



From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
 To: ContactThePUCO
 Subject: 65690
 Received: 3/14/2012 11:00:18 AM
 Message:
 WEB ID: 65690 AT:03-14-2012 at 11:00 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Tom Mellinger

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4577 Olentangy River Rd.
- Columbus , Ohio 43214
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (614) 457-2589
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: tsmellinger@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve our customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2012 APR -4 PM 2:20

PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
 Technician TM Date Processed APR 04 2012

financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service. We ask for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so that all Ohioans can be successful. AEP Ohio will file a modified Electric Security Plan (ESP) with the PUCO by the end of March that will outline a path toward this goal.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

Thank you, Tom Mellinger, Engr. Tech., AEP

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65689
Received: 3/14/2012 9:24:59 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65689 AT:03-14-2012 at 09:24 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Larry Allen

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1530 Boggs Road
- N/A
- N/A
- Stockport , Ohio 43787-8557
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (740) 554-2182
- Alternative: (740) 336- 5751
- Fax: N/A

E-MAIL: lalookme@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

My lively hood is relevent. Please docket my comments in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. Its taken years for the world and atmosphere to get in the shape its in and no one likes to breathe bad air. We definitely don't want are children and grandchildren to breath it. But we are not going to clean it

up overnight, no matter how bad we'd like to. AEP has been good to me for the last 22 years. I'm 54 yrs. old and no where close to being able to retire. I served my country honorably for 8 1/2 years and then worked hard to build the beautiful farm in which I now live. AEP Ohio's petition may keep me from losing every thing I've worked hard for. Please consider it, as I stated before, "We are not going to clean it up over night!" Thanks For Your Time!

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65675
Received: 3/13/2012 2:41:03 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65675 AT:03-13-2012 at 02:40 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. David Israel

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 57656 CR 5
- West Lafayette , Ohio 43845
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 7402940505
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: dlisrael@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- Name on account: Dave Israel
- Service address: Above
- Service phone: Above
- Account Number: Do not know.

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

American Electric Power has been forced to retro fit their coal burning power plants. There is significant cost in doing this, and that cost (as any business cost) is paid by the consumer. Please be considerate of this with AEP. I am an electric customer as well as an employee. Thank you for your time.

Dave Israel and Family

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65658
Received: 3/13/2012 1:12:29 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65658 AT:03-13-2012 at 01:12 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Anthony Amos

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2099 Creekview Ct
- Reynoldsburg , Ohio 43068
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (614) 783-9871
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: aamos@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please take favorable consideration on AEP Ohio's petition to expedite judgement on the newly submitted ESP. AEP plays a very important role in the economic condition in the state and the families it employs. As an employee I ask the we are given a fair opportunity to transition to a competitive market model. Thank You

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65659
Received: 3/13/2012 4:12:35 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65659 AT:03-13-2012 at 04:12 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Craig Doll

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2171 Sylved Lane
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45238
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: (812)532-2311
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: s233636@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

As a customer and employee of AEP. I have serious concerns for the action the PUCO has taken against AEP. I am asking for the PUCO to take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65639
Received: 3/12/2012 4:17:33 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65639 AT:03-12-2012 at 04:17 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Natalya Nuzum

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: (614)552-1323
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: nsnuzum@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please docket these comment in case no. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO

Good Afternoon, To whom it may concern,

I would like to inform the PUCO of my concerns as an AEP employee and customer of AEP. I believe that any hasty or unfavorable actions on your part towards AEP and its filing of a

modified Electric Security Plan could usher in instability in Ohio's utilities and jobs market. Not only are my executives very serious about cutting jobs, and this has me worried, but there is very just cause for their plan to do so. Practically giving away generation capacity to competitors is no way to shape a functional competitive retail generation environment. I urge the Commission to set the capacity charge that suppliers will pay for using AEP's generating assets at the requested \$255/MW day until a final ESP is approved. The Scripture says, "You shall not muzzle an ox while it treads out the grain," and, "The laborer is worthy of his wages."

Yours Sincerely,

Natalya Nuzum

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65633
Received: 3/12/2012 2:07:23 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65633 AT:03-12-2012 at 02:07 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. michael west

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 26475 TR 26
- Coshocton , Ohio 43812
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 17408292378
- Alternative: 17408291274
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: mcwest@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Aep Conesville
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

The PUCO needs to reconsider their decisions about the changing the rate increases. By doing this to AEP , it is not only going to hurt AEP as a whole but will hurt the economy as well and it employees/families. Please come up up with a fair solution for Aep,Employees and the consumer that makes sense for all.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65135
Received: 3/7/2012 7:21:23 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65135 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:21 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Edward Wingard

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10485 Dexter Ct.
- Powell , Ohio 43065
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (614) 764-1301
- Alternative: (614) 716-1296
- Fax: (614) 716-2299

E-MAIL: jwingard03@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Edward Wingard
- Service address: 10485 Dexter Ct., Powell, OH 43065
- Service phone: (614) 764-1301
- Account Number: 100-749-659-1-3

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I wish my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO and 11-348-EL-SSO.

I believe that the Commission needs to take immediate action in favor of AEP Ohio's petition. Recent and unprecedented actions by the commission have left AEP in an extremely vulnerable position in the transition to a competitive market. A fair and equitable capacity charge for use of AEP's generating assets is needed to allow AEP to compete on an equal playing field with its competitors. AEP has made great strides in emission controls at a considerable cost. These controls upgrades should not be considered free or below cost to anyone. I and anyone else who desires cleaner air should be willing to pay for it. The repercussions of forcing a company in such a capital intensive industry to charge less than cost will be far reaching and devastating to AEP and its customers.

Thanks for Listening to my concerns. Edward J. Wingard

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65598
Received: 3/9/2012 6:35:05 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65598 AT:03-09-2012 at 06:34 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Alex Dolgov

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1148 Neil Ave
- Columbus , Ohio 43201
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614 309 1050
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: dolgov.1@osu.edu

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all,

want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio. To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service. I believe competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. AEP has asked for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so all Ohioans can be successful. On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65618
Received: 3/12/2012 8:46:08 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65618 AT:03-12-2012 at 08:46 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Paul Dickerson

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 362 Hamilton Ave
- Coshocton , Ohio 43812
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: padickerson@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP (Conesville)
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

The PUCO needs to reconsider their decisions about the changing the rate increases. By doing this to AEP , it is not only going to hurt AEP as a whole but will hurt the economy as well and it employees/families. Please come up up with a fair solution for Aep,Employees and the consumer that makes sense for all.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65621
Received: 3/12/2012 9:29:45 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65621 AT:03-12-2012 at 09:29 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Devin Brown

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (614) 886-2212
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: devib06@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- Name on account: Devin Brown
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

In pertaining to 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO please act immediately and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65594
Received: 3/9/2012 3:28:57 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65594 AT:03-09-2012 at 03:28 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Martin Hohl

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 889 Thirlwall Ct
- westerville , Ohio 43081
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-794-2320
- Alternative: 614-883-6824
- Fax: 614-883-6767

E-MAIL: mdhohl@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power Company
- *(no account name provided?)*
- Service address: AEP's Electric Rates, Competitive Generation
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

March 9, 2012

I would like to see these comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

I have been an AEP Employee for almost 15 years. Prior I had worked for Joint Action Agency, is power supply and power pooling.

I wanted to comment on the issue before the Ohio PUCO concerning moving AEP's generation to competition. AEP has been an Investor Owned Electric Utility, IOU and thus has operated under those rules for regulation, investments, rate of return for a long time. AEP has had a large responsibility, investment and resources to serve many customers, Municipals, Mini's and Rural Electric Coop's over their 100 year history. AEP has had huge undertaking serving so many fairly! There are so many different areas that are critical to the overall well being of all parties involved.

There are three reasons why Investor Owned Electric Utilities, IOUs are in business. First IOUs serve our customers, with the best service possible, with some financial constraints. Secondly IOUs invest in their service territories for the reasons to make a fair rate of return for their investors / share holders. Like any business, AEP is in business to make a profit. Just like any other business, if they do not make a fair return, they go out of business. Municipals, Muni's and Rural Electric Coop's. RECs through the state that basically are customer owned, who invest resources to serve their members. Price for Munis and RECs goal is to serve their customers at any cost. But also on the flip side if Muni and REC can purchase power at a lower cost, their goal is also to try to provide this service as well

Unlike Munis and REC, their total expenses, no matter how large or small, are always covered by their rates. But many Munis and REC do not have the generation necessary to serve their total loads and must purchase power from outside their system. Many times this is the IOUs in the area. There are many Munis and REC who do not even have any generation, and if they do, might only use the generation to peak shave. Thus when Federal and State regulations change, and for example, the air requirements (which are mandated) become more stringent, the IOUs (generators) need to pass through the higher costs for implementing the new requirements.

A customer's ultimate goal is to get the best quality service at the lowest price to produce the best product possible. But as one can see the majority of Munis and RECs choose to purchase power on the open market rather than generate power from with-in. Then thirdly the IOUs also have employees and they also deserve to make a fair wage. These three reasons are why the IOU is in business and is not always very cut and dry, since it is a balancing act between all three IOU's goals.

The utility system is built for that one peak day or peak period. When power is needed on that hottest afternoon in August, the system needs to have the capacity and the system needs to be able to serve that load. If not, we all know the consequences of such poor planning. I might also suggest that if our engineering, planning and all the background details required for those peak hours is not met, I would bet that the problems created, that the customer's affected would be willing to pay much larger fees (double, triple or perhaps even more), than the small rate increases to see that such consequences would not have happened. This is just my guess and is why I would say that IOU typically pro-actively plan ahead, rather than operating in such a reactively (only fixing problems as they arise), or might I say this future competitively mode!

Thus the IOUs goal is build resources through generation, transmission or distribution to serve these customers, which may include the Munis, RECs or even large customers who are

connected to their system. Since IOUs are normally larger than Munis or REC these investments and they are making decisions are for the long term, say for 30+ years, since these investments are very expensive to site, build and run when they are needed.

The Ohio PUCO has the unique position to oversee and in that position they have the responsibility to ensure that all parties receive a fair deal. Basically they are responsible to oversee the PIE. Those customers all share the same resources, when a long term resource such as a new generator is built they need to see that it is justly paid for over the life of the asset and that all the customers who would like to have, share or use that resource, that they pay their fair price for using that resource. The Commissions should not be an adversary, but work as the primary negotiator in coming to a settlement that all parties agree, is a fair agreement. When AEP, the Ohio PUCO and the group of customers all came to an agreement and it was approved by the commission, I thought that we were almost there.

In a relatively short period of time to move from a regulated environment to a competitive environment and not recover the investment from those long term (goals) investments would not be in either AEP's, their shareholder's customer's (which those assets were built to supply) best interest? To move to a competitive environment without fairly covering AEP's ,our stranded generation costs, would only be in the best interest to all those suppliers / marketers, many who do not even own generation assets, would seem to suggest that AEP needs to recover some stranded costs. Likely how AEP in Texas settled similar differences.

If there are outside parties interested in marketing lower cost power than what has been available prior, something must be wrong with the system! I understand that there has been relatively little new generation built in Ohio and if the costs have all changed, who initiated those changes?

I am hoping for a fair settlement to move AEP's generation from regulated to competition.

Martin D. Hohl, PE 889 Thirlwall Ct Westerville, Ohio 43081

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65276
Received: 3/7/2012 8:40:43 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65276 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:40 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Charles Kuskowski

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 5482 Blacklick Eastern Rd NW
- Pickerington , Ohio 43147
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-648-0720
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: ckuskowski@insight.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

A reasonable business model cannot be maintained when government agencies, for whatever reason, interferes and skews the level playing field. No businessman in his right mind would sell his product to a competitor for under market value, and should not be forced to by a government who has no horse in the race. Especially in this current economic climate, you cannot, and must not impose absurd hardships on a company that forces it to make drastic cuts just to stay in business. DO THE RIGHT THING.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65591
Received: 3/9/2012 3:02:23 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65591 AT:03-09-2012 at 03:02 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Bethany Schunn

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 46899 Locust Place
- St. Clairsville , Ohio 43950
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-699-0382
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: bschunn@sbcglobal.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Bethany Schunn
- Service address: 46899 Locust Place
- Service phone: 740-699-0382
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Docketed in Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO

I am concerned with the above cases concerning job loss in the electric industry. Many people are employed and perform a valuable job to provide the public with one of the most important utilities imaginable.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65585
Received: 3/9/2012 1:16:31 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65585 AT:03-09-2012 at 01:16 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Ellen Watson-George

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER
- F&H SYSTEM WAREHOUSE
- 7397 OH ST RT 7
- CHESHIRE , Ohio 45620
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: (740) 925-3355
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: ewatson-george@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65582
Received: 3/9/2012 12:10:25 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65582 AT:03-09-2012 at 12:10 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Delores Moore

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 6771 Matthew Lane
- Cambridge , Ohio 43725
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-432-4609
- Alternative: 740-255-7365
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: dmmoores@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- Name on account: Delores M. Moore
- Service address: 6771 Matthew Lane Cambridge, OH 43725
- Service phone: 740-432-4609
- Account Number: 074-074-215-1-5

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I encourage you to look at the big picture and resolve this situation as quickly as possible. The schools who cried foul were getting a special discounted rate. Under the new ESP that rate disappeared. They should pay for what they use and not be given a special rate. The schools are funded by the state and should not be funded by AEP or any of AEP's customers. The commercial accounts that saw increases in January neglected to dig deeper their bills increased because the set refund ended at the end of December. This end of a credit plus a rate increase

made the total increase look larger than it was. The bottom line is all of AEP's costs are associated with rising fuel costs and operating costs that have gone up. When fuel prices go up, and the EPA nails AEP to the wall with billions of dollars in upgrades to keep these plants operating 'clean', then clearly those costs need to be paid back by the people that use that electricity (Under the current regulated environment). AEP is simply trying to recover SOME of these costs that have already been spent as it transitions into a deregulated market. Why would you let out of state brokers come in and buy up AEP generation on the open market and resell it to AEP's own customers and make a profit? How do you think those generation plants can stay in operation. *sigh* I hope someone at the PUCO actual reads my comment and looks at all sides of this debate - not just the ones who are screaming the loudest. How many jobs do these brokers and CRES providers offer to Ohio?

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65586
Received: 3/9/2012 1:18:32 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65586 AT:03-09-2012 at 01:18 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Sarah Doughty

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 850 Tech Center Dr
- Gahanna , Ohio 43230
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-626-2915
- Alternative: 614-883-6714
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: sedoughty@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Sarah Doughty
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

As you may be aware, our state is at a crucial moment in determining the environment for competitive retail generation where regulatory certainty and stability are critically important in order to attract jobs and investment in Ohio. AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our

customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many, who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve our customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, and would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio. To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service. I do believe that competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. We ask for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so that all Ohioans can be successful. What if there was an Ohio farmer who works hard all day to produce his crops making sure they were watered, and cared for being forced to sell his crops at a lower rate to a farmer down the street who has not been out harvesting crops, didn't water them, or care for them, in fact they intend to take the Ohio farmer's crops to a local farmer's market and sell them for themselves to make a profit on them, because they were able to purchase the crops for such a low price. As a result, stole customers from the Ohio farmer, and now can no longer afford to stay in business because the Ohio farmer is losing a profit. That would put the Ohio farmer out of business! Is that what you want? You want, Ohio out of business? Those farmers are just like AEP Ohio employees we all work hard to make sure we produce affordable, reliable, and sustainable electric service. The PUCO is asking AEP to conduct business that makes no sense, and no business owner would be able to continue, that's not how we do business at AEP! We appreciate your immediate attention to this matter and look forward to working with you in the future.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65550
Received: 3/8/2012 4:21:01 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65550 AT:03-08-2012 at 04:20 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Vijay Khatri

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4287 Landhigh Lakes Dr
- Powell , Ohio 43065
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: *(no e-mail address provided)*

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. The reduced rate is insane and unsustainable. It would be very easy for AEP to just move somewhere else where conditions are more favorable for them as a business. There is nothing to hold them back. We don't want a huge economic driver and an engine for employments go out of this region because of some senseless decisions and some other corporations who have no interest or investment in the economic growth of the region but make their own balance sheets look good. The Parties that have been effected due to rate changes can be separately dealt with and come to some solution for them to make it reasonable for them to sustain.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65587
Received: 3/9/2012 1:23:03 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65587 AT:03-09-2012 at 01:23 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mr. Franklin Doughty

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 850 Tech Center Dr.
- Gahanna , Ohio 43230
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-626-2915
- Alternative: 614-623-0820
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: fdoughty2776@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

As you may be aware, our state is at a crucial moment in determining the environment for competitive retail generation where regulatory certainty and stability are critically important in order to attract jobs and investment in Ohio. AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our

customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many, who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve our customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, and would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio. To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service. I do believe that competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. We ask for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so that all Ohioans can be successful. What if there was an Ohio farmer who works hard all day to produce his crops making sure they were watered, and cared for being forced to sell his crops at a lower rate to a farmer down the street who has not been out harvesting crops, didn't water them, or care for them, in fact they intend to take the Ohio farmer's crops to a local farmer's market and sell them for themselves to make a profit on them, because they were able to purchase the crops for such a low price. As a result, stole customers from the Ohio farmer, and now can no longer afford to stay in business because the Ohio farmer is losing a profit. That would put the Ohio farmer out of business! Is that what you want? You want, Ohio out of business? Those farmers are just like AEP Ohio employees they all work hard to make sure they produce affordable, reliable, and sustainable electric service. The PUCO is asking AEP conduct business in a very poor and unsustainable way. I appreciate your immediate attention to this matter and look forward to a good outcome.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65546
Received: 3/8/2012 2:40:56 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65546 AT:03-08-2012 at 02:40 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Tracy West

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 850 Tech Center Drive
- Gahanna , Ohio 43230
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (614) 380-9542
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: tlwest@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Thank you for the approval of the interim capacity charges for AEP Ohio. I ask that you please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

AEP Ohio and its employees have been long standing partners and contributors in the communities that they serve. To allow other electric companies to take advantage of the

investments AEP has made in the state of Ohio without paying their fair share would be an injustice to the the American way of business. There would be nothing competitive about that. AEP would be the fall guy.

AEP will embrace full competition. Please do not make rate decisions that will put them under before they are able to fully transition.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65539
Received: 3/8/2012 1:55:36 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65539 AT:03-08-2012 at 01:55 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Denise Lamm

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 301 Cleveland Ave S
- Canton , Ohio 44701
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 330-438-7240
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: djlamm@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am an employee of the American Electric Power Company. Since the latest development by the PUCO, my job is in jepordy. I understand that there were several complaints about the ruling, but I feel the PUCO needs to resolve this fairly and quickly, If I should lose this job it will be impossible for me to find a position with the pay and benefit afforded to me by AEP. I would hope the PUCO remembers that I may be an employee, but I am also a taxpayer, voter and actually a customer of AEP. I would hope that that never changes..... I do not wish to have to find employment in another state or worse, I never find employment again.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65537
Received: 3/8/2012 1:21:49 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65537 AT:03-08-2012 at 01:21 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Sarah Nicholson

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 161 Shaleridge Dr
- Pataskala , Ohio 43062
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-716-3781
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: sjnicholson@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Sarah J Nicholson
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like my comments docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65524
Received: 3/8/2012 10:47:14 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65524 AT:03-08-2012 at 10:47 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Dale Ross

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1900 Licking Rd
- Zanesville , Ohio 43701
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: 740-455-4684
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: daross@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP / Ohio
- Name on account: AEP / Ohio
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP / OHIO has been a major contributor to the success of Ohio for many years and deserves to be treated fairly when it comes to transitioning to market in a competitive environment. In order for AEP/Ohio to continue to be successful providing jobs and stability in Ohio, the Capacity Charge that alternative suppliers pay AEP must be in place at a fair value. Thank you for your consideration. Respectfully submitted, Dale A. Ross Distribution Line Coordinator AEP / OH

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65535
Received: 3/8/2012 12:52:48 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65535 AT:03-08-2012 at 12:52 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mr. Randy Severn

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 6759 Matthew Lane
- Cambridge , Ohio 43725
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-716-2062
- Alternative: 740-630-6533
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: rrsevern@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Randy R. Severn
- Service address: 6759 Matthew Lane
- Service phone: 740-432-2518
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

Please be fair to the utility companies. Our future depend on your fairness in regulation. AEP has served Ohio well. Please return the favor.

Thanks,

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65341
Received: 3/7/2012 9:38:38 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65341 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:38 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. tim nicholson

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: nicholson24@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This is in reference to 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

AEP has provided power to Ohio for more than 100 years. They have been a good corporate citizen and has supported Ohio with taxes, good jobs, contributions and investments. I believe this situation need to be handled to support AEP to a successful future. AEP should be granted thier request. What is happening now seems totally unfair and not good sense for the State's future

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65532
Received: 3/8/2012 11:59:15 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65532 AT:03-08-2012 at 11:59 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Tennis Barton

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: tabarton@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please put my comments in docket in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. I do not agree with overturning a ruling that was in affect. That only hurts the company and employees. You may be costing people jobs. Not only the Employees of AEP but also the contractors they use and suppliers for materials in Ohio. What happens when AEP decides to pull out of Ohio and go to another state that will welcome their taxes and income with open arms.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65414
Received: 3/7/2012 11:14:09 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65414 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:14 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Allan Woodard

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1705 Lawhead Lane
- Zanesville , Ohio 43701
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (740) 453-5712
- Alternative: (740) 584-3216
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: awoodard@columbus.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Allan Woodard
- Service address: 1705 Lawhead Lane
- Service phone: (740) 453-5712
- Account Number: 0715760151

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please place comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. After listening to testimony for month after month and coming to an agreement on the Electric Security Plan (ESP), the PUCO commission revokes its approval due to customer complaints and negative media coverage from a very small minority of AEP commercial customers. Common sense from the commission should suggest re-looking at this one customer class instead of scrapping the entire approved ESP. Essentially, the PUCO is creating a situation in Ohio where it

is no longer feasible for AEP to do business. Don't discount the fact of what a large corporation such as AEP brings to the State of Ohio in the way of taxes, community involvement, not to mention goods and services purchased locally, etc. The benefits of having AEP headquarters located in Ohio heavily outweigh the poor decisions being made on the part of the PUCO commission. I would think that the commission would want to create a fair resolution for the company and their customers. I bet the political leaders in the other states where AEP does business are sitting back with a grin on their face, thinking "go ahead OHIO, chase them out of your state, we would love to have them in our backyards". Come on PUCO; take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. Think of what Ohio has to lose.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65420
Received: 3/7/2012 11:24:42 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65420 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:24 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Bryan Hanft

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 700 Morrison Road
- Gahanna , Ohio 43062
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-552-1193
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: bjhanft@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Bryan J. Hanft
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP has a history of providing low cost, reliable power in Ohio. As an employee of AEP I am committed to continuing this history. The recent PUCO reversal decision has placed AEP in a very difficult financial situation. The shock wave in the investment community may have lasting effects. This concern is based on the added risks surrounding future rate case approvals and potential reversals. The financial impacts to AEP as a company, as well as AEP's position as a large employer and tax payer in Ohio, are also in limbo. Please remember that a large majority of

the employees at AEP are dedicated hard working Ohioans. The deregulated market we are currently operating in, coupled with increasing government regulation of traditional generation in Ohio, added to by a struggling economy, appears to be creating a perfect storm for crippling a company that has a positive and successful operating history in the State of Ohio. Please consider these concerns in your future decision making.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65426
Received: 3/7/2012 11:38:31 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65426 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:38 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Connie Weidleman

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1012 Smith ave SW
- Canton , Ohio 44706
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: 330-438-7796
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: cdweidleman@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65431
Received: 3/7/2012 11:46:33 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65431 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:46 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Marvin Holmes

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 117 Fairfield Avenue
- Newark , Ohio 43055
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (740) 366-2155
- Alternative: (614) 716-1334
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: mlholmes@windstream.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- Name on account: Marvin L Holmes
- Service address: 117 Fairfield Avenue, Newark, Ohio 43055
- Service phone: (740) 366-2155
- Account Number: 075-808-433-0

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like to have my comments docketed in case numbers 11-346-EL-SSO and 11-348-EL-SSO.

I am writing to you as a concerned employee of AEP, as well as an electricity customer. The ability for AEP Ohio to compete is contingent on your approval of the capacity charge proposals

that were recently submitted by AEP Ohio.

AEP and AEP Ohio have worked very hard to drive operating costs down and do all things necessary to prepare for operation in a competitive market. If the proposed capacity charges are not approved, AEP Ohio will be unfairly penalized, as an outcome of the recent approval/revocation steps taken by the PUCO.

The many issues related to moving to a competitive environment are extremely complex for all parties involved - including the PUCO. I urge you to take a measured approach that will allow AEP Ohio to follow the three year plan that was included in the ESP that was approved, and then revoked. Not following such a transition plan would lead to great harm for a company with a tremendous track record as a dependable electric supplier, as well as a great corporate citizen. That harm could also lead to a much less competitive environment in the electric industry.

Thank you,

Marvin Holmes

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65438
Received: 3/7/2012 12:07:06 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65438 AT:03-07-2012 at 12:06 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Shelly Haugh

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 128 Withersfield St. NE
- Canton , Ohio 44721
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 330-438-7911
- Alternative: 330-806-7336
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: srhaugh2@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- Name on account: John Haugh
- Service address: 128 Withersfield St. NE
- Service phone: 330-324-6413
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please include my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

AEP Ohio is asking for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty. AEP Ohio will file a modified Electric Security Plan (ESP) with the PUCO by the end of March that will outline a path toward this goal. AEP Ohio has a history and a track record of working with the

commision to establish what is best for all of our stakeholders. For years now when we were asked to take this path we agreed and worked with the commission to hold our price to the lowest in the state. Now we are portrayed as the bad guys in this argument, what happened to working with us??

Many jobs in Ohio are at risk. The PUCO has a responsibility to all of us to make this right. Their inability to resolve this issue shows their lack of committment to all Ohioans. It is obvious they have no problem siding with First Energy while the prices might be lower. I wonder if they will have the stomach to tolerate the backlash when the prices go up. DO YOUR HOMEWORK! You can't have it both ways. If AEP Ohio leaves the state, ramifications of that will be felt in every community we serve.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65444
Received: 3/7/2012 12:20:09 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65444 AT:03-07-2012 at 12:19 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Terri Stiltner

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-570-1213
- Alternative: 614-570-1213
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tastiltner@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please reconsider all options for the AEP rates case. We need this ruling in our favor. As an employee, times are tough and it would be pretty painful for more Ohioians to lose their jobs. AEP needs the money to maintain current and future improvements. Scaling back costs to almost nothing is not in the best interest of the people. It will be hard to keep trees cut and keep the power reliable if we do not get the funding needed.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65449
Received: 3/7/2012 12:44:12 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65449 AT:03-07-2012 at 12:44 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Matthew Long

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 5570 Rubble Ln
- Hilliard , Ohio 43026
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 6144776821
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: matt.long@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

To whom it may concern:

I am writing in regards to PUCO's Feb 23 2012 decison to revoke AEP's ESP plan. In my opinion, this decision has created an unfair playing field in the competitive electricity market. This decision has created an unreasonable transition to a fair, competitive market. I ask that PUCO strongly considers favorable action on AEP Ohio's Feb 27 2012 petition.

Thank you for your time.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65527
Received: 3/8/2012 11:27:57 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65527 AT:03-08-2012 at 11:27 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Catherine Maurer

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2022 36th St. NE
- Canton , Ohio 44705
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 330-454-8582
- Alternative: 330-438-7856
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: cmaurer@neo.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Catherine Maurer
- Service address: 2022 36th St. NE
- Service phone: 330-454-8582
- Account Number: 075060378

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I don't understand when a decision is made by the PUCO, businesses and Ameican Electric Power that it was ok to raise the rates to met expenses and stability and suddenly it was not ok. Did the PUCO not do their job in the first place and review all the documentation that was given to them. The deregulation should not be a surprise to the State, Gov. Taft signed the bill in July of 1999. Businesses and consumers knew this day would come. It is my opinion that AEP should be able to maintain and income that sustains it current expensesand stability for future expenses in order to meet the EPA rules as well as the PUCO rules.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65484
Received: 3/7/2012 2:24:45 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65484 AT:03-07-2012 at 02:24 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Eva Graves

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 301 Cleveland Ave SW
- Canton , Ohio 44702
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: 330-438-7227
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: egraves@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am writing as an employee of AEP Ohio, asking that you please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. This will allow AEP to raise the rate that its competitors are charged to make use of AEP's infrastructure, so that it can remain competitive and playing field will be equal to all parties.

As the Columbus Dispatch stated what we have asked for is, "a reasonable request." They further recognize the financial distress this will place on AEP and the state of Ohio, "At present, one of the state's major electricity suppliers, American Electric Power, is in a particularly vulnerable competitive position because of the recent revocation of its rate plan by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Without action by the PUCO to give AEP the breathing space and rates it needs to continue to do business, electricity competition in the state could be harmed for years to come." This will not only cause immediate and severe financial stress on AEP but on Ohio as well with the potential job cuts that would ensue. In addition, this will ultimately lead to less competition which in turn will negatively affect Ohio's electricity users in the end.

Again, I am asking that you please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition so that we can remain competitive and ensure the playing field will be equal to all parties as well as for the financial health of this great state.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65488
Received: 3/7/2012 3:15:50 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 65488 AT:03-07-2012 at 03:15 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Krista Hock

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?)* , Ohio 43125
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: kmhock@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt the financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge that

alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

I am asking that you take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition

Thank you, Krista Hock

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 65508
Received: 3/8/2012 7:21:45 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 65508 AT:03-08-2012 at 07:21 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: question

NAME: Mr. Andrew Williams

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 5119 Sebring Warner Rd.
- Greenville , Ohio 45331
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-430-5450
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: 937-547-0651

E-MAIL: awwilliams@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

QUESTION DESCRIPTION:

I am asking to have my question be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO What was the basis of rejecting AEP's ESP after you approved it? To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service. Furthermore, driving AEP out of Ohio will leave a massive financial void in local and state economies all the way down to local businesses. I know I feel like a lot of Ohioans when I say I would hate to see another large company close their doors in our state.