183,570,000	179,710,000
Other Income & Expenses	10,540,000	9,640,000	9,570,000
Income Before Interest	196,220,000	193,210,000	189,280,000
Interest Expense	112,240,000	110,360,000	109,530,000
Net Income	83,980,000	82,850,000	79,750,000
Less: Subsidiary Income	-	-	-
Adjusted Net Income	83,980,000	82,850,000	79,750,000

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Income Statement

Description	2014	2015	2016
Operating Revenues			
Sales of Electricity	463,400,000	468,940,000	472,680,000
Other Operating Revenues	76,610,000	76,610,000	76,610,000
Total Electric Operating Revenues	540,010,000	545,550,000	549,290,000
Operating Expenses			
Operation & Maintenance	350,360,000	352,250,000	352,580,000
Depreciation / Amortization	31,860,000	33,030,000	34,200,000
Regulatory Credits/Debits	22,970,000	22,970,000	22,970,000
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	58,750,000	60,750,000	62,750,000
Operating Expenses Before Income Taxes	463,940,000	469,000,000	472,500,000
Current Income Taxes	10,110,000	9,690,000	10,010,000
Deferred Income Taxes	270,000	4,440,000	4,720,000
Investment Tax Credit Adjustment	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses and Taxes	474,320,000	483,130,000	487,230,000
Operating Income	65,690,000	62,420,000	62,060,000
Other Income & Expenses	4,300,000	3,840,000	4,020,000
Income Before Interest	69,990,000	66,260,000	66,080,000
Interest Expense	40,100,000	40,100,000	40,100,000
Net Income	29,890,000	26,160,000	25,980,000
Less: Subsidiary Income	-	-	-
Adjusted Net Income	29,890,000	26,160,000	25,980,000

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	ASSETS			
2	Gross Plant in Service	3,295,590,000	3,407,770,000	3,508,590,000
3	CWIP	139,820,000	159,390,000	179,570,000
4	TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	3,435,410,000	3,567,160,000	3,688,160,000
5	Accumulated Depreciation	1,418,570,000	1,524,040,000	1,632,950,000
6	Net Nuclear Fuel	-	-	-
7	NET UTILITY PLANT	2,016,840,000	2,043,120,000	2,055,210,000
8	Subsidiary Investment	202,450,000	201,350,000	200,100,000
9	Other Investments	126,820,000	76,910,000	76,910,000
10	Notes Receivable	14,170,000	14,170,000	14,170,000
11	Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund	140,370,000	142,900,000	145,420,000
12	Goodwill	-	-	-
13	Regulatory Assets	148,800,000	84,480,000	20,160,000
14	Debt Issue Expense	150,000	150,000	150,000
15	Other Net Deferrals	154,260,000	148,220,000	144,350,000
16	Deferred Income Taxes	8,190,000	8,190,000	8,190,000
17	Deferred Fuel	-	-	-
18	Deferred Revenue	-	-	-
19	Cash/Short Term Investments	374,170,000	437,260,000	452,000,000
20	Current Assets	99,890,000	99,890,000	99,890,000
	TOTAL ASSETS	3,286,110,000	3,256,640,000	3,216,550,000

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
2	Common Stock	746,420,000	746,420,000	746,420,000
3	Retained Earnings	172,040,000	214,310,000	266,060,000
4	Other Comprehensive Income	230,000	230,000	230,000
5	TOTAL COMMON EQUITY	<u>918,690,000</u>	<u>960,960,000</u>	<u>1,012,710,000</u>
6	PREFERRED STOCK	-	-	-
7	LONG TERM DEBT	<u>1,078,230,000</u>	<u>1,078,840,000</u>	<u>1,079,300,000</u>
8	TOTAL CAPITAL	<u>1,996,920,000</u>	<u>2,039,800,000</u>	<u>2,092,010,000</u>
9	Short Term Debt/Notes Payable	110,000	110,000	110,000
10	Other Current Liabilities	64,410,000	41,730,000	16,530,000
11	ARO Liability	74,250,000	74,250,000	74,250,000
12	Nuclear Decommissioning Liability	19,140,000	24,760,000	30,680,000
13	Deferred Income Taxes	682,780,000	661,950,000	648,820,000
14	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	9,150,000	9,150,000	9,150,000
15	Other Long Term Liabilities	439,350,000	404,890,000	345,000,000
16	TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	<u><u>3,286,110,000</u></u>	<u><u>3,256,640,000</u></u>	<u><u>3,216,550,000</u></u>

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	ASSETS			
2	Gross Plant in Service	2,903,520,000	3,015,810,000	3,121,130,000
3	CWIP	65,960,000	62,670,000	59,380,000
4	TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	2,969,480,000	3,078,480,000	3,180,510,000
5	Accumulated Depreciation	1,267,280,000	1,355,120,000	1,446,800,000
6	Net Nuclear Fuel	-	-	-
7	NET UTILITY PLANT	1,702,200,000	1,723,360,000	1,733,710,000
8	Subsidiary Investment	2,590,000	2,590,000	2,590,000
9	Other Investments	38,010,000	14,010,000	7,610,000
10	Notes Receivable	23,240,000	23,240,000	23,240,000
11	Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund	-	-	-
12	Goodwill	1,688,520,000	1,688,520,000	1,688,520,000
13	Regulatory Assets	163,430,000	110,970,000	58,510,000
14	Debt Issue Expense	-	-	-
15	Other Net Deferrals	110,020,000	108,480,000	106,930,000
16	Deferred Income Taxes	5,910,000	5,910,000	5,910,000
17	Deferred Fuel	-	-	-
18	Deferred Revenue	-	-	-
19	Cash/Short Term Investments	53,720,000	68,520,000	87,280,000
20	Current Assets	275,990,000	275,990,000	275,990,000
	TOTAL ASSETS	<u>4,063,630,000</u>	<u>4,021,590,000</u>	<u>3,990,290,000</u>

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
2	Common Stock	881,310,000	881,310,000	881,310,000
3	Retained Earnings	234,380,000	260,730,000	291,930,000
4	Other Comprehensive Income	4,530,000	4,530,000	4,530,000
5	TOTAL COMMON EQUITY	1,120,220,000	1,146,570,000	1,177,770,000
6	PREFERRED STOCK	-	-	-
7	LONG TERM DEBT	1,743,080,000	1,719,230,000	1,712,980,000
8	TOTAL CAPITAL	2,863,300,000	2,865,800,000	2,890,750,000
9	Short Term Debt/Notes Payable	1,770,000	1,770,000	1,770,000
10	Other Current Liabilities	328,250,000	322,520,000	316,790,000
11	ARO Liability	2,470,000	2,470,000	2,470,000
12	Nuclear Decommissioning Liability	-	-	-
13	Deferred Income Taxes	633,810,000	614,000,000	598,530,000
14	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	10,990,000	10,990,000	10,990,000
15	Other Long Term Liabilities	223,040,000	204,040,000	168,990,000
16	TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	4,063,630,000	4,021,590,000	3,990,290,000

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	ASSETS			
2	Gross Plant in Service	1,108,650,000	1,143,340,000	1,175,260,000
3	CWIP	30,170,000	28,850,000	27,530,000
4	TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	1,138,820,000	1,172,190,000	1,202,790,000
5	Accumulated Depreciation	581,440,000	614,480,000	648,680,000
6	Net Nuclear Fuel	-	-	-
7	NET UTILITY PLANT	557,380,000	557,710,000	554,110,000
8	Subsidiary Investment	1,180,000	1,180,000	1,180,000
9	Other Investments	30,230,000	6,230,000	-
10	Notes Receivable	6,630,000	6,630,000	6,630,000
11	Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund	79,620,000	80,500,000	81,380,000
12	Goodwill	500,580,000	500,580,000	500,580,000
13	Regulatory Assets	-	-	-
14	Debt Issue Expense	-	-	-
15	Other Net Deferrals	54,130,000	52,900,000	51,660,000
16	Deferred Fuel	-	-	-
17	Deferred Revenue	-	-	-
	Deferred Income Tax	1,750,000	1,750,000	1,750,000
18	Cash/Short Term Investments	192,160,000	205,460,000	202,490,000
19	Current Assets	52,580,000	52,580,000	52,580,000
	TOTAL ASSETS	<u>1,476,240,000</u>	<u>1,465,520,000</u>	<u>1,452,360,000</u>

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet

Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	2014	2015	2016
1	EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
2	Common Stock	313,330,000	313,330,000	313,330,000
3	Retained Earnings	63,710,000	73,310,000	85,920,000
4	Other Comprehensive Income	5,260,000	5,260,000	5,260,000
5	TOTAL COMMON EQUITY	<u>382,300,000</u>	<u>391,900,000</u>	<u>404,510,000</u>
6	PREFERRED STOCK	-	-	-
7	LONG TERM DEBT	<u>613,490,000</u>	<u>613,780,000</u>	<u>614,060,000</u>
8	TOTAL CAPITAL	<u>995,790,000</u>	<u>1,005,680,000</u>	<u>1,018,570,000</u>
9	Short Term Debt/Notes Payable	-	-	-
10	Other Current Liabilities	33,480,000	28,540,000	23,620,000
11	ARO Liability	28,760,000	28,760,000	28,760,000
12	Nuclear Decommissioning Liability	8,360,000	10,760,000	13,270,000
13	Deferred Income Taxes	184,570,000	190,890,000	199,350,000
14	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	5,930,000	5,930,000	5,930,000
15	Other Long Term Liabilities	219,350,000	194,960,000	162,860,000
16	TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	<u><u>1,476,240,000</u></u>	<u><u>1,465,520,000</u></u>	<u><u>1,452,360,000</u></u>

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Sources and Uses of Funds

Description	2014	2015	2016
Source of Funds			
Net Income	133,800,000	134,180,000	137,150,000
Subsidiary Earnings	(18,950,000)	(19,670,000)	(19,810,000)
AFUDC	(3,470,000)	(3,470,000)	(3,470,000)
Depreciation / Amortization	100,340,000	105,470,000	108,900,000
Deferred Income Taxes	(25,230,000)	(26,180,000)	(23,830,000)
Regulatory Amortization	64,320,000	64,320,000	64,320,000
Changes in Working Capital	(50,650,000)	(85,060,000)	(41,200,000)
Net Change in Debt	0	0	0
Net Change in Short Term Debt	0	0	0
Net Change in Investments	58,840,000	70,680,000	21,050,000
Nuclear Fuel Amortization	0	0	0
Net Change in Preferred Stock	0	0	0
	259,000,000	240,270,000	243,110,000
Application of funds			
Cash Construction	158,650,000	139,640,000	140,240,000
Nuclear Fuel Obligation	0	0	0
Dividends Paid	100,350,000	100,630,000	102,870,000
Nuclear Decommissioning Fund Payments	0	0	0
Common Stock Repurchase	0	0	0
Redemption of Securities	0	0	0
	259,000,000	240,270,000	243,110,000

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Sources and Uses of Funds

Description	2014	2015	2016
Source of Funds			
Net Income	83,990,000	82,870,000	79,750,000
Subsidiary Earnings	0	0	0
AFUDC	(1,740,000)	(1,740,000)	(1,740,000)
Depreciation / Amortization	83,990,000	87,840,000	91,690,000
Deferred Income Taxes	(22,850,000)	(23,260,000)	(22,370,000)
Regulatory Amortization	52,460,000	52,460,000	52,460,000
Changes in Working Capital	(18,630,000)	(21,800,000)	(25,750,000)
Net Change in Debt	(26,260,000)	(24,000,000)	(6,390,000)
Net Change in Short Term Debt	0	0	0
Net Change in Investments	26,260,000	24,000,000	6,390,000
Nuclear Fuel Amortization	0	0	0
Net Change in Preferred Stock	0	0	0
	177,220,000	176,370,000	174,040,000
Application of funds			
Cash Construction	114,220,000	114,220,000	114,220,000
Nuclear Fuel Obligation	0	0	0
Dividends Paid	63,000,000	62,150,000	59,820,000
Nuclear Decommissioning Fund Payments	0	0	0
Common Stock Repurchase	0	0	0
Redemption of Securities	0	0	0
	177,220,000	176,370,000	174,040,000

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Projected Sources and Uses of Funds

Description	2014	2015	2016
Source of Funds			
Net Income	29,900,000	26,150,000	25,980,000
Subsidiary Earnings	0	0	0
AFUDC	(220,000)	(220,000)	(220,000)
Depreciation / Amortization	31,860,000	33,030,000	34,200,000
Deferred Income Taxes	1,110,000	4,440,000	4,720,000
Regulatory Amortization	22,970,000	22,970,000	22,970,000
Changes in Working Capital	(53,540,000)	(54,840,000)	(38,640,000)
Net Change in Debt	0	0	0
Net Change in Short Term Debt	0	0	0
Net Change in Investments	26,260,000	24,000,000	6,390,000
Nuclear Fuel Amortization	0	0	0
Net Change in Preferred Stock	0	0	0
	58,340,000	55,530,000	55,400,000
Application of funds			
Cash Construction	35,920,000	35,920,000	35,920,000
Nuclear Fuel Obligation	0	0	0
Dividends Paid	22,420,000	19,610,000	19,480,000
Nuclear Decommissioning Fund Payments	0	0	0
Common Stock Repurchase	0	0	0
Redemption of Securities	0	0	0
	58,340,000	55,530,000	55,400,000

Exhibit 3

Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3)

Projected Rate Impacts – This rule requires projected rate impacts by customer class/rate schedules for the duration of the ESP, including post-ESP impacts of deferrals, if any.

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.40	\$ (0.48)	-1.4%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.77	\$ (0.97)	-1.5%
3	0	750	\$ 96.60	\$ 95.14	\$ (1.45)	-1.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 127.45	\$ 125.51	\$ (1.94)	-1.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 158.30	\$ 155.88	\$ (2.42)	-1.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 189.16	\$ 186.25	\$ (2.91)	-1.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 250.87	\$ 246.99	\$ (3.88)	-1.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 312.35	\$ 307.50	\$ (4.84)	-1.6%
9	0	3,000	\$ 373.83	\$ 368.01	\$ (5.81)	-1.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 435.31	\$ 428.52	\$ (6.78)	-1.6%
11	0	4,000	\$ 496.78	\$ 489.03	\$ (7.75)	-1.6%
12	0	4,500	\$ 558.26	\$ 549.54	\$ (8.72)	-1.6%
13	0	5,000	\$ 619.74	\$ 610.05	\$ (9.69)	-1.6%
14	0	5,500	\$ 681.22	\$ 670.56	\$ (10.66)	-1.6%
15	0	6,000	\$ 742.70	\$ 731.07	\$ (11.63)	-1.6%
16	0	6,500	\$ 804.18	\$ 791.58	\$ (12.60)	-1.6%
17	0	7,000	\$ 865.66	\$ 852.09	\$ (13.56)	-1.6%
18	0	7,500	\$ 927.14	\$ 912.60	\$ (14.53)	-1.6%
19	0	8,000	\$ 988.62	\$ 973.11	\$ (15.50)	-1.6%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,050.09	\$ 1,033.62	\$ (16.47)	-1.6%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,111.57	\$ 1,094.13	\$ (17.44)	-1.6%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,173.05	\$ 1,154.64	\$ (18.41)	-1.6%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,234.53	\$ 1,215.15	\$ (19.38)	-1.6%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,296.01	\$ 1,275.66	\$ (20.35)	-1.6%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,357.49	\$ 1,336.17	\$ (21.32)	-1.6%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,418.97	\$ 1,396.68	\$ (22.28)	-1.6%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,480.45	\$ 1,457.19	\$ (23.25)	-1.6%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,541.92	\$ 1,517.70	\$ (24.22)	-1.6%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,603.40	\$ 1,578.21	\$ (25.19)	-1.6%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,664.88	\$ 1,638.72	\$ (26.16)	-1.6%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,726.36	\$ 1,699.23	\$ (27.13)	-1.6%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,787.84	\$ 1,759.74	\$ (28.10)	-1.6%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,849.32	\$ 1,820.25	\$ (29.07)	-1.6%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,910.52	\$ 1,880.48	\$ (30.03)	-1.6%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,971.72	\$ 1,940.71	\$ (31.00)	-1.6%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,032.91	\$ 2,000.94	\$ (31.97)	-1.6%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,094.11	\$ 2,061.17	\$ (32.94)	-1.6%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,155.31	\$ 2,121.40	\$ (33.91)	-1.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.40	\$ (0.48)	-1.4%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.77	\$ (0.97)	-1.5%
3	0	750	\$ 87.42	\$ 85.97	\$ (1.45)	-1.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 109.10	\$ 107.16	\$ (1.94)	-1.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 130.78	\$ 128.36	\$ (2.42)	-1.9%
6	0	1,500	\$ 143.53	\$ 140.63	\$ (2.91)	-2.0%
7	0	2,000	\$ 169.04	\$ 165.17	\$ (3.88)	-2.3%
8	0	2,500	\$ 194.32	\$ 189.48	\$ (4.84)	-2.5%
9	0	3,000	\$ 219.60	\$ 213.79	\$ (5.81)	-2.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 244.88	\$ 238.10	\$ (6.78)	-2.8%
11	0	4,000	\$ 270.16	\$ 262.41	\$ (7.75)	-2.9%
12	0	4,500	\$ 295.44	\$ 286.72	\$ (8.72)	-3.0%
13	0	5,000	\$ 320.72	\$ 311.03	\$ (9.69)	-3.0%
14	0	5,500	\$ 346.00	\$ 335.34	\$ (10.66)	-3.1%
15	0	6,000	\$ 371.27	\$ 359.65	\$ (11.63)	-3.1%
16	0	6,500	\$ 396.55	\$ 383.96	\$ (12.60)	-3.2%
17	0	7,000	\$ 421.83	\$ 408.27	\$ (13.56)	-3.2%
18	0	7,500	\$ 447.11	\$ 432.58	\$ (14.53)	-3.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 472.39	\$ 456.89	\$ (15.50)	-3.3%
20	0	8,500	\$ 497.67	\$ 481.20	\$ (16.47)	-3.3%
21	0	9,000	\$ 522.95	\$ 505.51	\$ (17.44)	-3.3%
22	0	9,500	\$ 548.23	\$ 529.82	\$ (18.41)	-3.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 573.51	\$ 554.13	\$ (19.38)	-3.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 598.78	\$ 578.44	\$ (20.35)	-3.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 624.06	\$ 602.75	\$ (21.32)	-3.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 649.34	\$ 627.06	\$ (22.28)	-3.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 674.62	\$ 651.37	\$ (23.25)	-3.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 699.90	\$ 675.68	\$ (24.22)	-3.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 725.18	\$ 699.99	\$ (25.19)	-3.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 750.46	\$ 724.30	\$ (26.16)	-3.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 775.74	\$ 748.61	\$ (27.13)	-3.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 801.02	\$ 772.92	\$ (28.10)	-3.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 826.29	\$ 797.23	\$ (29.07)	-3.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 851.29	\$ 821.26	\$ (30.03)	-3.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 876.29	\$ 845.29	\$ (31.00)	-3.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 901.29	\$ 869.32	\$ (31.97)	-3.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 926.29	\$ 893.35	\$ (32.94)	-3.6%
38	0	17,500	\$ 951.28	\$ 917.37	\$ (33.91)	-3.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.40	\$ (0.48)	-1.4%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.77	\$ (0.97)	-1.5%
3	0	750	\$ 92.17	\$ 90.72	\$ (1.45)	-1.6%
4	0	1,000	\$ 118.60	\$ 116.66	\$ (1.94)	-1.6%
5	0	1,250	\$ 145.03	\$ 142.61	\$ (2.42)	-1.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 171.46	\$ 168.55	\$ (2.91)	-1.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 224.32	\$ 220.44	\$ (3.88)	-1.7%
8	0	2,500	\$ 276.95	\$ 272.10	\$ (4.84)	-1.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 329.58	\$ 323.76	\$ (5.81)	-1.8%
10	0	3,500	\$ 382.21	\$ 375.42	\$ (6.78)	-1.8%
11	0	4,000	\$ 434.83	\$ 427.08	\$ (7.75)	-1.8%
12	0	4,500	\$ 487.46	\$ 478.74	\$ (8.72)	-1.8%
13	0	5,000	\$ 540.09	\$ 530.40	\$ (9.69)	-1.8%
14	0	5,500	\$ 592.72	\$ 582.06	\$ (10.66)	-1.8%
15	0	6,000	\$ 645.35	\$ 633.72	\$ (11.63)	-1.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 697.98	\$ 685.38	\$ (12.60)	-1.8%
17	0	7,000	\$ 750.61	\$ 737.04	\$ (13.56)	-1.8%
18	0	7,500	\$ 803.24	\$ 788.70	\$ (14.53)	-1.8%
19	0	8,000	\$ 855.87	\$ 840.36	\$ (15.50)	-1.8%
20	0	8,500	\$ 908.49	\$ 892.02	\$ (16.47)	-1.8%
21	0	9,000	\$ 961.12	\$ 943.68	\$ (17.44)	-1.8%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,013.75	\$ 995.34	\$ (18.41)	-1.8%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,066.38	\$ 1,047.00	\$ (19.38)	-1.8%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,119.01	\$ 1,098.66	\$ (20.35)	-1.8%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,171.64	\$ 1,150.32	\$ (21.32)	-1.8%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,224.27	\$ 1,201.98	\$ (22.28)	-1.8%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,276.90	\$ 1,253.64	\$ (23.25)	-1.8%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,329.52	\$ 1,305.30	\$ (24.22)	-1.8%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,382.15	\$ 1,356.96	\$ (25.19)	-1.8%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,434.78	\$ 1,408.62	\$ (26.16)	-1.8%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,487.41	\$ 1,460.28	\$ (27.13)	-1.8%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,540.04	\$ 1,511.94	\$ (28.10)	-1.8%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,592.67	\$ 1,563.60	\$ (29.07)	-1.8%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,645.02	\$ 1,614.98	\$ (30.03)	-1.8%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,697.37	\$ 1,666.36	\$ (31.00)	-1.8%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,749.71	\$ 1,717.74	\$ (31.97)	-1.8%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,802.06	\$ 1,769.12	\$ (32.94)	-1.8%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,854.41	\$ 1,820.50	\$ (33.91)	-1.8%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.69	\$ (0.48)	-1.3%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.35	\$ (0.97)	-1.4%
3	0	750	\$ 103.46	\$ 102.00	\$ (1.45)	-1.4%
4	0	1,000	\$ 136.60	\$ 134.66	\$ (1.94)	-1.4%
5	0	1,250	\$ 169.74	\$ 167.32	\$ (2.42)	-1.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 202.88	\$ 199.97	\$ (2.91)	-1.4%
7	0	2,000	\$ 269.16	\$ 265.29	\$ (3.88)	-1.4%
8	0	2,500	\$ 335.22	\$ 330.37	\$ (4.84)	-1.4%
9	0	3,000	\$ 401.27	\$ 395.45	\$ (5.81)	-1.4%
10	0	3,500	\$ 467.32	\$ 460.54	\$ (6.78)	-1.5%
11	0	4,000	\$ 533.37	\$ 525.62	\$ (7.75)	-1.5%
12	0	4,500	\$ 599.42	\$ 590.70	\$ (8.72)	-1.5%
13	0	5,000	\$ 665.48	\$ 655.79	\$ (9.69)	-1.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 731.53	\$ 720.87	\$ (10.66)	-1.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 797.58	\$ 785.96	\$ (11.63)	-1.5%
16	0	6,500	\$ 863.63	\$ 851.04	\$ (12.60)	-1.5%
17	0	7,000	\$ 929.69	\$ 916.12	\$ (13.56)	-1.5%
18	0	7,500	\$ 995.74	\$ 981.21	\$ (14.53)	-1.5%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,061.79	\$ 1,046.29	\$ (15.50)	-1.5%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,127.84	\$ 1,111.37	\$ (16.47)	-1.5%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,193.90	\$ 1,176.46	\$ (17.44)	-1.5%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,259.95	\$ 1,241.54	\$ (18.41)	-1.5%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,326.00	\$ 1,306.62	\$ (19.38)	-1.5%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,392.05	\$ 1,371.71	\$ (20.35)	-1.5%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,458.11	\$ 1,436.79	\$ (21.32)	-1.5%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,524.16	\$ 1,501.87	\$ (22.28)	-1.5%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,590.21	\$ 1,566.96	\$ (23.25)	-1.5%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,656.26	\$ 1,632.04	\$ (24.22)	-1.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,722.31	\$ 1,697.12	\$ (25.19)	-1.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,788.37	\$ 1,762.21	\$ (26.16)	-1.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,854.42	\$ 1,827.29	\$ (27.13)	-1.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,920.47	\$ 1,892.37	\$ (28.10)	-1.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,986.52	\$ 1,957.46	\$ (29.07)	-1.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,052.30	\$ 2,022.26	\$ (30.03)	-1.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,118.07	\$ 2,087.06	\$ (31.00)	-1.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,183.84	\$ 2,151.87	\$ (31.97)	-1.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,249.61	\$ 2,216.67	\$ (32.94)	-1.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,315.38	\$ 2,281.47	\$ (33.91)	-1.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.69	\$ (0.48)	-1.3%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.35	\$ (0.97)	-1.4%
3	0	750	\$ 94.28	\$ 92.83	\$ (1.45)	-1.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 118.25	\$ 116.31	\$ (1.94)	-1.6%
5	0	1,250	\$ 142.21	\$ 139.79	\$ (2.42)	-1.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 166.18	\$ 163.27	\$ (2.91)	-1.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 214.11	\$ 210.24	\$ (3.88)	-1.8%
8	0	2,500	\$ 261.82	\$ 256.97	\$ (4.84)	-1.9%
9	0	3,000	\$ 309.52	\$ 303.70	\$ (5.81)	-1.9%
10	0	3,500	\$ 357.22	\$ 350.44	\$ (6.78)	-1.9%
11	0	4,000	\$ 404.92	\$ 397.17	\$ (7.75)	-1.9%
12	0	4,500	\$ 452.62	\$ 443.90	\$ (8.72)	-1.9%
13	0	5,000	\$ 500.33	\$ 490.64	\$ (9.69)	-1.9%
14	0	5,500	\$ 548.03	\$ 537.37	\$ (10.66)	-1.9%
15	0	6,000	\$ 595.73	\$ 584.11	\$ (11.63)	-2.0%
16	0	6,500	\$ 643.43	\$ 630.84	\$ (12.60)	-2.0%
17	0	7,000	\$ 691.14	\$ 677.57	\$ (13.56)	-2.0%
18	0	7,500	\$ 738.84	\$ 724.31	\$ (14.53)	-2.0%
19	0	8,000	\$ 786.54	\$ 771.04	\$ (15.50)	-2.0%
20	0	8,500	\$ 834.24	\$ 817.77	\$ (16.47)	-2.0%
21	0	9,000	\$ 881.95	\$ 864.51	\$ (17.44)	-2.0%
22	0	9,500	\$ 929.65	\$ 911.24	\$ (18.41)	-2.0%
23	0	10,000	\$ 977.35	\$ 957.97	\$ (19.38)	-2.0%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,025.05	\$ 1,004.71	\$ (20.35)	-2.0%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,072.76	\$ 1,051.44	\$ (21.32)	-2.0%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,120.46	\$ 1,098.17	\$ (22.28)	-2.0%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,168.16	\$ 1,144.91	\$ (23.25)	-2.0%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,215.86	\$ 1,191.64	\$ (24.22)	-2.0%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,263.56	\$ 1,238.37	\$ (25.19)	-2.0%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,311.27	\$ 1,285.11	\$ (26.16)	-2.0%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,358.97	\$ 1,331.84	\$ (27.13)	-2.0%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,406.67	\$ 1,378.57	\$ (28.10)	-2.0%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,454.37	\$ 1,425.31	\$ (29.07)	-2.0%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,501.80	\$ 1,471.76	\$ (30.03)	-2.0%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,549.22	\$ 1,518.21	\$ (31.00)	-2.0%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,596.64	\$ 1,564.67	\$ (31.97)	-2.0%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,644.06	\$ 1,611.12	\$ (32.94)	-2.0%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,691.48	\$ 1,657.57	\$ (33.91)	-2.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.69	\$ (0.48)	-1.3%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.35	\$ (0.97)	-1.4%
3	0	750	\$ 99.03	\$ 97.58	\$ (1.45)	-1.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 127.75	\$ 125.81	\$ (1.94)	-1.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 156.46	\$ 154.04	\$ (2.42)	-1.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 185.18	\$ 182.27	\$ (2.91)	-1.6%
7	0	2,000	\$ 242.61	\$ 238.74	\$ (3.88)	-1.6%
8	0	2,500	\$ 299.82	\$ 294.97	\$ (4.84)	-1.6%
9	0	3,000	\$ 357.02	\$ 351.20	\$ (5.81)	-1.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 414.22	\$ 407.44	\$ (6.78)	-1.6%
11	0	4,000	\$ 471.42	\$ 463.67	\$ (7.75)	-1.6%
12	0	4,500	\$ 528.62	\$ 519.90	\$ (8.72)	-1.6%
13	0	5,000	\$ 585.83	\$ 576.14	\$ (9.69)	-1.7%
14	0	5,500	\$ 643.03	\$ 632.37	\$ (10.66)	-1.7%
15	0	6,000	\$ 700.23	\$ 688.61	\$ (11.63)	-1.7%
16	0	6,500	\$ 757.43	\$ 744.84	\$ (12.60)	-1.7%
17	0	7,000	\$ 814.64	\$ 801.07	\$ (13.56)	-1.7%
18	0	7,500	\$ 871.84	\$ 857.31	\$ (14.53)	-1.7%
19	0	8,000	\$ 929.04	\$ 913.54	\$ (15.50)	-1.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 986.24	\$ 969.77	\$ (16.47)	-1.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,043.45	\$ 1,026.01	\$ (17.44)	-1.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,100.65	\$ 1,082.24	\$ (18.41)	-1.7%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,157.85	\$ 1,138.47	\$ (19.38)	-1.7%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,215.05	\$ 1,194.71	\$ (20.35)	-1.7%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,272.26	\$ 1,250.94	\$ (21.32)	-1.7%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,329.46	\$ 1,307.17	\$ (22.28)	-1.7%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,386.66	\$ 1,363.41	\$ (23.25)	-1.7%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,443.86	\$ 1,419.64	\$ (24.22)	-1.7%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,501.06	\$ 1,475.87	\$ (25.19)	-1.7%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,558.27	\$ 1,532.11	\$ (26.16)	-1.7%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,615.47	\$ 1,588.34	\$ (27.13)	-1.7%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,672.67	\$ 1,644.57	\$ (28.10)	-1.7%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,729.87	\$ 1,700.81	\$ (29.07)	-1.7%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,786.80	\$ 1,756.76	\$ (30.03)	-1.7%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,843.72	\$ 1,812.71	\$ (31.00)	-1.7%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,900.64	\$ 1,868.67	\$ (31.97)	-1.7%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,957.56	\$ 1,924.62	\$ (32.94)	-1.7%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,014.48	\$ 1,980.57	\$ (33.91)	-1.7%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 150.92	\$ 149.72	\$ (1.20)	-0.8%
2	10	2,000	\$ 228.50	\$ 225.11	\$ (3.39)	-1.5%
3	10	3,000	\$ 305.63	\$ 300.06	\$ (5.57)	-1.8%
4	10	4,000	\$ 382.76	\$ 375.00	\$ (7.76)	-2.0%
5	10	5,000	\$ 459.90	\$ 449.96	\$ (9.94)	-2.2%
6	10	6,000	\$ 537.00	\$ 524.88	\$ (12.12)	-2.3%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 15,726.29	\$ 15,606.57	\$ (119.72)	-0.8%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 23,382.93	\$ 23,044.81	\$ (338.12)	-1.4%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 31,039.57	\$ 30,483.05	\$ (556.52)	-1.8%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 38,696.20	\$ 37,921.28	\$ (774.92)	-2.0%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 46,352.84	\$ 45,359.52	\$ (993.32)	-2.1%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 54,009.47	\$ 52,797.75	\$ (1,211.72)	-2.2%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,020.40	\$ 5,937.75	\$ (82.65)	-1.4%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,501.42	\$ 9,313.32	\$ (188.10)	-2.0%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,982.44	\$ 12,688.89	\$ (293.55)	-2.3%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,463.46	\$ 16,064.46	\$ (399.00)	-2.4%
5	500	250,000	\$ 19,944.47	\$ 19,440.02	\$ (504.45)	-2.5%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,425.49	\$ 22,815.59	\$ (609.90)	-2.6%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 58,748.75	\$ 57,922.31	\$ (826.44)	-1.4%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 93,035.58	\$ 91,154.64	\$ (1,880.94)	-2.0%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 126,278.86	\$ 123,343.42	\$ (2,935.44)	-2.3%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 159,522.14	\$ 155,532.20	\$ (3,989.94)	-2.5%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 192,765.43	\$ 187,720.99	\$ (5,044.44)	-2.6%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 226,008.71	\$ 219,909.77	\$ (6,098.94)	-2.7%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,285.81	\$ 9,099.64	\$ (186.17)	-2.0%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 15,875.75	\$ 15,484.68	\$ (391.07)	-2.5%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,465.68	\$ 21,869.71	\$ (595.97)	-2.7%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,055.62	\$ 28,254.75	\$ (800.87)	-2.8%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 35,645.56	\$ 34,639.79	\$ (1,005.77)	-2.8%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,235.49	\$ 41,024.82	\$ (1,210.67)	-2.9%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 90,429.51	\$ 88,567.79	\$ (1,861.72)	-2.1%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 153,195.07	\$ 149,284.35	\$ (3,910.72)	-2.6%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 215,960.64	\$ 210,000.92	\$ (5,959.72)	-2.8%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 278,726.20	\$ 270,717.48	\$ (8,008.72)	-2.9%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 341,491.76	\$ 331,434.04	\$ (10,057.72)	-2.9%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 404,257.33	\$ 392,150.61	\$ (12,106.72)	-3.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 28,326.82	\$ 27,929.94	\$ (396.87)	-1.4%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 37,071.69	\$ 36,277.94	\$ (793.75)	-2.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 45,816.56	\$ 44,625.94	\$ (1,190.62)	-2.6%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 54,561.43	\$ 52,973.94	\$ (1,587.49)	-2.9%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 62,782.96	\$ 60,798.60	\$ (1,984.37)	-3.2%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 70,901.07	\$ 68,519.83	\$ (2,381.24)	-3.4%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 276,646.92	\$ 272,678.19	\$ (3,968.73)	-1.4%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 357,828.05	\$ 349,890.58	\$ (7,937.47)	-2.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 439,009.18	\$ 427,102.97	\$ (11,906.20)	-2.7%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 520,190.30	\$ 504,315.36	\$ (15,874.94)	-3.1%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 601,371.43	\$ 581,527.75	\$ (19,843.67)	-3.3%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 682,552.55	\$ 658,740.14	\$ (23,812.41)	-3.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	1,000	24	\$ 17.82	\$ 17.77	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
3	2,000	56	\$ 19.30	\$ 19.18	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
4	2,500	70	\$ 19.99	\$ 19.83	\$ (0.16)	-0.8%
5	4,000	126	\$ 22.60	\$ 22.33	\$ (0.27)	-1.2%
6	6,000	157	\$ 24.05	\$ 23.71	\$ (0.34)	-1.4%
7	10,000	242	\$ 28.01	\$ 27.49	\$ (0.52)	-1.9%
8	15,000	282	\$ 29.90	\$ 29.28	\$ (0.62)	-2.1%
9	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
10	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
11	100	43	\$ 7.83	\$ 7.74	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
12	175	69	\$ 8.29	\$ 8.14	\$ (0.15)	-1.8%
13	250	104	\$ 10.13	\$ 9.90	\$ (0.23)	-2.3%
14	400	158	\$ 12.66	\$ 12.32	\$ (0.34)	-2.7%
15	700	287	\$ 19.16	\$ 18.53	\$ (0.63)	-3.3%
16	1,000	380	\$ 23.16	\$ 22.33	\$ (0.83)	-3.6%
17	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
18	100	43	\$ 15.65	\$ 15.56	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
19	175	69	\$ 16.17	\$ 16.02	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
20	250	104	\$ 18.95	\$ 18.72	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
21	250**	208	\$ 26.90	\$ 26.45	\$ (0.45)	-1.7%
22	400	158	\$ 20.90	\$ 20.56	\$ (0.34)	-1.6%
23	400**	316	\$ 31.57	\$ 30.88	\$ (0.69)	-2.2%
24	700	287	\$ 28.92	\$ 28.29	\$ (0.63)	-2.2%
25	1000	380	\$ 33.04	\$ 32.21	\$ (0.83)	-2.5%
26	1000**	760	\$ 56.97	\$ 55.31	\$ (1.66)	-2.9%
27	Underground Service - Post Type					
28	100	43	\$ 10.67	\$ 10.58	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
29	175	69	\$ 11.66	\$ 11.51	\$ (0.15)	-1.3%
30	250	104	\$ 14.55	\$ 14.32	\$ (0.23)	-1.6%
31	Underground Service - Pole Type					
32	100	43	\$ 18.05	\$ 17.96	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
33	175	69	\$ 18.87	\$ 18.72	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
34	250	104	\$ 23.35	\$ 23.12	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
35	400	158	\$ 26.19	\$ 25.85	\$ (0.34)	-1.3%
36	700	287	\$ 50.86	\$ 50.23	\$ (0.63)	-1.2%
37	1000	380	\$ 54.69	\$ 53.86	\$ (0.83)	-1.5%
38	1000**	760	\$ 76.93	\$ 75.27	\$ (1.66)	-2.2%
39	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
40	175	69	\$ 10.72	\$ 10.57	\$ (0.15)	-1.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
41	250	104	\$ 12.79	\$ 12.56	\$ (0.23)	-1.8%
42	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
43	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
44	70	29	\$ 7.72	\$ 7.65	\$ (0.07)	-0.9%
45	100	42	\$ 8.02	\$ 7.93	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
46	150	62	\$ 8.58	\$ 8.44	\$ (0.14)	-1.6%
47	215	89	\$ 10.04	\$ 9.85	\$ (0.19)	-1.9%
48	250	105	\$ 10.43	\$ 10.20	\$ (0.23)	-2.2%
49	400	163	\$ 13.10	\$ 12.74	\$ (0.36)	-2.7%
50	1000	410	\$ 26.98	\$ 26.08	\$ (0.90)	-3.3%
51	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
52	70	29	\$ 15.51	\$ 15.44	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
53	100	42	\$ 15.85	\$ 15.76	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
54	150	62	\$ 17.45	\$ 17.31	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
55	215	89	\$ 18.83	\$ 18.64	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
56	250	105	\$ 19.23	\$ 19.00	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
57	400	163	\$ 22.91	\$ 22.55	\$ (0.36)	-1.6%
58	1000	410	\$ 35.95	\$ 35.05	\$ (0.90)	-2.5%
59	Underground Service - Post Type					
60	70	29	\$ 10.82	\$ 10.75	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
61	100	42	\$ 11.46	\$ 11.37	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
62	150	62	\$ 12.67	\$ 12.53	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service - Pole Type					
64	70	29	\$ 17.81	\$ 17.74	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
65	100	42	\$ 18.44	\$ 18.35	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
66	150	62	\$ 21.87	\$ 21.73	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
67	200	88	\$ 23.78	\$ 23.58	\$ (0.20)	-0.8%
68	215	89	\$ 21.43	\$ 21.24	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
69	250	105	\$ 24.37	\$ 24.14	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
70	310	128	\$ 26.41	\$ 26.13	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
71	400	163	\$ 44.78	\$ 44.42	\$ (0.36)	-0.8%
72	400**	326	\$ 56.70	\$ 55.98	\$ (0.72)	-1.3%
73	1000	410	\$ 59.74	\$ 58.84	\$ (0.90)	-1.5%
74	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
75	70	29	\$ 11.38	\$ 11.31	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
76	100	42	\$ 12.71	\$ 12.62	\$ (0.09)	-0.7%
77	150	62	\$ 13.42	\$ 13.28	\$ (0.14)	-1.0%
78	215	89	\$ 13.34	\$ 13.15	\$ (0.19)	-1.4%
79	250	105	\$ 15.85	\$ 15.62	\$ (0.23)	-1.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
80	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
81	N/A	25	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.21	\$ (0.05)	-4.0%
82	N/A	50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.39	\$ (0.11)	-4.4%
83	N/A	75	\$ 3.76	\$ 3.60	\$ (0.16)	-4.3%
84	N/A	100	\$ 5.02	\$ 4.80	\$ (0.22)	-4.4%
85	N/A	125	\$ 6.26	\$ 5.99	\$ (0.27)	-4.3%
86	N/A	150	\$ 7.50	\$ 7.18	\$ (0.32)	-4.3%
87	N/A	175	\$ 8.77	\$ 8.39	\$ (0.38)	-4.3%
88	N/A	200	\$ 10.03	\$ 9.60	\$ (0.43)	-4.3%
89	N/A	225	\$ 11.27	\$ 10.78	\$ (0.49)	-4.3%
90	N/A	250	\$ 12.51	\$ 11.97	\$ (0.54)	-4.3%
91	N/A	275	\$ 13.77	\$ 13.16	\$ (0.61)	-4.4%
92	N/A	300	\$ 15.03	\$ 14.37	\$ (0.66)	-4.4%
93	N/A	325	\$ 16.28	\$ 15.57	\$ (0.71)	-4.4%
94	N/A	350	\$ 17.52	\$ 16.75	\$ (0.77)	-4.4%
95	N/A	375	\$ 18.78	\$ 17.96	\$ (0.82)	-4.4%
96	N/A	400	\$ 20.01	\$ 19.13	\$ (0.88)	-4.4%
97	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
98	N/A	25	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.83	\$ (0.05)	-2.7%
99	N/A	50	\$ 3.73	\$ 3.62	\$ (0.11)	-2.9%
100	N/A	75	\$ 5.61	\$ 5.45	\$ (0.16)	-2.9%
101	N/A	100	\$ 7.49	\$ 7.27	\$ (0.22)	-2.9%
102	N/A	125	\$ 9.35	\$ 9.08	\$ (0.27)	-2.9%
103	N/A	150	\$ 11.20	\$ 10.88	\$ (0.32)	-2.9%
104	N/A	175	\$ 13.08	\$ 12.70	\$ (0.38)	-2.9%
105	N/A	200	\$ 14.96	\$ 14.53	\$ (0.43)	-2.9%
106	N/A	225	\$ 16.82	\$ 16.33	\$ (0.49)	-2.9%
107	N/A	250	\$ 18.67	\$ 18.13	\$ (0.54)	-2.9%
108	N/A	275	\$ 20.55	\$ 19.94	\$ (0.61)	-3.0%
109	N/A	300	\$ 22.43	\$ 21.77	\$ (0.66)	-2.9%
110	N/A	325	\$ 24.30	\$ 23.59	\$ (0.71)	-2.9%
111	N/A	350	\$ 26.15	\$ 25.38	\$ (0.77)	-2.9%
112	N/A	375	\$ 28.03	\$ 27.21	\$ (0.82)	-2.9%
113	N/A	400	\$ 29.88	\$ 29.00	\$ (0.88)	-2.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

115	N/A	25	\$	2.65	\$	2.60	\$	(0.05)	-1.9%
116	N/A	50	\$	5.27	\$	5.16	\$	(0.11)	-2.1%
117	N/A	75	\$	7.92	\$	7.76	\$	(0.16)	-2.0%
118	N/A	100	\$	10.57	\$	10.35	\$	(0.22)	-2.1%
119	N/A	125	\$	13.19	\$	12.92	\$	(0.27)	-2.0%
120	N/A	150	\$	15.82	\$	15.50	\$	(0.32)	-2.0%
121	N/A	175	\$	18.47	\$	18.09	\$	(0.38)	-2.1%
122	N/A	200	\$	21.11	\$	20.68	\$	(0.43)	-2.0%
123	N/A	225	\$	23.74	\$	23.25	\$	(0.49)	-2.1%
124	N/A	250	\$	26.37	\$	25.83	\$	(0.54)	-2.0%
125	N/A	275	\$	29.01	\$	28.40	\$	(0.61)	-2.1%
126	N/A	300	\$	31.66	\$	31.00	\$	(0.66)	-2.1%
127	N/A	325	\$	34.29	\$	33.58	\$	(0.71)	-2.1%
128	N/A	350	\$	36.92	\$	36.15	\$	(0.77)	-2.1%
129	N/A	375	\$	39.57	\$	38.75	\$	(0.82)	-2.1%
130	N/A	400	\$	42.18	\$	41.30	\$	(0.88)	-2.1%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 10.49	\$ 10.35	\$ (0.14)	-1.3%
4	400	158	\$ 17.44	\$ 17.11	\$ (0.33)	-1.9%
5	1,000	380	\$ 31.43	\$ 30.61	\$ (0.82)	-2.6%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 14.89	\$ 14.75	\$ (0.14)	-0.9%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 10.17	\$ 10.09	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
11	250	105	\$ 16.18	\$ 15.97	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
12	400	163	\$ 20.28	\$ 19.93	\$ (0.35)	-1.7%
13	All Other Installations					
14	100	42	\$ 15.20	\$ 15.12	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
15	Metal Halide Lighting					
16	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
17	15,000	73	\$ 15.45	\$ 15.30	\$ (0.15)	-1.0%
18	23,000	111	\$ 17.62	\$ 17.39	\$ (0.23)	-1.3%
19	40,000	172	\$ 21.15	\$ 20.78	\$ (0.37)	-1.7%
20	All Other Installations					
21	15,000	73	\$ 25.23	\$ 25.08	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
22	23,000	111	\$ 27.40	\$ 27.17	\$ (0.23)	-0.8%
23	40,000	172	\$ 30.93	\$ 30.56	\$ (0.37)	-1.2%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 6.53	\$ 6.31	\$ (0.22)	-3.4%
2	0	200	\$ 13.00	\$ 12.57	\$ (0.43)	-3.3%
3	0	300	\$ 19.47	\$ 18.81	\$ (0.66)	-3.4%
4	0	400	\$ 25.93	\$ 25.05	\$ (0.88)	-3.4%
5	0	500	\$ 32.42	\$ 31.33	\$ (1.09)	-3.4%
6	0	600	\$ 38.91	\$ 37.60	\$ (1.31)	-3.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 160.07	\$ 158.87	\$ (1.20)	-0.7%
2	10	2,000	\$ 246.79	\$ 243.40	\$ (3.39)	-1.4%
3	10	3,000	\$ 333.07	\$ 327.50	\$ (5.57)	-1.7%
4	10	4,000	\$ 419.35	\$ 411.59	\$ (7.76)	-1.9%
5	10	5,000	\$ 505.64	\$ 495.70	\$ (9.94)	-2.0%
6	10	6,000	\$ 591.88	\$ 579.76	\$ (12.12)	-2.0%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 16,640.99	\$ 16,521.27	\$ (119.72)	-0.7%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 25,212.33	\$ 24,874.21	\$ (338.12)	-1.3%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 33,783.67	\$ 33,227.15	\$ (556.52)	-1.6%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 42,355.00	\$ 41,580.08	\$ (774.92)	-1.8%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 50,926.34	\$ 49,933.02	\$ (993.32)	-2.0%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 59,497.67	\$ 58,285.95	\$ (1,211.72)	-2.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,461.90	\$ 6,379.25	\$ (82.65)	-1.3%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,384.42	\$ 10,196.32	\$ (188.10)	-1.8%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,306.94	\$ 14,013.39	\$ (293.55)	-2.1%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,229.46	\$ 17,830.46	\$ (399.00)	-2.2%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,151.97	\$ 21,647.52	\$ (504.45)	-2.3%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,074.49	\$ 25,464.59	\$ (609.90)	-2.3%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 63,163.75	\$ 62,337.31	\$ (826.44)	-1.3%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 101,865.58	\$ 99,984.64	\$ (1,880.94)	-1.8%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 139,523.86	\$ 136,588.42	\$ (2,935.44)	-2.1%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 177,182.14	\$ 173,192.20	\$ (3,989.94)	-2.3%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 214,840.43	\$ 209,795.99	\$ (5,044.44)	-2.3%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 252,498.71	\$ 246,399.77	\$ (6,098.94)	-2.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,144.01	\$ 9,957.84	\$ (186.17)	-1.8%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,592.15	\$ 17,201.08	\$ (391.07)	-2.2%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,040.28	\$ 24,444.31	\$ (595.97)	-2.4%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,488.42	\$ 31,687.55	\$ (800.87)	-2.5%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 39,936.56	\$ 38,930.79	\$ (1,005.77)	-2.5%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 47,384.69	\$ 46,174.02	\$ (1,210.67)	-2.6%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 99,011.51	\$ 97,149.79	\$ (1,861.72)	-1.9%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 170,359.07	\$ 166,448.35	\$ (3,910.72)	-2.3%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 241,706.64	\$ 235,746.92	\$ (5,959.72)	-2.5%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 313,054.20	\$ 305,045.48	\$ (8,008.72)	-2.6%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 384,401.76	\$ 374,344.04	\$ (10,057.72)	-2.6%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 455,749.33	\$ 443,642.61	\$ (12,106.72)	-2.7%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 30,041.42	\$ 29,644.54	\$ (396.87)	-1.3%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 40,500.89	\$ 39,707.14	\$ (793.75)	-2.0%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 50,960.36	\$ 49,769.74	\$ (1,190.62)	-2.3%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 61,419.83	\$ 59,832.34	\$ (1,587.49)	-2.6%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 71,355.96	\$ 69,371.60	\$ (1,984.37)	-2.8%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 81,188.67	\$ 78,807.43	\$ (2,381.24)	-2.9%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 293,792.92	\$ 289,824.19	\$ (3,968.73)	-1.4%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 392,120.05	\$ 384,182.58	\$ (7,937.47)	-2.0%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 490,447.18	\$ 478,540.97	\$ (11,906.20)	-2.4%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 588,774.30	\$ 572,899.36	\$ (15,874.94)	-2.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 687,101.43	\$ 667,257.75	\$ (19,843.67)	-2.9%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 785,428.55	\$ 761,616.14	\$ (23,812.41)	-3.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	1,000	24	\$ 18.04	\$ 17.99	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
3	2,000	56	\$ 19.81	\$ 19.69	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
4	2,500	70	\$ 20.63	\$ 20.47	\$ (0.16)	-0.8%
5	4,000	126	\$ 23.75	\$ 23.48	\$ (0.27)	-1.1%
6	6,000	157	\$ 25.49	\$ 25.15	\$ (0.34)	-1.3%
7	10,000	242	\$ 30.23	\$ 29.71	\$ (0.52)	-1.7%
8	15,000	282	\$ 32.48	\$ 31.86	\$ (0.62)	-1.9%
9	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
10	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
11	100	43	\$ 8.23	\$ 8.14	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
12	175	69	\$ 8.92	\$ 8.77	\$ (0.15)	-1.7%
13	250	104	\$ 11.08	\$ 10.85	\$ (0.23)	-2.1%
14	400	158	\$ 14.11	\$ 13.77	\$ (0.34)	-2.4%
15	700	287	\$ 21.79	\$ 21.16	\$ (0.63)	-2.9%
16	1,000	380	\$ 26.63	\$ 25.80	\$ (0.83)	-3.1%
17	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
18	100	43	\$ 16.05	\$ 15.96	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
19	175	69	\$ 16.80	\$ 16.65	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
20	250	104	\$ 19.90	\$ 19.67	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
21	250**	208	\$ 28.80	\$ 28.35	\$ (0.45)	-1.6%
22	400	158	\$ 22.35	\$ 22.01	\$ (0.34)	-1.5%
23	400**	316	\$ 34.46	\$ 33.77	\$ (0.69)	-2.0%
24	700	287	\$ 31.55	\$ 30.92	\$ (0.63)	-2.0%
25	1000	380	\$ 36.51	\$ 35.68	\$ (0.83)	-2.3%
26	1000**	760	\$ 63.93	\$ 62.27	\$ (1.66)	-2.6%
27	Underground Service - Post Type					
28	100	43	\$ 11.07	\$ 10.98	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
29	175	69	\$ 12.29	\$ 12.14	\$ (0.15)	-1.2%
30	250	104	\$ 15.50	\$ 15.27	\$ (0.23)	-1.5%
31	Underground Service - Pole Type					
32	100	43	\$ 18.45	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
33	175	69	\$ 19.50	\$ 19.35	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
34	250	104	\$ 24.30	\$ 24.07	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
35	400	158	\$ 27.64	\$ 27.30	\$ (0.34)	-1.2%
36	700	287	\$ 53.49	\$ 52.86	\$ (0.63)	-1.2%
37	1000	380	\$ 58.16	\$ 57.33	\$ (0.83)	-1.4%
38	1000**	760	\$ 83.89	\$ 82.23	\$ (1.66)	-2.0%
39	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
40	175	69	\$ 11.35	\$ 11.20	\$ (0.15)	-1.3%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
41	250	104	\$ 13.74	\$ 13.51	\$ (0.23)	-1.7%
42	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
43	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
44	70	29	\$ 7.98	\$ 7.91	\$ (0.07)	-0.9%
45	100	42	\$ 8.40	\$ 8.31	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
46	150	62	\$ 9.15	\$ 9.01	\$ (0.14)	-1.5%
47	215	89	\$ 10.86	\$ 10.67	\$ (0.19)	-1.7%
48	250	105	\$ 11.39	\$ 11.16	\$ (0.23)	-2.0%
49	400	163	\$ 14.59	\$ 14.23	\$ (0.36)	-2.5%
50	1000	410	\$ 30.73	\$ 29.83	\$ (0.90)	-2.9%
51	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
52	70	29	\$ 15.77	\$ 15.70	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
53	100	42	\$ 16.23	\$ 16.14	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
54	150	62	\$ 18.02	\$ 17.88	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
55	215	89	\$ 19.65	\$ 19.46	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
56	250	105	\$ 20.19	\$ 19.96	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
57	400	163	\$ 24.40	\$ 24.04	\$ (0.36)	-1.5%
58	1000	410	\$ 39.70	\$ 38.80	\$ (0.90)	-2.3%
59	Underground Service - Post Type					
60	70	29	\$ 11.08	\$ 11.01	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
61	100	42	\$ 11.84	\$ 11.75	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
62	150	62	\$ 13.24	\$ 13.10	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service - Pole Type					
64	70	29	\$ 18.07	\$ 18.00	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
65	100	42	\$ 18.82	\$ 18.73	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
66	150	62	\$ 22.44	\$ 22.30	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
67	200	88	\$ 24.59	\$ 24.39	\$ (0.20)	-0.8%
68	215	89	\$ 22.25	\$ 22.06	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
69	250	105	\$ 25.33	\$ 25.10	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
70	310	128	\$ 27.58	\$ 27.30	\$ (0.28)	-1.0%
71	400	163	\$ 46.27	\$ 45.91	\$ (0.36)	-0.8%
72	400**	326	\$ 59.68	\$ 58.96	\$ (0.72)	-1.2%
73	1000	410	\$ 63.49	\$ 62.59	\$ (0.90)	-1.4%
74	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
75	70	29	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.57	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
76	100	42	\$ 13.09	\$ 13.00	\$ (0.09)	-0.7%
77	150	62	\$ 13.99	\$ 13.85	\$ (0.14)	-1.0%
78	215	89	\$ 14.16	\$ 13.97	\$ (0.19)	-1.3%
79	250	105	\$ 16.81	\$ 16.58	\$ (0.23)	-1.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
80	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
81	N/A	25	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.43	\$ (0.05)	-3.4%
82	N/A	50	\$ 2.96	\$ 2.85	\$ (0.11)	-3.7%
83	N/A	75	\$ 4.44	\$ 4.28	\$ (0.16)	-3.6%
84	N/A	100	\$ 5.94	\$ 5.72	\$ (0.22)	-3.7%
85	N/A	125	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.13	\$ (0.27)	-3.6%
86	N/A	150	\$ 8.88	\$ 8.56	\$ (0.32)	-3.6%
87	N/A	175	\$ 10.37	\$ 9.99	\$ (0.38)	-3.7%
88	N/A	200	\$ 11.86	\$ 11.43	\$ (0.43)	-3.6%
89	N/A	225	\$ 13.33	\$ 12.84	\$ (0.49)	-3.7%
90	N/A	250	\$ 14.79	\$ 14.25	\$ (0.54)	-3.7%
91	N/A	275	\$ 16.29	\$ 15.68	\$ (0.61)	-3.7%
92	N/A	300	\$ 17.77	\$ 17.11	\$ (0.66)	-3.7%
93	N/A	325	\$ 19.26	\$ 18.55	\$ (0.71)	-3.7%
94	N/A	350	\$ 20.72	\$ 19.95	\$ (0.77)	-3.7%
95	N/A	375	\$ 22.21	\$ 21.39	\$ (0.82)	-3.7%
96	N/A	400	\$ 23.67	\$ 22.79	\$ (0.88)	-3.7%
97	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
98	N/A	25	\$ 2.10	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.05)	-2.4%
99	N/A	50	\$ 4.19	\$ 4.08	\$ (0.11)	-2.6%
100	N/A	75	\$ 6.29	\$ 6.13	\$ (0.16)	-2.5%
101	N/A	100	\$ 8.41	\$ 8.19	\$ (0.22)	-2.6%
102	N/A	125	\$ 10.49	\$ 10.22	\$ (0.27)	-2.6%
103	N/A	150	\$ 12.58	\$ 12.26	\$ (0.32)	-2.5%
104	N/A	175	\$ 14.68	\$ 14.30	\$ (0.38)	-2.6%
105	N/A	200	\$ 16.79	\$ 16.36	\$ (0.43)	-2.6%
106	N/A	225	\$ 18.88	\$ 18.39	\$ (0.49)	-2.6%
107	N/A	250	\$ 20.95	\$ 20.41	\$ (0.54)	-2.6%
108	N/A	275	\$ 23.07	\$ 22.46	\$ (0.61)	-2.6%
109	N/A	300	\$ 25.17	\$ 24.51	\$ (0.66)	-2.6%
110	N/A	325	\$ 27.28	\$ 26.57	\$ (0.71)	-2.6%
111	N/A	350	\$ 29.35	\$ 28.58	\$ (0.77)	-2.6%
112	N/A	375	\$ 31.46	\$ 30.64	\$ (0.82)	-2.6%
113	N/A	400	\$ 33.54	\$ 32.66	\$ (0.88)	-2.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

115	N/A	25	\$	2.87	\$	2.82	\$	(0.05)	-1.7%
116	N/A	50	\$	5.73	\$	5.62	\$	(0.11)	-1.9%
117	N/A	75	\$	8.60	\$	8.44	\$	(0.16)	-1.9%
118	N/A	100	\$	11.49	\$	11.27	\$	(0.22)	-1.9%
119	N/A	125	\$	14.33	\$	14.06	\$	(0.27)	-1.9%
120	N/A	150	\$	17.20	\$	16.88	\$	(0.32)	-1.9%
121	N/A	175	\$	20.07	\$	19.69	\$	(0.38)	-1.9%
122	N/A	200	\$	22.94	\$	22.51	\$	(0.43)	-1.9%
123	N/A	225	\$	25.80	\$	25.31	\$	(0.49)	-1.9%
124	N/A	250	\$	28.65	\$	28.11	\$	(0.54)	-1.9%
125	N/A	275	\$	31.53	\$	30.92	\$	(0.61)	-1.9%
126	N/A	300	\$	34.40	\$	33.74	\$	(0.66)	-1.9%
127	N/A	325	\$	37.27	\$	36.56	\$	(0.71)	-1.9%
128	N/A	350	\$	40.12	\$	39.35	\$	(0.77)	-1.9%
129	N/A	375	\$	43.00	\$	42.18	\$	(0.82)	-1.9%
130	N/A	400	\$	45.84	\$	44.96	\$	(0.88)	-1.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 11.09	\$ 10.95	\$ (0.14)	-1.3%
4	400	158	\$ 18.85	\$ 18.52	\$ (0.33)	-1.7%
5	1,000	380	\$ 34.86	\$ 34.04	\$ (0.82)	-2.3%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 15.49	\$ 15.35	\$ (0.14)	-0.9%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 10.51	\$ 10.44	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
11	250	105	\$ 17.11	\$ 16.89	\$ (0.22)	-1.3%
12	400	163	\$ 21.74	\$ 21.39	\$ (0.35)	-1.6%
13	All Other Installations					
14	100	42	\$ 15.54	\$ 15.47	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
15	Metal Halide Lighting					
16	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
17	15,000	73	\$ 16.09	\$ 15.94	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
18	23,000	111	\$ 18.61	\$ 18.38	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
19	40,000	172	\$ 22.68	\$ 22.32	\$ (0.37)	-1.6%
20	All Other Installations					
21	15,000	73	\$ 25.87	\$ 25.72	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
22	23,000	111	\$ 28.39	\$ 28.16	\$ (0.23)	-0.8%
23	40,000	172	\$ 32.46	\$ 32.10	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 7.45	\$ 7.23	\$ (0.22)	-3.0%
2	0	200	\$ 14.83	\$ 14.40	\$ (0.43)	-2.9%
3	0	300	\$ 22.21	\$ 21.55	\$ (0.66)	-3.0%
4	0	400	\$ 29.59	\$ 28.71	\$ (0.88)	-3.0%
5	0	500	\$ 36.99	\$ 35.90	\$ (1.09)	-2.9%
6	0	600	\$ 44.40	\$ 43.09	\$ (1.31)	-3.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Winter Bill	Proposed Winter Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.13	\$ 33.45	\$ (0.68)	-2.0%
2	0	500	\$ 64.23	\$ 62.86	\$ (1.36)	-2.1%
3	0	750	\$ 94.32	\$ 92.28	\$ (2.05)	-2.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 124.42	\$ 121.69	\$ (2.73)	-2.2%
5	0	1,250	\$ 154.52	\$ 151.11	\$ (3.41)	-2.2%
6	0	1,500	\$ 184.61	\$ 180.52	\$ (4.09)	-2.2%
7	0	2,000	\$ 244.81	\$ 239.35	\$ (5.46)	-2.2%
8	0	2,500	\$ 304.77	\$ 297.95	\$ (6.82)	-2.2%
9	0	3,000	\$ 364.74	\$ 356.55	\$ (8.18)	-2.2%
10	0	3,500	\$ 424.70	\$ 415.15	\$ (9.55)	-2.2%
11	0	4,000	\$ 484.67	\$ 473.75	\$ (10.91)	-2.3%
12	0	4,500	\$ 544.63	\$ 532.35	\$ (12.27)	-2.3%
13	0	5,000	\$ 604.59	\$ 590.95	\$ (13.64)	-2.3%
14	0	5,500	\$ 664.56	\$ 649.56	\$ (15.00)	-2.3%
15	0	6,000	\$ 724.52	\$ 708.16	\$ (16.37)	-2.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 784.49	\$ 766.76	\$ (17.73)	-2.3%
17	0	7,000	\$ 844.45	\$ 825.36	\$ (19.09)	-2.3%
18	0	7,500	\$ 904.41	\$ 883.96	\$ (20.46)	-2.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 964.38	\$ 942.56	\$ (21.82)	-2.3%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,024.34	\$ 1,001.16	\$ (23.19)	-2.3%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,084.31	\$ 1,059.76	\$ (24.55)	-2.3%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,144.27	\$ 1,118.36	\$ (25.91)	-2.3%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,204.23	\$ 1,176.96	\$ (27.28)	-2.3%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,264.20	\$ 1,235.56	\$ (28.64)	-2.3%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,324.16	\$ 1,294.16	\$ (30.00)	-2.3%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,384.13	\$ 1,352.76	\$ (31.37)	-2.3%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,444.09	\$ 1,411.36	\$ (32.73)	-2.3%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,504.05	\$ 1,469.96	\$ (34.10)	-2.3%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,564.02	\$ 1,528.56	\$ (35.46)	-2.3%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,623.98	\$ 1,587.16	\$ (36.82)	-2.3%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,683.95	\$ 1,645.76	\$ (38.19)	-2.3%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,743.91	\$ 1,704.36	\$ (39.55)	-2.3%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,803.87	\$ 1,762.96	\$ (40.92)	-2.3%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,863.56	\$ 1,821.28	\$ (42.28)	-2.3%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,923.24	\$ 1,879.60	\$ (43.64)	-2.3%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,982.92	\$ 1,937.92	\$ (45.01)	-2.3%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,042.61	\$ 1,996.24	\$ (46.37)	-2.3%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,102.29	\$ 2,054.56	\$ (47.73)	-2.3%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Winter Bill	Proposed Winter Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 25.48	\$ 24.80	\$ (0.68)	-2.7%
2	0	500	\$ 46.93	\$ 45.56	\$ (1.36)	-2.9%
3	0	750	\$ 59.37	\$ 57.33	\$ (2.05)	-3.4%
4	0	1,000	\$ 71.82	\$ 69.09	\$ (2.73)	-3.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 84.27	\$ 80.86	\$ (3.41)	-4.0%
6	0	1,500	\$ 96.71	\$ 92.62	\$ (4.09)	-4.2%
7	0	2,000	\$ 121.61	\$ 116.15	\$ (5.46)	-4.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 146.27	\$ 139.45	\$ (6.82)	-4.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 170.94	\$ 162.75	\$ (8.18)	-4.8%
10	0	3,500	\$ 195.60	\$ 186.05	\$ (9.55)	-4.9%
11	0	4,000	\$ 220.27	\$ 209.35	\$ (10.91)	-5.0%
12	0	4,500	\$ 244.93	\$ 232.65	\$ (12.27)	-5.0%
13	0	5,000	\$ 269.59	\$ 255.95	\$ (13.64)	-5.1%
14	0	5,500	\$ 294.26	\$ 279.26	\$ (15.00)	-5.1%
15	0	6,000	\$ 318.92	\$ 302.56	\$ (16.37)	-5.1%
16	0	6,500	\$ 343.59	\$ 325.86	\$ (17.73)	-5.2%
17	0	7,000	\$ 368.25	\$ 349.16	\$ (19.09)	-5.2%
18	0	7,500	\$ 392.91	\$ 372.46	\$ (20.46)	-5.2%
19	0	8,000	\$ 417.58	\$ 395.76	\$ (21.82)	-5.2%
20	0	8,500	\$ 442.24	\$ 419.06	\$ (23.19)	-5.2%
21	0	9,000	\$ 466.91	\$ 442.36	\$ (24.55)	-5.3%
22	0	9,500	\$ 491.57	\$ 465.66	\$ (25.91)	-5.3%
23	0	10,000	\$ 516.23	\$ 488.96	\$ (27.28)	-5.3%
24	0	10,500	\$ 540.90	\$ 512.26	\$ (28.64)	-5.3%
25	0	11,000	\$ 565.56	\$ 535.56	\$ (30.00)	-5.3%
26	0	11,500	\$ 590.23	\$ 558.86	\$ (31.37)	-5.3%
27	0	12,000	\$ 614.89	\$ 582.16	\$ (32.73)	-5.3%
28	0	12,500	\$ 639.55	\$ 605.46	\$ (34.10)	-5.3%
29	0	13,000	\$ 664.22	\$ 628.76	\$ (35.46)	-5.3%
30	0	13,500	\$ 688.88	\$ 652.06	\$ (36.82)	-5.3%
31	0	14,000	\$ 713.55	\$ 675.36	\$ (38.19)	-5.4%
32	0	14,500	\$ 738.21	\$ 698.66	\$ (39.55)	-5.4%
33	0	15,000	\$ 762.87	\$ 721.96	\$ (40.92)	-5.4%
34	0	15,500	\$ 787.26	\$ 744.98	\$ (42.28)	-5.4%
35	0	16,000	\$ 811.64	\$ 768.00	\$ (43.64)	-5.4%
36	0	16,500	\$ 836.02	\$ 791.02	\$ (45.01)	-5.4%
37	0	17,000	\$ 860.41	\$ 814.04	\$ (46.37)	-5.4%
38	0	17,500	\$ 884.79	\$ 837.06	\$ (47.73)	-5.4%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line	Level of	Level of	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
No.	Demand	Usage	Winter Bill	Winter Bill	Increase	Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.13	\$ 33.45	\$ (0.68)	-2.0%
2	0	500	\$ 64.23	\$ 62.86	\$ (1.36)	-2.1%
3	0	750	\$ 88.82	\$ 86.78	\$ (2.05)	-2.3%
4	0	1,000	\$ 113.42	\$ 110.69	\$ (2.73)	-2.4%
5	0	1,250	\$ 138.02	\$ 134.61	\$ (3.41)	-2.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 162.61	\$ 158.52	\$ (4.09)	-2.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 211.81	\$ 206.35	\$ (5.46)	-2.6%
8	0	2,500	\$ 260.77	\$ 253.95	\$ (6.82)	-2.6%
9	0	3,000	\$ 309.74	\$ 301.55	\$ (8.18)	-2.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 358.70	\$ 349.15	\$ (9.55)	-2.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 407.67	\$ 396.75	\$ (10.91)	-2.7%
12	0	4,500	\$ 456.63	\$ 444.35	\$ (12.27)	-2.7%
13	0	5,000	\$ 505.59	\$ 491.95	\$ (13.64)	-2.7%
14	0	5,500	\$ 554.56	\$ 539.56	\$ (15.00)	-2.7%
15	0	6,000	\$ 603.52	\$ 587.16	\$ (16.37)	-2.7%
16	0	6,500	\$ 652.49	\$ 634.76	\$ (17.73)	-2.7%
17	0	7,000	\$ 701.45	\$ 682.36	\$ (19.09)	-2.7%
18	0	7,500	\$ 750.41	\$ 729.96	\$ (20.46)	-2.7%
19	0	8,000	\$ 799.38	\$ 777.56	\$ (21.82)	-2.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 848.34	\$ 825.16	\$ (23.19)	-2.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 897.31	\$ 872.76	\$ (24.55)	-2.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 946.27	\$ 920.36	\$ (25.91)	-2.7%
23	0	10,000	\$ 995.23	\$ 967.96	\$ (27.28)	-2.7%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,044.20	\$ 1,015.56	\$ (28.64)	-2.7%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,093.16	\$ 1,063.16	\$ (30.00)	-2.7%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,142.13	\$ 1,110.76	\$ (31.37)	-2.7%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,191.09	\$ 1,158.36	\$ (32.73)	-2.7%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,240.05	\$ 1,205.96	\$ (34.10)	-2.7%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,289.02	\$ 1,253.56	\$ (35.46)	-2.8%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,337.98	\$ 1,301.16	\$ (36.82)	-2.8%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,386.95	\$ 1,348.76	\$ (38.19)	-2.8%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,435.91	\$ 1,396.36	\$ (39.55)	-2.8%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,484.87	\$ 1,443.96	\$ (40.92)	-2.8%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,533.56	\$ 1,491.28	\$ (42.28)	-2.8%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,582.24	\$ 1,538.60	\$ (43.64)	-2.8%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,630.92	\$ 1,585.92	\$ (45.01)	-2.8%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,679.61	\$ 1,633.24	\$ (46.37)	-2.8%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,728.29	\$ 1,680.56	\$ (47.73)	-2.8%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Summer Bill	Proposed Summer Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.733	\$ (0.68)	-1.9%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.435	\$ (1.36)	-2.0%
3	0	750	\$ 101.18	\$ 99.137	\$ (2.05)	-2.0%
4	0	1,000	\$ 133.57	\$ 130.840	\$ (2.73)	-2.0%
5	0	1,250	\$ 165.95	\$ 162.542	\$ (3.41)	-2.1%
6	0	1,500	\$ 198.34	\$ 194.244	\$ (4.09)	-2.1%
7	0	2,000	\$ 263.10	\$ 257.648	\$ (5.46)	-2.1%
8	0	2,500	\$ 327.64	\$ 320.822	\$ (6.82)	-2.1%
9	0	3,000	\$ 392.18	\$ 383.995	\$ (8.18)	-2.1%
10	0	3,500	\$ 456.72	\$ 447.169	\$ (9.55)	-2.1%
11	0	4,000	\$ 521.25	\$ 510.343	\$ (10.91)	-2.1%
12	0	4,500	\$ 585.79	\$ 573.516	\$ (12.27)	-2.1%
13	0	5,000	\$ 650.33	\$ 636.690	\$ (13.64)	-2.1%
14	0	5,500	\$ 714.87	\$ 699.864	\$ (15.00)	-2.1%
15	0	6,000	\$ 779.40	\$ 763.037	\$ (16.37)	-2.1%
16	0	6,500	\$ 843.94	\$ 826.211	\$ (17.73)	-2.1%
17	0	7,000	\$ 908.48	\$ 889.385	\$ (19.09)	-2.1%
18	0	7,500	\$ 973.02	\$ 952.558	\$ (20.46)	-2.1%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,037.55	\$ 1,015.732	\$ (21.82)	-2.1%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,102.09	\$ 1,078.906	\$ (23.19)	-2.1%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,166.63	\$ 1,142.079	\$ (24.55)	-2.1%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,231.17	\$ 1,205.253	\$ (25.91)	-2.1%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,295.70	\$ 1,268.427	\$ (27.28)	-2.1%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,360.24	\$ 1,331.600	\$ (28.64)	-2.1%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,424.78	\$ 1,394.774	\$ (30.00)	-2.1%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,489.32	\$ 1,457.948	\$ (31.37)	-2.1%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,553.85	\$ 1,521.121	\$ (32.73)	-2.1%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,618.39	\$ 1,584.295	\$ (34.10)	-2.1%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,682.93	\$ 1,647.468	\$ (35.46)	-2.1%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,747.47	\$ 1,710.642	\$ (36.82)	-2.1%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,812.00	\$ 1,773.816	\$ (38.19)	-2.1%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,876.54	\$ 1,836.989	\$ (39.55)	-2.1%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,941.08	\$ 1,900.163	\$ (40.92)	-2.1%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,005.34	\$ 1,963.056	\$ (42.28)	-2.1%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,069.59	\$ 2,025.949	\$ (43.64)	-2.1%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,133.85	\$ 2,088.842	\$ (45.01)	-2.1%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,198.11	\$ 2,151.735	\$ (46.37)	-2.1%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,262.36	\$ 2,214.628	\$ (47.73)	-2.1%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Summer Bill	Proposed Summer Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.73	\$ (0.68)	-1.9%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.44	\$ (1.36)	-2.0%
3	0	750	\$ 92.18	\$ 90.14	\$ (2.05)	-2.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 115.57	\$ 112.84	\$ (2.73)	-2.4%
5	0	1,250	\$ 138.95	\$ 135.54	\$ (3.41)	-2.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 162.34	\$ 158.24	\$ (4.09)	-2.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 209.10	\$ 203.65	\$ (5.46)	-2.6%
8	0	2,500	\$ 255.64	\$ 248.82	\$ (6.82)	-2.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 302.18	\$ 294.00	\$ (8.18)	-2.7%
10	0	3,500	\$ 348.72	\$ 339.17	\$ (9.55)	-2.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 395.25	\$ 384.34	\$ (10.91)	-2.8%
12	0	4,500	\$ 441.79	\$ 429.52	\$ (12.27)	-2.8%
13	0	5,000	\$ 488.33	\$ 474.69	\$ (13.64)	-2.8%
14	0	5,500	\$ 534.87	\$ 519.86	\$ (15.00)	-2.8%
15	0	6,000	\$ 581.40	\$ 565.04	\$ (16.37)	-2.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 627.94	\$ 610.21	\$ (17.73)	-2.8%
17	0	7,000	\$ 674.48	\$ 655.38	\$ (19.09)	-2.8%
18	0	7,500	\$ 721.02	\$ 700.56	\$ (20.46)	-2.8%
19	0	8,000	\$ 767.55	\$ 745.73	\$ (21.82)	-2.8%
20	0	8,500	\$ 814.09	\$ 790.91	\$ (23.19)	-2.8%
21	0	9,000	\$ 860.63	\$ 836.08	\$ (24.55)	-2.9%
22	0	9,500	\$ 907.17	\$ 881.25	\$ (25.91)	-2.9%
23	0	10,000	\$ 953.70	\$ 926.43	\$ (27.28)	-2.9%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,000.24	\$ 971.60	\$ (28.64)	-2.9%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,046.78	\$ 1,016.77	\$ (30.00)	-2.9%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,093.32	\$ 1,061.95	\$ (31.37)	-2.9%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,139.85	\$ 1,107.12	\$ (32.73)	-2.9%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,186.39	\$ 1,152.29	\$ (34.10)	-2.9%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,232.93	\$ 1,197.47	\$ (35.46)	-2.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,279.47	\$ 1,242.64	\$ (36.82)	-2.9%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,326.00	\$ 1,287.82	\$ (38.19)	-2.9%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,372.54	\$ 1,332.99	\$ (39.55)	-2.9%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,419.08	\$ 1,378.16	\$ (40.92)	-2.9%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,465.34	\$ 1,423.06	\$ (42.28)	-2.9%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,511.59	\$ 1,467.95	\$ (43.64)	-2.9%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,557.85	\$ 1,512.84	\$ (45.01)	-2.9%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,604.11	\$ 1,557.73	\$ (46.37)	-2.9%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,650.36	\$ 1,602.63	\$ (47.73)	-2.9%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Demand (kW) (A)	Usage (kWH) (B)	Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Increase (\$) (E)	Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.73	\$ (0.68)	-1.9%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.44	\$ (1.36)	-2.0%
3	0	750	\$ 95.68	\$ 93.64	\$ (2.05)	-2.1%
4	0	1,000	\$ 122.57	\$ 119.84	\$ (2.73)	-2.2%
5	0	1,250	\$ 149.45	\$ 146.04	\$ (3.41)	-2.3%
6	0	1,500	\$ 176.34	\$ 172.24	\$ (4.09)	-2.3%
7	0	2,000	\$ 230.10	\$ 224.65	\$ (5.46)	-2.4%
8	0	2,500	\$ 283.64	\$ 276.82	\$ (6.82)	-2.4%
9	0	3,000	\$ 337.18	\$ 329.00	\$ (8.18)	-2.4%
10	0	3,500	\$ 390.72	\$ 381.17	\$ (9.55)	-2.4%
11	0	4,000	\$ 444.25	\$ 433.34	\$ (10.91)	-2.5%
12	0	4,500	\$ 497.79	\$ 485.52	\$ (12.27)	-2.5%
13	0	5,000	\$ 551.33	\$ 537.69	\$ (13.64)	-2.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 604.87	\$ 589.86	\$ (15.00)	-2.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 658.40	\$ 642.04	\$ (16.37)	-2.5%
16	0	6,500	\$ 711.94	\$ 694.21	\$ (17.73)	-2.5%
17	0	7,000	\$ 765.48	\$ 746.38	\$ (19.09)	-2.5%
18	0	7,500	\$ 819.02	\$ 798.56	\$ (20.46)	-2.5%
19	0	8,000	\$ 872.55	\$ 850.73	\$ (21.82)	-2.5%
20	0	8,500	\$ 926.09	\$ 902.91	\$ (23.19)	-2.5%
21	0	9,000	\$ 979.63	\$ 955.08	\$ (24.55)	-2.5%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,033.17	\$ 1,007.25	\$ (25.91)	-2.5%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,086.70	\$ 1,059.43	\$ (27.28)	-2.5%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,140.24	\$ 1,111.60	\$ (28.64)	-2.5%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,193.78	\$ 1,163.77	\$ (30.00)	-2.5%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,247.32	\$ 1,215.95	\$ (31.37)	-2.5%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,300.85	\$ 1,268.12	\$ (32.73)	-2.5%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,354.39	\$ 1,320.29	\$ (34.10)	-2.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,407.93	\$ 1,372.47	\$ (35.46)	-2.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,461.47	\$ 1,424.64	\$ (36.82)	-2.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,515.00	\$ 1,476.82	\$ (38.19)	-2.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,568.54	\$ 1,528.99	\$ (39.55)	-2.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,622.08	\$ 1,581.16	\$ (40.92)	-2.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,675.34	\$ 1,633.06	\$ (42.28)	-2.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,728.59	\$ 1,684.95	\$ (43.64)	-2.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,781.85	\$ 1,736.84	\$ (45.01)	-2.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,835.11	\$ 1,788.73	\$ (46.37)	-2.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,888.36	\$ 1,840.63	\$ (47.73)	-2.5%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 172.50	\$ 171.09	\$ (1.41)	-0.8%
2	10	2,000	\$ 254.97	\$ 250.44	\$ (4.53)	-1.8%
3	10	3,000	\$ 337.01	\$ 329.36	\$ (7.65)	-2.3%
4	10	4,000	\$ 419.03	\$ 408.26	\$ (10.77)	-2.6%
5	10	5,000	\$ 501.07	\$ 487.18	\$ (13.89)	-2.8%
6	10	6,000	\$ 583.09	\$ 566.07	\$ (17.02)	-2.9%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 18,794.67	\$ 18,653.47	\$ (141.20)	-0.8%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 26,941.31	\$ 26,488.01	\$ (453.30)	-1.7%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 35,087.96	\$ 34,322.56	\$ (765.40)	-2.2%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 43,234.60	\$ 42,157.10	\$ (1,077.50)	-2.5%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 51,381.25	\$ 49,991.65	\$ (1,389.60)	-2.7%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 59,527.90	\$ 57,826.20	\$ (1,701.70)	-2.9%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 5,643.16	\$ 5,530.87	\$ (112.29)	-2.0%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,192.48	\$ 8,929.54	\$ (262.94)	-2.9%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,741.80	\$ 12,328.21	\$ (413.59)	-3.2%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,291.13	\$ 15,726.89	\$ (564.24)	-3.5%
5	500	250,000	\$ 19,840.45	\$ 19,125.56	\$ (714.89)	-3.6%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,389.77	\$ 22,524.23	\$ (865.54)	-3.7%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 54,976.31	\$ 53,853.44	\$ (1,122.87)	-2.0%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 90,000.60	\$ 87,371.23	\$ (2,629.37)	-2.9%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 124,089.83	\$ 119,953.96	\$ (4,135.87)	-3.3%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 158,179.06	\$ 152,536.69	\$ (5,642.37)	-3.6%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 192,268.29	\$ 185,119.42	\$ (7,148.87)	-3.7%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 226,357.53	\$ 217,702.16	\$ (8,655.37)	-3.8%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,355.52	\$ 9,112.57	\$ (242.95)	-2.6%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 16,073.87	\$ 15,538.12	\$ (535.75)	-3.3%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,792.21	\$ 21,963.66	\$ (828.55)	-3.6%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,510.56	\$ 28,389.21	\$ (1,121.35)	-3.8%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 36,228.91	\$ 34,814.76	\$ (1,414.15)	-3.9%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,947.25	\$ 41,240.30	\$ (1,706.95)	-4.0%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 91,361.04	\$ 88,931.51	\$ (2,429.53)	-2.7%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 155,736.50	\$ 150,378.97	\$ (5,357.53)	-3.4%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 220,111.97	\$ 211,826.44	\$ (8,285.53)	-3.8%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 284,487.43	\$ 273,273.90	\$ (11,213.53)	-3.9%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 348,862.89	\$ 334,721.36	\$ (14,141.53)	-4.1%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 413,238.35	\$ 396,168.82	\$ (17,069.53)	-4.1%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 25,495.84	\$ 24,923.36	\$ (572.47)	-2.2%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 34,015.33	\$ 32,870.38	\$ (1,144.95)	-3.4%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 42,534.82	\$ 40,817.40	\$ (1,717.42)	-4.0%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 51,054.31	\$ 48,764.42	\$ (2,289.89)	-4.5%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 59,104.87	\$ 56,242.50	\$ (2,862.37)	-4.8%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 67,062.76	\$ 63,627.92	\$ (3,434.84)	-5.1%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 248,717.33	\$ 242,992.60	\$ (5,724.73)	-2.3%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 328,296.26	\$ 316,846.79	\$ (11,449.47)	-3.5%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 407,875.18	\$ 390,700.98	\$ (17,174.20)	-4.2%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 487,454.11	\$ 464,555.17	\$ (22,898.94)	-4.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 567,033.03	\$ 538,409.36	\$ (28,623.67)	-5.0%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 646,611.96	\$ 612,263.55	\$ (34,348.41)	-5.3%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Service					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.00	\$ 11.92	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.42	\$ 13.25	\$ (0.17)	-1.3%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.07	\$ 13.85	\$ (0.22)	-1.6%
6	4,000	126	\$ 16.57	\$ 16.17	\$ (0.40)	-2.4%
7	6,000	157	\$ 17.93	\$ 17.44	\$ (0.49)	-2.7%
8	10,000	242	\$ 21.74	\$ 20.98	\$ (0.76)	-3.5%
9	15,000	282	\$ 23.53	\$ 22.65	\$ (0.88)	-3.7%
10	Underground Service					
11	1,000	24	\$ 7.16	\$ 7.08	\$ (0.08)	-1.1%
12	2,000	56	\$ 8.58	\$ 8.41	\$ (0.17)	-2.0%
13	2,500	70	\$ 9.23	\$ 9.01	\$ (0.22)	-2.4%
14	4,000	126	\$ 11.73	\$ 11.33	\$ (0.40)	-3.4%
15	6,000	157	\$ 13.09	\$ 12.60	\$ (0.49)	-3.7%
16	10,000	242	\$ 16.90	\$ 16.14	\$ (0.76)	-4.5%
17	15,000	282	\$ 18.69	\$ 17.81	\$ (0.88)	-4.7%
18	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
19	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
20	175	69	\$ 10.48	\$ 10.26	\$ (0.22)	-2.1%
21	250	104	\$ 13.46	\$ 13.14	\$ (0.32)	-2.4%
22	400	158	\$ 18.45	\$ 17.95	\$ (0.50)	-2.7%
23	1,000	380	\$ 40.48	\$ 39.30	\$ (1.18)	-2.9%
24	Underground Service - Post Type					
25	175	69	\$ 14.83	\$ 14.61	\$ (0.22)	-1.5%
26	Underground Service - Pole Type					
27	175	69	\$ 21.39	\$ 21.17	\$ (0.22)	-1.0%
28	250	104	\$ 25.18	\$ 24.86	\$ (0.32)	-1.3%
29	400	158	\$ 30.39	\$ 29.89	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
30	400*	158	\$ 30.64	\$ 30.14	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
31	400**	316	\$ 46.95	\$ 45.96	\$ (0.99)	-2.1%
32	1000	380	\$ 54.31	\$ 53.13	\$ (1.18)	-2.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
33	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
34	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
35	100	42	\$ 12.18	\$ 12.04	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
36	150	62	\$ 13.70	\$ 13.51	\$ (0.19)	-1.4%
37	250	105	\$ 17.90	\$ 17.57	\$ (0.33)	-1.8%
38	400	163	\$ 22.46	\$ 21.95	\$ (0.51)	-2.3%
39	Underground Service - Post Type					
40	100	42	\$ 16.70	\$ 16.56	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
41	Underground Service - Pole Type					
42	100	42	\$ 23.74	\$ 23.60	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
43	150	62	\$ 25.51	\$ 25.32	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
44	250	105	\$ 29.55	\$ 29.22	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
45	250**	210	\$ 46.83	\$ 46.17	\$ (0.66)	-1.4%
46	400	163	\$ 33.92	\$ 33.41	\$ (0.51)	-1.5%
47	Special Architectural Pole Installations					
48	100	42	\$ 22.17	\$ 22.03	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
49	100*	42	\$ 34.20	\$ 34.06	\$ (0.14)	-0.4%
50	150	62	\$ 24.24	\$ 24.05	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
51	150*	62	\$ 35.91	\$ 35.72	\$ (0.19)	-0.5%
52	250	105	\$ 29.13	\$ 28.80	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
53	250*	105	\$ 40.96	\$ 40.63	\$ (0.33)	-0.8%
54	400	163	\$ 33.69	\$ 33.18	\$ (0.51)	-1.5%
55	400*	163	\$ 46.33	\$ 45.82	\$ (0.51)	-1.1%
56	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
57	N/A	25	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.04	\$ (0.08)	-7.1%
58	N/A	50	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.08	\$ (0.16)	-7.1%
59	N/A	75	\$ 4.23	\$ 3.99	\$ (0.24)	-5.7%
60	N/A	100	\$ 6.49	\$ 6.18	\$ (0.31)	-4.8%
61	N/A	125	\$ 8.11	\$ 7.72	\$ (0.39)	-4.8%
62	N/A	150	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.77	\$ (0.47)	-4.2%
63	N/A	175	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.92	\$ (0.55)	-4.1%
64	N/A	200	\$ 17.66	\$ 17.04	\$ (0.62)	-3.5%
65	N/A	225	\$ 20.20	\$ 19.50	\$ (0.70)	-3.5%
66	N/A	250	\$ 11.19	\$ 10.41	\$ (0.78)	-7.0%
67	N/A	275	\$ 13.16	\$ 12.30	\$ (0.86)	-6.5%
68	N/A	300	\$ 15.43	\$ 14.50	\$ (0.93)	-6.0%
69	N/A	325	\$ 17.05	\$ 16.04	\$ (1.01)	-5.9%
70	N/A	350	\$ 20.19	\$ 19.09	\$ (1.10)	-5.4%
71	N/A	375	\$ 22.43	\$ 21.26	\$ (1.17)	-5.2%
72	N/A	400	\$ 26.59	\$ 25.34	\$ (1.25)	-4.7%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
74	N/A	25	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.04	\$ (0.08)	-7.1%
75	N/A	50	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.08	\$ (0.16)	-7.1%
76	N/A	75	\$ 5.68	\$ 5.44	\$ (0.24)	-4.2%
77	N/A	100	\$ 9.87	\$ 9.56	\$ (0.31)	-3.1%
78	N/A	125	\$ 12.32	\$ 11.93	\$ (0.39)	-3.2%
79	N/A	150	\$ 18.83	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.47)	-2.5%
80	N/A	175	\$ 22.93	\$ 22.38	\$ (0.55)	-2.4%
81	N/A	200	\$ 32.24	\$ 31.62	\$ (0.62)	-1.9%
82	N/A	225	\$ 37.20	\$ 36.50	\$ (0.70)	-1.9%
83	N/A	250	\$ 11.19	\$ 10.41	\$ (0.78)	-7.0%
84	N/A	275	\$ 14.61	\$ 13.75	\$ (0.86)	-5.9%
85	N/A	300	\$ 18.81	\$ 17.88	\$ (0.93)	-4.9%
86	N/A	325	\$ 21.26	\$ 20.25	\$ (1.01)	-4.7%
87	N/A	350	\$ 27.78	\$ 26.68	\$ (1.10)	-4.0%
88	N/A	375	\$ 31.89	\$ 30.72	\$ (1.17)	-3.7%
89	N/A	400	\$ 41.17	\$ 39.92	\$ (1.25)	-3.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 15.57	\$ 15.35	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
4	400	158	\$ 31.88	\$ 31.38	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
5	1,000	380	\$ 63.68	\$ 62.50	\$ (1.18)	-1.9%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 17.91	\$ 17.69	\$ (0.22)	-1.2%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 17.13	\$ 16.99	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
11	150	62	\$ 21.43	\$ 21.24	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
12	250	105	\$ 27.34	\$ 27.01	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
13	400	163	\$ 37.29	\$ 36.78	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
14	All Other Installations					
15	100	42	\$ 20.21	\$ 20.07	\$ (0.14)	-0.7%
16	150	62	\$ 26.83	\$ 26.64	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
17	150*	88	\$ 41.53	\$ 41.26	\$ (0.27)	-0.7%
18	250	105	\$ 34.08	\$ 33.75	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
19	250*	105	\$ 45.82	\$ 45.49	\$ (0.33)	-0.7%
20	400	163	\$ 41.61	\$ 41.10	\$ (0.51)	-1.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 5.94	\$ 5.63	\$ (0.31)	-5.2%
2	0	200	\$ 11.89	\$ 11.27	\$ (0.62)	-5.2%
3	0	300	\$ 17.80	\$ 16.87	\$ (0.93)	-5.2%
4	0	400	\$ 23.75	\$ 22.50	\$ (1.25)	-5.3%
5	0	500	\$ 29.70	\$ 28.14	\$ (1.56)	-5.3%
6	0	600	\$ 35.64	\$ 33.77	\$ (1.87)	-5.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 181.65	\$ 180.24	\$ (1.41)	-0.8%
2	10	2,000	\$ 273.26	\$ 268.73	\$ (4.53)	-1.7%
3	10	3,000	\$ 364.45	\$ 356.80	\$ (7.65)	-2.1%
4	10	4,000	\$ 455.62	\$ 444.85	\$ (10.77)	-2.4%
5	10	5,000	\$ 546.81	\$ 532.92	\$ (13.89)	-2.5%
6	10	6,000	\$ 637.97	\$ 620.95	\$ (17.02)	-2.7%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 19,709.37	\$ 19,568.17	\$ (141.20)	-0.7%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 28,770.71	\$ 28,317.41	\$ (453.30)	-1.6%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 37,832.06	\$ 37,066.66	\$ (765.40)	-2.0%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 46,893.40	\$ 45,815.90	\$ (1,077.50)	-2.3%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 55,954.75	\$ 54,565.15	\$ (1,389.60)	-2.5%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 65,016.10	\$ 63,314.40	\$ (1,701.70)	-2.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,084.66	\$ 5,972.37	\$ (112.29)	-1.8%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,075.48	\$ 9,812.54	\$ (262.94)	-2.6%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,066.30	\$ 13,652.71	\$ (413.59)	-2.9%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,057.13	\$ 17,492.89	\$ (564.24)	-3.1%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,047.95	\$ 21,333.06	\$ (714.89)	-3.2%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,038.77	\$ 25,173.23	\$ (865.54)	-3.3%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 59,391.31	\$ 58,268.44	\$ (1,122.87)	-1.9%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,830.60	\$ 96,201.23	\$ (2,629.37)	-2.7%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 137,334.83	\$ 133,198.96	\$ (4,135.87)	-3.0%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 175,839.06	\$ 170,196.69	\$ (5,642.37)	-3.2%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 214,343.29	\$ 207,194.42	\$ (7,148.87)	-3.3%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 252,847.53	\$ 244,192.16	\$ (8,655.37)	-3.4%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,213.72	\$ 9,970.77	\$ (242.95)	-2.4%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,790.27	\$ 17,254.52	\$ (535.75)	-3.0%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,366.81	\$ 24,538.26	\$ (828.55)	-3.3%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,943.36	\$ 31,822.01	\$ (1,121.35)	-3.4%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 40,519.91	\$ 39,105.76	\$ (1,414.15)	-3.5%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 48,096.45	\$ 46,389.50	\$ (1,706.95)	-3.5%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 99,943.04	\$ 97,513.51	\$ (2,429.53)	-2.4%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 172,900.50	\$ 167,542.97	\$ (5,357.53)	-3.1%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 245,857.97	\$ 237,572.44	\$ (8,285.53)	-3.4%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 318,815.43	\$ 307,601.90	\$ (11,213.53)	-3.5%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 391,772.89	\$ 377,631.36	\$ (14,141.53)	-3.6%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 464,730.35	\$ 447,660.82	\$ (17,069.53)	-3.7%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 27,210.44	\$ 26,637.96	\$ (572.47)	-2.1%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 37,444.53	\$ 36,299.58	\$ (1,144.95)	-3.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 47,678.62	\$ 45,961.20	\$ (1,717.42)	-3.6%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 57,912.71	\$ 55,622.82	\$ (2,289.89)	-4.0%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 67,677.87	\$ 64,815.50	\$ (2,862.37)	-4.2%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 77,350.36	\$ 73,915.52	\$ (3,434.84)	-4.4%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 265,863.33	\$ 260,138.60	\$ (5,724.73)	-2.2%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 362,588.26	\$ 351,138.79	\$ (11,449.47)	-3.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 459,313.18	\$ 442,138.98	\$ (17,174.20)	-3.7%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 556,038.11	\$ 533,139.17	\$ (22,898.94)	-4.1%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 652,763.03	\$ 624,139.36	\$ (28,623.67)	-4.4%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 749,487.96	\$ 715,139.55	\$ (34,348.41)	-4.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Service					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.22	\$ 12.14	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.93	\$ 13.76	\$ (0.17)	-1.2%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.71	\$ 14.49	\$ (0.22)	-1.5%
6	4,000	126	\$ 17.72	\$ 17.32	\$ (0.40)	-2.3%
7	6,000	157	\$ 19.37	\$ 18.88	\$ (0.49)	-2.5%
8	10,000	242	\$ 23.96	\$ 23.20	\$ (0.76)	-3.2%
9	15,000	282	\$ 26.11	\$ 25.23	\$ (0.88)	-3.4%
10	Underground Service					
11	1,000	24	\$ 7.38	\$ 7.30	\$ (0.08)	-1.1%
12	2,000	56	\$ 9.09	\$ 8.92	\$ (0.17)	-1.9%
13	2,500	70	\$ 9.87	\$ 9.65	\$ (0.22)	-2.2%
14	4,000	126	\$ 12.88	\$ 12.48	\$ (0.40)	-3.1%
15	6,000	157	\$ 14.53	\$ 14.04	\$ (0.49)	-3.4%
16	10,000	242	\$ 19.12	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.76)	-4.0%
17	15,000	282	\$ 21.27	\$ 20.39	\$ (0.88)	-4.1%
18	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
19	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
20	175	69	\$ 11.11	\$ 10.89	\$ (0.22)	-2.0%
21	250	104	\$ 14.41	\$ 14.09	\$ (0.32)	-2.2%
22	400	158	\$ 19.90	\$ 19.40	\$ (0.50)	-2.5%
23	1,000	380	\$ 43.95	\$ 42.77	\$ (1.18)	-2.7%
24	Underground Service - Post Type					
25	175	69	\$ 15.46	\$ 15.24	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
26	Underground Service - Pole Type					
27	175	69	\$ 22.02	\$ 21.80	\$ (0.22)	-1.0%
28	250	104	\$ 26.13	\$ 25.81	\$ (0.32)	-1.2%
29	400	158	\$ 31.84	\$ 31.34	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
30	400*	158	\$ 32.09	\$ 31.59	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
31	400**	316	\$ 49.84	\$ 48.85	\$ (0.99)	-2.0%
32	1000	380	\$ 57.78	\$ 56.60	\$ (1.18)	-2.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
33	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
34	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
35	100	42	\$ 12.56	\$ 12.42	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
36	150	62	\$ 14.27	\$ 14.08	\$ (0.19)	-1.3%
37	250	105	\$ 18.86	\$ 18.53	\$ (0.33)	-1.7%
38	400	163	\$ 23.95	\$ 23.44	\$ (0.51)	-2.1%
39	Underground Service - Post Type					
40	100	42	\$ 17.08	\$ 16.94	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
41	Underground Service - Pole Type					
42	100	42	\$ 24.12	\$ 23.98	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
43	150	62	\$ 26.08	\$ 25.89	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
44	250	105	\$ 30.51	\$ 30.18	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
45	250**	210	\$ 48.75	\$ 48.09	\$ (0.66)	-1.4%
46	400	163	\$ 35.41	\$ 34.90	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
47	Special Architectural Pole Installations					
48	100	42	\$ 22.55	\$ 22.41	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
49	100*	42	\$ 34.58	\$ 34.44	\$ (0.14)	-0.4%
50	150	62	\$ 24.81	\$ 24.62	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
51	150*	62	\$ 36.48	\$ 36.29	\$ (0.19)	-0.5%
52	250	105	\$ 30.09	\$ 29.76	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
53	250*	105	\$ 41.92	\$ 41.59	\$ (0.33)	-0.8%
54	400	163	\$ 35.18	\$ 34.67	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
55	400*	163	\$ 47.82	\$ 47.31	\$ (0.51)	-1.1%
56	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
57	N/A	25	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.26	\$ (0.08)	-6.0%
58	N/A	50	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.54	\$ (0.16)	-5.9%
59	N/A	75	\$ 4.91	\$ 4.67	\$ (0.24)	-4.9%
60	N/A	100	\$ 7.41	\$ 7.10	\$ (0.31)	-4.2%
61	N/A	125	\$ 9.25	\$ 8.86	\$ (0.39)	-4.2%
62	N/A	150	\$ 12.62	\$ 12.15	\$ (0.47)	-3.7%
63	N/A	175	\$ 15.07	\$ 14.52	\$ (0.55)	-3.6%
64	N/A	200	\$ 19.49	\$ 18.87	\$ (0.62)	-3.2%
65	N/A	225	\$ 22.26	\$ 21.56	\$ (0.70)	-3.1%
66	N/A	250	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.69	\$ (0.78)	-5.8%
67	N/A	275	\$ 15.68	\$ 14.82	\$ (0.86)	-5.5%
68	N/A	300	\$ 18.17	\$ 17.24	\$ (0.93)	-5.1%
69	N/A	325	\$ 20.03	\$ 19.02	\$ (1.01)	-5.0%
70	N/A	350	\$ 23.39	\$ 22.29	\$ (1.10)	-4.7%
71	N/A	375	\$ 25.86	\$ 24.69	\$ (1.17)	-4.5%
72	N/A	400	\$ 30.25	\$ 29.00	\$ (1.25)	-4.1%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
74	N/A	25	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.26	\$ (0.08)	-6.0%
75	N/A	50	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.54	\$ (0.16)	-5.9%
76	N/A	75	\$ 6.36	\$ 6.12	\$ (0.24)	-3.8%
77	N/A	100	\$ 10.79	\$ 10.48	\$ (0.31)	-2.9%
78	N/A	125	\$ 13.46	\$ 13.07	\$ (0.39)	-2.9%
79	N/A	150	\$ 20.21	\$ 19.74	\$ (0.47)	-2.3%
80	N/A	175	\$ 24.53	\$ 23.98	\$ (0.55)	-2.2%
81	N/A	200	\$ 34.07	\$ 33.45	\$ (0.62)	-1.8%
82	N/A	225	\$ 39.26	\$ 38.56	\$ (0.70)	-1.8%
83	N/A	250	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.69	\$ (0.78)	-5.8%
84	N/A	275	\$ 17.13	\$ 16.27	\$ (0.86)	-5.0%
85	N/A	300	\$ 21.55	\$ 20.62	\$ (0.93)	-4.3%
86	N/A	325	\$ 24.24	\$ 23.23	\$ (1.01)	-4.2%
87	N/A	350	\$ 30.98	\$ 29.88	\$ (1.10)	-3.6%
88	N/A	375	\$ 35.32	\$ 34.15	\$ (1.17)	-3.3%
89	N/A	400	\$ 44.83	\$ 43.58	\$ (1.25)	-2.8%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 18.56	\$ 18.34	\$ (0.22)	-1.2%
4	400	158	\$ 38.73	\$ 38.23	\$ (0.50)	-1.3%
5	1,000	380	\$ 80.13	\$ 78.95	\$ (1.18)	-1.5%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 20.90	\$ 20.68	\$ (0.22)	-1.1%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 18.95	\$ 18.81	\$ (0.14)	-0.7%
11	150	62	\$ 24.12	\$ 23.93	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
12	250	105	\$ 31.88	\$ 31.55	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
13	400	163	\$ 44.34	\$ 43.83	\$ (0.51)	-1.2%
14	All Other Installations					
15	100	42	\$ 22.03	\$ 21.89	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
16	150	62	\$ 29.52	\$ 29.33	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%
17	150*	88	\$ 45.35	\$ 45.08	\$ (0.27)	-0.6%
18	250	105	\$ 38.62	\$ 38.29	\$ (0.33)	-0.9%
19	250*	105	\$ 50.36	\$ 50.03	\$ (0.33)	-0.7%
20	400	163	\$ 48.66	\$ 48.15	\$ (0.51)	-1.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 6.86	\$ 6.55	\$ (0.31)	-4.5%
2	0	200	\$ 13.72	\$ 13.10	\$ (0.62)	-4.5%
3	0	300	\$ 20.54	\$ 19.61	\$ (0.93)	-4.5%
4	0	400	\$ 27.41	\$ 26.16	\$ (1.25)	-4.6%
5	0	500	\$ 34.27	\$ 32.71	\$ (1.56)	-4.6%
6	0	600	\$ 41.13	\$ 39.26	\$ (1.87)	-4.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 34.93	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.83	\$ (0.45)	-0.7%
3	0	750	\$ 97.41	\$ 96.73	\$ (0.67)	-0.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 128.53	\$ 127.63	\$ (0.90)	-0.7%
5	0	1,250	\$ 159.66	\$ 158.54	\$ (1.12)	-0.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 190.78	\$ 189.44	\$ (1.35)	-0.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 253.03	\$ 251.24	\$ (1.80)	-0.7%
8	0	2,500	\$ 315.05	\$ 312.81	\$ (2.25)	-0.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 377.08	\$ 374.38	\$ (2.69)	-0.7%
10	0	3,500	\$ 439.10	\$ 435.95	\$ (3.14)	-0.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 501.12	\$ 497.52	\$ (3.59)	-0.7%
12	0	4,500	\$ 563.14	\$ 559.09	\$ (4.04)	-0.7%
13	0	5,000	\$ 625.16	\$ 620.67	\$ (4.49)	-0.7%
14	0	5,500	\$ 687.18	\$ 682.24	\$ (4.94)	-0.7%
15	0	6,000	\$ 749.20	\$ 743.81	\$ (5.39)	-0.7%
16	0	6,500	\$ 811.22	\$ 805.38	\$ (5.84)	-0.7%
17	0	7,000	\$ 873.24	\$ 866.95	\$ (6.29)	-0.7%
18	0	7,500	\$ 935.26	\$ 928.52	\$ (6.74)	-0.7%
19	0	8,000	\$ 997.28	\$ 990.09	\$ (7.18)	-0.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,059.30	\$ 1,051.66	\$ (7.63)	-0.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,121.32	\$ 1,113.24	\$ (8.08)	-0.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,183.34	\$ 1,174.81	\$ (8.53)	-0.7%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,245.36	\$ 1,236.38	\$ (8.98)	-0.7%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,307.38	\$ 1,297.95	\$ (9.43)	-0.7%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,369.40	\$ 1,359.52	\$ (9.88)	-0.7%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,431.42	\$ 1,421.09	\$ (10.33)	-0.7%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,493.44	\$ 1,482.66	\$ (10.78)	-0.7%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,555.46	\$ 1,544.23	\$ (11.23)	-0.7%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,617.48	\$ 1,605.81	\$ (11.68)	-0.7%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,679.50	\$ 1,667.38	\$ (12.12)	-0.7%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,741.52	\$ 1,728.95	\$ (12.57)	-0.7%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,803.54	\$ 1,790.52	\$ (13.02)	-0.7%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,865.56	\$ 1,852.09	\$ (13.47)	-0.7%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,927.30	\$ 1,913.38	\$ (13.92)	-0.7%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,989.04	\$ 1,974.67	\$ (14.37)	-0.7%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,050.78	\$ 2,035.96	\$ (14.82)	-0.7%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,112.52	\$ 2,097.25	\$ (15.27)	-0.7%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,174.26	\$ 2,158.54	\$ (15.72)	-0.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 34.93	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.83	\$ (0.45)	-0.7%
3	0	750	\$ 88.26	\$ 87.58	\$ (0.67)	-0.8%
4	0	1,000	\$ 110.23	\$ 109.33	\$ (0.90)	-0.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 132.21	\$ 131.09	\$ (1.12)	-0.8%
6	0	1,500	\$ 154.18	\$ 152.84	\$ (1.35)	-0.9%
7	0	2,000	\$ 198.13	\$ 196.34	\$ (1.80)	-0.9%
8	0	2,500	\$ 226.35	\$ 224.11	\$ (2.25)	-1.0%
9	0	3,000	\$ 254.58	\$ 251.88	\$ (2.69)	-1.1%
10	0	3,500	\$ 282.80	\$ 279.65	\$ (3.14)	-1.1%
11	0	4,000	\$ 311.02	\$ 307.42	\$ (3.59)	-1.2%
12	0	4,500	\$ 339.24	\$ 335.19	\$ (4.04)	-1.2%
13	0	5,000	\$ 367.46	\$ 362.97	\$ (4.49)	-1.2%
14	0	5,500	\$ 395.68	\$ 390.74	\$ (4.94)	-1.2%
15	0	6,000	\$ 423.90	\$ 418.51	\$ (5.39)	-1.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 452.12	\$ 446.28	\$ (5.84)	-1.3%
17	0	7,000	\$ 480.34	\$ 474.05	\$ (6.29)	-1.3%
18	0	7,500	\$ 508.56	\$ 501.82	\$ (6.74)	-1.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 536.78	\$ 529.59	\$ (7.18)	-1.3%
20	0	8,500	\$ 565.00	\$ 557.36	\$ (7.63)	-1.4%
21	0	9,000	\$ 593.22	\$ 585.14	\$ (8.08)	-1.4%
22	0	9,500	\$ 621.44	\$ 612.91	\$ (8.53)	-1.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 649.66	\$ 640.68	\$ (8.98)	-1.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 677.88	\$ 668.45	\$ (9.43)	-1.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 706.10	\$ 696.22	\$ (9.88)	-1.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 734.32	\$ 723.99	\$ (10.33)	-1.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 762.54	\$ 751.76	\$ (10.78)	-1.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 790.76	\$ 779.53	\$ (11.23)	-1.4%
29	0	13,000	\$ 818.98	\$ 807.31	\$ (11.68)	-1.4%
30	0	13,500	\$ 847.20	\$ 835.08	\$ (12.12)	-1.4%
31	0	14,000	\$ 875.42	\$ 862.85	\$ (12.57)	-1.4%
32	0	14,500	\$ 903.64	\$ 890.62	\$ (13.02)	-1.4%
33	0	15,000	\$ 931.86	\$ 918.39	\$ (13.47)	-1.4%
34	0	15,500	\$ 959.80	\$ 945.88	\$ (13.92)	-1.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 987.74	\$ 973.37	\$ (14.37)	-1.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,015.68	\$ 1,000.86	\$ (14.82)	-1.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,043.62	\$ 1,028.35	\$ (15.27)	-1.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,071.56	\$ 1,055.84	\$ (15.72)	-1.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 24.96	\$ 24.73	\$ (0.22)	-0.9%
2	0	500	\$ 45.88	\$ 45.43	\$ (0.45)	-1.0%
3	0	750	\$ 57.66	\$ 56.98	\$ (0.67)	-1.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 69.43	\$ 68.53	\$ (0.90)	-1.3%
5	0	1,250	\$ 81.21	\$ 80.09	\$ (1.12)	-1.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 92.98	\$ 91.64	\$ (1.35)	-1.4%
7	0	2,000	\$ 116.53	\$ 114.74	\$ (1.80)	-1.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 160.25	\$ 158.01	\$ (2.25)	-1.4%
9	0	3,000	\$ 203.98	\$ 201.28	\$ (2.69)	-1.3%
10	0	3,500	\$ 247.70	\$ 244.55	\$ (3.14)	-1.3%
11	0	4,000	\$ 291.42	\$ 287.82	\$ (3.59)	-1.2%
12	0	4,500	\$ 335.14	\$ 331.09	\$ (4.04)	-1.2%
13	0	5,000	\$ 378.86	\$ 374.37	\$ (4.49)	-1.2%
14	0	5,500	\$ 422.58	\$ 417.64	\$ (4.94)	-1.2%
15	0	6,000	\$ 466.30	\$ 460.91	\$ (5.39)	-1.2%
16	0	6,500	\$ 510.02	\$ 504.18	\$ (5.84)	-1.1%
17	0	7,000	\$ 553.74	\$ 547.45	\$ (6.29)	-1.1%
18	0	7,500	\$ 597.46	\$ 590.72	\$ (6.74)	-1.1%
19	0	8,000	\$ 641.18	\$ 633.99	\$ (7.18)	-1.1%
20	0	8,500	\$ 684.90	\$ 677.26	\$ (7.63)	-1.1%
21	0	9,000	\$ 728.62	\$ 720.54	\$ (8.08)	-1.1%
22	0	9,500	\$ 772.34	\$ 763.81	\$ (8.53)	-1.1%
23	0	10,000	\$ 816.06	\$ 807.08	\$ (8.98)	-1.1%
24	0	10,500	\$ 859.78	\$ 850.35	\$ (9.43)	-1.1%
25	0	11,000	\$ 903.50	\$ 893.62	\$ (9.88)	-1.1%
26	0	11,500	\$ 947.22	\$ 936.89	\$ (10.33)	-1.1%
27	0	12,000	\$ 990.94	\$ 980.16	\$ (10.78)	-1.1%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,034.66	\$ 1,023.43	\$ (11.23)	-1.1%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,078.38	\$ 1,066.71	\$ (11.68)	-1.1%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,122.10	\$ 1,109.98	\$ (12.12)	-1.1%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,165.82	\$ 1,153.25	\$ (12.57)	-1.1%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,209.54	\$ 1,196.52	\$ (13.02)	-1.1%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,253.26	\$ 1,239.79	\$ (13.47)	-1.1%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,296.70	\$ 1,282.78	\$ (13.92)	-1.1%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,340.14	\$ 1,325.77	\$ (14.37)	-1.1%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,383.58	\$ 1,368.76	\$ (14.82)	-1.1%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,427.02	\$ 1,411.75	\$ (15.27)	-1.1%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,470.46	\$ 1,454.74	\$ (15.72)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 34.93	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.83	\$ (0.45)	-0.7%
3	0	750	\$ 91.76	\$ 91.08	\$ (0.67)	-0.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 117.23	\$ 116.33	\$ (0.90)	-0.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 142.71	\$ 141.59	\$ (1.12)	-0.8%
6	0	1,500	\$ 168.18	\$ 166.84	\$ (1.35)	-0.8%
7	0	2,000	\$ 219.13	\$ 217.34	\$ (1.80)	-0.8%
8	0	2,500	\$ 269.85	\$ 267.61	\$ (2.25)	-0.8%
9	0	3,000	\$ 320.58	\$ 317.88	\$ (2.69)	-0.8%
10	0	3,500	\$ 371.30	\$ 368.15	\$ (3.14)	-0.8%
11	0	4,000	\$ 422.02	\$ 418.42	\$ (3.59)	-0.9%
12	0	4,500	\$ 472.74	\$ 468.69	\$ (4.04)	-0.9%
13	0	5,000	\$ 523.46	\$ 518.97	\$ (4.49)	-0.9%
14	0	5,500	\$ 574.18	\$ 569.24	\$ (4.94)	-0.9%
15	0	6,000	\$ 624.90	\$ 619.51	\$ (5.39)	-0.9%
16	0	6,500	\$ 675.62	\$ 669.78	\$ (5.84)	-0.9%
17	0	7,000	\$ 726.34	\$ 720.05	\$ (6.29)	-0.9%
18	0	7,500	\$ 777.06	\$ 770.32	\$ (6.74)	-0.9%
19	0	8,000	\$ 827.78	\$ 820.59	\$ (7.18)	-0.9%
20	0	8,500	\$ 878.50	\$ 870.86	\$ (7.63)	-0.9%
21	0	9,000	\$ 929.22	\$ 921.14	\$ (8.08)	-0.9%
22	0	9,500	\$ 979.94	\$ 971.41	\$ (8.53)	-0.9%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,030.66	\$ 1,021.68	\$ (8.98)	-0.9%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,081.38	\$ 1,071.95	\$ (9.43)	-0.9%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,132.10	\$ 1,122.22	\$ (9.88)	-0.9%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,182.82	\$ 1,172.49	\$ (10.33)	-0.9%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,233.54	\$ 1,222.76	\$ (10.78)	-0.9%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,284.26	\$ 1,273.03	\$ (11.23)	-0.9%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,334.98	\$ 1,323.31	\$ (11.68)	-0.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,385.70	\$ 1,373.58	\$ (12.12)	-0.9%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,436.42	\$ 1,423.85	\$ (12.57)	-0.9%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,487.14	\$ 1,474.12	\$ (13.02)	-0.9%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,537.86	\$ 1,524.39	\$ (13.47)	-0.9%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,588.30	\$ 1,574.38	\$ (13.92)	-0.9%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,638.74	\$ 1,624.37	\$ (14.37)	-0.9%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,689.18	\$ 1,674.36	\$ (14.82)	-0.9%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,739.62	\$ 1,724.35	\$ (15.27)	-0.9%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,790.06	\$ 1,774.34	\$ (15.72)	-0.9%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.22	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.41	\$ (0.45)	-0.6%
3	0	750	\$ 104.27	\$ 103.59	\$ (0.67)	-0.6%
4	0	1,000	\$ 137.68	\$ 136.78	\$ (0.90)	-0.7%
5	0	1,250	\$ 171.09	\$ 169.97	\$ (1.12)	-0.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 204.50	\$ 203.16	\$ (1.35)	-0.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 271.33	\$ 269.53	\$ (1.80)	-0.7%
8	0	2,500	\$ 337.92	\$ 335.68	\$ (2.25)	-0.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 404.52	\$ 401.82	\$ (2.69)	-0.7%
10	0	3,500	\$ 471.11	\$ 467.97	\$ (3.14)	-0.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 537.70	\$ 534.11	\$ (3.59)	-0.7%
12	0	4,500	\$ 604.30	\$ 600.26	\$ (4.04)	-0.7%
13	0	5,000	\$ 670.89	\$ 666.40	\$ (4.49)	-0.7%
14	0	5,500	\$ 737.49	\$ 732.55	\$ (4.94)	-0.7%
15	0	6,000	\$ 804.08	\$ 798.69	\$ (5.39)	-0.7%
16	0	6,500	\$ 870.67	\$ 864.83	\$ (5.84)	-0.7%
17	0	7,000	\$ 937.27	\$ 930.98	\$ (6.29)	-0.7%
18	0	7,500	\$ 1,003.86	\$ 997.12	\$ (6.74)	-0.7%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,070.45	\$ 1,063.27	\$ (7.18)	-0.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,137.05	\$ 1,129.41	\$ (7.63)	-0.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,203.64	\$ 1,195.56	\$ (8.08)	-0.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,270.24	\$ 1,261.70	\$ (8.53)	-0.7%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,336.83	\$ 1,327.85	\$ (8.98)	-0.7%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,403.42	\$ 1,393.99	\$ (9.43)	-0.7%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,470.02	\$ 1,460.14	\$ (9.88)	-0.7%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,536.61	\$ 1,526.28	\$ (10.33)	-0.7%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,603.20	\$ 1,592.43	\$ (10.78)	-0.7%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,669.80	\$ 1,658.57	\$ (11.23)	-0.7%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,736.39	\$ 1,724.72	\$ (11.68)	-0.7%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,802.99	\$ 1,790.86	\$ (12.12)	-0.7%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,869.58	\$ 1,857.01	\$ (12.57)	-0.7%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,936.17	\$ 1,923.15	\$ (13.02)	-0.7%
33	0	15,000	\$ 2,002.77	\$ 1,989.30	\$ (13.47)	-0.7%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,069.08	\$ 2,055.16	\$ (13.92)	-0.7%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,135.39	\$ 2,121.02	\$ (14.37)	-0.7%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,201.71	\$ 2,186.89	\$ (14.82)	-0.7%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,268.02	\$ 2,252.75	\$ (15.27)	-0.7%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,334.33	\$ 2,318.62	\$ (15.72)	-0.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.22	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.41	\$ (0.45)	-0.6%
3	0	750	\$ 95.12	\$ 94.44	\$ (0.67)	-0.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 119.38	\$ 118.48	\$ (0.90)	-0.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 143.64	\$ 142.52	\$ (1.12)	-0.8%
6	0	1,500	\$ 167.90	\$ 166.56	\$ (1.35)	-0.8%
7	0	2,000	\$ 216.43	\$ 214.63	\$ (1.80)	-0.8%
8	0	2,500	\$ 264.72	\$ 262.48	\$ (2.25)	-0.8%
9	0	3,000	\$ 313.02	\$ 310.32	\$ (2.69)	-0.9%
10	0	3,500	\$ 361.31	\$ 358.17	\$ (3.14)	-0.9%
11	0	4,000	\$ 409.60	\$ 406.01	\$ (3.59)	-0.9%
12	0	4,500	\$ 457.90	\$ 453.86	\$ (4.04)	-0.9%
13	0	5,000	\$ 506.19	\$ 501.70	\$ (4.49)	-0.9%
14	0	5,500	\$ 554.49	\$ 549.55	\$ (4.94)	-0.9%
15	0	6,000	\$ 602.78	\$ 597.39	\$ (5.39)	-0.9%
16	0	6,500	\$ 651.07	\$ 645.23	\$ (5.84)	-0.9%
17	0	7,000	\$ 699.37	\$ 693.08	\$ (6.29)	-0.9%
18	0	7,500	\$ 747.66	\$ 740.92	\$ (6.74)	-0.9%
19	0	8,000	\$ 795.95	\$ 788.77	\$ (7.18)	-0.9%
20	0	8,500	\$ 844.25	\$ 836.61	\$ (7.63)	-0.9%
21	0	9,000	\$ 892.54	\$ 884.46	\$ (8.08)	-0.9%
22	0	9,500	\$ 940.84	\$ 932.30	\$ (8.53)	-0.9%
23	0	10,000	\$ 989.13	\$ 980.15	\$ (8.98)	-0.9%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,037.42	\$ 1,027.99	\$ (9.43)	-0.9%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,085.72	\$ 1,075.84	\$ (9.88)	-0.9%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,134.01	\$ 1,123.68	\$ (10.33)	-0.9%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,182.30	\$ 1,171.53	\$ (10.78)	-0.9%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,230.60	\$ 1,219.37	\$ (11.23)	-0.9%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,278.89	\$ 1,267.22	\$ (11.68)	-0.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,327.19	\$ 1,315.06	\$ (12.12)	-0.9%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,375.48	\$ 1,362.91	\$ (12.57)	-0.9%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,423.77	\$ 1,410.75	\$ (13.02)	-0.9%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,472.07	\$ 1,458.60	\$ (13.47)	-0.9%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,520.08	\$ 1,506.16	\$ (13.92)	-0.9%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,568.09	\$ 1,553.72	\$ (14.37)	-0.9%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,616.11	\$ 1,601.29	\$ (14.82)	-0.9%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,664.12	\$ 1,648.85	\$ (15.27)	-0.9%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,712.13	\$ 1,696.42	\$ (15.72)	-0.9%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.22	\$ (0.22)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.41	\$ (0.45)	-0.6%
3	0	750	\$ 98.62	\$ 97.94	\$ (0.67)	-0.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 126.38	\$ 125.48	\$ (0.90)	-0.7%
5	0	1,250	\$ 154.14	\$ 153.02	\$ (1.12)	-0.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 181.90	\$ 180.56	\$ (1.35)	-0.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 237.43	\$ 235.63	\$ (1.80)	-0.8%
8	0	2,500	\$ 292.72	\$ 290.48	\$ (2.25)	-0.8%
9	0	3,000	\$ 348.02	\$ 345.32	\$ (2.69)	-0.8%
10	0	3,500	\$ 403.31	\$ 400.17	\$ (3.14)	-0.8%
11	0	4,000	\$ 458.60	\$ 455.01	\$ (3.59)	-0.8%
12	0	4,500	\$ 513.90	\$ 509.86	\$ (4.04)	-0.8%
13	0	5,000	\$ 569.19	\$ 564.70	\$ (4.49)	-0.8%
14	0	5,500	\$ 624.49	\$ 619.55	\$ (4.94)	-0.8%
15	0	6,000	\$ 679.78	\$ 674.39	\$ (5.39)	-0.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 735.07	\$ 729.23	\$ (5.84)	-0.8%
17	0	7,000	\$ 790.37	\$ 784.08	\$ (6.29)	-0.8%
18	0	7,500	\$ 845.66	\$ 838.92	\$ (6.74)	-0.8%
19	0	8,000	\$ 900.95	\$ 893.77	\$ (7.18)	-0.8%
20	0	8,500	\$ 956.25	\$ 948.61	\$ (7.63)	-0.8%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,011.54	\$ 1,003.46	\$ (8.08)	-0.8%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,066.84	\$ 1,058.30	\$ (8.53)	-0.8%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,122.13	\$ 1,113.15	\$ (8.98)	-0.8%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,177.42	\$ 1,167.99	\$ (9.43)	-0.8%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,232.72	\$ 1,222.84	\$ (9.88)	-0.8%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,288.01	\$ 1,277.68	\$ (10.33)	-0.8%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,343.30	\$ 1,332.53	\$ (10.78)	-0.8%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,398.60	\$ 1,387.37	\$ (11.23)	-0.8%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,453.89	\$ 1,442.22	\$ (11.68)	-0.8%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,509.19	\$ 1,497.06	\$ (12.12)	-0.8%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,564.48	\$ 1,551.91	\$ (12.57)	-0.8%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,619.77	\$ 1,606.75	\$ (13.02)	-0.8%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,675.07	\$ 1,661.60	\$ (13.47)	-0.8%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,730.08	\$ 1,716.16	\$ (13.92)	-0.8%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,785.09	\$ 1,770.72	\$ (14.37)	-0.8%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,840.11	\$ 1,825.29	\$ (14.82)	-0.8%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,895.12	\$ 1,879.85	\$ (15.27)	-0.8%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,950.13	\$ 1,934.42	\$ (15.72)	-0.8%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 166.81	\$ 166.72	\$ (0.09)	-0.1%
2	10	2,000	\$ 247.36	\$ 246.10	\$ (1.26)	-0.5%
3	10	3,000	\$ 327.44	\$ 325.02	\$ (2.42)	-0.7%
4	10	4,000	\$ 407.52	\$ 403.94	\$ (3.58)	-0.9%
5	10	5,000	\$ 487.61	\$ 482.87	\$ (4.74)	-1.0%
6	10	6,000	\$ 567.67	\$ 561.77	\$ (5.90)	-1.0%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 18,771.06	\$ 18,761.77	\$ (9.29)	0.0%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 26,723.15	\$ 26,597.66	\$ (125.49)	-0.5%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 34,675.25	\$ 34,433.56	\$ (241.69)	-0.7%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 42,627.34	\$ 42,269.45	\$ (357.89)	-0.8%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 50,579.44	\$ 50,105.35	\$ (474.09)	-0.9%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 58,531.54	\$ 57,941.25	\$ (590.29)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 5,564.64	\$ 5,523.92	\$ (40.72)	-0.7%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,205.09	\$ 9,108.27	\$ (96.82)	-1.1%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,845.54	\$ 12,692.62	\$ (152.92)	-1.2%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,485.99	\$ 16,276.97	\$ (209.02)	-1.3%
5	500	250,000	\$ 20,126.43	\$ 19,861.31	\$ (265.12)	-1.3%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,766.88	\$ 23,445.66	\$ (321.22)	-1.4%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 54,191.23	\$ 53,783.94	\$ (407.29)	-0.8%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 89,684.81	\$ 88,716.52	\$ (968.29)	-1.1%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 123,362.04	\$ 121,832.75	\$ (1,529.29)	-1.2%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 157,039.27	\$ 154,948.98	\$ (2,090.29)	-1.3%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 190,716.50	\$ 188,065.21	\$ (2,651.29)	-1.4%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 224,393.73	\$ 221,181.44	\$ (3,212.29)	-1.4%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,271.41	\$ 9,171.01	\$ (100.40)	-1.1%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 16,006.61	\$ 15,797.21	\$ (209.40)	-1.3%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,741.80	\$ 22,423.40	\$ (318.40)	-1.4%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,477.00	\$ 29,049.60	\$ (427.40)	-1.4%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 36,212.20	\$ 35,675.80	\$ (536.40)	-1.5%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,947.39	\$ 42,301.99	\$ (645.40)	-1.5%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 89,898.00	\$ 88,893.92	\$ (1,004.08)	-1.1%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 151,795.46	\$ 149,701.38	\$ (2,094.08)	-1.4%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 213,692.92	\$ 210,508.84	\$ (3,184.08)	-1.5%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 275,590.38	\$ 271,316.30	\$ (4,274.08)	-1.6%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 337,487.85	\$ 332,123.77	\$ (5,364.08)	-1.6%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 399,385.31	\$ 392,931.23	\$ (6,454.08)	-1.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 27,145.94	\$ 26,940.66	\$ (205.27)	-0.8%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 35,803.53	\$ 35,392.98	\$ (410.55)	-1.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 44,461.12	\$ 43,845.30	\$ (615.82)	-1.4%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 53,118.71	\$ 52,297.62	\$ (821.09)	-1.5%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 60,865.41	\$ 59,839.04	\$ (1,026.37)	-1.7%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 68,432.10	\$ 67,200.46	\$ (1,231.64)	-1.8%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 262,129.87	\$ 260,077.13	\$ (2,052.73)	-0.8%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 337,796.79	\$ 333,691.32	\$ (4,105.47)	-1.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 413,463.72	\$ 407,305.51	\$ (6,158.20)	-1.5%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 489,130.64	\$ 480,919.71	\$ (8,210.94)	-1.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 564,797.57	\$ 554,533.90	\$ (10,263.67)	-1.8%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 640,464.49	\$ 628,148.09	\$ (12,316.41)	-1.9%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps)					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.13	\$ 12.10	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.68	\$ 13.61	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.38	\$ 14.30	\$ (0.08)	-0.6%
6	4,000	126	\$ 17.10	\$ 16.95	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
7	6,000	157	\$ 18.60	\$ 18.42	\$ (0.18)	-1.0%
8	10,000	242	\$ 22.75	\$ 22.47	\$ (0.28)	-1.2%
9	15,000	282	\$ 24.72	\$ 24.39	\$ (0.33)	-1.3%
10	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
11	1,000	24	\$ 13.11	\$ 13.08	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
12	2,000	56	\$ 14.66	\$ 14.59	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
13	2,500	70	\$ 15.36	\$ 15.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
14	4,000	126	\$ 18.08	\$ 17.93	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
15	6,000	157	\$ 19.58	\$ 19.40	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
16	10,000	242	\$ 23.73	\$ 23.45	\$ (0.28)	-1.2%
17	15,000	282	\$ 25.70	\$ 25.37	\$ (0.33)	-1.3%
18	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
19	1,000	24	\$ 19.31	\$ 19.28	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
20	2,000	56	\$ 20.86	\$ 20.79	\$ (0.07)	-0.3%
21	2,500	70	\$ 21.56	\$ 21.48	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
22	4,000	126	\$ 24.28	\$ 24.13	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
23	6,000	157	\$ 25.78	\$ 25.60	\$ (0.18)	-0.7%
24	10,000	242	\$ 29.93	\$ 29.65	\$ (0.28)	-0.9%
25	15,000	282	\$ 31.90	\$ 31.57	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
26	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
27	1,000	48	\$ 34.61	\$ 34.55	\$ (0.06)	-0.2%
28	2,000	112	\$ 37.71	\$ 37.58	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
29	2,500	140	\$ 39.09	\$ 38.93	\$ (0.16)	-0.4%
30	4,000	252	\$ 44.55	\$ 44.26	\$ (0.29)	-0.7%
31	6,000	314	\$ 47.58	\$ 47.21	\$ (0.37)	-0.8%
32	10,000	484	\$ 55.87	\$ 55.30	\$ (0.57)	-1.0%
33	15,000	564	\$ 59.75	\$ 59.10	\$ (0.65)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
34	Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a)					
35	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
36	6,000	45	\$ 18.99	\$ 18.94	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
37	13,800	94	\$ 21.40	\$ 21.29	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
38	21,800	135	\$ 23.38	\$ 23.22	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
39	43,600	264	\$ 29.68	\$ 29.38	\$ (0.30)	-1.0%
40	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
41	6,000	45	\$ 17.25	\$ 17.20	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
42	13,800	94	\$ 19.66	\$ 19.55	\$ (0.11)	-0.6%
43	21,800	135	\$ 21.64	\$ 21.48	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
44	43,600	264	\$ 27.94	\$ 27.64	\$ (0.30)	-1.1%
45	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
46	6,000	90	\$ 24.98	\$ 24.87	\$ (0.11)	-0.4%
47	13,800	188	\$ 29.75	\$ 29.53	\$ (0.22)	-0.7%
48	21,800	270	\$ 33.75	\$ 33.43	\$ (0.32)	-0.9%
49	43,600	528	\$ 46.36	\$ 45.74	\$ (0.62)	-1.3%
50	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c)					
51	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
52	175	69	\$ 9.36	\$ 9.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.9%
53	250	104	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.52	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
54	400	158	\$ 15.96	\$ 15.77	\$ (0.19)	-1.2%
55	700	287	\$ 27.43	\$ 27.10	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
56	1000	380	\$ 34.41	\$ 33.97	\$ (0.44)	-1.3%
57	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
58	175	69	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.56	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
59	250	104	\$ 13.76	\$ 13.64	\$ (0.12)	-0.9%
60	400	158	\$ 18.66	\$ 18.47	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
61	700	287	\$ 30.34	\$ 30.01	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
62	1000	380	\$ 37.38	\$ 36.94	\$ (0.44)	-1.2%
63	Underground Service					
64	175	69	\$ 15.36	\$ 15.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
65	250	104	\$ 17.60	\$ 17.48	\$ (0.12)	-0.7%
66	400	158	\$ 22.29	\$ 22.10	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
67	700	287	\$ 32.20	\$ 31.87	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
68	1000	380	\$ 39.02	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.44)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
69	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c)					
70	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
71	175	138	\$ 16.90	\$ 16.74	\$ (0.16)	-0.9%
72	400	316	\$ 29.95	\$ 29.58	\$ (0.37)	-1.2%
73	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
74	400	316	\$ 32.61	\$ 32.24	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%
75	Underground Service					
76	250	208	\$ 27.69	\$ 27.45	\$ (0.24)	-0.9%
77	400	316	\$ 35.88	\$ 35.51	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
78	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d)					
79	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
80	100	42	\$ 11.20	\$ 11.15	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
81	150	62	\$ 13.37	\$ 13.30	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
82	200	88	\$ 17.51	\$ 17.41	\$ (0.10)	-0.6%
83	250	105	\$ 15.50	\$ 15.37	\$ (0.13)	-0.8%
84	400	163	\$ 21.54	\$ 21.35	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
85	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
86	100	42	\$ 13.05	\$ 13.00	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
87	150	62	\$ 14.69	\$ 14.62	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
88	200	88	\$ 19.63	\$ 19.53	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
89	250	105	\$ 19.47	\$ 19.34	\$ (0.13)	-0.7%
90	400	163	\$ 24.97	\$ 24.78	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
91	Underground Service					
92	100	42	\$ 16.80	\$ 16.75	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
93	100 (orn.)	42	\$ 28.10	\$ 28.05	\$ (0.05)	-0.2%
94	150	62	\$ 15.70	\$ 15.63	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
95	200	88	\$ 23.58	\$ 23.48	\$ (0.10)	-0.4%
96	250	105	\$ 21.17	\$ 21.04	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
97	250 (dwntwn)	105	\$ 36.19	\$ 36.06	\$ (0.13)	-0.4%
98	400	163	\$ 25.70	\$ 25.51	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
99	400 (dwntwn)	25	\$ 46.77	\$ 46.74	\$ (0.03)	-0.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
100	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d)					
101	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
102	100	84	\$ 21.97	\$ 21.88	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
103	150	124	\$ 24.94	\$ 24.79	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
104	250	210	\$ 31.16	\$ 30.92	\$ (0.24)	-0.8%
105	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
106	100	84	\$ 22.86	\$ 22.77	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
107	150	124	\$ 25.38	\$ 25.23	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
108	250	210	\$ 32.57	\$ 32.33	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
109	Underground Service					
110	100	84	\$ 27.10	\$ 27.01	\$ (0.09)	-0.3%
111	150	124	\$ 32.06	\$ 31.91	\$ (0.15)	-0.5%
112	250	210	\$ 38.82	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.24)	-0.6%
113	400 (davit)	326	\$ 38.96	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.38)	-1.0%
114	Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
115	N/A	25	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.20	\$ (0.03)	-2.4%
116	N/A	50	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.36	\$ (0.06)	-2.5%
117	N/A	75	\$ 4.77	\$ 4.69	\$ (0.08)	-1.7%
118	N/A	100	\$ 7.49	\$ 7.37	\$ (0.12)	-1.6%
119	N/A	125	\$ 9.36	\$ 9.21	\$ (0.15)	-1.6%
120	N/A	150	\$ 13.17	\$ 12.99	\$ (0.18)	-1.4%
121	N/A	175	\$ 15.81	\$ 15.61	\$ (0.20)	-1.3%
122	N/A	200	\$ 20.99	\$ 20.76	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
123	N/A	225	\$ 24.05	\$ 23.79	\$ (0.26)	-1.1%
124	N/A	250	\$ 12.18	\$ 11.89	\$ (0.29)	-2.4%
125	N/A	275	\$ 14.52	\$ 14.20	\$ (0.32)	-2.2%
126	N/A	300	\$ 17.23	\$ 16.88	\$ (0.35)	-2.0%
127	N/A	325	\$ 19.09	\$ 18.71	\$ (0.38)	-2.0%
128	N/A	350	\$ 22.92	\$ 22.51	\$ (0.41)	-1.8%
129	N/A	375	\$ 25.59	\$ 25.16	\$ (0.43)	-1.7%
130	N/A	400	\$ 30.73	\$ 30.27	\$ (0.46)	-1.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

1	Mercury Lighting								
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole								
3	175	69	\$	11.77	\$	11.69	\$	(0.08)	-0.7%
4	400	158	\$	30.25	\$	30.06	\$	(0.19)	-0.6%
5	1,000	380	\$	54.98	\$	54.54	\$	(0.44)	-0.8%
6	All Other Installations								
7	175	69	\$	18.36	\$	18.28	\$	(0.08)	-0.4%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting								
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole								
10	200	88	\$	15.97	\$	15.87	\$	(0.10)	-0.6%
11	400	163	\$	28.77	\$	28.58	\$	(0.19)	-0.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

1	0	100	\$ 10.95	\$ 10.83	\$ (0.12)	-1.1%
2	0	200	\$ 21.89	\$ 21.66	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
3	0	300	\$ 32.82	\$ 32.47	\$ (0.35)	-1.1%
4	0	400	\$ 43.78	\$ 43.32	\$ (0.46)	-1.1%
5	0	500	\$ 54.74	\$ 54.16	\$ (0.58)	-1.1%
6	0	600	\$ 65.65	\$ 64.95	\$ (0.70)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 175.96	\$ 175.87	\$ (0.09)	-0.1%
2	10	2,000	\$ 265.65	\$ 264.39	\$ (1.26)	-0.5%
3	10	3,000	\$ 354.88	\$ 352.46	\$ (2.42)	-0.7%
4	10	4,000	\$ 444.11	\$ 440.53	\$ (3.58)	-0.8%
5	10	5,000	\$ 533.35	\$ 528.61	\$ (4.74)	-0.9%
6	10	6,000	\$ 622.55	\$ 616.65	\$ (5.90)	-0.9%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 19,685.76	\$ 19,676.47	\$ (9.29)	0.0%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 28,552.55	\$ 28,427.06	\$ (125.49)	-0.4%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 37,419.35	\$ 37,177.66	\$ (241.69)	-0.6%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 46,286.14	\$ 45,928.25	\$ (357.89)	-0.8%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 55,152.94	\$ 54,678.85	\$ (474.09)	-0.9%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 64,019.74	\$ 63,429.45	\$ (590.29)	-0.9%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,006.14	\$ 5,965.42	\$ (40.72)	-0.7%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,088.09	\$ 9,991.27	\$ (96.82)	-1.0%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,170.04	\$ 14,017.12	\$ (152.92)	-1.1%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,251.99	\$ 18,042.97	\$ (209.02)	-1.1%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,333.93	\$ 22,068.81	\$ (265.12)	-1.2%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,415.88	\$ 26,094.66	\$ (321.22)	-1.2%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 58,606.23	\$ 58,198.94	\$ (407.29)	-0.7%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,514.81	\$ 97,546.52	\$ (968.29)	-1.0%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 136,607.04	\$ 135,077.75	\$ (1,529.29)	-1.1%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 174,699.27	\$ 172,608.98	\$ (2,090.29)	-1.2%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 212,791.50	\$ 210,140.21	\$ (2,651.29)	-1.2%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 250,883.73	\$ 247,671.44	\$ (3,212.29)	-1.3%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,129.61	\$ 10,029.21	\$ (100.40)	-1.0%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,723.01	\$ 17,513.61	\$ (209.40)	-1.2%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,316.40	\$ 24,998.00	\$ (318.40)	-1.3%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,909.80	\$ 32,482.40	\$ (427.40)	-1.3%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 40,503.20	\$ 39,966.80	\$ (536.40)	-1.3%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 48,096.59	\$ 47,451.19	\$ (645.40)	-1.3%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,480.00	\$ 97,475.92	\$ (1,004.08)	-1.0%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 168,959.46	\$ 166,865.38	\$ (2,094.08)	-1.2%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 239,438.92	\$ 236,254.84	\$ (3,184.08)	-1.3%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 309,918.38	\$ 305,644.30	\$ (4,274.08)	-1.4%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 380,397.85	\$ 375,033.77	\$ (5,364.08)	-1.4%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 450,877.31	\$ 444,423.23	\$ (6,454.08)	-1.4%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 28,860.54	\$ 28,655.26	\$ (205.27)	-0.7%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 39,232.73	\$ 38,822.18	\$ (410.55)	-1.0%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 49,604.92	\$ 48,989.10	\$ (615.82)	-1.2%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 59,977.11	\$ 59,156.02	\$ (821.09)	-1.4%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 69,438.41	\$ 68,412.04	\$ (1,026.37)	-1.5%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 78,719.70	\$ 77,488.06	\$ (1,231.64)	-1.6%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 279,275.87	\$ 277,223.13	\$ (2,052.73)	-0.7%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 372,088.79	\$ 367,983.32	\$ (4,105.47)	-1.1%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 464,901.72	\$ 458,743.51	\$ (6,158.20)	-1.3%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 557,714.64	\$ 549,503.71	\$ (8,210.94)	-1.5%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 650,527.57	\$ 640,263.90	\$ (10,263.67)	-1.6%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 743,340.49	\$ 731,024.09	\$ (12,316.41)	-1.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps)					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.35	\$ 12.32	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
4	2,000	56	\$ 14.19	\$ 14.12	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
5	2,500	70	\$ 15.02	\$ 14.94	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
6	4,000	126	\$ 18.25	\$ 18.10	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
7	6,000	157	\$ 20.04	\$ 19.86	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
8	10,000	242	\$ 24.97	\$ 24.69	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
9	15,000	282	\$ 27.30	\$ 26.97	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
10	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
11	1,000	24	\$ 13.33	\$ 13.30	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
12	2,000	56	\$ 15.17	\$ 15.10	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
13	2,500	70	\$ 16.00	\$ 15.92	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
14	4,000	126	\$ 19.23	\$ 19.08	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
15	6,000	157	\$ 21.02	\$ 20.84	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
16	10,000	242	\$ 25.95	\$ 25.67	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
17	15,000	282	\$ 28.28	\$ 27.95	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
18	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
19	1,000	24	\$ 19.53	\$ 19.50	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
20	2,000	56	\$ 21.37	\$ 21.30	\$ (0.07)	-0.3%
21	2,500	70	\$ 22.20	\$ 22.12	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
22	4,000	126	\$ 25.43	\$ 25.28	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
23	6,000	157	\$ 27.22	\$ 27.04	\$ (0.18)	-0.7%
24	10,000	242	\$ 32.15	\$ 31.87	\$ (0.28)	-0.9%
25	15,000	282	\$ 34.48	\$ 34.15	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
26	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
27	1,000	48	\$ 35.04	\$ 34.98	\$ (0.06)	-0.2%
28	2,000	112	\$ 38.74	\$ 38.61	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
29	2,500	140	\$ 40.37	\$ 40.21	\$ (0.16)	-0.4%
30	4,000	252	\$ 46.86	\$ 46.57	\$ (0.29)	-0.6%
31	6,000	314	\$ 50.46	\$ 50.09	\$ (0.37)	-0.7%
32	10,000	484	\$ 60.30	\$ 59.73	\$ (0.57)	-0.9%
33	15,000	564	\$ 64.91	\$ 64.26	\$ (0.65)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
34	Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a)					
35	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
36	6,000	45	\$ 19.40	\$ 19.35	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
37	13,800	94	\$ 22.26	\$ 22.15	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
38	21,800	135	\$ 24.62	\$ 24.46	\$ (0.16)	-0.6%
39	43,600	264	\$ 32.10	\$ 31.80	\$ (0.30)	-0.9%
40	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
41	6,000	45	\$ 17.66	\$ 17.61	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
42	13,800	94	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.41	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
43	21,800	135	\$ 22.88	\$ 22.72	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
44	43,600	264	\$ 30.36	\$ 30.06	\$ (0.30)	-1.0%
45	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
46	6,000	90	\$ 25.80	\$ 25.69	\$ (0.11)	-0.4%
47	13,800	188	\$ 31.47	\$ 31.25	\$ (0.22)	-0.7%
48	21,800	270	\$ 36.22	\$ 35.90	\$ (0.32)	-0.9%
49	43,600	528	\$ 51.19	\$ 50.57	\$ (0.62)	-1.2%
50	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c)					
51	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
52	175	69	\$ 9.99	\$ 9.91	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
53	250	104	\$ 12.59	\$ 12.47	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
54	400	158	\$ 17.41	\$ 17.22	\$ (0.19)	-1.1%
55	700	287	\$ 30.06	\$ 29.73	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
56	1000	380	\$ 37.88	\$ 37.44	\$ (0.44)	-1.2%
57	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
58	175	69	\$ 12.27	\$ 12.19	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
59	250	104	\$ 14.71	\$ 14.59	\$ (0.12)	-0.8%
60	400	158	\$ 20.11	\$ 19.92	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
61	700	287	\$ 32.97	\$ 32.64	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
62	1000	380	\$ 40.85	\$ 40.41	\$ (0.44)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service					
64	175	69	\$ 15.99	\$ 15.91	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
65	250	104	\$ 18.55	\$ 18.43	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
66	400	158	\$ 23.74	\$ 23.55	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
67	700	287	\$ 34.83	\$ 34.50	\$ (0.33)	-0.9%
68	1000	380	\$ 42.49	\$ 42.05	\$ (0.44)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
69	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c)					
70	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
71	175	138	\$ 18.16	\$ 18.00	\$ (0.16)	-0.9%
72	400	316	\$ 32.84	\$ 32.47	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%
73	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
74	400	316	\$ 35.50	\$ 35.13	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
75	Underground Service					
76	250	208	\$ 29.59	\$ 29.35	\$ (0.24)	-0.8%
77	400	316	\$ 38.77	\$ 38.40	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
78	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d)					
79	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
80	100	42	\$ 11.58	\$ 11.53	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
81	150	62	\$ 13.94	\$ 13.87	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
82	200	88	\$ 18.32	\$ 18.22	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
83	250	105	\$ 16.46	\$ 16.33	\$ (0.13)	-0.8%
84	400	163	\$ 23.03	\$ 22.84	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
85	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
86	100	42	\$ 13.43	\$ 13.38	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
87	150	62	\$ 15.26	\$ 15.19	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
88	200	88	\$ 20.44	\$ 20.34	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
89	250	105	\$ 20.43	\$ 20.30	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
90	400	163	\$ 26.46	\$ 26.27	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
91	Underground Service					
92	100	42	\$ 17.18	\$ 17.13	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
93	100 (orn.)	42	\$ 28.48	\$ 28.43	\$ (0.05)	-0.2%
94	150	62	\$ 16.27	\$ 16.20	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
95	200	88	\$ 24.39	\$ 24.29	\$ (0.10)	-0.4%
96	250	105	\$ 22.13	\$ 22.00	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
97	250 (dwntwn)	105	\$ 37.15	\$ 37.02	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
98	400	163	\$ 27.19	\$ 27.00	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
99	400 (dwntwn)	25	\$ 46.99	\$ 46.96	\$ (0.03)	-0.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
100	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d)					
101	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
102	100	84	\$ 22.74	\$ 22.65	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
103	150	124	\$ 26.07	\$ 25.92	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
104	250	210	\$ 33.08	\$ 32.84	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
105	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
106	100	84	\$ 23.63	\$ 23.54	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
107	150	124	\$ 26.51	\$ 26.36	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
108	250	210	\$ 34.49	\$ 34.25	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
109	Underground Service					
110	100	84	\$ 27.87	\$ 27.78	\$ (0.09)	-0.3%
111	150	124	\$ 33.19	\$ 33.04	\$ (0.15)	-0.5%
112	250	210	\$ 40.74	\$ 40.50	\$ (0.24)	-0.6%
113	400 (davit)	326	\$ 41.94	\$ 41.56	\$ (0.38)	-0.9%
114	Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
115	N/A	25	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.42	\$ (0.03)	-2.1%
116	N/A	50	\$ 2.88	\$ 2.82	\$ (0.06)	-2.1%
117	N/A	75	\$ 5.45	\$ 5.37	\$ (0.08)	-1.5%
118	N/A	100	\$ 8.41	\$ 8.29	\$ (0.12)	-1.4%
119	N/A	125	\$ 10.50	\$ 10.35	\$ (0.15)	-1.4%
120	N/A	150	\$ 14.55	\$ 14.37	\$ (0.18)	-1.2%
121	N/A	175	\$ 17.41	\$ 17.21	\$ (0.20)	-1.1%
122	N/A	200	\$ 22.82	\$ 22.59	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
123	N/A	225	\$ 26.11	\$ 25.85	\$ (0.26)	-1.0%
124	N/A	250	\$ 14.46	\$ 14.17	\$ (0.29)	-2.0%
125	N/A	275	\$ 17.04	\$ 16.72	\$ (0.32)	-1.9%
126	N/A	300	\$ 19.97	\$ 19.62	\$ (0.35)	-1.8%
127	N/A	325	\$ 22.07	\$ 21.69	\$ (0.38)	-1.7%
128	N/A	350	\$ 26.12	\$ 25.71	\$ (0.41)	-1.6%
129	N/A	375	\$ 29.02	\$ 28.59	\$ (0.43)	-1.5%
130	N/A	400	\$ 34.39	\$ 33.93	\$ (0.46)	-1.3%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 13.22	\$ 13.15	\$ (0.08)	-0.6%
4	400	158	\$ 33.60	\$ 33.41	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%
5	1,000	380	\$ 63.01	\$ 62.57	\$ (0.44)	-0.7%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 19.81	\$ 19.74	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	200	88	\$ 17.84	\$ 17.74	\$ (0.10)	-0.6%
11	400	163	\$ 32.21	\$ 32.02	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 1 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 11.87	\$ 11.75	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
2	0	200	\$ 23.72	\$ 23.49	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
3	0	300	\$ 35.56	\$ 35.21	\$ (0.35)	-1.0%
4	0	400	\$ 47.44	\$ 46.98	\$ (0.46)	-1.0%
5	0	500	\$ 59.31	\$ 58.73	\$ (0.58)	-1.0%
6	0	600	\$ 71.14	\$ 70.44	\$ (0.70)	-1.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.49	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.94	\$ (0.80)	-1.2%
3	0	750	\$ 96.60	\$ 95.40	\$ (1.20)	-1.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 127.45	\$ 125.86	\$ (1.59)	-1.3%
5	0	1,250	\$ 158.30	\$ 156.31	\$ (1.99)	-1.3%
6	0	1,500	\$ 189.16	\$ 186.77	\$ (2.39)	-1.3%
7	0	2,000	\$ 250.87	\$ 247.68	\$ (3.19)	-1.3%
8	0	2,500	\$ 312.35	\$ 308.36	\$ (3.99)	-1.3%
9	0	3,000	\$ 373.83	\$ 369.04	\$ (4.78)	-1.3%
10	0	3,500	\$ 435.31	\$ 429.72	\$ (5.58)	-1.3%
11	0	4,000	\$ 496.78	\$ 490.40	\$ (6.38)	-1.3%
12	0	4,500	\$ 558.26	\$ 551.09	\$ (7.18)	-1.3%
13	0	5,000	\$ 619.74	\$ 611.77	\$ (7.97)	-1.3%
14	0	5,500	\$ 681.22	\$ 672.45	\$ (8.77)	-1.3%
15	0	6,000	\$ 742.70	\$ 733.13	\$ (9.57)	-1.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 804.18	\$ 793.81	\$ (10.37)	-1.3%
17	0	7,000	\$ 865.66	\$ 854.49	\$ (11.16)	-1.3%
18	0	7,500	\$ 927.14	\$ 915.17	\$ (11.96)	-1.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 988.62	\$ 975.86	\$ (12.76)	-1.3%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,050.09	\$ 1,036.54	\$ (13.56)	-1.3%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,111.57	\$ 1,097.22	\$ (14.35)	-1.3%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,173.05	\$ 1,157.90	\$ (15.15)	-1.3%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,234.53	\$ 1,218.58	\$ (15.95)	-1.3%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,296.01	\$ 1,279.26	\$ (16.75)	-1.3%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,357.49	\$ 1,339.94	\$ (17.54)	-1.3%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,418.97	\$ 1,400.63	\$ (18.34)	-1.3%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,480.45	\$ 1,461.31	\$ (19.14)	-1.3%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,541.92	\$ 1,521.99	\$ (19.94)	-1.3%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,603.40	\$ 1,582.67	\$ (20.73)	-1.3%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,664.88	\$ 1,643.35	\$ (21.53)	-1.3%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,726.36	\$ 1,704.03	\$ (22.33)	-1.3%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,787.84	\$ 1,764.71	\$ (23.13)	-1.3%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,849.32	\$ 1,825.40	\$ (23.92)	-1.3%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,910.52	\$ 1,885.80	\$ (24.72)	-1.3%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,971.72	\$ 1,946.20	\$ (25.52)	-1.3%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,032.91	\$ 2,006.60	\$ (26.32)	-1.3%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,094.11	\$ 2,067.00	\$ (27.11)	-1.3%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,155.31	\$ 2,127.40	\$ (27.91)	-1.3%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.49	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.94	\$ (0.80)	-1.2%
3	0	750	\$ 87.42	\$ 86.22	\$ (1.20)	-1.4%
4	0	1,000	\$ 109.10	\$ 107.51	\$ (1.59)	-1.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 130.78	\$ 128.79	\$ (1.99)	-1.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 143.53	\$ 141.14	\$ (2.39)	-1.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 169.04	\$ 165.85	\$ (3.19)	-1.9%
8	0	2,500	\$ 194.32	\$ 190.34	\$ (3.99)	-2.1%
9	0	3,000	\$ 219.60	\$ 214.82	\$ (4.78)	-2.2%
10	0	3,500	\$ 244.88	\$ 239.30	\$ (5.58)	-2.3%
11	0	4,000	\$ 270.16	\$ 263.78	\$ (6.38)	-2.4%
12	0	4,500	\$ 295.44	\$ 288.26	\$ (7.18)	-2.4%
13	0	5,000	\$ 320.72	\$ 312.74	\$ (7.97)	-2.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 346.00	\$ 337.22	\$ (8.77)	-2.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 371.27	\$ 361.71	\$ (9.57)	-2.6%
16	0	6,500	\$ 396.55	\$ 386.19	\$ (10.37)	-2.6%
17	0	7,000	\$ 421.83	\$ 410.67	\$ (11.16)	-2.6%
18	0	7,500	\$ 447.11	\$ 435.15	\$ (11.96)	-2.7%
19	0	8,000	\$ 472.39	\$ 459.63	\$ (12.76)	-2.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 497.67	\$ 484.11	\$ (13.56)	-2.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 522.95	\$ 508.59	\$ (14.35)	-2.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 548.23	\$ 533.08	\$ (15.15)	-2.8%
23	0	10,000	\$ 573.51	\$ 557.56	\$ (15.95)	-2.8%
24	0	10,500	\$ 598.78	\$ 582.04	\$ (16.75)	-2.8%
25	0	11,000	\$ 624.06	\$ 606.52	\$ (17.54)	-2.8%
26	0	11,500	\$ 649.34	\$ 631.00	\$ (18.34)	-2.8%
27	0	12,000	\$ 674.62	\$ 655.48	\$ (19.14)	-2.8%
28	0	12,500	\$ 699.90	\$ 679.96	\$ (19.94)	-2.8%
29	0	13,000	\$ 725.18	\$ 704.45	\$ (20.73)	-2.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 750.46	\$ 728.93	\$ (21.53)	-2.9%
31	0	14,000	\$ 775.74	\$ 753.41	\$ (22.33)	-2.9%
32	0	14,500	\$ 801.02	\$ 777.89	\$ (23.13)	-2.9%
33	0	15,000	\$ 826.29	\$ 802.37	\$ (23.92)	-2.9%
34	0	15,500	\$ 851.29	\$ 826.57	\$ (24.72)	-2.9%
35	0	16,000	\$ 876.29	\$ 850.77	\$ (25.52)	-2.9%
36	0	16,500	\$ 901.29	\$ 874.97	\$ (26.32)	-2.9%
37	0	17,000	\$ 926.29	\$ 899.17	\$ (27.11)	-2.9%
38	0	17,500	\$ 951.28	\$ 923.37	\$ (27.91)	-2.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWh) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.89	\$ 34.49	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 65.74	\$ 64.94	\$ (0.80)	-1.2%
3	0	750	\$ 92.17	\$ 90.97	\$ (1.20)	-1.3%
4	0	1,000	\$ 118.60	\$ 117.01	\$ (1.59)	-1.3%
5	0	1,250	\$ 145.03	\$ 143.04	\$ (1.99)	-1.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 171.46	\$ 169.07	\$ (2.39)	-1.4%
7	0	2,000	\$ 224.32	\$ 221.13	\$ (3.19)	-1.4%
8	0	2,500	\$ 276.95	\$ 272.96	\$ (3.99)	-1.4%
9	0	3,000	\$ 329.58	\$ 324.79	\$ (4.78)	-1.5%
10	0	3,500	\$ 382.21	\$ 376.62	\$ (5.58)	-1.5%
11	0	4,000	\$ 434.83	\$ 428.45	\$ (6.38)	-1.5%
12	0	4,500	\$ 487.46	\$ 480.29	\$ (7.18)	-1.5%
13	0	5,000	\$ 540.09	\$ 532.12	\$ (7.97)	-1.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 592.72	\$ 583.95	\$ (8.77)	-1.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 645.35	\$ 635.78	\$ (9.57)	-1.5%
16	0	6,500	\$ 697.98	\$ 687.61	\$ (10.37)	-1.5%
17	0	7,000	\$ 750.61	\$ 739.44	\$ (11.16)	-1.5%
18	0	7,500	\$ 803.24	\$ 791.27	\$ (11.96)	-1.5%
19	0	8,000	\$ 855.87	\$ 843.11	\$ (12.76)	-1.5%
20	0	8,500	\$ 908.49	\$ 894.94	\$ (13.56)	-1.5%
21	0	9,000	\$ 961.12	\$ 946.77	\$ (14.35)	-1.5%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,013.75	\$ 998.60	\$ (15.15)	-1.5%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,066.38	\$ 1,050.43	\$ (15.95)	-1.5%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,119.01	\$ 1,102.26	\$ (16.75)	-1.5%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,171.64	\$ 1,154.09	\$ (17.54)	-1.5%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,224.27	\$ 1,205.93	\$ (18.34)	-1.5%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,276.90	\$ 1,257.76	\$ (19.14)	-1.5%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,329.52	\$ 1,309.59	\$ (19.94)	-1.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,382.15	\$ 1,361.42	\$ (20.73)	-1.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,434.78	\$ 1,413.25	\$ (21.53)	-1.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,487.41	\$ 1,465.08	\$ (22.33)	-1.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,540.04	\$ 1,516.91	\$ (23.13)	-1.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,592.67	\$ 1,568.75	\$ (23.92)	-1.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,645.02	\$ 1,620.30	\$ (24.72)	-1.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,697.37	\$ 1,671.85	\$ (25.52)	-1.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,749.71	\$ 1,723.40	\$ (26.32)	-1.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,802.06	\$ 1,774.95	\$ (27.11)	-1.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,854.41	\$ 1,826.50	\$ (27.91)	-1.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.77	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.52	\$ (0.80)	-1.1%
3	0	750	\$ 103.46	\$ 102.26	\$ (1.20)	-1.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 136.60	\$ 135.00	\$ (1.59)	-1.2%
5	0	1,250	\$ 169.74	\$ 167.74	\$ (1.99)	-1.2%
6	0	1,500	\$ 202.88	\$ 200.49	\$ (2.39)	-1.2%
7	0	2,000	\$ 269.16	\$ 265.97	\$ (3.19)	-1.2%
8	0	2,500	\$ 335.22	\$ 331.23	\$ (3.99)	-1.2%
9	0	3,000	\$ 401.27	\$ 396.48	\$ (4.78)	-1.2%
10	0	3,500	\$ 467.32	\$ 461.74	\$ (5.58)	-1.2%
11	0	4,000	\$ 533.37	\$ 526.99	\$ (6.38)	-1.2%
12	0	4,500	\$ 599.42	\$ 592.25	\$ (7.18)	-1.2%
13	0	5,000	\$ 665.48	\$ 657.50	\$ (7.97)	-1.2%
14	0	5,500	\$ 731.53	\$ 722.76	\$ (8.77)	-1.2%
15	0	6,000	\$ 797.58	\$ 788.01	\$ (9.57)	-1.2%
16	0	6,500	\$ 863.63	\$ 853.27	\$ (10.37)	-1.2%
17	0	7,000	\$ 929.69	\$ 918.52	\$ (11.16)	-1.2%
18	0	7,500	\$ 995.74	\$ 983.78	\$ (11.96)	-1.2%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,061.79	\$ 1,049.03	\$ (12.76)	-1.2%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,127.84	\$ 1,114.29	\$ (13.56)	-1.2%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,193.90	\$ 1,179.54	\$ (14.35)	-1.2%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,259.95	\$ 1,244.80	\$ (15.15)	-1.2%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,326.00	\$ 1,310.05	\$ (15.95)	-1.2%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,392.05	\$ 1,375.31	\$ (16.75)	-1.2%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,458.11	\$ 1,440.56	\$ (17.54)	-1.2%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,524.16	\$ 1,505.82	\$ (18.34)	-1.2%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,590.21	\$ 1,571.07	\$ (19.14)	-1.2%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,656.26	\$ 1,636.33	\$ (19.94)	-1.2%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,722.31	\$ 1,701.58	\$ (20.73)	-1.2%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,788.37	\$ 1,766.84	\$ (21.53)	-1.2%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,854.42	\$ 1,832.09	\$ (22.33)	-1.2%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,920.47	\$ 1,897.35	\$ (23.13)	-1.2%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,986.52	\$ 1,962.60	\$ (23.92)	-1.2%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,052.30	\$ 2,027.57	\$ (24.72)	-1.2%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,118.07	\$ 2,092.55	\$ (25.52)	-1.2%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,183.84	\$ 2,157.52	\$ (26.32)	-1.2%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,249.61	\$ 2,222.50	\$ (27.11)	-1.2%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,315.38	\$ 2,287.47	\$ (27.91)	-1.2%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.77	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.52	\$ (0.80)	-1.1%
3	0	750	\$ 94.28	\$ 93.08	\$ (1.20)	-1.3%
4	0	1,000	\$ 118.25	\$ 116.65	\$ (1.59)	-1.3%
5	0	1,250	\$ 142.21	\$ 140.22	\$ (1.99)	-1.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 166.18	\$ 163.79	\$ (2.39)	-1.4%
7	0	2,000	\$ 214.11	\$ 210.92	\$ (3.19)	-1.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 261.82	\$ 257.83	\$ (3.99)	-1.5%
9	0	3,000	\$ 309.52	\$ 304.73	\$ (4.78)	-1.5%
10	0	3,500	\$ 357.22	\$ 351.64	\$ (5.58)	-1.6%
11	0	4,000	\$ 404.92	\$ 398.54	\$ (6.38)	-1.6%
12	0	4,500	\$ 452.62	\$ 445.45	\$ (7.18)	-1.6%
13	0	5,000	\$ 500.33	\$ 492.35	\$ (7.97)	-1.6%
14	0	5,500	\$ 548.03	\$ 539.26	\$ (8.77)	-1.6%
15	0	6,000	\$ 595.73	\$ 586.16	\$ (9.57)	-1.6%
16	0	6,500	\$ 643.43	\$ 633.07	\$ (10.37)	-1.6%
17	0	7,000	\$ 691.14	\$ 679.97	\$ (11.16)	-1.6%
18	0	7,500	\$ 738.84	\$ 726.88	\$ (11.96)	-1.6%
19	0	8,000	\$ 786.54	\$ 773.78	\$ (12.76)	-1.6%
20	0	8,500	\$ 834.24	\$ 820.69	\$ (13.56)	-1.6%
21	0	9,000	\$ 881.95	\$ 867.59	\$ (14.35)	-1.6%
22	0	9,500	\$ 929.65	\$ 914.50	\$ (15.15)	-1.6%
23	0	10,000	\$ 977.35	\$ 961.40	\$ (15.95)	-1.6%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,025.05	\$ 1,008.31	\$ (16.75)	-1.6%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,072.76	\$ 1,055.21	\$ (17.54)	-1.6%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,120.46	\$ 1,102.12	\$ (18.34)	-1.6%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,168.16	\$ 1,149.02	\$ (19.14)	-1.6%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,215.86	\$ 1,195.93	\$ (19.94)	-1.6%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,263.56	\$ 1,242.83	\$ (20.73)	-1.6%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,311.27	\$ 1,289.74	\$ (21.53)	-1.6%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,358.97	\$ 1,336.64	\$ (22.33)	-1.6%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,406.67	\$ 1,383.55	\$ (23.13)	-1.6%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,454.37	\$ 1,430.45	\$ (23.92)	-1.6%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,501.80	\$ 1,477.07	\$ (24.72)	-1.6%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,549.22	\$ 1,523.70	\$ (25.52)	-1.6%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,596.64	\$ 1,570.32	\$ (26.32)	-1.6%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,644.06	\$ 1,616.95	\$ (27.11)	-1.6%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,691.48	\$ 1,663.57	\$ (27.91)	-1.7%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line	Level of	Level of	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
No.	Demand	Usage	Summer Bill	Summer Bill	Increase	Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.17	\$ 36.77	\$ (0.40)	-1.1%
2	0	500	\$ 70.31	\$ 69.52	\$ (0.80)	-1.1%
3	0	750	\$ 99.03	\$ 97.83	\$ (1.20)	-1.2%
4	0	1,000	\$ 127.75	\$ 126.15	\$ (1.59)	-1.2%
5	0	1,250	\$ 156.46	\$ 154.47	\$ (1.99)	-1.3%
6	0	1,500	\$ 185.18	\$ 182.79	\$ (2.39)	-1.3%
7	0	2,000	\$ 242.61	\$ 239.42	\$ (3.19)	-1.3%
8	0	2,500	\$ 299.82	\$ 295.83	\$ (3.99)	-1.3%
9	0	3,000	\$ 357.02	\$ 352.23	\$ (4.78)	-1.3%
10	0	3,500	\$ 414.22	\$ 408.64	\$ (5.58)	-1.3%
11	0	4,000	\$ 471.42	\$ 465.04	\$ (6.38)	-1.4%
12	0	4,500	\$ 528.62	\$ 521.45	\$ (7.18)	-1.4%
13	0	5,000	\$ 585.83	\$ 577.85	\$ (7.97)	-1.4%
14	0	5,500	\$ 643.03	\$ 634.26	\$ (8.77)	-1.4%
15	0	6,000	\$ 700.23	\$ 690.66	\$ (9.57)	-1.4%
16	0	6,500	\$ 757.43	\$ 747.07	\$ (10.37)	-1.4%
17	0	7,000	\$ 814.64	\$ 803.47	\$ (11.16)	-1.4%
18	0	7,500	\$ 871.84	\$ 859.88	\$ (11.96)	-1.4%
19	0	8,000	\$ 929.04	\$ 916.28	\$ (12.76)	-1.4%
20	0	8,500	\$ 986.24	\$ 972.69	\$ (13.56)	-1.4%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,043.45	\$ 1,029.09	\$ (14.35)	-1.4%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,100.65	\$ 1,085.50	\$ (15.15)	-1.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,157.85	\$ 1,141.90	\$ (15.95)	-1.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,215.05	\$ 1,198.31	\$ (16.75)	-1.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,272.26	\$ 1,254.71	\$ (17.54)	-1.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,329.46	\$ 1,311.12	\$ (18.34)	-1.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,386.66	\$ 1,367.52	\$ (19.14)	-1.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,443.86	\$ 1,423.93	\$ (19.94)	-1.4%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,501.06	\$ 1,480.33	\$ (20.73)	-1.4%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,558.27	\$ 1,536.74	\$ (21.53)	-1.4%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,615.47	\$ 1,593.14	\$ (22.33)	-1.4%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,672.67	\$ 1,649.55	\$ (23.13)	-1.4%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,729.87	\$ 1,705.95	\$ (23.92)	-1.4%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,786.80	\$ 1,762.07	\$ (24.72)	-1.4%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,843.72	\$ 1,818.20	\$ (25.52)	-1.4%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,900.64	\$ 1,874.32	\$ (26.32)	-1.4%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,957.56	\$ 1,930.45	\$ (27.11)	-1.4%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,014.48	\$ 1,986.57	\$ (27.91)	-1.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 150.92	\$ 150.66	\$ (0.26)	-0.2%
2	10	2,000	\$ 228.50	\$ 226.05	\$ (2.45)	-1.1%
3	10	3,000	\$ 305.63	\$ 301.00	\$ (4.63)	-1.5%
4	10	4,000	\$ 382.76	\$ 375.94	\$ (6.82)	-1.8%
5	10	5,000	\$ 459.90	\$ 450.90	\$ (9.00)	-2.0%
6	10	6,000	\$ 537.00	\$ 525.82	\$ (11.18)	-2.1%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 15,726.29	\$ 15,700.52	\$ (25.77)	-0.2%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 23,382.93	\$ 23,138.76	\$ (244.17)	-1.0%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 31,039.57	\$ 30,577.00	\$ (462.57)	-1.5%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 38,696.20	\$ 38,015.23	\$ (680.97)	-1.8%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 46,352.84	\$ 45,453.47	\$ (899.37)	-1.9%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 54,009.47	\$ 52,891.70	\$ (1,117.77)	-2.1%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,020.40	\$ 5,967.87	\$ (52.53)	-0.9%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,501.42	\$ 9,343.44	\$ (157.98)	-1.7%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,982.44	\$ 12,719.01	\$ (263.43)	-2.0%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,463.46	\$ 16,094.58	\$ (368.88)	-2.2%
5	500	250,000	\$ 19,944.47	\$ 19,470.14	\$ (474.33)	-2.4%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,425.49	\$ 22,845.71	\$ (579.78)	-2.5%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 58,748.75	\$ 58,223.46	\$ (525.29)	-0.9%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 93,035.58	\$ 91,455.79	\$ (1,579.79)	-1.7%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 126,278.86	\$ 123,644.57	\$ (2,634.29)	-2.1%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 159,522.14	\$ 155,833.35	\$ (3,688.79)	-2.3%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 192,765.43	\$ 188,022.14	\$ (4,743.29)	-2.5%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 226,008.71	\$ 220,210.92	\$ (5,797.79)	-2.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,285.81	\$ 9,124.38	\$ (161.43)	-1.7%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 15,875.75	\$ 15,509.42	\$ (366.33)	-2.3%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,465.68	\$ 21,894.45	\$ (571.23)	-2.5%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,055.62	\$ 28,279.49	\$ (776.13)	-2.7%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 35,645.56	\$ 34,664.53	\$ (981.03)	-2.8%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,235.49	\$ 41,049.56	\$ (1,185.93)	-2.8%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 90,429.51	\$ 88,815.17	\$ (1,614.34)	-1.8%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 153,195.07	\$ 149,531.73	\$ (3,663.34)	-2.4%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 215,960.64	\$ 210,248.30	\$ (5,712.34)	-2.6%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 278,726.20	\$ 270,964.86	\$ (7,761.34)	-2.8%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 341,491.76	\$ 331,681.42	\$ (9,810.34)	-2.9%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 404,257.33	\$ 392,397.99	\$ (11,859.34)	-2.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 28,326.82	\$ 27,929.94	\$ (396.87)	-1.4%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 37,071.69	\$ 36,277.94	\$ (793.75)	-2.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 45,816.56	\$ 44,625.94	\$ (1,190.62)	-2.6%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 54,561.43	\$ 52,973.94	\$ (1,587.49)	-2.9%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 62,782.96	\$ 60,798.60	\$ (1,984.37)	-3.2%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 70,901.07	\$ 68,519.83	\$ (2,381.24)	-3.4%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 276,646.92	\$ 272,678.19	\$ (3,968.73)	-1.4%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 357,828.05	\$ 349,890.58	\$ (7,937.47)	-2.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 439,009.18	\$ 427,102.97	\$ (11,906.20)	-2.7%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 520,190.30	\$ 504,315.36	\$ (15,874.94)	-3.1%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 601,371.43	\$ 581,527.75	\$ (19,843.67)	-3.3%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 682,552.55	\$ 658,740.14	\$ (23,812.41)	-3.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	1,000	24	\$ 17.82	\$ 17.77	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
3	2,000	56	\$ 19.30	\$ 19.18	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
4	2,500	70	\$ 19.99	\$ 19.83	\$ (0.16)	-0.8%
5	4,000	126	\$ 22.60	\$ 22.33	\$ (0.27)	-1.2%
6	6,000	157	\$ 24.05	\$ 23.71	\$ (0.34)	-1.4%
7	10,000	242	\$ 28.01	\$ 27.49	\$ (0.52)	-1.9%
8	15,000	282	\$ 29.90	\$ 29.28	\$ (0.62)	-2.1%
9	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
10	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
11	100	43	\$ 7.83	\$ 7.74	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
12	175	69	\$ 8.29	\$ 8.14	\$ (0.15)	-1.8%
13	250	104	\$ 10.13	\$ 9.90	\$ (0.23)	-2.3%
14	400	158	\$ 12.66	\$ 12.32	\$ (0.34)	-2.7%
15	700	287	\$ 19.16	\$ 18.53	\$ (0.63)	-3.3%
16	1,000	380	\$ 23.16	\$ 22.33	\$ (0.83)	-3.6%
17	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
18	100	43	\$ 15.65	\$ 15.56	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
19	175	69	\$ 16.17	\$ 16.02	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
20	250	104	\$ 18.95	\$ 18.72	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
21	250**	208	\$ 26.90	\$ 26.45	\$ (0.45)	-1.7%
22	400	158	\$ 20.90	\$ 20.56	\$ (0.34)	-1.6%
23	400**	316	\$ 31.57	\$ 30.88	\$ (0.69)	-2.2%
24	700	287	\$ 28.92	\$ 28.29	\$ (0.63)	-2.2%
25	1000	380	\$ 33.04	\$ 32.21	\$ (0.83)	-2.5%
26	1000**	760	\$ 56.97	\$ 55.31	\$ (1.66)	-2.9%
27	Underground Service - Post Type					
28	100	43	\$ 10.67	\$ 10.58	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
29	175	69	\$ 11.66	\$ 11.51	\$ (0.15)	-1.3%
30	250	104	\$ 14.55	\$ 14.32	\$ (0.23)	-1.6%
31	Underground Service - Pole Type					
32	100	43	\$ 18.05	\$ 17.96	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
33	175	69	\$ 18.87	\$ 18.72	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
34	250	104	\$ 23.35	\$ 23.12	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
35	400	158	\$ 26.19	\$ 25.85	\$ (0.34)	-1.3%
36	700	287	\$ 50.86	\$ 50.23	\$ (0.63)	-1.2%
37	1000	380	\$ 54.69	\$ 53.86	\$ (0.83)	-1.5%
38	1000**	760	\$ 76.93	\$ 75.27	\$ (1.66)	-2.2%
39	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
40	175	69	\$ 10.72	\$ 10.57	\$ (0.15)	-1.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
41	250	104	\$ 12.79	\$ 12.56	\$ (0.23)	-1.8%
42	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
43	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
44	70	29	\$ 7.72	\$ 7.65	\$ (0.07)	-0.9%
45	100	42	\$ 8.02	\$ 7.93	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
46	150	62	\$ 8.58	\$ 8.44	\$ (0.14)	-1.6%
47	215	89	\$ 10.04	\$ 9.85	\$ (0.19)	-1.9%
48	250	105	\$ 10.43	\$ 10.20	\$ (0.23)	-2.2%
49	400	163	\$ 13.10	\$ 12.74	\$ (0.36)	-2.7%
50	1000	410	\$ 26.98	\$ 26.08	\$ (0.90)	-3.3%
51	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
52	70	29	\$ 15.51	\$ 15.44	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
53	100	42	\$ 15.85	\$ 15.76	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
54	150	62	\$ 17.45	\$ 17.31	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
55	215	89	\$ 18.83	\$ 18.64	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
56	250	105	\$ 19.23	\$ 19.00	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
57	400	163	\$ 22.91	\$ 22.55	\$ (0.36)	-1.6%
58	1000	410	\$ 35.95	\$ 35.05	\$ (0.90)	-2.5%
59	Underground Service - Post Type					
60	70	29	\$ 10.82	\$ 10.75	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
61	100	42	\$ 11.46	\$ 11.37	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
62	150	62	\$ 12.67	\$ 12.53	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service - Pole Type					
64	70	29	\$ 17.81	\$ 17.74	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
65	100	42	\$ 18.44	\$ 18.35	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
66	150	62	\$ 21.87	\$ 21.73	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
67	200	88	\$ 23.78	\$ 23.58	\$ (0.20)	-0.8%
68	215	89	\$ 21.43	\$ 21.24	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
69	250	105	\$ 24.37	\$ 24.14	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
70	310	128	\$ 26.41	\$ 26.13	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
71	400	163	\$ 44.78	\$ 44.42	\$ (0.36)	-0.8%
72	400**	326	\$ 56.70	\$ 55.98	\$ (0.72)	-1.3%
73	1000	410	\$ 59.74	\$ 58.84	\$ (0.90)	-1.5%
74	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
75	70	29	\$ 11.38	\$ 11.31	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
76	100	42	\$ 12.71	\$ 12.62	\$ (0.09)	-0.7%
77	150	62	\$ 13.42	\$ 13.28	\$ (0.14)	-1.0%
78	215	89	\$ 13.34	\$ 13.15	\$ (0.19)	-1.4%
79	250	105	\$ 15.85	\$ 15.62	\$ (0.23)	-1.5%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
80	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
81	N/A	25	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.21	\$ (0.05)	-4.0%
82	N/A	50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.39	\$ (0.11)	-4.4%
83	N/A	75	\$ 3.76	\$ 3.60	\$ (0.16)	-4.3%
84	N/A	100	\$ 5.02	\$ 4.80	\$ (0.22)	-4.4%
85	N/A	125	\$ 6.26	\$ 5.99	\$ (0.27)	-4.3%
86	N/A	150	\$ 7.50	\$ 7.18	\$ (0.32)	-4.3%
87	N/A	175	\$ 8.77	\$ 8.39	\$ (0.38)	-4.3%
88	N/A	200	\$ 10.03	\$ 9.60	\$ (0.43)	-4.3%
89	N/A	225	\$ 11.27	\$ 10.78	\$ (0.49)	-4.3%
90	N/A	250	\$ 12.51	\$ 11.97	\$ (0.54)	-4.3%
91	N/A	275	\$ 13.77	\$ 13.16	\$ (0.61)	-4.4%
92	N/A	300	\$ 15.03	\$ 14.37	\$ (0.66)	-4.4%
93	N/A	325	\$ 16.28	\$ 15.57	\$ (0.71)	-4.4%
94	N/A	350	\$ 17.52	\$ 16.75	\$ (0.77)	-4.4%
95	N/A	375	\$ 18.78	\$ 17.96	\$ (0.82)	-4.4%
96	N/A	400	\$ 20.01	\$ 19.13	\$ (0.88)	-4.4%
97	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
98	N/A	25	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.83	\$ (0.05)	-2.7%
99	N/A	50	\$ 3.73	\$ 3.62	\$ (0.11)	-2.9%
100	N/A	75	\$ 5.61	\$ 5.45	\$ (0.16)	-2.9%
101	N/A	100	\$ 7.49	\$ 7.27	\$ (0.22)	-2.9%
102	N/A	125	\$ 9.35	\$ 9.08	\$ (0.27)	-2.9%
103	N/A	150	\$ 11.20	\$ 10.88	\$ (0.32)	-2.9%
104	N/A	175	\$ 13.08	\$ 12.70	\$ (0.38)	-2.9%
105	N/A	200	\$ 14.96	\$ 14.53	\$ (0.43)	-2.9%
106	N/A	225	\$ 16.82	\$ 16.33	\$ (0.49)	-2.9%
107	N/A	250	\$ 18.67	\$ 18.13	\$ (0.54)	-2.9%
108	N/A	275	\$ 20.55	\$ 19.94	\$ (0.61)	-3.0%
109	N/A	300	\$ 22.43	\$ 21.77	\$ (0.66)	-2.9%
110	N/A	325	\$ 24.30	\$ 23.59	\$ (0.71)	-2.9%
111	N/A	350	\$ 26.15	\$ 25.38	\$ (0.77)	-2.9%
112	N/A	375	\$ 28.03	\$ 27.21	\$ (0.82)	-2.9%
113	N/A	400	\$ 29.88	\$ 29.00	\$ (0.88)	-2.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

115	N/A	25	\$	2.65	\$	2.60	\$	(0.05)	-1.9%
116	N/A	50	\$	5.27	\$	5.16	\$	(0.11)	-2.1%
117	N/A	75	\$	7.92	\$	7.76	\$	(0.16)	-2.0%
118	N/A	100	\$	10.57	\$	10.35	\$	(0.22)	-2.1%
119	N/A	125	\$	13.19	\$	12.92	\$	(0.27)	-2.0%
120	N/A	150	\$	15.82	\$	15.50	\$	(0.32)	-2.0%
121	N/A	175	\$	18.47	\$	18.09	\$	(0.38)	-2.1%
122	N/A	200	\$	21.11	\$	20.68	\$	(0.43)	-2.0%
123	N/A	225	\$	23.74	\$	23.25	\$	(0.49)	-2.1%
124	N/A	250	\$	26.37	\$	25.83	\$	(0.54)	-2.0%
125	N/A	275	\$	29.01	\$	28.40	\$	(0.61)	-2.1%
126	N/A	300	\$	31.66	\$	31.00	\$	(0.66)	-2.1%
127	N/A	325	\$	34.29	\$	33.58	\$	(0.71)	-2.1%
128	N/A	350	\$	36.92	\$	36.15	\$	(0.77)	-2.1%
129	N/A	375	\$	39.57	\$	38.75	\$	(0.82)	-2.1%
130	N/A	400	\$	42.18	\$	41.30	\$	(0.88)	-2.1%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 10.49	\$ 10.35	\$ (0.14)	-1.3%
4	400	158	\$ 17.44	\$ 17.11	\$ (0.33)	-1.9%
5	1,000	380	\$ 31.43	\$ 30.61	\$ (0.82)	-2.6%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 14.89	\$ 14.75	\$ (0.14)	-0.9%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 10.17	\$ 10.09	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
11	250	105	\$ 16.18	\$ 15.97	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
12	400	163	\$ 20.28	\$ 19.93	\$ (0.35)	-1.7%
13	All Other Installations					
14	100	42	\$ 15.20	\$ 15.12	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
15	Metal Halide Lighting					
16	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
17	15,000	73	\$ 15.45	\$ 15.30	\$ (0.15)	-1.0%
18	23,000	111	\$ 17.62	\$ 17.39	\$ (0.23)	-1.3%
19	40,000	172	\$ 21.15	\$ 20.78	\$ (0.37)	-1.7%
20	All Other Installations					
21	15,000	73	\$ 25.23	\$ 25.08	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
22	23,000	111	\$ 27.40	\$ 27.17	\$ (0.23)	-0.8%
23	40,000	172	\$ 30.93	\$ 30.56	\$ (0.37)	-1.2%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 6.53	\$ 6.31	\$ (0.22)	-3.4%
2	0	200	\$ 13.00	\$ 12.57	\$ (0.43)	-3.3%
3	0	300	\$ 19.47	\$ 18.81	\$ (0.66)	-3.4%
4	0	400	\$ 25.93	\$ 25.05	\$ (0.88)	-3.4%
5	0	500	\$ 32.42	\$ 31.33	\$ (1.09)	-3.4%
6	0	600	\$ 38.91	\$ 37.60	\$ (1.31)	-3.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 160.07	\$ 159.81	\$ (0.26)	-0.2%
2	10	2,000	\$ 246.79	\$ 244.34	\$ (2.45)	-1.0%
3	10	3,000	\$ 333.07	\$ 328.44	\$ (4.63)	-1.4%
4	10	4,000	\$ 419.35	\$ 412.53	\$ (6.82)	-1.6%
5	10	5,000	\$ 505.64	\$ 496.64	\$ (9.00)	-1.8%
6	10	6,000	\$ 591.88	\$ 580.70	\$ (11.18)	-1.9%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 16,640.99	\$ 16,615.22	\$ (25.77)	-0.2%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 25,212.33	\$ 24,968.16	\$ (244.17)	-1.0%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 33,783.67	\$ 33,321.10	\$ (462.57)	-1.4%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 42,355.00	\$ 41,674.03	\$ (680.97)	-1.6%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 50,926.34	\$ 50,026.97	\$ (899.37)	-1.8%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 59,497.67	\$ 58,379.90	\$ (1,117.77)	-1.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,461.90	\$ 6,409.37	\$ (52.53)	-0.8%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,384.42	\$ 10,226.44	\$ (157.98)	-1.5%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,306.94	\$ 14,043.51	\$ (263.43)	-1.8%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,229.46	\$ 17,860.58	\$ (368.88)	-2.0%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,151.97	\$ 21,677.64	\$ (474.33)	-2.1%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,074.49	\$ 25,494.71	\$ (579.78)	-2.2%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 63,163.75	\$ 62,638.46	\$ (525.29)	-0.8%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 101,865.58	\$ 100,285.79	\$ (1,579.79)	-1.6%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 139,523.86	\$ 136,889.57	\$ (2,634.29)	-1.9%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 177,182.14	\$ 173,493.35	\$ (3,688.79)	-2.1%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 214,840.43	\$ 210,097.14	\$ (4,743.29)	-2.2%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 252,498.71	\$ 246,700.92	\$ (5,797.79)	-2.3%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,144.01	\$ 9,982.58	\$ (161.43)	-1.6%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,592.15	\$ 17,225.82	\$ (366.33)	-2.1%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,040.28	\$ 24,469.05	\$ (571.23)	-2.3%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,488.42	\$ 31,712.29	\$ (776.13)	-2.4%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 39,936.56	\$ 38,955.53	\$ (981.03)	-2.5%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 47,384.69	\$ 46,198.76	\$ (1,185.93)	-2.5%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 99,011.51	\$ 97,397.17	\$ (1,614.34)	-1.6%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 170,359.07	\$ 166,695.73	\$ (3,663.34)	-2.2%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 241,706.64	\$ 235,994.30	\$ (5,712.34)	-2.4%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 313,054.20	\$ 305,292.86	\$ (7,761.34)	-2.5%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 384,401.76	\$ 374,591.42	\$ (9,810.34)	-2.6%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 455,749.33	\$ 443,889.99	\$ (11,859.34)	-2.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 30,041.42	\$ 29,644.54	\$ (396.87)	-1.3%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 40,500.89	\$ 39,707.14	\$ (793.75)	-2.0%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 50,960.36	\$ 49,769.74	\$ (1,190.62)	-2.3%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 61,419.83	\$ 59,832.34	\$ (1,587.49)	-2.6%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 71,355.96	\$ 69,371.60	\$ (1,984.37)	-2.8%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 81,188.67	\$ 78,807.43	\$ (2,381.24)	-2.9%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 293,792.92	\$ 289,824.19	\$ (3,968.73)	-1.4%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 392,120.05	\$ 384,182.58	\$ (7,937.47)	-2.0%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 490,447.18	\$ 478,540.97	\$ (11,906.20)	-2.4%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 588,774.30	\$ 572,899.36	\$ (15,874.94)	-2.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 687,101.43	\$ 667,257.75	\$ (19,843.67)	-2.9%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 785,428.55	\$ 761,616.14	\$ (23,812.41)	-3.0%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	1,000	24	\$ 18.04	\$ 17.99	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
3	2,000	56	\$ 19.81	\$ 19.69	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
4	2,500	70	\$ 20.63	\$ 20.47	\$ (0.16)	-0.8%
5	4,000	126	\$ 23.75	\$ 23.48	\$ (0.27)	-1.1%
6	6,000	157	\$ 25.49	\$ 25.15	\$ (0.34)	-1.3%
7	10,000	242	\$ 30.23	\$ 29.71	\$ (0.52)	-1.7%
8	15,000	282	\$ 32.48	\$ 31.86	\$ (0.62)	-1.9%
9	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
10	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
11	100	43	\$ 8.23	\$ 8.14	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
12	175	69	\$ 8.92	\$ 8.77	\$ (0.15)	-1.7%
13	250	104	\$ 11.08	\$ 10.85	\$ (0.23)	-2.1%
14	400	158	\$ 14.11	\$ 13.77	\$ (0.34)	-2.4%
15	700	287	\$ 21.79	\$ 21.16	\$ (0.63)	-2.9%
16	1,000	380	\$ 26.63	\$ 25.80	\$ (0.83)	-3.1%
17	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
18	100	43	\$ 16.05	\$ 15.96	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
19	175	69	\$ 16.80	\$ 16.65	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
20	250	104	\$ 19.90	\$ 19.67	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
21	250**	208	\$ 28.80	\$ 28.35	\$ (0.45)	-1.6%
22	400	158	\$ 22.35	\$ 22.01	\$ (0.34)	-1.5%
23	400**	316	\$ 34.46	\$ 33.77	\$ (0.69)	-2.0%
24	700	287	\$ 31.55	\$ 30.92	\$ (0.63)	-2.0%
25	1000	380	\$ 36.51	\$ 35.68	\$ (0.83)	-2.3%
26	1000**	760	\$ 63.93	\$ 62.27	\$ (1.66)	-2.6%
27	Underground Service - Post Type					
28	100	43	\$ 11.07	\$ 10.98	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
29	175	69	\$ 12.29	\$ 12.14	\$ (0.15)	-1.2%
30	250	104	\$ 15.50	\$ 15.27	\$ (0.23)	-1.5%
31	Underground Service - Pole Type					
32	100	43	\$ 18.45	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
33	175	69	\$ 19.50	\$ 19.35	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
34	250	104	\$ 24.30	\$ 24.07	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
35	400	158	\$ 27.64	\$ 27.30	\$ (0.34)	-1.2%
36	700	287	\$ 53.49	\$ 52.86	\$ (0.63)	-1.2%
37	1000	380	\$ 58.16	\$ 57.33	\$ (0.83)	-1.4%
38	1000**	760	\$ 83.89	\$ 82.23	\$ (1.66)	-2.0%
39	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
40	175	69	\$ 11.35	\$ 11.20	\$ (0.15)	-1.3%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
41	250	104	\$ 13.74	\$ 13.51	\$ (0.23)	-1.7%
42	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
43	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
44	70	29	\$ 7.98	\$ 7.91	\$ (0.07)	-0.9%
45	100	42	\$ 8.40	\$ 8.31	\$ (0.09)	-1.1%
46	150	62	\$ 9.15	\$ 9.01	\$ (0.14)	-1.5%
47	215	89	\$ 10.86	\$ 10.67	\$ (0.19)	-1.7%
48	250	105	\$ 11.39	\$ 11.16	\$ (0.23)	-2.0%
49	400	163	\$ 14.59	\$ 14.23	\$ (0.36)	-2.5%
50	1000	410	\$ 30.73	\$ 29.83	\$ (0.90)	-2.9%
51	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
52	70	29	\$ 15.77	\$ 15.70	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
53	100	42	\$ 16.23	\$ 16.14	\$ (0.09)	-0.6%
54	150	62	\$ 18.02	\$ 17.88	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
55	215	89	\$ 19.65	\$ 19.46	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
56	250	105	\$ 20.19	\$ 19.96	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
57	400	163	\$ 24.40	\$ 24.04	\$ (0.36)	-1.5%
58	1000	410	\$ 39.70	\$ 38.80	\$ (0.90)	-2.3%
59	Underground Service - Post Type					
60	70	29	\$ 11.08	\$ 11.01	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
61	100	42	\$ 11.84	\$ 11.75	\$ (0.09)	-0.8%
62	150	62	\$ 13.24	\$ 13.10	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service - Pole Type					
64	70	29	\$ 18.07	\$ 18.00	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
65	100	42	\$ 18.82	\$ 18.73	\$ (0.09)	-0.5%
66	150	62	\$ 22.44	\$ 22.30	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
67	200	88	\$ 24.59	\$ 24.39	\$ (0.20)	-0.8%
68	215	89	\$ 22.25	\$ 22.06	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
69	250	105	\$ 25.33	\$ 25.10	\$ (0.23)	-0.9%
70	310	128	\$ 27.58	\$ 27.30	\$ (0.28)	-1.0%
71	400	163	\$ 46.27	\$ 45.91	\$ (0.36)	-0.8%
72	400**	326	\$ 59.68	\$ 58.96	\$ (0.72)	-1.2%
73	1000	410	\$ 63.49	\$ 62.59	\$ (0.90)	-1.4%
74	Bridge or Underpass Wallpack					
75	70	29	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.57	\$ (0.07)	-0.6%
76	100	42	\$ 13.09	\$ 13.00	\$ (0.09)	-0.7%
77	150	62	\$ 13.99	\$ 13.85	\$ (0.14)	-1.0%
78	215	89	\$ 14.16	\$ 13.97	\$ (0.19)	-1.3%
79	250	105	\$ 16.81	\$ 16.58	\$ (0.23)	-1.4%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
80	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
81	N/A	25	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.43	\$ (0.05)	-3.4%
82	N/A	50	\$ 2.96	\$ 2.85	\$ (0.11)	-3.7%
83	N/A	75	\$ 4.44	\$ 4.28	\$ (0.16)	-3.6%
84	N/A	100	\$ 5.94	\$ 5.72	\$ (0.22)	-3.7%
85	N/A	125	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.13	\$ (0.27)	-3.6%
86	N/A	150	\$ 8.88	\$ 8.56	\$ (0.32)	-3.6%
87	N/A	175	\$ 10.37	\$ 9.99	\$ (0.38)	-3.7%
88	N/A	200	\$ 11.86	\$ 11.43	\$ (0.43)	-3.6%
89	N/A	225	\$ 13.33	\$ 12.84	\$ (0.49)	-3.7%
90	N/A	250	\$ 14.79	\$ 14.25	\$ (0.54)	-3.7%
91	N/A	275	\$ 16.29	\$ 15.68	\$ (0.61)	-3.7%
92	N/A	300	\$ 17.77	\$ 17.11	\$ (0.66)	-3.7%
93	N/A	325	\$ 19.26	\$ 18.55	\$ (0.71)	-3.7%
94	N/A	350	\$ 20.72	\$ 19.95	\$ (0.77)	-3.7%
95	N/A	375	\$ 22.21	\$ 21.39	\$ (0.82)	-3.7%
96	N/A	400	\$ 23.67	\$ 22.79	\$ (0.88)	-3.7%
97	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
98	N/A	25	\$ 2.10	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.05)	-2.4%
99	N/A	50	\$ 4.19	\$ 4.08	\$ (0.11)	-2.6%
100	N/A	75	\$ 6.29	\$ 6.13	\$ (0.16)	-2.5%
101	N/A	100	\$ 8.41	\$ 8.19	\$ (0.22)	-2.6%
102	N/A	125	\$ 10.49	\$ 10.22	\$ (0.27)	-2.6%
103	N/A	150	\$ 12.58	\$ 12.26	\$ (0.32)	-2.5%
104	N/A	175	\$ 14.68	\$ 14.30	\$ (0.38)	-2.6%
105	N/A	200	\$ 16.79	\$ 16.36	\$ (0.43)	-2.6%
106	N/A	225	\$ 18.88	\$ 18.39	\$ (0.49)	-2.6%
107	N/A	250	\$ 20.95	\$ 20.41	\$ (0.54)	-2.6%
108	N/A	275	\$ 23.07	\$ 22.46	\$ (0.61)	-2.6%
109	N/A	300	\$ 25.17	\$ 24.51	\$ (0.66)	-2.6%
110	N/A	325	\$ 27.28	\$ 26.57	\$ (0.71)	-2.6%
111	N/A	350	\$ 29.35	\$ 28.58	\$ (0.77)	-2.6%
112	N/A	375	\$ 31.46	\$ 30.64	\$ (0.82)	-2.6%
113	N/A	400	\$ 33.54	\$ 32.66	\$ (0.88)	-2.6%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
114	Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types					
115	N/A	25	\$ 2.87	\$ 2.82	\$ (0.05)	-1.7%
116	N/A	50	\$ 5.73	\$ 5.62	\$ (0.11)	-1.9%
117	N/A	75	\$ 8.60	\$ 8.44	\$ (0.16)	-1.9%
118	N/A	100	\$ 11.49	\$ 11.27	\$ (0.22)	-1.9%
119	N/A	125	\$ 14.33	\$ 14.06	\$ (0.27)	-1.9%
120	N/A	150	\$ 17.20	\$ 16.88	\$ (0.32)	-1.9%
121	N/A	175	\$ 20.07	\$ 19.69	\$ (0.38)	-1.9%
122	N/A	200	\$ 22.94	\$ 22.51	\$ (0.43)	-1.9%
123	N/A	225	\$ 25.80	\$ 25.31	\$ (0.49)	-1.9%
124	N/A	250	\$ 28.65	\$ 28.11	\$ (0.54)	-1.9%
125	N/A	275	\$ 31.53	\$ 30.92	\$ (0.61)	-1.9%
126	N/A	300	\$ 34.40	\$ 33.74	\$ (0.66)	-1.9%
127	N/A	325	\$ 37.27	\$ 36.56	\$ (0.71)	-1.9%
128	N/A	350	\$ 40.12	\$ 39.35	\$ (0.77)	-1.9%
129	N/A	375	\$ 43.00	\$ 42.18	\$ (0.82)	-1.9%
130	N/A	400	\$ 45.84	\$ 44.96	\$ (0.88)	-1.9%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 11.09	\$ 10.95	\$ (0.14)	-1.3%
4	400	158	\$ 18.85	\$ 18.52	\$ (0.33)	-1.7%
5	1,000	380	\$ 34.86	\$ 34.04	\$ (0.82)	-2.3%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 15.49	\$ 15.35	\$ (0.14)	-0.9%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 10.51	\$ 10.44	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
11	250	105	\$ 17.11	\$ 16.89	\$ (0.22)	-1.3%
12	400	163	\$ 21.74	\$ 21.39	\$ (0.35)	-1.6%
13	All Other Installations					
14	100	42	\$ 15.54	\$ 15.47	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
15	Metal Halide Lighting					
16	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
17	15,000	73	\$ 16.09	\$ 15.94	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
18	23,000	111	\$ 18.61	\$ 18.38	\$ (0.23)	-1.2%
19	40,000	172	\$ 22.68	\$ 22.32	\$ (0.37)	-1.6%
20	All Other Installations					
21	15,000	73	\$ 25.87	\$ 25.72	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
22	23,000	111	\$ 28.39	\$ 28.16	\$ (0.23)	-0.8%
23	40,000	172	\$ 32.46	\$ 32.10	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 7.45	\$ 7.23	\$ (0.22)	-3.0%
2	0	200	\$ 14.83	\$ 14.40	\$ (0.43)	-2.9%
3	0	300	\$ 22.21	\$ 21.55	\$ (0.66)	-3.0%
4	0	400	\$ 29.59	\$ 28.71	\$ (0.88)	-3.0%
5	0	500	\$ 36.99	\$ 35.90	\$ (1.09)	-2.9%
6	0	600	\$ 44.40	\$ 43.09	\$ (1.31)	-3.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.13	\$ 33.56	\$ (0.57)	-1.7%
2	0	500	\$ 64.23	\$ 63.09	\$ (1.14)	-1.8%
3	0	750	\$ 94.32	\$ 92.62	\$ (1.71)	-1.8%
4	0	1,000	\$ 124.42	\$ 122.15	\$ (2.27)	-1.8%
5	0	1,250	\$ 154.52	\$ 151.68	\$ (2.84)	-1.8%
6	0	1,500	\$ 184.61	\$ 181.20	\$ (3.41)	-1.8%
7	0	2,000	\$ 244.81	\$ 240.26	\$ (4.55)	-1.9%
8	0	2,500	\$ 304.77	\$ 299.09	\$ (5.68)	-1.9%
9	0	3,000	\$ 364.74	\$ 357.92	\$ (6.82)	-1.9%
10	0	3,500	\$ 424.70	\$ 416.74	\$ (7.96)	-1.9%
11	0	4,000	\$ 484.67	\$ 475.57	\$ (9.09)	-1.9%
12	0	4,500	\$ 544.63	\$ 534.40	\$ (10.23)	-1.9%
13	0	5,000	\$ 604.59	\$ 593.22	\$ (11.37)	-1.9%
14	0	5,500	\$ 664.56	\$ 652.05	\$ (12.51)	-1.9%
15	0	6,000	\$ 724.52	\$ 710.88	\$ (13.64)	-1.9%
16	0	6,500	\$ 784.49	\$ 769.71	\$ (14.78)	-1.9%
17	0	7,000	\$ 844.45	\$ 828.53	\$ (15.92)	-1.9%
18	0	7,500	\$ 904.41	\$ 887.36	\$ (17.05)	-1.9%
19	0	8,000	\$ 964.38	\$ 946.19	\$ (18.19)	-1.9%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,024.34	\$ 1,005.01	\$ (19.33)	-1.9%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,084.31	\$ 1,063.84	\$ (20.46)	-1.9%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,144.27	\$ 1,122.67	\$ (21.60)	-1.9%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,204.23	\$ 1,181.50	\$ (22.74)	-1.9%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,264.20	\$ 1,240.32	\$ (23.87)	-1.9%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,324.16	\$ 1,299.15	\$ (25.01)	-1.9%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,384.13	\$ 1,357.98	\$ (26.15)	-1.9%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,444.09	\$ 1,416.80	\$ (27.28)	-1.9%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,504.05	\$ 1,475.63	\$ (28.42)	-1.9%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,564.02	\$ 1,534.46	\$ (29.56)	-1.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,623.98	\$ 1,593.29	\$ (30.70)	-1.9%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,683.95	\$ 1,652.11	\$ (31.83)	-1.9%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,743.91	\$ 1,710.94	\$ (32.97)	-1.9%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,803.87	\$ 1,769.77	\$ (34.11)	-1.9%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,863.56	\$ 1,828.31	\$ (35.24)	-1.9%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,923.24	\$ 1,886.86	\$ (36.38)	-1.9%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,982.92	\$ 1,945.41	\$ (37.52)	-1.9%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,042.61	\$ 2,003.95	\$ (38.65)	-1.9%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,102.29	\$ 2,062.50	\$ (39.79)	-1.9%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 25.48	\$ 24.91	\$ (0.57)	-2.2%
2	0	500	\$ 46.93	\$ 45.79	\$ (1.14)	-2.4%
3	0	750	\$ 59.37	\$ 57.67	\$ (1.71)	-2.9%
4	0	1,000	\$ 71.82	\$ 69.55	\$ (2.27)	-3.2%
5	0	1,250	\$ 84.27	\$ 81.43	\$ (2.84)	-3.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 96.71	\$ 93.30	\$ (3.41)	-3.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 121.61	\$ 117.06	\$ (4.55)	-3.7%
8	0	2,500	\$ 146.27	\$ 140.59	\$ (5.68)	-3.9%
9	0	3,000	\$ 170.94	\$ 164.12	\$ (6.82)	-4.0%
10	0	3,500	\$ 195.60	\$ 187.64	\$ (7.96)	-4.1%
11	0	4,000	\$ 220.27	\$ 211.17	\$ (9.09)	-4.1%
12	0	4,500	\$ 244.93	\$ 234.70	\$ (10.23)	-4.2%
13	0	5,000	\$ 269.59	\$ 258.22	\$ (11.37)	-4.2%
14	0	5,500	\$ 294.26	\$ 281.75	\$ (12.51)	-4.2%
15	0	6,000	\$ 318.92	\$ 305.28	\$ (13.64)	-4.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 343.59	\$ 328.81	\$ (14.78)	-4.3%
17	0	7,000	\$ 368.25	\$ 352.33	\$ (15.92)	-4.3%
18	0	7,500	\$ 392.91	\$ 375.86	\$ (17.05)	-4.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 417.58	\$ 399.39	\$ (18.19)	-4.4%
20	0	8,500	\$ 442.24	\$ 422.91	\$ (19.33)	-4.4%
21	0	9,000	\$ 466.91	\$ 446.44	\$ (20.46)	-4.4%
22	0	9,500	\$ 491.57	\$ 469.97	\$ (21.60)	-4.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 516.23	\$ 493.50	\$ (22.74)	-4.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 540.90	\$ 517.02	\$ (23.87)	-4.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 565.56	\$ 540.55	\$ (25.01)	-4.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 590.23	\$ 564.08	\$ (26.15)	-4.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 614.89	\$ 587.60	\$ (27.28)	-4.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 639.55	\$ 611.13	\$ (28.42)	-4.4%
29	0	13,000	\$ 664.22	\$ 634.66	\$ (29.56)	-4.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 688.88	\$ 658.19	\$ (30.70)	-4.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 713.55	\$ 681.71	\$ (31.83)	-4.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 738.21	\$ 705.24	\$ (32.97)	-4.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 762.87	\$ 728.77	\$ (34.11)	-4.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 787.26	\$ 752.01	\$ (35.24)	-4.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 811.64	\$ 775.26	\$ (36.38)	-4.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 836.02	\$ 798.51	\$ (37.52)	-4.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 860.41	\$ 821.75	\$ (38.65)	-4.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 884.79	\$ 845.00	\$ (39.79)	-4.5%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 34.13	\$ 33.56	\$ (0.57)	-1.7%
2	0	500	\$ 64.23	\$ 63.09	\$ (1.14)	-1.8%
3	0	750	\$ 88.82	\$ 87.12	\$ (1.71)	-1.9%
4	0	1,000	\$ 113.42	\$ 111.15	\$ (2.27)	-2.0%
5	0	1,250	\$ 138.02	\$ 135.18	\$ (2.84)	-2.1%
6	0	1,500	\$ 162.61	\$ 159.20	\$ (3.41)	-2.1%
7	0	2,000	\$ 211.81	\$ 207.26	\$ (4.55)	-2.1%
8	0	2,500	\$ 260.77	\$ 255.09	\$ (5.68)	-2.2%
9	0	3,000	\$ 309.74	\$ 302.92	\$ (6.82)	-2.2%
10	0	3,500	\$ 358.70	\$ 350.74	\$ (7.96)	-2.2%
11	0	4,000	\$ 407.67	\$ 398.57	\$ (9.09)	-2.2%
12	0	4,500	\$ 456.63	\$ 446.40	\$ (10.23)	-2.2%
13	0	5,000	\$ 505.59	\$ 494.22	\$ (11.37)	-2.2%
14	0	5,500	\$ 554.56	\$ 542.05	\$ (12.51)	-2.3%
15	0	6,000	\$ 603.52	\$ 589.88	\$ (13.64)	-2.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 652.49	\$ 637.71	\$ (14.78)	-2.3%
17	0	7,000	\$ 701.45	\$ 685.53	\$ (15.92)	-2.3%
18	0	7,500	\$ 750.41	\$ 733.36	\$ (17.05)	-2.3%
19	0	8,000	\$ 799.38	\$ 781.19	\$ (18.19)	-2.3%
20	0	8,500	\$ 848.34	\$ 829.01	\$ (19.33)	-2.3%
21	0	9,000	\$ 897.31	\$ 876.84	\$ (20.46)	-2.3%
22	0	9,500	\$ 946.27	\$ 924.67	\$ (21.60)	-2.3%
23	0	10,000	\$ 995.23	\$ 972.50	\$ (22.74)	-2.3%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,044.20	\$ 1,020.32	\$ (23.87)	-2.3%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,093.16	\$ 1,068.15	\$ (25.01)	-2.3%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,142.13	\$ 1,115.98	\$ (26.15)	-2.3%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,191.09	\$ 1,163.80	\$ (27.28)	-2.3%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,240.05	\$ 1,211.63	\$ (28.42)	-2.3%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,289.02	\$ 1,259.46	\$ (29.56)	-2.3%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,337.98	\$ 1,307.29	\$ (30.70)	-2.3%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,386.95	\$ 1,355.11	\$ (31.83)	-2.3%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,435.91	\$ 1,402.94	\$ (32.97)	-2.3%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,484.87	\$ 1,450.77	\$ (34.11)	-2.3%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,533.56	\$ 1,498.31	\$ (35.24)	-2.3%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,582.24	\$ 1,545.86	\$ (36.38)	-2.3%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,630.92	\$ 1,593.41	\$ (37.52)	-2.3%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,679.61	\$ 1,640.95	\$ (38.65)	-2.3%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,728.29	\$ 1,688.50	\$ (39.79)	-2.3%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Summer Bill	Proposed Summer Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.85	\$ (0.57)	-1.6%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.66	\$ (1.14)	-1.7%
3	0	750	\$ 101.18	\$ 99.48	\$ (1.71)	-1.7%
4	0	1,000	\$ 133.57	\$ 131.29	\$ (2.27)	-1.7%
5	0	1,250	\$ 165.95	\$ 163.11	\$ (2.84)	-1.7%
6	0	1,500	\$ 198.34	\$ 194.92	\$ (3.41)	-1.7%
7	0	2,000	\$ 263.10	\$ 258.56	\$ (4.55)	-1.7%
8	0	2,500	\$ 327.64	\$ 321.96	\$ (5.68)	-1.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 392.18	\$ 385.36	\$ (6.82)	-1.7%
10	0	3,500	\$ 456.72	\$ 448.76	\$ (7.96)	-1.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 521.25	\$ 512.16	\$ (9.09)	-1.7%
12	0	4,500	\$ 585.79	\$ 575.56	\$ (10.23)	-1.7%
13	0	5,000	\$ 650.33	\$ 638.96	\$ (11.37)	-1.7%
14	0	5,500	\$ 714.87	\$ 702.36	\$ (12.51)	-1.7%
15	0	6,000	\$ 779.40	\$ 765.76	\$ (13.64)	-1.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 843.94	\$ 829.16	\$ (14.78)	-1.8%
17	0	7,000	\$ 908.48	\$ 892.56	\$ (15.92)	-1.8%
18	0	7,500	\$ 973.02	\$ 955.96	\$ (17.05)	-1.8%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,037.55	\$ 1,019.36	\$ (18.19)	-1.8%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,102.09	\$ 1,082.76	\$ (19.33)	-1.8%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,166.63	\$ 1,146.16	\$ (20.46)	-1.8%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,231.17	\$ 1,209.57	\$ (21.60)	-1.8%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,295.70	\$ 1,272.97	\$ (22.74)	-1.8%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,360.24	\$ 1,336.37	\$ (23.87)	-1.8%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,424.78	\$ 1,399.77	\$ (25.01)	-1.8%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,489.32	\$ 1,463.17	\$ (26.15)	-1.8%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,553.85	\$ 1,526.57	\$ (27.28)	-1.8%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,618.39	\$ 1,589.97	\$ (28.42)	-1.8%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,682.93	\$ 1,653.37	\$ (29.56)	-1.8%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,747.47	\$ 1,716.77	\$ (30.70)	-1.8%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,812.00	\$ 1,780.17	\$ (31.83)	-1.8%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,876.54	\$ 1,843.57	\$ (32.97)	-1.8%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,941.08	\$ 1,906.97	\$ (34.11)	-1.8%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,005.34	\$ 1,970.09	\$ (35.24)	-1.8%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,069.59	\$ 2,033.21	\$ (36.38)	-1.8%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,133.85	\$ 2,096.33	\$ (37.52)	-1.8%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,198.11	\$ 2,159.45	\$ (38.65)	-1.8%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,262.36	\$ 2,222.57	\$ (39.79)	-1.8%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.85	\$ (0.57)	-1.6%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.66	\$ (1.14)	-1.7%
3	0	750	\$ 92.18	\$ 90.48	\$ (1.71)	-1.8%
4	0	1,000	\$ 115.57	\$ 113.29	\$ (2.27)	-2.0%
5	0	1,250	\$ 138.95	\$ 136.11	\$ (2.84)	-2.0%
6	0	1,500	\$ 162.34	\$ 158.92	\$ (3.41)	-2.1%
7	0	2,000	\$ 209.10	\$ 204.56	\$ (4.55)	-2.2%
8	0	2,500	\$ 255.64	\$ 249.96	\$ (5.68)	-2.2%
9	0	3,000	\$ 302.18	\$ 295.36	\$ (6.82)	-2.3%
10	0	3,500	\$ 348.72	\$ 340.76	\$ (7.96)	-2.3%
11	0	4,000	\$ 395.25	\$ 386.16	\$ (9.09)	-2.3%
12	0	4,500	\$ 441.79	\$ 431.56	\$ (10.23)	-2.3%
13	0	5,000	\$ 488.33	\$ 476.96	\$ (11.37)	-2.3%
14	0	5,500	\$ 534.87	\$ 522.36	\$ (12.51)	-2.3%
15	0	6,000	\$ 581.40	\$ 567.76	\$ (13.64)	-2.3%
16	0	6,500	\$ 627.94	\$ 613.16	\$ (14.78)	-2.4%
17	0	7,000	\$ 674.48	\$ 658.56	\$ (15.92)	-2.4%
18	0	7,500	\$ 721.02	\$ 703.96	\$ (17.05)	-2.4%
19	0	8,000	\$ 767.55	\$ 749.36	\$ (18.19)	-2.4%
20	0	8,500	\$ 814.09	\$ 794.76	\$ (19.33)	-2.4%
21	0	9,000	\$ 860.63	\$ 840.16	\$ (20.46)	-2.4%
22	0	9,500	\$ 907.17	\$ 885.57	\$ (21.60)	-2.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 953.70	\$ 930.97	\$ (22.74)	-2.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,000.24	\$ 976.37	\$ (23.87)	-2.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,046.78	\$ 1,021.77	\$ (25.01)	-2.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,093.32	\$ 1,067.17	\$ (26.15)	-2.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,139.85	\$ 1,112.57	\$ (27.28)	-2.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,186.39	\$ 1,157.97	\$ (28.42)	-2.4%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,232.93	\$ 1,203.37	\$ (29.56)	-2.4%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,279.47	\$ 1,248.77	\$ (30.70)	-2.4%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,326.00	\$ 1,294.17	\$ (31.83)	-2.4%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,372.54	\$ 1,339.57	\$ (32.97)	-2.4%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,419.08	\$ 1,384.97	\$ (34.11)	-2.4%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,465.34	\$ 1,430.09	\$ (35.24)	-2.4%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,511.59	\$ 1,475.21	\$ (36.38)	-2.4%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,557.85	\$ 1,520.33	\$ (37.52)	-2.4%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,604.11	\$ 1,565.45	\$ (38.65)	-2.4%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,650.36	\$ 1,610.57	\$ (39.79)	-2.4%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Demand (kW) (A)	Usage (kWH) (B)	Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Increase (\$) (E)	Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 36.42	\$ 35.85	\$ (0.57)	-1.6%
2	0	500	\$ 68.80	\$ 67.66	\$ (1.14)	-1.7%
3	0	750	\$ 95.68	\$ 93.98	\$ (1.71)	-1.8%
4	0	1,000	\$ 122.57	\$ 120.29	\$ (2.27)	-1.9%
5	0	1,250	\$ 149.45	\$ 146.61	\$ (2.84)	-1.9%
6	0	1,500	\$ 176.34	\$ 172.92	\$ (3.41)	-1.9%
7	0	2,000	\$ 230.10	\$ 225.56	\$ (4.55)	-2.0%
8	0	2,500	\$ 283.64	\$ 277.96	\$ (5.68)	-2.0%
9	0	3,000	\$ 337.18	\$ 330.36	\$ (6.82)	-2.0%
10	0	3,500	\$ 390.72	\$ 382.76	\$ (7.96)	-2.0%
11	0	4,000	\$ 444.25	\$ 435.16	\$ (9.09)	-2.0%
12	0	4,500	\$ 497.79	\$ 487.56	\$ (10.23)	-2.1%
13	0	5,000	\$ 551.33	\$ 539.96	\$ (11.37)	-2.1%
14	0	5,500	\$ 604.87	\$ 592.36	\$ (12.51)	-2.1%
15	0	6,000	\$ 658.40	\$ 644.76	\$ (13.64)	-2.1%
16	0	6,500	\$ 711.94	\$ 697.16	\$ (14.78)	-2.1%
17	0	7,000	\$ 765.48	\$ 749.56	\$ (15.92)	-2.1%
18	0	7,500	\$ 819.02	\$ 801.96	\$ (17.05)	-2.1%
19	0	8,000	\$ 872.55	\$ 854.36	\$ (18.19)	-2.1%
20	0	8,500	\$ 926.09	\$ 906.76	\$ (19.33)	-2.1%
21	0	9,000	\$ 979.63	\$ 959.16	\$ (20.46)	-2.1%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,033.17	\$ 1,011.57	\$ (21.60)	-2.1%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,086.70	\$ 1,063.97	\$ (22.74)	-2.1%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,140.24	\$ 1,116.37	\$ (23.87)	-2.1%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,193.78	\$ 1,168.77	\$ (25.01)	-2.1%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,247.32	\$ 1,221.17	\$ (26.15)	-2.1%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,300.85	\$ 1,273.57	\$ (27.28)	-2.1%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,354.39	\$ 1,325.97	\$ (28.42)	-2.1%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,407.93	\$ 1,378.37	\$ (29.56)	-2.1%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,461.47	\$ 1,430.77	\$ (30.70)	-2.1%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,515.00	\$ 1,483.17	\$ (31.83)	-2.1%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,568.54	\$ 1,535.57	\$ (32.97)	-2.1%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,622.08	\$ 1,587.97	\$ (34.11)	-2.1%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,675.34	\$ 1,640.09	\$ (35.24)	-2.1%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,728.59	\$ 1,692.21	\$ (36.38)	-2.1%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,781.85	\$ 1,744.33	\$ (37.52)	-2.1%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,835.11	\$ 1,796.45	\$ (38.65)	-2.1%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,888.36	\$ 1,848.57	\$ (39.79)	-2.1%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 172.50	\$ 172.80	\$ 0.30	0.2%
2	10	2,000	\$ 254.97	\$ 252.15	\$ (2.82)	-1.1%
3	10	3,000	\$ 337.01	\$ 331.07	\$ (5.94)	-1.8%
4	10	4,000	\$ 419.03	\$ 409.97	\$ (9.06)	-2.2%
5	10	5,000	\$ 501.07	\$ 488.89	\$ (12.18)	-2.4%
6	10	6,000	\$ 583.09	\$ 567.78	\$ (15.31)	-2.6%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 18,794.67	\$ 18,825.12	\$ 30.45	0.2%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 26,941.31	\$ 26,659.66	\$ (281.65)	-1.0%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 35,087.96	\$ 34,494.21	\$ (593.75)	-1.7%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 43,234.60	\$ 42,328.75	\$ (905.85)	-2.1%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 51,381.25	\$ 50,163.30	\$ (1,217.95)	-2.4%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 59,527.90	\$ 57,997.85	\$ (1,530.05)	-2.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 5,643.16	\$ 5,571.81	\$ (71.35)	-1.3%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,192.48	\$ 8,970.48	\$ (222.00)	-2.4%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,741.80	\$ 12,369.15	\$ (372.65)	-2.9%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,291.13	\$ 15,767.83	\$ (523.30)	-3.2%
5	500	250,000	\$ 19,840.45	\$ 19,166.50	\$ (673.95)	-3.4%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,389.77	\$ 22,565.17	\$ (824.60)	-3.5%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 54,976.31	\$ 54,262.80	\$ (713.51)	-1.3%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 90,000.60	\$ 87,780.59	\$ (2,220.01)	-2.5%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 124,089.83	\$ 120,363.32	\$ (3,726.51)	-3.0%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 158,179.06	\$ 152,946.05	\$ (5,233.01)	-3.3%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 192,268.29	\$ 185,528.78	\$ (6,739.51)	-3.5%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 226,357.53	\$ 218,111.52	\$ (8,246.01)	-3.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,355.52	\$ 9,165.69	\$ (189.83)	-2.0%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 16,073.87	\$ 15,591.24	\$ (482.63)	-3.0%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,792.21	\$ 22,016.78	\$ (775.43)	-3.4%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,510.56	\$ 28,442.33	\$ (1,068.23)	-3.6%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 36,228.91	\$ 34,867.88	\$ (1,361.03)	-3.8%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,947.25	\$ 41,293.42	\$ (1,653.83)	-3.9%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 91,361.04	\$ 89,462.69	\$ (1,898.35)	-2.1%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 155,736.50	\$ 150,910.15	\$ (4,826.35)	-3.1%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 220,111.97	\$ 212,357.62	\$ (7,754.35)	-3.5%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 284,487.43	\$ 273,805.08	\$ (10,682.35)	-3.8%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 348,862.89	\$ 335,252.54	\$ (13,610.35)	-3.9%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 413,238.35	\$ 396,700.00	\$ (16,538.35)	-4.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 25,495.84	\$ 24,923.36	\$ (572.47)	-2.2%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 34,015.33	\$ 32,870.38	\$ (1,144.95)	-3.4%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 42,534.82	\$ 40,817.40	\$ (1,717.42)	-4.0%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 51,054.31	\$ 48,764.42	\$ (2,289.89)	-4.5%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 59,104.87	\$ 56,242.50	\$ (2,862.37)	-4.8%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 67,062.76	\$ 63,627.92	\$ (3,434.84)	-5.1%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 248,717.33	\$ 242,992.60	\$ (5,724.73)	-2.3%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 328,296.26	\$ 316,846.79	\$ (11,449.47)	-3.5%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 407,875.18	\$ 390,700.98	\$ (17,174.20)	-4.2%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 487,454.11	\$ 464,555.17	\$ (22,898.94)	-4.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 567,033.03	\$ 538,409.36	\$ (28,623.67)	-5.0%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 646,611.96	\$ 612,263.55	\$ (34,348.41)	-5.3%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Service					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.00	\$ 11.92	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.42	\$ 13.25	\$ (0.17)	-1.3%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.07	\$ 13.85	\$ (0.22)	-1.6%
6	4,000	126	\$ 16.57	\$ 16.17	\$ (0.40)	-2.4%
7	6,000	157	\$ 17.93	\$ 17.44	\$ (0.49)	-2.7%
8	10,000	242	\$ 21.74	\$ 20.98	\$ (0.76)	-3.5%
9	15,000	282	\$ 23.53	\$ 22.65	\$ (0.88)	-3.7%
10	Underground Service					
11	1,000	24	\$ 7.16	\$ 7.08	\$ (0.08)	-1.1%
12	2,000	56	\$ 8.58	\$ 8.41	\$ (0.17)	-2.0%
13	2,500	70	\$ 9.23	\$ 9.01	\$ (0.22)	-2.4%
14	4,000	126	\$ 11.73	\$ 11.33	\$ (0.40)	-3.4%
15	6,000	157	\$ 13.09	\$ 12.60	\$ (0.49)	-3.7%
16	10,000	242	\$ 16.90	\$ 16.14	\$ (0.76)	-4.5%
17	15,000	282	\$ 18.69	\$ 17.81	\$ (0.88)	-4.7%
18	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
19	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
20	175	69	\$ 10.48	\$ 10.26	\$ (0.22)	-2.1%
21	250	104	\$ 13.46	\$ 13.14	\$ (0.32)	-2.4%
22	400	158	\$ 18.45	\$ 17.95	\$ (0.50)	-2.7%
23	1,000	380	\$ 40.48	\$ 39.30	\$ (1.18)	-2.9%
24	Underground Service - Post Type					
25	175	69	\$ 14.83	\$ 14.61	\$ (0.22)	-1.5%
26	Underground Service - Pole Type					
27	175	69	\$ 21.39	\$ 21.17	\$ (0.22)	-1.0%
28	250	104	\$ 25.18	\$ 24.86	\$ (0.32)	-1.3%
29	400	158	\$ 30.39	\$ 29.89	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
30	400*	158	\$ 30.64	\$ 30.14	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
31	400**	316	\$ 46.95	\$ 45.96	\$ (0.99)	-2.1%
32	1000	380	\$ 54.31	\$ 53.13	\$ (1.18)	-2.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
33	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
34	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
35	100	42	\$ 12.18	\$ 12.04	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
36	150	62	\$ 13.70	\$ 13.51	\$ (0.19)	-1.4%
37	250	105	\$ 17.90	\$ 17.57	\$ (0.33)	-1.8%
38	400	163	\$ 22.46	\$ 21.95	\$ (0.51)	-2.3%
39	Underground Service - Post Type					
40	100	42	\$ 16.70	\$ 16.56	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
41	Underground Service - Pole Type					
42	100	42	\$ 23.74	\$ 23.60	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
43	150	62	\$ 25.51	\$ 25.32	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
44	250	105	\$ 29.55	\$ 29.22	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
45	250**	210	\$ 46.83	\$ 46.17	\$ (0.66)	-1.4%
46	400	163	\$ 33.92	\$ 33.41	\$ (0.51)	-1.5%
47	Special Architectural Pole Installations					
48	100	42	\$ 22.17	\$ 22.03	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
49	100*	42	\$ 34.20	\$ 34.06	\$ (0.14)	-0.4%
50	150	62	\$ 24.24	\$ 24.05	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
51	150*	62	\$ 35.91	\$ 35.72	\$ (0.19)	-0.5%
52	250	105	\$ 29.13	\$ 28.80	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
53	250*	105	\$ 40.96	\$ 40.63	\$ (0.33)	-0.8%
54	400	163	\$ 33.69	\$ 33.18	\$ (0.51)	-1.5%
55	400*	163	\$ 46.33	\$ 45.82	\$ (0.51)	-1.1%
56	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
57	N/A	25	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.04	\$ (0.08)	-7.1%
58	N/A	50	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.08	\$ (0.16)	-7.1%
59	N/A	75	\$ 4.23	\$ 3.99	\$ (0.24)	-5.7%
60	N/A	100	\$ 6.49	\$ 6.18	\$ (0.31)	-4.8%
61	N/A	125	\$ 8.11	\$ 7.72	\$ (0.39)	-4.8%
62	N/A	150	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.77	\$ (0.47)	-4.2%
63	N/A	175	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.92	\$ (0.55)	-4.1%
64	N/A	200	\$ 17.66	\$ 17.04	\$ (0.62)	-3.5%
65	N/A	225	\$ 20.20	\$ 19.50	\$ (0.70)	-3.5%
66	N/A	250	\$ 11.19	\$ 10.41	\$ (0.78)	-7.0%
67	N/A	275	\$ 13.16	\$ 12.30	\$ (0.86)	-6.5%
68	N/A	300	\$ 15.43	\$ 14.50	\$ (0.93)	-6.0%
69	N/A	325	\$ 17.05	\$ 16.04	\$ (1.01)	-5.9%
70	N/A	350	\$ 20.19	\$ 19.09	\$ (1.10)	-5.4%
71	N/A	375	\$ 22.43	\$ 21.26	\$ (1.17)	-5.2%
72	N/A	400	\$ 26.59	\$ 25.34	\$ (1.25)	-4.7%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
74	N/A	25	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.04	\$ (0.08)	-7.1%
75	N/A	50	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.08	\$ (0.16)	-7.1%
76	N/A	75	\$ 5.68	\$ 5.44	\$ (0.24)	-4.2%
77	N/A	100	\$ 9.87	\$ 9.56	\$ (0.31)	-3.1%
78	N/A	125	\$ 12.32	\$ 11.93	\$ (0.39)	-3.2%
79	N/A	150	\$ 18.83	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.47)	-2.5%
80	N/A	175	\$ 22.93	\$ 22.38	\$ (0.55)	-2.4%
81	N/A	200	\$ 32.24	\$ 31.62	\$ (0.62)	-1.9%
82	N/A	225	\$ 37.20	\$ 36.50	\$ (0.70)	-1.9%
83	N/A	250	\$ 11.19	\$ 10.41	\$ (0.78)	-7.0%
84	N/A	275	\$ 14.61	\$ 13.75	\$ (0.86)	-5.9%
85	N/A	300	\$ 18.81	\$ 17.88	\$ (0.93)	-4.9%
86	N/A	325	\$ 21.26	\$ 20.25	\$ (1.01)	-4.7%
87	N/A	350	\$ 27.78	\$ 26.68	\$ (1.10)	-4.0%
88	N/A	375	\$ 31.89	\$ 30.72	\$ (1.17)	-3.7%
89	N/A	400	\$ 41.17	\$ 39.92	\$ (1.25)	-3.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 15.57	\$ 15.35	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
4	400	158	\$ 31.88	\$ 31.38	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
5	1,000	380	\$ 63.68	\$ 62.50	\$ (1.18)	-1.9%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 17.91	\$ 17.69	\$ (0.22)	-1.2%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 17.13	\$ 16.99	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
11	150	62	\$ 21.43	\$ 21.24	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
12	250	105	\$ 27.34	\$ 27.01	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
13	400	163	\$ 37.29	\$ 36.78	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
14	All Other Installations					
15	100	42	\$ 20.21	\$ 20.07	\$ (0.14)	-0.7%
16	150	62	\$ 26.83	\$ 26.64	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
17	150*	88	\$ 41.53	\$ 41.26	\$ (0.27)	-0.7%
18	250	105	\$ 34.08	\$ 33.75	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
19	250*	105	\$ 45.82	\$ 45.49	\$ (0.33)	-0.7%
20	400	163	\$ 41.61	\$ 41.10	\$ (0.51)	-1.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 5.94	\$ 5.63	\$ (0.31)	-5.2%
2	0	200	\$ 11.89	\$ 11.27	\$ (0.62)	-5.2%
3	0	300	\$ 17.80	\$ 16.87	\$ (0.93)	-5.2%
4	0	400	\$ 23.75	\$ 22.50	\$ (1.25)	-5.3%
5	0	500	\$ 29.70	\$ 28.14	\$ (1.56)	-5.3%
6	0	600	\$ 35.64	\$ 33.77	\$ (1.87)	-5.2%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 181.65	\$ 181.95	\$ 0.30	0.2%
2	10	2,000	\$ 273.26	\$ 270.44	\$ (2.82)	-1.0%
3	10	3,000	\$ 364.45	\$ 358.51	\$ (5.94)	-1.6%
4	10	4,000	\$ 455.62	\$ 446.56	\$ (9.06)	-2.0%
5	10	5,000	\$ 546.81	\$ 534.63	\$ (12.18)	-2.2%
6	10	6,000	\$ 637.97	\$ 622.66	\$ (15.31)	-2.4%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 19,709.37	\$ 19,739.82	\$ 30.45	0.2%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 28,770.71	\$ 28,489.06	\$ (281.65)	-1.0%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 37,832.06	\$ 37,238.31	\$ (593.75)	-1.6%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 46,893.40	\$ 45,987.55	\$ (905.85)	-1.9%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 55,954.75	\$ 54,736.80	\$ (1,217.95)	-2.2%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 65,016.10	\$ 63,486.05	\$ (1,530.05)	-2.4%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,084.66	\$ 6,013.31	\$ (71.35)	-1.2%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,075.48	\$ 9,853.48	\$ (222.00)	-2.2%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,066.30	\$ 13,693.65	\$ (372.65)	-2.6%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,057.13	\$ 17,533.83	\$ (523.30)	-2.9%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,047.95	\$ 21,374.00	\$ (673.95)	-3.1%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,038.77	\$ 25,214.17	\$ (824.60)	-3.2%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 59,391.31	\$ 58,677.80	\$ (713.51)	-1.2%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,830.60	\$ 96,610.59	\$ (2,220.01)	-2.2%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 137,334.83	\$ 133,608.32	\$ (3,726.51)	-2.7%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 175,839.06	\$ 170,606.05	\$ (5,233.01)	-3.0%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 214,343.29	\$ 207,603.78	\$ (6,739.51)	-3.1%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 252,847.53	\$ 244,601.52	\$ (8,246.01)	-3.3%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,213.72	\$ 10,023.89	\$ (189.83)	-1.9%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,790.27	\$ 17,307.64	\$ (482.63)	-2.7%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,366.81	\$ 24,591.38	\$ (775.43)	-3.1%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,943.36	\$ 31,875.13	\$ (1,068.23)	-3.2%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 40,519.91	\$ 39,158.88	\$ (1,361.03)	-3.4%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 48,096.45	\$ 46,442.62	\$ (1,653.83)	-3.4%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 99,943.04	\$ 98,044.69	\$ (1,898.35)	-1.9%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 172,900.50	\$ 168,074.15	\$ (4,826.35)	-2.8%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 245,857.97	\$ 238,103.62	\$ (7,754.35)	-3.2%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 318,815.43	\$ 308,133.08	\$ (10,682.35)	-3.4%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 391,772.89	\$ 378,162.54	\$ (13,610.35)	-3.5%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 464,730.35	\$ 448,192.00	\$ (16,538.35)	-3.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 27,210.44	\$ 26,637.96	\$ (572.47)	-2.1%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 37,444.53	\$ 36,299.58	\$ (1,144.95)	-3.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 47,678.62	\$ 45,961.20	\$ (1,717.42)	-3.6%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 57,912.71	\$ 55,622.82	\$ (2,289.89)	-4.0%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 67,677.87	\$ 64,815.50	\$ (2,862.37)	-4.2%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 77,350.36	\$ 73,915.52	\$ (3,434.84)	-4.4%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 265,863.33	\$ 260,138.60	\$ (5,724.73)	-2.2%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 362,588.26	\$ 351,138.79	\$ (11,449.47)	-3.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 459,313.18	\$ 442,138.98	\$ (17,174.20)	-3.7%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 556,038.11	\$ 533,139.17	\$ (22,898.94)	-4.1%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 652,763.03	\$ 624,139.36	\$ (28,623.67)	-4.4%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 749,487.96	\$ 715,139.55	\$ (34,348.41)	-4.6%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Service					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.22	\$ 12.14	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.93	\$ 13.76	\$ (0.17)	-1.2%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.71	\$ 14.49	\$ (0.22)	-1.5%
6	4,000	126	\$ 17.72	\$ 17.32	\$ (0.40)	-2.3%
7	6,000	157	\$ 19.37	\$ 18.88	\$ (0.49)	-2.5%
8	10,000	242	\$ 23.96	\$ 23.20	\$ (0.76)	-3.2%
9	15,000	282	\$ 26.11	\$ 25.23	\$ (0.88)	-3.4%
10	Underground Service					
11	1,000	24	\$ 7.38	\$ 7.30	\$ (0.08)	-1.1%
12	2,000	56	\$ 9.09	\$ 8.92	\$ (0.17)	-1.9%
13	2,500	70	\$ 9.87	\$ 9.65	\$ (0.22)	-2.2%
14	4,000	126	\$ 12.88	\$ 12.48	\$ (0.40)	-3.1%
15	6,000	157	\$ 14.53	\$ 14.04	\$ (0.49)	-3.4%
16	10,000	242	\$ 19.12	\$ 18.36	\$ (0.76)	-4.0%
17	15,000	282	\$ 21.27	\$ 20.39	\$ (0.88)	-4.1%
18	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b)					
19	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
20	175	69	\$ 11.11	\$ 10.89	\$ (0.22)	-2.0%
21	250	104	\$ 14.41	\$ 14.09	\$ (0.32)	-2.2%
22	400	158	\$ 19.90	\$ 19.40	\$ (0.50)	-2.5%
23	1,000	380	\$ 43.95	\$ 42.77	\$ (1.18)	-2.7%
24	Underground Service - Post Type					
25	175	69	\$ 15.46	\$ 15.24	\$ (0.22)	-1.4%
26	Underground Service - Pole Type					
27	175	69	\$ 22.02	\$ 21.80	\$ (0.22)	-1.0%
28	250	104	\$ 26.13	\$ 25.81	\$ (0.32)	-1.2%
29	400	158	\$ 31.84	\$ 31.34	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
30	400*	158	\$ 32.09	\$ 31.59	\$ (0.50)	-1.6%
31	400**	316	\$ 49.84	\$ 48.85	\$ (0.99)	-2.0%
32	1000	380	\$ 57.78	\$ 56.60	\$ (1.18)	-2.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
33	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c)					
34	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
35	100	42	\$ 12.56	\$ 12.42	\$ (0.14)	-1.1%
36	150	62	\$ 14.27	\$ 14.08	\$ (0.19)	-1.3%
37	250	105	\$ 18.86	\$ 18.53	\$ (0.33)	-1.7%
38	400	163	\$ 23.95	\$ 23.44	\$ (0.51)	-2.1%
39	Underground Service - Post Type					
40	100	42	\$ 17.08	\$ 16.94	\$ (0.14)	-0.8%
41	Underground Service - Pole Type					
42	100	42	\$ 24.12	\$ 23.98	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
43	150	62	\$ 26.08	\$ 25.89	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
44	250	105	\$ 30.51	\$ 30.18	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
45	250**	210	\$ 48.75	\$ 48.09	\$ (0.66)	-1.4%
46	400	163	\$ 35.41	\$ 34.90	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
47	Special Architectural Pole Installations					
48	100	42	\$ 22.55	\$ 22.41	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
49	100*	42	\$ 34.58	\$ 34.44	\$ (0.14)	-0.4%
50	150	62	\$ 24.81	\$ 24.62	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
51	150*	62	\$ 36.48	\$ 36.29	\$ (0.19)	-0.5%
52	250	105	\$ 30.09	\$ 29.76	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
53	250*	105	\$ 41.92	\$ 41.59	\$ (0.33)	-0.8%
54	400	163	\$ 35.18	\$ 34.67	\$ (0.51)	-1.4%
55	400*	163	\$ 47.82	\$ 47.31	\$ (0.51)	-1.1%
56	Customer Owned - All Lamp Types					
57	N/A	25	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.26	\$ (0.08)	-6.0%
58	N/A	50	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.54	\$ (0.16)	-5.9%
59	N/A	75	\$ 4.91	\$ 4.67	\$ (0.24)	-4.9%
60	N/A	100	\$ 7.41	\$ 7.10	\$ (0.31)	-4.2%
61	N/A	125	\$ 9.25	\$ 8.86	\$ (0.39)	-4.2%
62	N/A	150	\$ 12.62	\$ 12.15	\$ (0.47)	-3.7%
63	N/A	175	\$ 15.07	\$ 14.52	\$ (0.55)	-3.6%
64	N/A	200	\$ 19.49	\$ 18.87	\$ (0.62)	-3.2%
65	N/A	225	\$ 22.26	\$ 21.56	\$ (0.70)	-3.1%
66	N/A	250	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.69	\$ (0.78)	-5.8%
67	N/A	275	\$ 15.68	\$ 14.82	\$ (0.86)	-5.5%
68	N/A	300	\$ 18.17	\$ 17.24	\$ (0.93)	-5.1%
69	N/A	325	\$ 20.03	\$ 19.02	\$ (1.01)	-5.0%
70	N/A	350	\$ 23.39	\$ 22.29	\$ (1.10)	-4.7%
71	N/A	375	\$ 25.86	\$ 24.69	\$ (1.17)	-4.5%
72	N/A	400	\$ 30.25	\$ 29.00	\$ (1.25)	-4.1%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
73	Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
74	N/A	25	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.26	\$ (0.08)	-6.0%
75	N/A	50	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.54	\$ (0.16)	-5.9%
76	N/A	75	\$ 6.36	\$ 6.12	\$ (0.24)	-3.8%
77	N/A	100	\$ 10.79	\$ 10.48	\$ (0.31)	-2.9%
78	N/A	125	\$ 13.46	\$ 13.07	\$ (0.39)	-2.9%
79	N/A	150	\$ 20.21	\$ 19.74	\$ (0.47)	-2.3%
80	N/A	175	\$ 24.53	\$ 23.98	\$ (0.55)	-2.2%
81	N/A	200	\$ 34.07	\$ 33.45	\$ (0.62)	-1.8%
82	N/A	225	\$ 39.26	\$ 38.56	\$ (0.70)	-1.8%
83	N/A	250	\$ 13.47	\$ 12.69	\$ (0.78)	-5.8%
84	N/A	275	\$ 17.13	\$ 16.27	\$ (0.86)	-5.0%
85	N/A	300	\$ 21.55	\$ 20.62	\$ (0.93)	-4.3%
86	N/A	325	\$ 24.24	\$ 23.23	\$ (1.01)	-4.2%
87	N/A	350	\$ 30.98	\$ 29.88	\$ (1.10)	-3.6%
88	N/A	375	\$ 35.32	\$ 34.15	\$ (1.17)	-3.3%
89	N/A	400	\$ 44.83	\$ 43.58	\$ (1.25)	-2.8%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)						
1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 18.56	\$ 18.34	\$ (0.22)	-1.2%
4	400	158	\$ 38.73	\$ 38.23	\$ (0.50)	-1.3%
5	1,000	380	\$ 80.13	\$ 78.95	\$ (1.18)	-1.5%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 20.90	\$ 20.68	\$ (0.22)	-1.1%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	100	42	\$ 18.95	\$ 18.81	\$ (0.14)	-0.7%
11	150	62	\$ 24.12	\$ 23.93	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
12	250	105	\$ 31.88	\$ 31.55	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
13	400	163	\$ 44.34	\$ 43.83	\$ (0.51)	-1.2%
14	All Other Installations					
15	100	42	\$ 22.03	\$ 21.89	\$ (0.14)	-0.6%
16	150	62	\$ 29.52	\$ 29.33	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%
17	150*	88	\$ 45.35	\$ 45.08	\$ (0.27)	-0.6%
18	250	105	\$ 38.62	\$ 38.29	\$ (0.33)	-0.9%
19	250*	105	\$ 50.36	\$ 50.03	\$ (0.33)	-0.7%
20	400	163	\$ 48.66	\$ 48.15	\$ (0.51)	-1.0%

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 6.86	\$ 6.55	\$ (0.31)	-4.5%
2	0	200	\$ 13.72	\$ 13.10	\$ (0.62)	-4.5%
3	0	300	\$ 20.54	\$ 19.61	\$ (0.93)	-4.5%
4	0	400	\$ 27.41	\$ 26.16	\$ (1.25)	-4.6%
5	0	500	\$ 34.27	\$ 32.71	\$ (1.56)	-4.6%
6	0	600	\$ 41.13	\$ 39.26	\$ (1.87)	-4.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Winter Bill	Proposed Winter Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 35.01	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.98	\$ (0.30)	-0.5%
3	0	750	\$ 97.41	\$ 96.96	\$ (0.45)	-0.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 128.53	\$ 127.94	\$ (0.60)	-0.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 159.66	\$ 158.91	\$ (0.75)	-0.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 190.78	\$ 189.89	\$ (0.90)	-0.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 253.03	\$ 251.84	\$ (1.19)	-0.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 315.05	\$ 313.56	\$ (1.49)	-0.5%
9	0	3,000	\$ 377.08	\$ 375.28	\$ (1.79)	-0.5%
10	0	3,500	\$ 439.10	\$ 437.00	\$ (2.09)	-0.5%
11	0	4,000	\$ 501.12	\$ 498.73	\$ (2.39)	-0.5%
12	0	4,500	\$ 563.14	\$ 560.45	\$ (2.69)	-0.5%
13	0	5,000	\$ 625.16	\$ 622.17	\$ (2.99)	-0.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 687.18	\$ 683.89	\$ (3.29)	-0.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 749.20	\$ 745.61	\$ (3.58)	-0.5%
16	0	6,500	\$ 811.22	\$ 807.33	\$ (3.88)	-0.5%
17	0	7,000	\$ 873.24	\$ 869.06	\$ (4.18)	-0.5%
18	0	7,500	\$ 935.26	\$ 930.78	\$ (4.48)	-0.5%
19	0	8,000	\$ 997.28	\$ 992.50	\$ (4.78)	-0.5%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,059.30	\$ 1,054.22	\$ (5.08)	-0.5%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,121.32	\$ 1,115.94	\$ (5.38)	-0.5%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,183.34	\$ 1,177.66	\$ (5.68)	-0.5%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,245.36	\$ 1,239.38	\$ (5.97)	-0.5%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,307.38	\$ 1,301.11	\$ (6.27)	-0.5%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,369.40	\$ 1,362.83	\$ (6.57)	-0.5%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,431.42	\$ 1,424.55	\$ (6.87)	-0.5%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,493.44	\$ 1,486.27	\$ (7.17)	-0.5%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,555.46	\$ 1,547.99	\$ (7.47)	-0.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,617.48	\$ 1,609.71	\$ (7.77)	-0.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,679.50	\$ 1,671.44	\$ (8.07)	-0.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,741.52	\$ 1,733.16	\$ (8.36)	-0.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,803.54	\$ 1,794.88	\$ (8.66)	-0.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,865.56	\$ 1,856.60	\$ (8.96)	-0.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,927.30	\$ 1,918.04	\$ (9.26)	-0.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,989.04	\$ 1,979.48	\$ (9.56)	-0.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,050.78	\$ 2,040.92	\$ (9.86)	-0.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,112.52	\$ 2,102.36	\$ (10.16)	-0.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,174.26	\$ 2,163.80	\$ (10.46)	-0.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 35.01	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.98	\$ (0.30)	-0.5%
3	0	750	\$ 88.26	\$ 87.81	\$ (0.45)	-0.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 110.23	\$ 109.64	\$ (0.60)	-0.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 132.21	\$ 131.46	\$ (0.75)	-0.6%
6	0	1,500	\$ 154.18	\$ 153.29	\$ (0.90)	-0.6%
7	0	2,000	\$ 198.13	\$ 196.94	\$ (1.19)	-0.6%
8	0	2,500	\$ 226.35	\$ 224.86	\$ (1.49)	-0.7%
9	0	3,000	\$ 254.58	\$ 252.78	\$ (1.79)	-0.7%
10	0	3,500	\$ 282.80	\$ 280.70	\$ (2.09)	-0.7%
11	0	4,000	\$ 311.02	\$ 308.63	\$ (2.39)	-0.8%
12	0	4,500	\$ 339.24	\$ 336.55	\$ (2.69)	-0.8%
13	0	5,000	\$ 367.46	\$ 364.47	\$ (2.99)	-0.8%
14	0	5,500	\$ 395.68	\$ 392.39	\$ (3.29)	-0.8%
15	0	6,000	\$ 423.90	\$ 420.31	\$ (3.58)	-0.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 452.12	\$ 448.23	\$ (3.88)	-0.9%
17	0	7,000	\$ 480.34	\$ 476.16	\$ (4.18)	-0.9%
18	0	7,500	\$ 508.56	\$ 504.08	\$ (4.48)	-0.9%
19	0	8,000	\$ 536.78	\$ 532.00	\$ (4.78)	-0.9%
20	0	8,500	\$ 565.00	\$ 559.92	\$ (5.08)	-0.9%
21	0	9,000	\$ 593.22	\$ 587.84	\$ (5.38)	-0.9%
22	0	9,500	\$ 621.44	\$ 615.76	\$ (5.68)	-0.9%
23	0	10,000	\$ 649.66	\$ 643.68	\$ (5.97)	-0.9%
24	0	10,500	\$ 677.88	\$ 671.61	\$ (6.27)	-0.9%
25	0	11,000	\$ 706.10	\$ 699.53	\$ (6.57)	-0.9%
26	0	11,500	\$ 734.32	\$ 727.45	\$ (6.87)	-0.9%
27	0	12,000	\$ 762.54	\$ 755.37	\$ (7.17)	-0.9%
28	0	12,500	\$ 790.76	\$ 783.29	\$ (7.47)	-0.9%
29	0	13,000	\$ 818.98	\$ 811.21	\$ (7.77)	-0.9%
30	0	13,500	\$ 847.20	\$ 839.14	\$ (8.07)	-1.0%
31	0	14,000	\$ 875.42	\$ 867.06	\$ (8.36)	-1.0%
32	0	14,500	\$ 903.64	\$ 894.98	\$ (8.66)	-1.0%
33	0	15,000	\$ 931.86	\$ 922.90	\$ (8.96)	-1.0%
34	0	15,500	\$ 959.80	\$ 950.54	\$ (9.26)	-1.0%
35	0	16,000	\$ 987.74	\$ 978.18	\$ (9.56)	-1.0%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,015.68	\$ 1,005.82	\$ (9.86)	-1.0%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,043.62	\$ 1,033.46	\$ (10.16)	-1.0%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,071.56	\$ 1,061.10	\$ (10.46)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 24.96	\$ 24.81	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
2	0	500	\$ 45.88	\$ 45.58	\$ (0.30)	-0.7%
3	0	750	\$ 57.66	\$ 57.21	\$ (0.45)	-0.8%
4	0	1,000	\$ 69.43	\$ 68.84	\$ (0.60)	-0.9%
5	0	1,250	\$ 81.21	\$ 80.46	\$ (0.75)	-0.9%
6	0	1,500	\$ 92.98	\$ 92.09	\$ (0.90)	-1.0%
7	0	2,000	\$ 116.53	\$ 115.34	\$ (1.19)	-1.0%
8	0	2,500	\$ 160.25	\$ 158.76	\$ (1.49)	-0.9%
9	0	3,000	\$ 203.98	\$ 202.18	\$ (1.79)	-0.9%
10	0	3,500	\$ 247.70	\$ 245.60	\$ (2.09)	-0.8%
11	0	4,000	\$ 291.42	\$ 289.03	\$ (2.39)	-0.8%
12	0	4,500	\$ 335.14	\$ 332.45	\$ (2.69)	-0.8%
13	0	5,000	\$ 378.86	\$ 375.87	\$ (2.99)	-0.8%
14	0	5,500	\$ 422.58	\$ 419.29	\$ (3.29)	-0.8%
15	0	6,000	\$ 466.30	\$ 462.71	\$ (3.58)	-0.8%
16	0	6,500	\$ 510.02	\$ 506.13	\$ (3.88)	-0.8%
17	0	7,000	\$ 553.74	\$ 549.56	\$ (4.18)	-0.8%
18	0	7,500	\$ 597.46	\$ 592.98	\$ (4.48)	-0.8%
19	0	8,000	\$ 641.18	\$ 636.40	\$ (4.78)	-0.7%
20	0	8,500	\$ 684.90	\$ 679.82	\$ (5.08)	-0.7%
21	0	9,000	\$ 728.62	\$ 723.24	\$ (5.38)	-0.7%
22	0	9,500	\$ 772.34	\$ 766.66	\$ (5.68)	-0.7%
23	0	10,000	\$ 816.06	\$ 810.08	\$ (5.97)	-0.7%
24	0	10,500	\$ 859.78	\$ 853.51	\$ (6.27)	-0.7%
25	0	11,000	\$ 903.50	\$ 896.93	\$ (6.57)	-0.7%
26	0	11,500	\$ 947.22	\$ 940.35	\$ (6.87)	-0.7%
27	0	12,000	\$ 990.94	\$ 983.77	\$ (7.17)	-0.7%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,034.66	\$ 1,027.19	\$ (7.47)	-0.7%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,078.38	\$ 1,070.61	\$ (7.77)	-0.7%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,122.10	\$ 1,114.04	\$ (8.07)	-0.7%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,165.82	\$ 1,157.46	\$ (8.36)	-0.7%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,209.54	\$ 1,200.88	\$ (8.66)	-0.7%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,253.26	\$ 1,244.30	\$ (8.96)	-0.7%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,296.70	\$ 1,287.44	\$ (9.26)	-0.7%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,340.14	\$ 1,330.58	\$ (9.56)	-0.7%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,383.58	\$ 1,373.72	\$ (9.86)	-0.7%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,427.02	\$ 1,416.86	\$ (10.16)	-0.7%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,470.46	\$ 1,460.00	\$ (10.46)	-0.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 35.16	\$ 35.01	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 66.28	\$ 65.98	\$ (0.30)	-0.5%
3	0	750	\$ 91.76	\$ 91.31	\$ (0.45)	-0.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 117.23	\$ 116.64	\$ (0.60)	-0.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 142.71	\$ 141.96	\$ (0.75)	-0.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 168.18	\$ 167.29	\$ (0.90)	-0.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 219.13	\$ 217.94	\$ (1.19)	-0.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 269.85	\$ 268.36	\$ (1.49)	-0.6%
9	0	3,000	\$ 320.58	\$ 318.78	\$ (1.79)	-0.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 371.30	\$ 369.20	\$ (2.09)	-0.6%
11	0	4,000	\$ 422.02	\$ 419.63	\$ (2.39)	-0.6%
12	0	4,500	\$ 472.74	\$ 470.05	\$ (2.69)	-0.6%
13	0	5,000	\$ 523.46	\$ 520.47	\$ (2.99)	-0.6%
14	0	5,500	\$ 574.18	\$ 570.89	\$ (3.29)	-0.6%
15	0	6,000	\$ 624.90	\$ 621.31	\$ (3.58)	-0.6%
16	0	6,500	\$ 675.62	\$ 671.73	\$ (3.88)	-0.6%
17	0	7,000	\$ 726.34	\$ 722.16	\$ (4.18)	-0.6%
18	0	7,500	\$ 777.06	\$ 772.58	\$ (4.48)	-0.6%
19	0	8,000	\$ 827.78	\$ 823.00	\$ (4.78)	-0.6%
20	0	8,500	\$ 878.50	\$ 873.42	\$ (5.08)	-0.6%
21	0	9,000	\$ 929.22	\$ 923.84	\$ (5.38)	-0.6%
22	0	9,500	\$ 979.94	\$ 974.26	\$ (5.68)	-0.6%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,030.66	\$ 1,024.68	\$ (5.97)	-0.6%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,081.38	\$ 1,075.11	\$ (6.27)	-0.6%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,132.10	\$ 1,125.53	\$ (6.57)	-0.6%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,182.82	\$ 1,175.95	\$ (6.87)	-0.6%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,233.54	\$ 1,226.37	\$ (7.17)	-0.6%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,284.26	\$ 1,276.79	\$ (7.47)	-0.6%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,334.98	\$ 1,327.21	\$ (7.77)	-0.6%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,385.70	\$ 1,377.64	\$ (8.07)	-0.6%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,436.42	\$ 1,428.06	\$ (8.36)	-0.6%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,487.14	\$ 1,478.48	\$ (8.66)	-0.6%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,537.86	\$ 1,528.90	\$ (8.96)	-0.6%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,588.30	\$ 1,579.04	\$ (9.26)	-0.6%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,638.74	\$ 1,629.18	\$ (9.56)	-0.6%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,689.18	\$ 1,679.32	\$ (9.86)	-0.6%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,739.62	\$ 1,729.46	\$ (10.16)	-0.6%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,790.06	\$ 1,779.60	\$ (10.46)	-0.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Line No.	Bill Data					
	Level of Demand	Level of Usage	Current Summer Bill	Proposed Summer Bill	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
	(kW)	(kWH)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(E)
Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.29	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.56	\$ (0.30)	-0.4%
3	0	750	\$ 104.27	\$ 103.82	\$ (0.45)	-0.4%
4	0	1,000	\$ 137.68	\$ 137.08	\$ (0.60)	-0.4%
5	0	1,250	\$ 171.09	\$ 170.35	\$ (0.75)	-0.4%
6	0	1,500	\$ 204.50	\$ 203.61	\$ (0.90)	-0.4%
7	0	2,000	\$ 271.33	\$ 270.13	\$ (1.19)	-0.4%
8	0	2,500	\$ 337.92	\$ 336.43	\$ (1.49)	-0.4%
9	0	3,000	\$ 404.52	\$ 402.72	\$ (1.79)	-0.4%
10	0	3,500	\$ 471.11	\$ 469.02	\$ (2.09)	-0.4%
11	0	4,000	\$ 537.70	\$ 535.31	\$ (2.39)	-0.4%
12	0	4,500	\$ 604.30	\$ 601.61	\$ (2.69)	-0.4%
13	0	5,000	\$ 670.89	\$ 667.90	\$ (2.99)	-0.4%
14	0	5,500	\$ 737.49	\$ 734.20	\$ (3.29)	-0.4%
15	0	6,000	\$ 804.08	\$ 800.49	\$ (3.58)	-0.4%
16	0	6,500	\$ 870.67	\$ 866.79	\$ (3.88)	-0.4%
17	0	7,000	\$ 937.27	\$ 933.08	\$ (4.18)	-0.4%
18	0	7,500	\$ 1,003.86	\$ 999.38	\$ (4.48)	-0.4%
19	0	8,000	\$ 1,070.45	\$ 1,065.67	\$ (4.78)	-0.4%
20	0	8,500	\$ 1,137.05	\$ 1,131.97	\$ (5.08)	-0.4%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,203.64	\$ 1,198.26	\$ (5.38)	-0.4%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,270.24	\$ 1,264.56	\$ (5.68)	-0.4%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,336.83	\$ 1,330.85	\$ (5.97)	-0.4%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,403.42	\$ 1,397.15	\$ (6.27)	-0.4%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,470.02	\$ 1,463.44	\$ (6.57)	-0.4%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,536.61	\$ 1,529.74	\$ (6.87)	-0.4%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,603.20	\$ 1,596.03	\$ (7.17)	-0.4%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,669.80	\$ 1,662.33	\$ (7.47)	-0.4%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,736.39	\$ 1,728.62	\$ (7.77)	-0.4%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,802.99	\$ 1,794.92	\$ (8.07)	-0.4%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,869.58	\$ 1,861.22	\$ (8.36)	-0.4%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,936.17	\$ 1,927.51	\$ (8.66)	-0.4%
33	0	15,000	\$ 2,002.77	\$ 1,993.81	\$ (8.96)	-0.4%
34	0	15,500	\$ 2,069.08	\$ 2,059.82	\$ (9.26)	-0.4%
35	0	16,000	\$ 2,135.39	\$ 2,125.83	\$ (9.56)	-0.4%
36	0	16,500	\$ 2,201.71	\$ 2,191.85	\$ (9.86)	-0.4%
37	0	17,000	\$ 2,268.02	\$ 2,257.86	\$ (10.16)	-0.4%
38	0	17,500	\$ 2,334.33	\$ 2,323.88	\$ (10.46)	-0.4%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.29	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.56	\$ (0.30)	-0.4%
3	0	750	\$ 95.12	\$ 94.67	\$ (0.45)	-0.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 119.38	\$ 118.78	\$ (0.60)	-0.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 143.64	\$ 142.90	\$ (0.75)	-0.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 167.90	\$ 167.01	\$ (0.90)	-0.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 216.43	\$ 215.23	\$ (1.19)	-0.6%
8	0	2,500	\$ 264.72	\$ 263.23	\$ (1.49)	-0.6%
9	0	3,000	\$ 313.02	\$ 311.22	\$ (1.79)	-0.6%
10	0	3,500	\$ 361.31	\$ 359.22	\$ (2.09)	-0.6%
11	0	4,000	\$ 409.60	\$ 407.21	\$ (2.39)	-0.6%
12	0	4,500	\$ 457.90	\$ 455.21	\$ (2.69)	-0.6%
13	0	5,000	\$ 506.19	\$ 503.20	\$ (2.99)	-0.6%
14	0	5,500	\$ 554.49	\$ 551.20	\$ (3.29)	-0.6%
15	0	6,000	\$ 602.78	\$ 599.19	\$ (3.58)	-0.6%
16	0	6,500	\$ 651.07	\$ 647.19	\$ (3.88)	-0.6%
17	0	7,000	\$ 699.37	\$ 695.18	\$ (4.18)	-0.6%
18	0	7,500	\$ 747.66	\$ 743.18	\$ (4.48)	-0.6%
19	0	8,000	\$ 795.95	\$ 791.17	\$ (4.78)	-0.6%
20	0	8,500	\$ 844.25	\$ 839.17	\$ (5.08)	-0.6%
21	0	9,000	\$ 892.54	\$ 887.16	\$ (5.38)	-0.6%
22	0	9,500	\$ 940.84	\$ 935.16	\$ (5.68)	-0.6%
23	0	10,000	\$ 989.13	\$ 983.15	\$ (5.97)	-0.6%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,037.42	\$ 1,031.15	\$ (6.27)	-0.6%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,085.72	\$ 1,079.14	\$ (6.57)	-0.6%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,134.01	\$ 1,127.14	\$ (6.87)	-0.6%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,182.30	\$ 1,175.13	\$ (7.17)	-0.6%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,230.60	\$ 1,223.13	\$ (7.47)	-0.6%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,278.89	\$ 1,271.12	\$ (7.77)	-0.6%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,327.19	\$ 1,319.12	\$ (8.07)	-0.6%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,375.48	\$ 1,367.12	\$ (8.36)	-0.6%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,423.77	\$ 1,415.11	\$ (8.66)	-0.6%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,472.07	\$ 1,463.11	\$ (8.96)	-0.6%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,520.08	\$ 1,510.82	\$ (9.26)	-0.6%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,568.09	\$ 1,558.53	\$ (9.56)	-0.6%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,616.11	\$ 1,606.25	\$ (9.86)	-0.6%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,664.12	\$ 1,653.96	\$ (10.16)	-0.6%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,712.13	\$ 1,701.68	\$ (10.46)	-0.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (\$) (E)	Percent Increase (%) (E)
Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS)						
1	0	250	\$ 37.44	\$ 37.29	\$ (0.15)	-0.4%
2	0	500	\$ 70.86	\$ 70.56	\$ (0.30)	-0.4%
3	0	750	\$ 98.62	\$ 98.17	\$ (0.45)	-0.5%
4	0	1,000	\$ 126.38	\$ 125.78	\$ (0.60)	-0.5%
5	0	1,250	\$ 154.14	\$ 153.40	\$ (0.75)	-0.5%
6	0	1,500	\$ 181.90	\$ 181.01	\$ (0.90)	-0.5%
7	0	2,000	\$ 237.43	\$ 236.23	\$ (1.19)	-0.5%
8	0	2,500	\$ 292.72	\$ 291.23	\$ (1.49)	-0.5%
9	0	3,000	\$ 348.02	\$ 346.22	\$ (1.79)	-0.5%
10	0	3,500	\$ 403.31	\$ 401.22	\$ (2.09)	-0.5%
11	0	4,000	\$ 458.60	\$ 456.21	\$ (2.39)	-0.5%
12	0	4,500	\$ 513.90	\$ 511.21	\$ (2.69)	-0.5%
13	0	5,000	\$ 569.19	\$ 566.20	\$ (2.99)	-0.5%
14	0	5,500	\$ 624.49	\$ 621.20	\$ (3.29)	-0.5%
15	0	6,000	\$ 679.78	\$ 676.19	\$ (3.58)	-0.5%
16	0	6,500	\$ 735.07	\$ 731.19	\$ (3.88)	-0.5%
17	0	7,000	\$ 790.37	\$ 786.18	\$ (4.18)	-0.5%
18	0	7,500	\$ 845.66	\$ 841.18	\$ (4.48)	-0.5%
19	0	8,000	\$ 900.95	\$ 896.17	\$ (4.78)	-0.5%
20	0	8,500	\$ 956.25	\$ 951.17	\$ (5.08)	-0.5%
21	0	9,000	\$ 1,011.54	\$ 1,006.16	\$ (5.38)	-0.5%
22	0	9,500	\$ 1,066.84	\$ 1,061.16	\$ (5.68)	-0.5%
23	0	10,000	\$ 1,122.13	\$ 1,116.15	\$ (5.97)	-0.5%
24	0	10,500	\$ 1,177.42	\$ 1,171.15	\$ (6.27)	-0.5%
25	0	11,000	\$ 1,232.72	\$ 1,226.14	\$ (6.57)	-0.5%
26	0	11,500	\$ 1,288.01	\$ 1,281.14	\$ (6.87)	-0.5%
27	0	12,000	\$ 1,343.30	\$ 1,336.13	\$ (7.17)	-0.5%
28	0	12,500	\$ 1,398.60	\$ 1,391.13	\$ (7.47)	-0.5%
29	0	13,000	\$ 1,453.89	\$ 1,446.12	\$ (7.77)	-0.5%
30	0	13,500	\$ 1,509.19	\$ 1,501.12	\$ (8.07)	-0.5%
31	0	14,000	\$ 1,564.48	\$ 1,556.12	\$ (8.36)	-0.5%
32	0	14,500	\$ 1,619.77	\$ 1,611.11	\$ (8.66)	-0.5%
33	0	15,000	\$ 1,675.07	\$ 1,666.11	\$ (8.96)	-0.5%
34	0	15,500	\$ 1,730.08	\$ 1,720.82	\$ (9.26)	-0.5%
35	0	16,000	\$ 1,785.09	\$ 1,775.53	\$ (9.56)	-0.5%
36	0	16,500	\$ 1,840.11	\$ 1,830.25	\$ (9.86)	-0.5%
37	0	17,000	\$ 1,895.12	\$ 1,884.96	\$ (10.16)	-0.5%
38	0	17,500	\$ 1,950.13	\$ 1,939.68	\$ (10.46)	-0.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 166.81	\$ 167.70	\$ 0.89	0.5%
2	10	2,000	\$ 247.36	\$ 247.08	\$ (0.28)	-0.1%
3	10	3,000	\$ 327.44	\$ 326.00	\$ (1.44)	-0.4%
4	10	4,000	\$ 407.52	\$ 404.92	\$ (2.60)	-0.6%
5	10	5,000	\$ 487.61	\$ 483.85	\$ (3.76)	-0.8%
6	10	6,000	\$ 567.67	\$ 562.75	\$ (4.92)	-0.9%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 18,771.06	\$ 18,860.50	\$ 89.44	0.5%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 26,723.15	\$ 26,696.39	\$ (26.76)	-0.1%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 34,675.25	\$ 34,532.29	\$ (142.96)	-0.4%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 42,627.34	\$ 42,368.18	\$ (259.16)	-0.6%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 50,579.44	\$ 50,204.08	\$ (375.36)	-0.7%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 58,531.54	\$ 58,039.98	\$ (491.56)	-0.8%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 5,564.64	\$ 5,542.21	\$ (22.43)	-0.4%
2	500	100,000	\$ 9,205.09	\$ 9,126.56	\$ (78.53)	-0.9%
3	500	150,000	\$ 12,845.54	\$ 12,710.91	\$ (134.63)	-1.0%
4	500	200,000	\$ 16,485.99	\$ 16,295.26	\$ (190.73)	-1.2%
5	500	250,000	\$ 20,126.43	\$ 19,879.60	\$ (246.83)	-1.2%
6	500	300,000	\$ 23,766.88	\$ 23,463.95	\$ (302.93)	-1.3%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 54,191.23	\$ 53,966.84	\$ (224.39)	-0.4%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 89,684.81	\$ 88,899.42	\$ (785.39)	-0.9%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 123,362.04	\$ 122,015.65	\$ (1,346.39)	-1.1%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 157,039.27	\$ 155,131.88	\$ (1,907.39)	-1.2%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 190,716.50	\$ 188,248.11	\$ (2,468.39)	-1.3%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 224,393.73	\$ 221,364.34	\$ (3,029.39)	-1.4%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 9,271.41	\$ 9,181.22	\$ (90.19)	-1.0%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 16,006.61	\$ 15,807.42	\$ (199.19)	-1.2%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 22,741.80	\$ 22,433.61	\$ (308.19)	-1.4%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 29,477.00	\$ 29,059.81	\$ (417.19)	-1.4%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 36,212.20	\$ 35,686.01	\$ (526.19)	-1.5%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 42,947.39	\$ 42,312.20	\$ (635.19)	-1.5%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 89,898.00	\$ 88,996.10	\$ (901.90)	-1.0%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 151,795.46	\$ 149,803.56	\$ (1,991.90)	-1.3%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 213,692.92	\$ 210,611.02	\$ (3,081.90)	-1.4%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 275,590.38	\$ 271,418.48	\$ (4,171.90)	-1.5%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 337,487.85	\$ 332,225.95	\$ (5,261.90)	-1.6%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 399,385.31	\$ 393,033.41	\$ (6,351.90)	-1.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 27,145.94	\$ 26,940.66	\$ (205.27)	-0.8%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 35,803.53	\$ 35,392.98	\$ (410.55)	-1.1%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 44,461.12	\$ 43,845.30	\$ (615.82)	-1.4%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 53,118.71	\$ 52,297.62	\$ (821.09)	-1.5%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 60,865.41	\$ 59,839.04	\$ (1,026.37)	-1.7%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 68,432.10	\$ 67,200.46	\$ (1,231.64)	-1.8%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 262,129.87	\$ 260,077.13	\$ (2,052.73)	-0.8%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 337,796.79	\$ 333,691.32	\$ (4,105.47)	-1.2%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 413,463.72	\$ 407,305.51	\$ (6,158.20)	-1.5%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 489,130.64	\$ 480,919.71	\$ (8,210.94)	-1.7%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 564,797.57	\$ 554,533.90	\$ (10,263.67)	-1.8%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 640,464.49	\$ 628,148.09	\$ (12,316.41)	-1.9%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps)					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.13	\$ 12.10	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
4	2,000	56	\$ 13.68	\$ 13.61	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
5	2,500	70	\$ 14.38	\$ 14.30	\$ (0.08)	-0.6%
6	4,000	126	\$ 17.10	\$ 16.95	\$ (0.15)	-0.9%
7	6,000	157	\$ 18.60	\$ 18.42	\$ (0.18)	-1.0%
8	10,000	242	\$ 22.75	\$ 22.47	\$ (0.28)	-1.2%
9	15,000	282	\$ 24.72	\$ 24.39	\$ (0.33)	-1.3%
10	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
11	1,000	24	\$ 13.11	\$ 13.08	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
12	2,000	56	\$ 14.66	\$ 14.59	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
13	2,500	70	\$ 15.36	\$ 15.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
14	4,000	126	\$ 18.08	\$ 17.93	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
15	6,000	157	\$ 19.58	\$ 19.40	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
16	10,000	242	\$ 23.73	\$ 23.45	\$ (0.28)	-1.2%
17	15,000	282	\$ 25.70	\$ 25.37	\$ (0.33)	-1.3%
18	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
19	1,000	24	\$ 19.31	\$ 19.28	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
20	2,000	56	\$ 20.86	\$ 20.79	\$ (0.07)	-0.3%
21	2,500	70	\$ 21.56	\$ 21.48	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
22	4,000	126	\$ 24.28	\$ 24.13	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
23	6,000	157	\$ 25.78	\$ 25.60	\$ (0.18)	-0.7%
24	10,000	242	\$ 29.93	\$ 29.65	\$ (0.28)	-0.9%
25	15,000	282	\$ 31.90	\$ 31.57	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
26	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
27	1,000	48	\$ 34.61	\$ 34.55	\$ (0.06)	-0.2%
28	2,000	112	\$ 37.71	\$ 37.58	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
29	2,500	140	\$ 39.09	\$ 38.93	\$ (0.16)	-0.4%
30	4,000	252	\$ 44.55	\$ 44.26	\$ (0.29)	-0.7%
31	6,000	314	\$ 47.58	\$ 47.21	\$ (0.37)	-0.8%
32	10,000	484	\$ 55.87	\$ 55.30	\$ (0.57)	-1.0%
33	15,000	564	\$ 59.75	\$ 59.10	\$ (0.65)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
34	Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a)					
35	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
36	6,000	45	\$ 18.99	\$ 18.94	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
37	13,800	94	\$ 21.40	\$ 21.29	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
38	21,800	135	\$ 23.38	\$ 23.22	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
39	43,600	264	\$ 29.68	\$ 29.38	\$ (0.30)	-1.0%
40	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
41	6,000	45	\$ 17.25	\$ 17.20	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
42	13,800	94	\$ 19.66	\$ 19.55	\$ (0.11)	-0.6%
43	21,800	135	\$ 21.64	\$ 21.48	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
44	43,600	264	\$ 27.94	\$ 27.64	\$ (0.30)	-1.1%
45	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
46	6,000	90	\$ 24.98	\$ 24.87	\$ (0.11)	-0.4%
47	13,800	188	\$ 29.75	\$ 29.53	\$ (0.22)	-0.7%
48	21,800	270	\$ 33.75	\$ 33.43	\$ (0.32)	-0.9%
49	43,600	528	\$ 46.36	\$ 45.74	\$ (0.62)	-1.3%
50	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c)					
51	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
52	175	69	\$ 9.36	\$ 9.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.9%
53	250	104	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.52	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
54	400	158	\$ 15.96	\$ 15.77	\$ (0.19)	-1.2%
55	700	287	\$ 27.43	\$ 27.10	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
56	1000	380	\$ 34.41	\$ 33.97	\$ (0.44)	-1.3%
57	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
58	175	69	\$ 11.64	\$ 11.56	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
59	250	104	\$ 13.76	\$ 13.64	\$ (0.12)	-0.9%
60	400	158	\$ 18.66	\$ 18.47	\$ (0.19)	-1.0%
61	700	287	\$ 30.34	\$ 30.01	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
62	1000	380	\$ 37.38	\$ 36.94	\$ (0.44)	-1.2%
63	Underground Service					
64	175	69	\$ 15.36	\$ 15.28	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
65	250	104	\$ 17.60	\$ 17.48	\$ (0.12)	-0.7%
66	400	158	\$ 22.29	\$ 22.10	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
67	700	287	\$ 32.20	\$ 31.87	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
68	1000	380	\$ 39.02	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.44)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
69	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c)					
70	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
71	175	138	\$ 16.90	\$ 16.74	\$ (0.16)	-0.9%
72	400	316	\$ 29.95	\$ 29.58	\$ (0.37)	-1.2%
73	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
74	400	316	\$ 32.61	\$ 32.24	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%
75	Underground Service					
76	250	208	\$ 27.69	\$ 27.45	\$ (0.24)	-0.9%
77	400	316	\$ 35.88	\$ 35.51	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
78	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d)					
79	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
80	100	42	\$ 11.20	\$ 11.15	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
81	150	62	\$ 13.37	\$ 13.30	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
82	200	88	\$ 17.51	\$ 17.41	\$ (0.10)	-0.6%
83	250	105	\$ 15.50	\$ 15.37	\$ (0.13)	-0.8%
84	400	163	\$ 21.54	\$ 21.35	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
85	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
86	100	42	\$ 13.05	\$ 13.00	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
87	150	62	\$ 14.69	\$ 14.62	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
88	200	88	\$ 19.63	\$ 19.53	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
89	250	105	\$ 19.47	\$ 19.34	\$ (0.13)	-0.7%
90	400	163	\$ 24.97	\$ 24.78	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
91	Underground Service					
92	100	42	\$ 16.80	\$ 16.75	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
93	100 (orn.)	42	\$ 28.10	\$ 28.05	\$ (0.05)	-0.2%
94	150	62	\$ 15.70	\$ 15.63	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
95	200	88	\$ 23.58	\$ 23.48	\$ (0.10)	-0.4%
96	250	105	\$ 21.17	\$ 21.04	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
97	250 (dwntwn)	105	\$ 36.19	\$ 36.06	\$ (0.13)	-0.4%
98	400	163	\$ 25.70	\$ 25.51	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
99	400 (dwntwn)	25	\$ 46.77	\$ 46.74	\$ (0.03)	-0.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
100	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d)					
101	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
102	100	84	\$ 21.97	\$ 21.88	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
103	150	124	\$ 24.94	\$ 24.79	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
104	250	210	\$ 31.16	\$ 30.92	\$ (0.24)	-0.8%
105	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
106	100	84	\$ 22.86	\$ 22.77	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
107	150	124	\$ 25.38	\$ 25.23	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
108	250	210	\$ 32.57	\$ 32.33	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
109	Underground Service					
110	100	84	\$ 27.10	\$ 27.01	\$ (0.09)	-0.3%
111	150	124	\$ 32.06	\$ 31.91	\$ (0.15)	-0.5%
112	250	210	\$ 38.82	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.24)	-0.6%
113	400 (davit)	326	\$ 38.96	\$ 38.58	\$ (0.38)	-1.0%
114	Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
115	N/A	25	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.20	\$ (0.03)	-2.4%
116	N/A	50	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.36	\$ (0.06)	-2.5%
117	N/A	75	\$ 4.77	\$ 4.69	\$ (0.08)	-1.7%
118	N/A	100	\$ 7.49	\$ 7.37	\$ (0.12)	-1.6%
119	N/A	125	\$ 9.36	\$ 9.21	\$ (0.15)	-1.6%
120	N/A	150	\$ 13.17	\$ 12.99	\$ (0.18)	-1.4%
121	N/A	175	\$ 15.81	\$ 15.61	\$ (0.20)	-1.3%
122	N/A	200	\$ 20.99	\$ 20.76	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
123	N/A	225	\$ 24.05	\$ 23.79	\$ (0.26)	-1.1%
124	N/A	250	\$ 12.18	\$ 11.89	\$ (0.29)	-2.4%
125	N/A	275	\$ 14.52	\$ 14.20	\$ (0.32)	-2.2%
126	N/A	300	\$ 17.23	\$ 16.88	\$ (0.35)	-2.0%
127	N/A	325	\$ 19.09	\$ 18.71	\$ (0.38)	-2.0%
128	N/A	350	\$ 22.92	\$ 22.51	\$ (0.41)	-1.8%
129	N/A	375	\$ 25.59	\$ 25.16	\$ (0.43)	-1.7%
130	N/A	400	\$ 30.73	\$ 30.27	\$ (0.46)	-1.5%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

1	Mercury Lighting								
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole								
3	175	69	\$	11.77	\$	11.69	\$	(0.08)	-0.7%
4	400	158	\$	30.25	\$	30.06	\$	(0.19)	-0.6%
5	1,000	380	\$	54.98	\$	54.54	\$	(0.44)	-0.8%
6	All Other Installations								
7	175	69	\$	18.36	\$	18.28	\$	(0.08)	-0.4%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting								
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole								
10	200	88	\$	15.97	\$	15.87	\$	(0.10)	-0.6%
11	400	163	\$	28.77	\$	28.58	\$	(0.19)	-0.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Winter Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 10.95	\$ 10.83	\$ (0.12)	-1.1%
2	0	200	\$ 21.89	\$ 21.66	\$ (0.23)	-1.1%
3	0	300	\$ 32.82	\$ 32.47	\$ (0.35)	-1.1%
4	0	400	\$ 43.78	\$ 43.32	\$ (0.46)	-1.1%
5	0	500	\$ 54.74	\$ 54.16	\$ (0.58)	-1.1%
6	0	600	\$ 65.65	\$ 64.95	\$ (0.70)	-1.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Secondary (Rate GS)						
1	10	1,000	\$ 175.96	\$ 176.85	\$ 0.89	0.5%
2	10	2,000	\$ 265.65	\$ 265.37	\$ (0.28)	-0.1%
3	10	3,000	\$ 354.88	\$ 353.44	\$ (1.44)	-0.4%
4	10	4,000	\$ 444.11	\$ 441.51	\$ (2.60)	-0.6%
5	10	5,000	\$ 533.35	\$ 529.59	\$ (3.76)	-0.7%
6	10	6,000	\$ 622.55	\$ 617.63	\$ (4.92)	-0.8%
7	1,000	100,000	\$ 19,685.76	\$ 19,775.20	\$ 89.44	0.5%
8	1,000	200,000	\$ 28,552.55	\$ 28,525.79	\$ (26.76)	-0.1%
9	1,000	300,000	\$ 37,419.35	\$ 37,276.39	\$ (142.96)	-0.4%
10	1,000	400,000	\$ 46,286.14	\$ 46,026.98	\$ (259.16)	-0.6%
11	1,000	500,000	\$ 55,152.94	\$ 54,777.58	\$ (375.36)	-0.7%
12	1,000	600,000	\$ 64,019.74	\$ 63,528.18	\$ (491.56)	-0.8%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Primary (Rate GP)						
1	500	50,000	\$ 6,006.14	\$ 5,983.71	\$ (22.43)	-0.4%
2	500	100,000	\$ 10,088.09	\$ 10,009.56	\$ (78.53)	-0.8%
3	500	150,000	\$ 14,170.04	\$ 14,035.41	\$ (134.63)	-1.0%
4	500	200,000	\$ 18,251.99	\$ 18,061.26	\$ (190.73)	-1.0%
5	500	250,000	\$ 22,333.93	\$ 22,087.10	\$ (246.83)	-1.1%
6	500	300,000	\$ 26,415.88	\$ 26,112.95	\$ (302.93)	-1.1%
7	5,000	500,000	\$ 58,606.23	\$ 58,381.84	\$ (224.39)	-0.4%
8	5,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,514.81	\$ 97,729.42	\$ (785.39)	-0.8%
9	5,000	1,500,000	\$ 136,607.04	\$ 135,260.65	\$ (1,346.39)	-1.0%
10	5,000	2,000,000	\$ 174,699.27	\$ 172,791.88	\$ (1,907.39)	-1.1%
11	5,000	2,500,000	\$ 212,791.50	\$ 210,323.11	\$ (2,468.39)	-1.2%
12	5,000	3,000,000	\$ 250,883.73	\$ 247,854.34	\$ (3,029.39)	-1.2%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)						
1	1,000	100,000	\$ 10,129.61	\$ 10,039.42	\$ (90.19)	-0.9%
2	1,000	200,000	\$ 17,723.01	\$ 17,523.82	\$ (199.19)	-1.1%
3	1,000	300,000	\$ 25,316.40	\$ 25,008.21	\$ (308.19)	-1.2%
4	1,000	400,000	\$ 32,909.80	\$ 32,492.61	\$ (417.19)	-1.3%
5	1,000	500,000	\$ 40,503.20	\$ 39,977.01	\$ (526.19)	-1.3%
6	1,000	600,000	\$ 48,096.59	\$ 47,461.40	\$ (635.19)	-1.3%
7	10,000	1,000,000	\$ 98,480.00	\$ 97,578.10	\$ (901.90)	-0.9%
8	10,000	2,000,000	\$ 168,959.46	\$ 166,967.56	\$ (1,991.90)	-1.2%
9	10,000	3,000,000	\$ 239,438.92	\$ 236,357.02	\$ (3,081.90)	-1.3%
10	10,000	4,000,000	\$ 309,918.38	\$ 305,746.48	\$ (4,171.90)	-1.3%
11	10,000	5,000,000	\$ 380,397.85	\$ 375,135.95	\$ (5,261.90)	-1.4%
12	10,000	6,000,000	\$ 450,877.31	\$ 444,525.41	\$ (6,351.90)	-1.4%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
General Service Transmission (Rate GT)						
1	2,000	200,000	\$ 28,860.54	\$ 28,655.26	\$ (205.27)	-0.7%
2	2,000	400,000	\$ 39,232.73	\$ 38,822.18	\$ (410.55)	-1.0%
3	2,000	600,000	\$ 49,604.92	\$ 48,989.10	\$ (615.82)	-1.2%
4	2,000	800,000	\$ 59,977.11	\$ 59,156.02	\$ (821.09)	-1.4%
5	2,000	1,000,000	\$ 69,438.41	\$ 68,412.04	\$ (1,026.37)	-1.5%
6	2,000	1,200,000	\$ 78,719.70	\$ 77,488.06	\$ (1,231.64)	-1.6%
7	20,000	2,000,000	\$ 279,275.87	\$ 277,223.13	\$ (2,052.73)	-0.7%
8	20,000	4,000,000	\$ 372,088.79	\$ 367,983.32	\$ (4,105.47)	-1.1%
9	20,000	6,000,000	\$ 464,901.72	\$ 458,743.51	\$ (6,158.20)	-1.3%
10	20,000	8,000,000	\$ 557,714.64	\$ 549,503.71	\$ (8,210.94)	-1.5%
11	20,000	10,000,000	\$ 650,527.57	\$ 640,263.90	\$ (10,263.67)	-1.6%
12	20,000	12,000,000	\$ 743,340.49	\$ 731,024.09	\$ (12,316.41)	-1.7%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
1	Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a)					
2	Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps)					
3	1,000	24	\$ 12.35	\$ 12.32	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
4	2,000	56	\$ 14.19	\$ 14.12	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
5	2,500	70	\$ 15.02	\$ 14.94	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
6	4,000	126	\$ 18.25	\$ 18.10	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
7	6,000	157	\$ 20.04	\$ 19.86	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
8	10,000	242	\$ 24.97	\$ 24.69	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
9	15,000	282	\$ 27.30	\$ 26.97	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
10	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
11	1,000	24	\$ 13.33	\$ 13.30	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
12	2,000	56	\$ 15.17	\$ 15.10	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
13	2,500	70	\$ 16.00	\$ 15.92	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
14	4,000	126	\$ 19.23	\$ 19.08	\$ (0.15)	-0.8%
15	6,000	157	\$ 21.02	\$ 20.84	\$ (0.18)	-0.9%
16	10,000	242	\$ 25.95	\$ 25.67	\$ (0.28)	-1.1%
17	15,000	282	\$ 28.28	\$ 27.95	\$ (0.33)	-1.2%
18	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
19	1,000	24	\$ 19.53	\$ 19.50	\$ (0.03)	-0.2%
20	2,000	56	\$ 21.37	\$ 21.30	\$ (0.07)	-0.3%
21	2,500	70	\$ 22.20	\$ 22.12	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
22	4,000	126	\$ 25.43	\$ 25.28	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
23	6,000	157	\$ 27.22	\$ 27.04	\$ (0.18)	-0.7%
24	10,000	242	\$ 32.15	\$ 31.87	\$ (0.28)	-0.9%
25	15,000	282	\$ 34.48	\$ 34.15	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
26	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
27	1,000	48	\$ 35.04	\$ 34.98	\$ (0.06)	-0.2%
28	2,000	112	\$ 38.74	\$ 38.61	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
29	2,500	140	\$ 40.37	\$ 40.21	\$ (0.16)	-0.4%
30	4,000	252	\$ 46.86	\$ 46.57	\$ (0.29)	-0.6%
31	6,000	314	\$ 50.46	\$ 50.09	\$ (0.37)	-0.7%
32	10,000	484	\$ 60.30	\$ 59.73	\$ (0.57)	-0.9%
33	15,000	564	\$ 64.91	\$ 64.26	\$ (0.65)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
34	Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a)					
35	Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps)					
36	6,000	45	\$ 19.40	\$ 19.35	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
37	13,800	94	\$ 22.26	\$ 22.15	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
38	21,800	135	\$ 24.62	\$ 24.46	\$ (0.16)	-0.6%
39	43,600	264	\$ 32.10	\$ 31.80	\$ (0.30)	-0.9%
40	Underground Service (Single lamps)					
41	6,000	45	\$ 17.66	\$ 17.61	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
42	13,800	94	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.41	\$ (0.11)	-0.5%
43	21,800	135	\$ 22.88	\$ 22.72	\$ (0.16)	-0.7%
44	43,600	264	\$ 30.36	\$ 30.06	\$ (0.30)	-1.0%
45	Underground Service (Dual lamps)					
46	6,000	90	\$ 25.80	\$ 25.69	\$ (0.11)	-0.4%
47	13,800	188	\$ 31.47	\$ 31.25	\$ (0.22)	-0.7%
48	21,800	270	\$ 36.22	\$ 35.90	\$ (0.32)	-0.9%
49	43,600	528	\$ 51.19	\$ 50.57	\$ (0.62)	-1.2%
50	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c)					
51	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
52	175	69	\$ 9.99	\$ 9.91	\$ (0.08)	-0.8%
53	250	104	\$ 12.59	\$ 12.47	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
54	400	158	\$ 17.41	\$ 17.22	\$ (0.19)	-1.1%
55	700	287	\$ 30.06	\$ 29.73	\$ (0.33)	-1.1%
56	1000	380	\$ 37.88	\$ 37.44	\$ (0.44)	-1.2%
57	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
58	175	69	\$ 12.27	\$ 12.19	\$ (0.08)	-0.7%
59	250	104	\$ 14.71	\$ 14.59	\$ (0.12)	-0.8%
60	400	158	\$ 20.11	\$ 19.92	\$ (0.19)	-0.9%
61	700	287	\$ 32.97	\$ 32.64	\$ (0.33)	-1.0%
62	1000	380	\$ 40.85	\$ 40.41	\$ (0.44)	-1.1%
63	Underground Service					
64	175	69	\$ 15.99	\$ 15.91	\$ (0.08)	-0.5%
65	250	104	\$ 18.55	\$ 18.43	\$ (0.12)	-0.6%
66	400	158	\$ 23.74	\$ 23.55	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
67	700	287	\$ 34.83	\$ 34.50	\$ (0.33)	-0.9%
68	1000	380	\$ 42.49	\$ 42.05	\$ (0.44)	-1.0%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
69	Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c)					
70	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
71	175	138	\$ 18.16	\$ 18.00	\$ (0.16)	-0.9%
72	400	316	\$ 32.84	\$ 32.47	\$ (0.37)	-1.1%
73	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
74	400	316	\$ 35.50	\$ 35.13	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
75	Underground Service					
76	250	208	\$ 29.59	\$ 29.35	\$ (0.24)	-0.8%
77	400	316	\$ 38.77	\$ 38.40	\$ (0.37)	-1.0%
78	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d)					
79	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
80	100	42	\$ 11.58	\$ 11.53	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
81	150	62	\$ 13.94	\$ 13.87	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
82	200	88	\$ 18.32	\$ 18.22	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
83	250	105	\$ 16.46	\$ 16.33	\$ (0.13)	-0.8%
84	400	163	\$ 23.03	\$ 22.84	\$ (0.19)	-0.8%
85	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
86	100	42	\$ 13.43	\$ 13.38	\$ (0.05)	-0.4%
87	150	62	\$ 15.26	\$ 15.19	\$ (0.07)	-0.5%
88	200	88	\$ 20.44	\$ 20.34	\$ (0.10)	-0.5%
89	250	105	\$ 20.43	\$ 20.30	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
90	400	163	\$ 26.46	\$ 26.27	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
91	Underground Service					
92	100	42	\$ 17.18	\$ 17.13	\$ (0.05)	-0.3%
93	100 (orn.)	42	\$ 28.48	\$ 28.43	\$ (0.05)	-0.2%
94	150	62	\$ 16.27	\$ 16.20	\$ (0.07)	-0.4%
95	200	88	\$ 24.39	\$ 24.29	\$ (0.10)	-0.4%
96	250	105	\$ 22.13	\$ 22.00	\$ (0.13)	-0.6%
97	250 (dwntwn)	105	\$ 37.15	\$ 37.02	\$ (0.13)	-0.3%
98	400	163	\$ 27.19	\$ 27.00	\$ (0.19)	-0.7%
99	400 (dwntwn)	25	\$ 46.99	\$ 46.96	\$ (0.03)	-0.1%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)						
100	Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d)					
101	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
102	100	84	\$ 22.74	\$ 22.65	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
103	150	124	\$ 26.07	\$ 25.92	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
104	250	210	\$ 33.08	\$ 32.84	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
105	Overhead Service - Metal Pole					
106	100	84	\$ 23.63	\$ 23.54	\$ (0.09)	-0.4%
107	150	124	\$ 26.51	\$ 26.36	\$ (0.15)	-0.6%
108	250	210	\$ 34.49	\$ 34.25	\$ (0.24)	-0.7%
109	Underground Service					
110	100	84	\$ 27.87	\$ 27.78	\$ (0.09)	-0.3%
111	150	124	\$ 33.19	\$ 33.04	\$ (0.15)	-0.5%
112	250	210	\$ 40.74	\$ 40.50	\$ (0.24)	-0.6%
113	400 (davit)	326	\$ 41.94	\$ 41.56	\$ (0.38)	-0.9%
114	Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types					
115	N/A	25	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.42	\$ (0.03)	-2.1%
116	N/A	50	\$ 2.88	\$ 2.82	\$ (0.06)	-2.1%
117	N/A	75	\$ 5.45	\$ 5.37	\$ (0.08)	-1.5%
118	N/A	100	\$ 8.41	\$ 8.29	\$ (0.12)	-1.4%
119	N/A	125	\$ 10.50	\$ 10.35	\$ (0.15)	-1.4%
120	N/A	150	\$ 14.55	\$ 14.37	\$ (0.18)	-1.2%
121	N/A	175	\$ 17.41	\$ 17.21	\$ (0.20)	-1.1%
122	N/A	200	\$ 22.82	\$ 22.59	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
123	N/A	225	\$ 26.11	\$ 25.85	\$ (0.26)	-1.0%
124	N/A	250	\$ 14.46	\$ 14.17	\$ (0.29)	-2.0%
125	N/A	275	\$ 17.04	\$ 16.72	\$ (0.32)	-1.9%
126	N/A	300	\$ 19.97	\$ 19.62	\$ (0.35)	-1.8%
127	N/A	325	\$ 22.07	\$ 21.69	\$ (0.38)	-1.7%
128	N/A	350	\$ 26.12	\$ 25.71	\$ (0.41)	-1.6%
129	N/A	375	\$ 29.02	\$ 28.59	\$ (0.43)	-1.5%
130	N/A	400	\$ 34.39	\$ 33.93	\$ (0.46)	-1.3%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

1	Mercury Lighting					
2	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
3	175	69	\$ 13.22	\$ 13.15	\$ (0.08)	-0.6%
4	400	158	\$ 33.60	\$ 33.41	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%
5	1,000	380	\$ 63.01	\$ 62.57	\$ (0.44)	-0.7%
6	All Other Installations					
7	175	69	\$ 19.81	\$ 19.74	\$ (0.08)	-0.4%
8	High Pressure Sodium Lighting					
9	Overhead Service - Wood Pole					
10	200	88	\$ 17.84	\$ 17.74	\$ (0.10)	-0.6%
11	400	163	\$ 32.21	\$ 32.02	\$ (0.19)	-0.6%

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 12-1230-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (Existing ESP 2 vs. Year 2 of Proposed ESP 3)

Bill Data						
Line No.	Level of Demand (kW) (A)	Level of Usage (kWH) (B)	Current Summer Bill (\$) (C)	Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D)	Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E)	Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F)
Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)						
1	0	100	\$ 11.87	\$ 11.75	\$ (0.12)	-1.0%
2	0	200	\$ 23.72	\$ 23.49	\$ (0.23)	-1.0%
3	0	300	\$ 35.56	\$ 35.21	\$ (0.35)	-1.0%
4	0	400	\$ 47.44	\$ 46.98	\$ (0.46)	-1.0%
5	0	500	\$ 59.31	\$ 58.73	\$ (0.58)	-1.0%
6	0	600	\$ 71.14	\$ 70.44	\$ (0.70)	-1.0%

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/2/2012 5:22:06 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1230-EL-SSO

Summary: Text Supplemental Informational Filing electronically filed by Ms. Carrie M Dunn on behalf of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Ohio Edison Company and The Toledo Edison Company