BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

MATERIAL SCIENCE CORPORATION,)	
Complainant,)	Case No. 12-919-EL-CSS
v.)	
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY,)	
Respondent.)	

MOTION TO CONTINUE AND HOLD IN ABEYANCE

Pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-13(A) and 4901-1-12(A), The Toledo Edison Company ("TE") moves to continue and hold this proceeding in abeyance. After extensive negotiations, the Parties have come to a settlement in principle that is dependent upon the disposition of TE's Electric Security Plan case. Reasons for granting this motion are set forth in the accompanying memorandum in support.

Dated: April 13, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa L. Thompson

Mark A. Whitt (Counsel of Record)

Andrew J. Campbell Melissa L. Thompson

WHITT STURTEVANT LLP

PNC Plaza, Suite 2020

155 East Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 224-3911

Facsimile: (614) 224-3960

whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com

campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com

thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

MATERIAL SCIENCE CORPORATION,)	
Complainant,) Case No. 12-919-EL-C	SS
v.)	
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY,)	
Respondent.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CONTINUE AND HOLD IN ABEYANCE

Material Sciences Corporation ("MSC") filed its Complaint on March 12, 2012. The Toledo Edison Company ("TE") filed a Motion to Extend Time to file its Answer on April 2, 2012, which was granted by Entry on April 3, 2012. Since this time, TE and MSC have reached a settlement in principle of the claims raised in this proceeding.

Good cause exists to grant TE's motion pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-13(A) and 4901-1-12(A). The Parties began negotiating a settlement to this proceeding after MSC filed its complaint. After extensive negotiations, the Parties resolved all of the issues raised in MSC's complaint. As a condition of settlement, certain items contained in TE's Electric Security Plan ("ESP") proceeding must be approved. As such, the Parties request an indefinite continuance and that the Commission hold this case in abeyance until the disposition of TE's ESP Proceeding. Today, TE filed its Application for authority to establish an Standard Service Offer in the form of an ESP. This Application is supported by a Stipulation and Recommendation, of which MSC is a signatory party. MSC does not oppose holding this case in abeyance until the Commission rules upon TE's ESP proceeding.

WHEREFORE, The Toledo Edison Company respectfully requests to continue and hold this case in abeyance until TE's ESP proceeding is disposed.

Dated: April 13, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa L. Thompson

Mark A. Whitt (Counsel of Record)

Andrew J. Campbell

Melissa L. Thompson

WHITT STURTEVANT LLP

PNC Plaza, Suite 2020

155 East Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 224-3911

Facsimile: (614) 224-3960

whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com

campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com

1

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion to Continue and Hold in Abeyance and Memorandum in Support was served by electronic mail this 13th day of April, 2012, to the following:

Craig I. Smith Attorney at Law 15700 Van Aken Blvd., Suite 26 Cleveland, Ohio 44120 wis29@yahoo.com

Attorney for Complainant Material Science Corporation

/s/ Melissa L. Thompson

One of the Attorneys for Respondent The Toledo Edison Company This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/13/2012 5:28:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0919-EL-CSS

Summary: Motion to Continue and Hold in Abeyance electronically filed by Ms. Melissa L. Thompson on behalf of The Toledo Edison Company