```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
     In the Matter of the
    Application of Ohio
    American Water Company : Case No. 11-4161-WS-AIR
4
    to Increase its Rates for :
5
    Water and Sewer Service. :
6
7
                          PROCEEDINGS
8
    before Mr. Steve Lesser, Commissioner, and Ms. Katie
9
    Stenman, Hearing Examiner, at Marion City Council
10
    Chambers, 233 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio,
11
    called at 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 21, 2012.
12
13
                         PUBLIC HEARING
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
23
                     Columbus, Ohio 43215
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
24
25
```

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Whitt Sturtevant, LLP
            By Ms. Melissa Thompson
            PNC Plaza, 20th Floor
 3
            155 East Broad Street
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
            Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel
 6
            By Ms. Kyle Kern
            Assistant Consumers' Counsel
 7
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
 9
                 On behalf of the Residential Customers
                 of Ohio American Water Company.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

,				3
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESSES		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
4	Ken Lengieza		8	
5	Steve Chaffin		9	
6	Irene Fulton		11	
7	Josh Daniels		12	
8	Mary Waxler		15	
9	Ayers Ratliff		18	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Wednesday Evening Session, March 21, 2012.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Let's go on the record. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place case number 11-4161-WS-AIR which is captioned In the Matter of the Application of Ohio American Water Company to Increase its Rates for Water and Sewer Service.

My name is Katie Stenman, and I am one of the attorney examiners assigned by the Commission to preside over this hearing. Also presiding with me tonight is Commissioner Steve Lesser, in addition Lee Garry from our Service Monitoring and Enforcement Division is with us, as well as Jill Kocher from our Public Affairs Department.

At this time I'd like to begin by taking appearances of any of the parties that are present starting with the company.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. My name is Melissa Thompson, I'm here on behalf of Ohio American Water Company, with the firm Whitt Sturtevant, LLP, 155 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. Thank you very much.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

2.2

MS. KERN: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the residential customers of Ohio American Water Company, the office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215, I'm Kyle Kern, Assistant Consumers' Counsel. Also with me this evening is Ray Foeller from our Communications Department, and we will be available after the hearing to answer questions if you should have any. Thank you.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Do we have any intervenors with us tonight?

(No response.)

EXAMINER STENMAN: Okay. Ohio American Water Company filed this application for a rate increase on July 1st of last year. In its application Ohio American requested a 22 percent revenue increase for water service and a 12 percent increase for wastewater service.

The PUCO staff report was issued this past January and for Franklin County the staff recommended a 10 to 12 percent increase over current revenues and a 7 to 9 percent over revenues for wastewater service. Staff also recommended lowering the customer charge from the current 9.51 to 8.55.

The staff report is just a recommendation. The five PUCO commissioners may implement some parts of the staff report and reject others.

2.2

The purpose of this evening's hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Ohio American's application in this case. This is not a question—and—answer session. This is your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think.

Tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter, so please speak plainly and clearly so that she can get your comments down. And if you have written copies of your statements, please provide those to her when you're done speaking. The transcript of tonight's hearing will be reviewed by the commissioners before they make their final decision on this case.

In addition, the local public hearings that have previously occurred and tonight's local public hearing are only part of the process in this case. An evidentiary hearing will commence at the PUCO offices in Columbus on Monday.

It looks like we do have a number of people who signed up to testify when you came in. We'll take you in the order you signed up. At the

```
conclusion of testimony I'll ask if anyone else would
 1
 2
     like to give a statement, and feel free to do so.
    Also, if I call your name and you do not want to
 3
 4
     testify, just let me know that you want to pass.
 5
                 When you do come up to make your
 6
     statement, I'll give you an oath which is just you
    affirming that you are going to tell the truth on the
 7
 8
     record, and I'll ask you to state your name and
 9
     address for the record for the court reporter. If
    you have a difficult last name, if you could spell
10
11
     it, that would probably also be helpful.
12
                 We do appreciate your participation in
13
     tonight's hearing, and at this time we'll start
14
     calling people forward.
15
                 Ken Lengieza.
16
                 MR. LENGIEZA:
                                Yes.
17
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Please raise your
     right hand.
18
19
                 (Witness sworn.)
20
                 EXAMINER STENMAN:
                                    Thank you.
                                                Please
21
     state your name and address for the record.
2.2
23
                          KEN LENGIEZA
24
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
25
     testified as follows:
```

DIRECT TESTIMONY

2.2

MR. LENGIEZA: Ken Lengieza. The last name is L-e-n-g-i-e-z-a. The address is 633 Normandy Drive in the city of Marion. I'm here as a citizen but also as the city and county Planning and Development Director and part of the city and county Economic Development Team.

Just very brief comments. In the past, on the positive, we have had cooperation with the water company on various projects working on economic development; however, on the negative side, if the rates are going to keep on going up, they're already high compared to many other communities, we are going to have a hard time keeping companies and attracting new companies.

Several years ago, for example -- we have placed an ethanol plant in the county, but several years ago we had another similar plant look here and when they looked at the water rates, they have locations all over the country, said the only rates that were just as high as the rates here were in Nevada in the desert. So that's our situation is basically concerned about the rates going high to attract, in the future, industry.

Also, of course, there's a concern about,

and I think other people will probably talk about 1 homeowners and renters also paying the water bills. 2 3 That's all I have to say. 4 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. 5 Steve Chaffie. MR. CHAFFIN: Good evening. My name is 6 Steve Chaffin. 7 8 EXAMINER STENMAN: Please raise your 9 right hand. 10 (Witness sworn.) 11 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. Please 12 state and spell your name and your address for the 13 record. MR. CHAFFIN: My name is Steve Chaffin, 14 15 C-h-a-f-f-i-n. My address is 1671 Weiss Avenue, 16 W-e-i-s-s. 17 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. Go ahead. 18 19 STEVE CHAFFIN 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 21 testified as follows: 2.2 DIRECT TESTIMONY 23 MR. CHAFFIN: My position is with the 24 city of Marion. I'm one of the assistant law 25 directors in the city. Part of our function is we

help collect the sanitary, storm, and sanitation bills for the city, which is the trash, sanitary sewer, and storm sewer.

2.2

Over the past few years we have really struggled to collect the money that's due just to the city just to make ends meet, just to break even, just to pay our employees, just to pay the cost of disposing of the trash and processing the sanitary sewer waste.

It's very difficult for the residents to make their payments. We work with them every day to set up payment plans, whatever we can do to keep them current on their bills. An increase of 13 percent -- even of 13 percent on their water bills is going to cause great hardship for many, many people in this city and the county who are served by Ohio American Water and now a new company.

We understand that the new company's proposing some additional changes which will cause hardship. They're wanting to go from a bimonthly billing to a monthly billing.

I just think any increase, no matter how justifiable, from the things I've seen they're talking about infrastructure, cost, I assume that's personnel related, maybe some material costs, but

```
1
     everybody else has suffered those same costs. Every
2
    business, every consumer in this town has suffered
    those same kind of increases; we haven't got a
3
     13 percent cost of living, nobody in this town has
4
5
    hardly got that.
6
                 So you just have to look at the economy,
7
     I think, and look at it from the point of view that
8
    the city is struggling to meet its obligations to the
9
    citizens and Ohio American and its successor is going
    to have to struggle, and we hope the PUCO will take
10
11
    that in consideration. Thank you.
12
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.
13
                 Trene Fulton.
14
                 MS. FULTON: Irene Fulton, F- --
15
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Please raise your
16
     right hand.
17
                 (Witness sworn.)
18
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.
19
20
                          IRENE FULTON
21
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
2.2
    testified as follows:
23
                        DIRECT TESTIMONY
24
                 MS. FULTON: Irene Fulton, F-u-l-t-o-n,
25
    1044 Richmond Avenue, R-i-c-h-m-o-n-d, Marion.
```

```
1
                 In the past, I think 11 percent was
 2
     awarded to the OAW last year. They asked for 22 or
     24 percent, I don't remember. We did not get any
 3
     raises. The city employees did not get any raises.
 4
 5
     I'm sure the state of Ohio has not gotten any raises.
                 In fact, my pay is almost $9,000 less
 6
 7
    than last year, and my husband is retired, so he gets
 8
    a third of what he used to bring home. I cannot
 9
     afford this, you know, 22 percent raise. And if PUCO
    puts that down into half, I can't even afford an
10
11
     11 percent raise. So that's it. Thank you.
12
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.
13
                 Josh Daniels. Please raise your right
    hand.
14
15
                 (Witness sworn.)
16
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. Please
17
     state your name and address for the record.
18
19
                          JOSH DANIELS
20
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
21
    testified as follows:
2.2
                        DIRECT TESTIMONY
23
                 MR. DANIELS: Hi. My name's Josh
24
    Daniels. My address is 446 Reed Avenue, Marion,
25
    Ohio. I'm an at-large councilman here in Marion,
```

Ohio.

First I'd like to open by saying I have no complaints with the service at least as I see it. I think the road crew, the people that maintain those lines do an excellent job. I work with them on a daily basis, well, seems like a daily basis. But they're quick and they're ample at what they do.

I do have contention with probably the people above them that attempt to set the rates.

Doesn't it appear to you to be somewhat disingenuous to come to the PUCO with a 22 or 24 percent rate increase and commit themselves to saying we need that 22 or 24 percent raise and then settle for what looks like some comprised position of 9 percent?

That, to me, seems like we'll ask for a huge amount and the PUCO will maybe cut it in half and then subtract 2 points or add 2 points and it will look like, you know, they did their job, it will look like they helped the public, because we of course -- it could have been 24 percent and now it's only 9.

If you can live on 9 percent, why would you have asked for 24? I would like that game that seems to be and has been going on for, what, maybe a decade now, I'd like for that to stop because it

scares the bejeebers out of residents. A 24 percent rate increase? What?

The PUCO, if it represented us at all, would never grant such an amount; I think the water company knows that. So they ask high and then they're granted somewhere in between which is, by the way, in my opinion, every two years that's an astronomical amount; it's outpacing inflation by two times.

So I'll leave you with that thought.

Again, I don't have any contentions with the service that the road crews here provide, I think they do an excellent job, but, you know, at some point you have to -- I don't want water for free, by the way. I'm not asking for free water.

I think that if we're going to be provided it, we should have to pay for it, but it should be a fair price, and it should be a fair price because this company happens to be a monopoly and the state of Ohio through the Department of Health has prohibited us from drilling a well if you live within access of a municipal water supply or a public water supply.

So the cards are all in their hands, not in ours. We can't just say we've had enough and we

```
don't care about softened water, we're just going to
1
2
    pop a well and drink ironclad water, which I think
    our water's still ironclad even though it is softened
3
4
    so they say.
5
                 I'll leave you with that. Thank you very
6
    much.
7
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.
8
                 Mary Waxler. Please raise your right
9
    hand.
10
                 (Witness sworn.)
11
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Please state your name
12
    and address for the record.
13
                 MS. WAXLER: I'm really surprised this
    room isn't filled packed with people being against
14
15
    this water --
16
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Can I get you to state
17
    your name and address for the record.
18
                 MS. WAXLER: Oh, I'm sorry.
19
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: That's okay.
20
21
                          MARY WAXLER
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
22
23
    testified as follows:
24
                        DIRECT TESTIMONY
25
                 MS. WAXLER: I guess I got excited
```

because the room's not filled. Mary Waxler, W-a-x-l-e-r, 734 Windsor Street.

2.2

My complaint is about this water, not only against the hike of it and raising it, but my water isn't even fit to drink. I got to buy bottled water because my water has even got a smell to it and it smells like bleach. And then on our property tax they take out a dollar, or it's on the water bill, a dollar extra for softener.

When I take a bath, I have to use at least a half a cake of soap to make it sudsy my water is so hard, and I can't even hardly do dishes without using a whole bunch of detergent in it.

I'm just against this water, especially when you're a widow lady living by yourself, you've got to make every penny count. I mean, it's not like two people drawing Social Security in a household, you know.

But I said I'm a widow lady, I'll be 80 years old tomorrow, and I said it's hard for me to make my bills now. So I'm against this water hike. I'm against it altogether, and I just hope something can be done. And I just wish, like I said, I wish this room was packed with people against it. People will be out there complaining but yet they won't be

```
1
    up here testifying against the water hike. So that's
2
    all I got to say. Thank you.
3
                 COMMISSIONER LESSER: Ma'am, I think
     there's some people from the company here tonight.
4
5
                 Could you raise your hands.
                 The rates are one thing, but as to the
6
7
    water quality, I want to make sure you don't leave
8
    before you talk to some people from the company and
9
    can get that taken care of.
10
                 MS. WAXLER: Okay.
11
                 COMMISSIONER LESSER: Thank you.
12
                 MS. WAXLER: Yeah, I got to buy bottled
13
    water all the time because I can't drink the city
    water, it smells, and I don't know whether it's my
14
15
    waterlines or what, but something's not right.
16
                 COMMISSIONER LESSER: Well, that can be
17
    checked.
                 MS. WAXLER: Okay. Thank you.
18
19
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.
20
                 Ayers Ratliff. Please raise your right
21
    hand.
2.2
                 (Witness sworn.)
23
                 EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you. Please
24
     state your name and address.
25
```

AYERS RATLIFF

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. RATLIFF: My name is Ayers Ratliff.

A-y-e-r-s R-a-t-l-i-f-f. I live at 411 Oak Street

here in Marion. I also serve on Marion City Council.

I want, you know, people to really take into consideration that year -- biannually, but every cycle this goes through there is an exorbitant amount that's being asked for. This time it's 22 percent.

Last time I believe it was 19 percent. And every time there's just an exorbitant amount.

And it's really a game that I feel is being played and kind of a racket going on that you ask for 22, you need 7, and you're going to be ecstatic if you get 12, and that's what goes on every time. We go through this big long process, take a lot of people's time, spend a lot of money, and when 22's asked for, 12 is gotten, everybody can leave with their head held high like something really good was done when really what's happening in the meantime is people's pockets are being fleeced.

When they come and they say that we need this rate increase, it's because we have done

infrastructure and we have made capital investment and we have -- it's the cost of doing business. But when you own a water company and when you purchase a water company, if you don't think that you're going to have to invest in waterlines and things to provide the water that you're trying to sell people, you're a fool, because that's called the cost of doing business.

And when you go and you buy groceries, if they have to buy a new cash register, you don't expect an automatic increase in a can of beans because they had to buy a new cash register or they had to put new tiles on the floor or they had to change a light bulb, because when you own a grocery store, that's the cost of doing business.

And when you are at the water company and there's a bunch of people sitting in a board room wearing coats and ties, they don't understand what people in a town like Marion has where we have a variety of different people of different income.

And to be completely honest, there will be people that live in Marion that would not feel an increase in their water rates. There will be people in Marion on another spectrum that may have to choose between doing something extracurricular with their

family or taking their family out to dinner and paying an increase in their water rates.

2.2

There's other people in Marion that will have to choose between paying an increase in their water rates and putting an extra Christmas present for their child under the tree.

There are people in Marion, and it's a sad reality, that will have to choose between paying an increase in their water bill and buying their medicine, and when we have a process like that, it's an absolute crime that they're going to get -- my crystal ball says a 12, 13 percent increase. It's an absolute crime when you have people in a town like Marion that's been hard hit with an economy that's in the heart of a state that's been hard hit, in the heart of the country that's having a lot of troubles, to ask for a 22 percent increase is absolutely criminal.

EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

That concludes our list of witnesses who have signed up to testify. Is there anyone else who would like to give any testimony?

(No response.)

EXAMINER STENMAN: Seeing no one else I'd like to thank everyone for coming out this evening.

There are representatives from the company and also people with the PUCO that are still here, and I'd ask them to stick around in case anyone has any questions after the hearing.

This concludes this evening's public hearing and it will be submitted on the record for the Commission's consideration and review. Thank you, and have a good evening.

(The hearing concluded at 6:19 p.m.)

_ _ _

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, March 21, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 19, 2016.

11 (MDJ-3985)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/5/2012 3:13:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-4161-WS-AIR

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Ohio American Water Company hearing held on 03/21/12 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.