BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority.)	

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO'S, FIRST ENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.'S AND APPLALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA OHIO POWER COMPANY'S REQUESTS FOR WAIVERS

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: 614-469-8000 Telecopier: 614-469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

ON BEHALF OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017 aehaedt@jonesday.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to) Case No. 11-346-EL-SS	O
Establish a Standard Service Offer) Case No. 11-348-EL-SS	O
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and) Case No. 11-349-EL-AA	M
Ohio Power Company for Approval of) Case No. 11-350-EL-AA	M
Certain Accounting Authority.)	

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO'S, FIRST ENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.'S

AND APPLALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK'S

MEMORANDUM CONTRA OHIO POWER COMPANY'S REQUESTS FOR WAIVERS

I. INTRODUCTION

On March 30, 2012, Ohio Power Company ("OP") filed an Application ("March 30 Application") to establish an electric security plan ("ESP"). Contained in OP's March 30 Application are three different waiver requests from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("Commission") rules: (1) a waiver, as it pertains to the Turning Point Solar project and the Generation Resource Rider ("GRR"), of Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(3), Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), which requires an ESP application to include projected rate impacts by customer class/rate schedule for the duration of the ESP; (2) a waiver of Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(b), O.A.C., which requires an ESP application to include certain information pertaining to the generating facility for which the electric distribution ("EDU") non-bypassable surcharge under utility is seeking а Sections 4928.143(B)(2)(b)-(c), Revised Code; and (3) a waiver of any other Commission rule that its March 30 Application fails to comply with.

Although the Commission may grant a waiver of its rules for good cause, OP has failed to provide <u>any</u> legitimate rationale why the Commission should grant the waiver requests. Because OP has failed to offer any legitimate reason for the waivers, the Commission should reject OP's requests and require it to supplement the March 30 Application.¹

II. ARGUMENT

A. OP Has Failed to Offer Any Legitimate Reason Why the Commission Should Grant OP's Waiver Requests.

The Commission should deny all three of OP's waiver requests because OP has failed to demonstrate good cause as to why the Commission should grant the waivers. Rule 4901:1-35-02, O.A.C., provides that "[t]he commission may, upon an application or a motion filed by a party, waive any requirement of this chapter, other than a requirement mandated by statute, *for good cause shown*." (Emphasis added.) Initially, OP claims that the "SSO filing requirements do not apply" to the March 30 Application. The Commission, however, lacks the statutory authority to approve a standard service offer ("SSO") pursuant to Section 4928.141, Revised Code, if it has not been presented with an application to establish a market rate offer ("MRO") under Section 4928.142, Revised Code, or an application to establish an ESP under Section 4928.143, Revised Code. Additionally, the Commission's standard filing requirements apply to all applications to establish an SSO.

Regardless of whether the Commission adopts OP's argument that the March 30 Application is not a new ESP application, there is also no reason why an amendment to

¹ The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") supports but does not join this memorandum contra.

an existing ESP should not have to comply with the standard filing requirements. The standard filing requirements require necessary and essential information to be filed along with an SSO application. This information allows all parties an ability to thoroughly review the filing and provides a certain degree of transparency to the application.

The rules that require that the applicant provide this information are rooted in sound regulatory policy. Transparency and openness are fundamental cornerstones of the Commission's obligation to the public it serves.² By seeking waivers of the filing requirements under Rule 4901:1-35-03, O.A.C., however, OP is again attempting to hide the true costs and impacts that the March 30 Application, in general, and the Turning Point Solar project, in particular, will have on customers.³ This attempt to avoid

[.]

² See In the Matter of the Commission's Review of Chapters 4901:1-9,4901:1-10,4901:1-21, 4901:1-22, 4901:1-23, 4901:1-24, and 4901:1-25 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 06-653-EL-ORD, Finding and Order at 26 (November 5, 2008) ("The Commission believes that the proposed rules, as modified herein, provide for regulations, standards, and enforcement of those regulations and standards that will provide for a transparent and public process, which should result in more accountability as well as greater reliability of the electric utilities' distribution systems."); In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, et al., Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 25 (December 14, 2011) (enough facts existed to demonstrate settlement discussions were open and transparent supporting a finding that the Stipulation was in the public interest); Section 4905.17, Revised Code (Commission records are open to the public); see also In the Matter of the Regulation of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause Contained Within the Rate Schedules of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., and Related Matters, Case Nos. 05-221-GA-GCR, et al., Opinion and Order, Concurring Opinion of Commissioner Paul A. Centolella at 2 (January 23, 2008) ("Parties before this Commission have a responsibility to promote openness, transparency, and public confidence in the regulatory process."); Rule 4901:1-35-08, O.A.C. (competitive bid solicitation process should be open, fair, and transparent).

³ OP has demonstrated a consistent desire to delay and prevent any analysis regarding the impact of the Turning Point Solar project. In its first attempt to establish an ESP in this proceeding, OP took the position that it need not provide financial information regarding the project. Application at 11 (January 27, 2011). Once that position was ultimately rebuffed, OP then took the position that the impact of the project should not be reviewed in this proceeding (a position rejected by Staff and the Commission). See Opinion and Order at 30 (December 14, 2011) (OP failed to include the costs of the Turning Point Solar project in the ESP v. MRO test). Again, in OP's long-term forecast report ("LTFR") proceeding, Case Nos. 10-501-EL-FOR, et al., OP stated that the LFTR proceeding was not the appropriate place to review the financial impact of the project. In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Ohio Power Company and Related Matters, Case Nos. 10-501-EL-FOR, et al., Joint Motion to Strike Portions of the {C37274:2}

disclosure follows the recent uproar regarding the process that culminated in approval of the Stipulation, ultimately rejected by the Commission. That process drew great criticism for its lack of transparency and its failure to properly notify customers of the potential rate increases they would face from the ESP. Chairman Snitchler's acknowledgment of this flaw was highlighted in the Commission's press release following its rejection of the Stipulation ESP:

"Our decision effectively hits the reset button on AEP's electric security plan, allows us to start over from the beginning, ensure that we have a complete picture of any proposal, and balance the interests of all customers and the utility," Chairman Todd A. Snitchler stated. "Ohio remains committed to continuing down the path towards fully competitive markets."

. . .

The Commission also acknowledges that small businesses and residential customers were negatively impacted by the order approved last December. Bills for certain customers significantly exceeded what was expected based on the record in the case.

"The evidence in the record inadvertently <u>failed to present a full and accurate record of the actual bill impacts to be felt by customers</u>," Snitchler continued. "This is particularly true with respect to low load factor customers who have high electricity demand for short periods and low usage the rest of the time." (Emphasis added.)⁴

The Commission has been sensitized to the necessity of transparency in this proceeding. Requiring OP to comply with the filing requirements will allow the Commission, Staff, and all interested parties the time necessary to properly review OP's

{C37274:2}

Testimony of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. witness Jonathan Lesser and Memorandum in Support of Signatory Parties at 1-2 (March 27, 2012) ("In short, FES's testimony seeks to challenge the establishment of a nonbypassable surcharge that is not being established in this case and therefore is beyond the scope of this proceeding and premature until such surcharge is sought to be established."). Finally, in its March 30 Application filed in this proceeding, OP has again attempted to prevent a financial review of the impact of the Turning Point Solar project. Application at 15-16 (March 30, 2012).

⁴ PUCO Press Release, *PUCO revokes AEP-Ohio electric security plan settlement agreement* (February 23, 2012).

claims. OP has not demonstrated any reason why it should not supply the most up-to-date information. In the spirit of and requirements for fairness and transparency, and in accordance with Commission rules, the Commission should deny OP's waiver requests and require OP to update the March 30 Application with the required information.

Rejecting the waiver requests would be the first step in fulfilling Chairman Snitchler's goal to "ensure that we have a complete picture of any proposal."

B. The Information Regarding Costs and Customer Impacts of the Turning Point Solar Project is Relevant and Necessary to Properly Analyzing an ESP and Conducting the Statutorily Required ESP v. MRO Test.

As noted previously, OP has failed to provide any demonstration of "good cause" for waiving the filing requirements. Moreover, the Commission has already identified the need for OP to provide full disclosure in its prior decisions in this case.

First, it is clear that the Commission should be fully apprised of the rate impacts of the March 30 Application before it takes any action on the application. As the Commission previously determined, the rate impacts of the ESP can be dramatic.⁵ Without a full understanding of those impacts, the parties will not be able to properly analyze individual effects of the proposal, leading to a repeat of the unfortunate results customers faced in early 2012.

Second, the Commission has already determined the need for information regarding recovery of plant addition, *i.e.* the Turning Point Solar project, required by Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(b), O.A.C. In its presentation to the Commission, OP attempted to exclude the effects of the Turning Point Solar project in its application of the ESP v. MRO test. In its December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order, however, the

{C37274:2}

⁵ See Entry on Rehearing at 11 (February 23, 2012).

Commission determined that the Turning Point Solar project's rate effects were relevant to the application of the statutory test that the ESP must satisfy under Section 4928.143(C)(1), Revised Code. The Commission found that OP witness Thomas had improperly excluded the costs associated with the Turning Point Solar project from the calculation of the ESP v. MRO test, and categorized the error as a "material flaw[]." The Commission, instead, agreed with Staff witness Fortney who testified that it was "reasonable to include an estimated charge for the GRR, as AEP-Ohio has produced a revenue requirement for the Turning Point project." Ultimately, the Commission relied on Staff witness Fortney's calculation of the ESP v. MRO test, as corrected by FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES"), which properly included the costs associated with the Turning Point Solar project on the ESP side of the equation.

In analyzing the March 30 Application, the Commission will once again address the propriety of establishing a recovery mechanism for plant additions and the effect of those additions on the statutory test the ESP must pass before the Commission may approve it. OP is seeking approval of the GRR (again),⁸ but has failed to include the effects of the Turning Point Solar project in its ESP v. MRO review (again).⁹ Because OP is seeking the GRR and the Commission must review the ESP "in the aggregate," OP must provide updated information regarding the Turning Point Solar project in compliance with the Commission's filing requirements.

⁶ Opinion and Order at 30 (December 14, 2011).

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ March 30 Application at 8-9.

⁹ *Id.*, Testimony of Laura Thomas at 8.

Because the rate impact due to the Turning Point Solar project as well as other project-specific information is necessary for a proper review of the March 30 Application, the Commission should not grant the specific waiver requests that OP has made. OP has failed to make the necessary demonstration of good cause to waive the rules, and recent experience demonstrates that these waivers are not warranted.

C. OP's Request for a General Waiver of Unspecified Rules Has Already Been Rejected by the Commission in This Proceeding and Should Again be Rejected.

The Commission has already rejected OP's request for a general waiver of unspecified rules in this proceeding and should again reject OP's request. In its March 23, 2011 Entry, the Commission stated:

As to AEP-Ohio's request for a general waiver of any other filing requirement found in Chapter 4901:1-35, O.A.C., to the extent that the relief requested in AEP-Ohio's application requires such a waiver, the attorney examiner finds that AEP-Ohio has failed to make a demonstration of good cause for the request and such request is unsupported. Thus, the request should be denied.¹⁰

Here, OP has again failed to offer any legitimate reason for the Commission to waive the unspecified rules. Therefore, the Commission should again reject OP's general waiver request.

III. CONCLUSION

As discussed above, OP has failed to demonstrate good cause exists to waive the Commission's standard filing requirements and other Commission rules that conflict with OP's March 30 Application. The information OP seeks to suppress is relevant to properly conducting the ESP v. MRO test and is crucial to analyzing the rate impact

{C37274:2}

¹⁰ Entry at 3 (March 23, 2011).

customers will face from OP's proposal. If OP's waiver requests are granted, the Commission will again be presented an incomplete picture of the actual impact on customers; exactly what the Commission sought to prevent the second time around.¹¹

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Samuel C. Randazzo

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: 614-469-8000 Telecopier: 614-469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

ON BEHALF OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

/s/ Mark A. Hayden

Mark A. Hayden
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 ilang@calfee.com

/s/ Michael R. Smalz

Joseph V. Maskovyak
Ohio Poverty Law Center
555 Buttles Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215
msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org
jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK

¹¹ PUCO Press Release, *PUCO revokes AEP-Ohio electric security plan settlement agreement* (February 23, 2012). {C37274:2}

Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017 aehaedt@jonesday.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Industrial Energy Users-Ohio's,*FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.'s and Appalachian Peace and Justice Network's
Memorandum Contra Ohio Power Company's Requests for Waivers was served upon
the following parties of record this 10th day of April 2012, via electronic transmission,
hand-delivery or first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard Matthew R. Pritchard

Matthew J. Satterwhite
Steven T. Nourse
Anne M. Vogel
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
mjsatterwhite@aep.com
stnourse@aep.com
amvogel@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
Huntington Center
41 S. High Street
Columbus, OH 43215
dconway@porterwright.com

ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Dorothy K. Corbett
Amy Spiller
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

Philip B. Sineneng
Terrance A. Mebane
THOMPSON HINE LLP
41 S. High St., Suite 1700
Columbus, OH 43215
Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com
Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY RETAIL SALES, LLC

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF ENERNOC, INC.

Terry L. Etter
Maureen R. Grady
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
etter@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh.us
small@occ.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Richard L. Sites
General Counsel & Senior Director of
Health Policy
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien BRICKER & ECKLER, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com

OH BEHALF OF OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

Mark S. Yurick
Zachary D. Kravitz
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
zkravitz@cwslaw.com

ON BEHALF OF THE KROGER CO.

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF PAULDING WIND FARM II LLC

Lisa G. McAlister
Matthew W. Warnock
Thomas O'Brien
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
Imcalister@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com
tobrien@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF OMA ENERGY GROUP

Jay E. Jadwin
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
jejadwin@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF AEP RETAIL ENERGY PARTNERS LLC

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43215-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP AND THE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, MI 48864 laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP

M. Howard Petricoff
Michael Settineri
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43215-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Joel Malina
Executive Director
COMPLETE Coalition
1317 F Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20004
malina@wexlerwalker.com

ON BEHALF OF THE COMPETE COALITION

Henry W. Eckhart 1200 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

Christopher J. Allwein Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 callwein@williamsandmoser.com

ON BEHALF OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL AND THE SIERRA CLUB

Gary A Jeffries
Assistant General Counsel
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817
Gary.A.Jeffries@aol.com

ON BEHALF OF DOMINION RETAIL, INC.

M. Howard Petricoff
Michael J. Settineri
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC., CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC., DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

David I. Fein
Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
Cynthia Fonner Brady
Senior Counsel
Constellation Energy Resources LLC
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661
david.fein@constellation.com
cynthia.brady@constellation.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC. AND CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.

Pamela A. Fox
C. Todd Jones,
Christopher L. Miller,
Gregory H. Dunn
Asim Z. Haque
Schottenstein Zox and Dunn Co., LPA
250 West Street
Columbus, OH 43215
pfox@hillardohio.gov
cmiller@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
ahaque@szd.com

ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF HILLIARD, OHIO, THE CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO AND THE ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO

Sandy I-ru Grace
Assistant General Counsel
Exelon Business Services Company
101 Constitution Ave., NW
Suite 400 East
Washington, DC 20001
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

David M. Stahl
Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
dstahl@eimerstahl.com

ON BEHALF OF EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

Kenneth P. Kreider
David A. Meyer
Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL
One East Fourth Street
Suite 1400
Cincinnati, OH 45202
kpkreider@kmklaw.com
dmeyer@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss Manager, State Rate Proceedings Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Stephen.Chriss@wal-mart.com

ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.

Barth E. Royer (Counsel of Record)
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-3927
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org

ON BEHALF OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Nolan Moser
Trent A. Dougherty
Camille Yancy
Cathryn Loucas
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212-3449
nolan@theoec.org
trent@theoec.org
camille@theoec.org
cathy@theoec.org.

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL

Douglas G. Bonner
Emma F. Hand
Keith C. Nusbaum
Clinton A. Vince
Daniel D. Barnowski
SNR Denton US LLP
1301 K Street NW
Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005
doug.bonner@snrdenton.com
emma.hand@snrdenton.com
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com
clinton.vince@snrdenton.com
daniel.barnowski@snrdenton.con

ON BEHALF OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION

Jay L. Kooper Katherine Guerry Hess Corporation One Hess Plaza Woodbridge, NJ 07095 jkooper@hess.com kguerry@hess.com

ON BEHALF OF HESS CORPORATION

Allen Freifeld
Samuel A. Wolfe
Viridity Energy, Inc.
100 West Elm Street, Suite 410
Conshohocken, PA 19428
afreifeld@viridityenergy.com
swolfe@viridityenergy.com

Jacqueline Lake Roberts, Counsel of Record 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 jroberts@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF CPOWER, INC., VIRIDITY ENERGY, INC., ENERGY CONNECT INC., COMVERGE INC., ENERWISE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND ENERGY CURTAILMENT SPECIALISTS, INC.

Robert Korandovich KOREnergy P.O. Box 148 Sunbury, OH 43074 korenergy@insight.rr.com

ON BEHALF OF KORENERGY

Benita Kahn Lija Kaleps-Clark Vorys Sater, Seymour and Pease LLC 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 bakahn@vorys.com lkalepsclark@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF OHIO CABLE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

Mark A. Whitt
Melissa L. Thompson
Whitt Sturtevant LLP
PNC Plaza, Suite 2020
155 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215
whit@whitt-sturtevant.com
thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com

Vincent Parisi Matthew White Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016 vparisi@igsenergy.com mswhite@igsenergy.com

ON BEHALF OF INTERSTATE GAS SUPPLY, INC.

Dane Stinson
BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, OH 43215

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL BUSINESS OFFICIALS, THE OHIO SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION, THE OHIO SCHOOLS COUNCIL AND THE BUCKEYE ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS

Chad A. Endsley
Chief Legal Counsel
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
280 North High Street, P.O. Box 182383
Columbus, OH 43218-2383
cendsley@ofbf.org.

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

Brian P. Barger Brady, Coyle & Schmidt, LTD 4052 Holland-Sylvania Rd. Toledo, OH 43623 bpbarger@bcslawyers.com

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS COALITION

Diem N. Kaelber Robert J Walter 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 kaelber@buckleyking.com walter@buckleyking.com

ON BEHALF OF OHIO RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION

William Wright
Werner Margard
Thomas Lindgren
John H. Jones
Assistant Attorneys' General
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us
werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us
thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us
william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Greta See
Jon Tauber
Attorney Examiner
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

ATTORNEY EXAMINERS

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/10/2012 3:14:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion Industrial Energy Users-Ohio's, FirstEnergy Solutions Corp's and Appalachian Peace and Justice Network's Joint Memorandum Contra Ohio Power's Requests for Waivers electronically filed by Ms. Vicki L. Leach-Payne on behalf of Darr, Frank P. Mr.