To: ContactThePUCO Subject: 65440

Received: 3/7/2012 12:12:07 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 65440 AT:03-07-2012 at 12:11 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Mary Jo Geer

CONTACT SENDER? No

### MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1850 Winding Hollow Drive
- Grove City, Ohio 43123
- USA

# PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: 614-562-9426
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mjgeer@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## **ACCOUNT INFORMATION:**

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

Please work with AEP on their recent rate requests. From what I know they have tried to come to a middle ground, please meet them there. My job and many others will be affected by your decision. Thank you, Mary Jo Geer

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 3/6/2

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65358

Received: 3/7/2012 9:54:59 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65358 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:54 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Traci Madden

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 6953 Clymer Dr.
- Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

Alternative: 614-507-4857Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: traciisgreat@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

Name on account: Traci N Madden
Service address: 6953 CLymer Dr.
(no service phone number provided?)

• (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future.

Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

I believe competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. AEP has asked for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so all Ohioans can be successful. On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65334

Received: 3/7/2012 9:26:35 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65334 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:26 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. CeCe Zimmerman

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: czimmerman@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

As an employee of American Electric Power, I'd like to respectfully ask that you take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. Please do this so that AEP can continue to prosper in the State of Ohio and maintain its headquarters presence in this great city of Columbus, Ohio. Many organizations and individuals would feel the loss of community support if we have to pull up our roots in this area.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65297

Received: 3/7/2012 8:56:21 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65297 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:56 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Mike Hitt

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

# **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 7397 N. St. Rt. 7
- Cheshire, Ohio 45620
- USA

# PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 740-925-3321

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mhitt@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

# COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

As an employee of AEP Ohio, I would like to encourage you to thoroughly review the decisions being made with respect to AEP Ohio. The customers and employees deserve a fair and equitable system for electric service. The electric utility industry is already under enough pressure with environmental concerns.

Thanks.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65292

Received: 3/7/2012 8:50:34 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65292 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:50 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Julie Richer

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1876 Andover Rd
- Upper Arlington, Ohio 43212
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jmricher@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

I have worked at AEP for 14 years. My husband for over 20. Our lives & children are here in Ohio and we do not want to relocate. AEP has provided my husband and I a vehicle to support our community. My husband participates in habitat for humanity, I work the polls. We both participate in the Heart Walk, support operation feed, toys for tots and many other activites. We don't want to loose our connection to this state and community. I am asking that you set the capacity charge that alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65241

Received: 3/7/2012 8:21:32 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65241 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:21 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Robert Cary

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

#### MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2189 Castle Crest Dr.
- Worthington, Ohio 43085
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: 614-505-6322
Alternative: 614-716-2676
Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: recary@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

• Name on account: Robert E. Cary

• Service address: 2189 Castle Crest Dr. Worthington, OH 43085

• Service phone: 614-505-6322

• Account Number: 100-072-442-5-3

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

You need to take immediate action on the petition filed by AEP Ohio. You are making yourselves look incompetent with the flip flopping on these decisions. The PUCO is clearly overwhelmed and out of their league. You should defer to the entity that appears to know what they are doing. AEP is a large utility that understands everything about generation. The costs that they put forward are not arbitrary, they are real.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65254

Received: 3/7/2012 8:27:21 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65254 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:27 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Amy Koscielak

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 48 W Cooke Road
- Columbus, Ohio 43214
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-581-2602

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: amystrawn@gmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

• Company: AEP

Name on account: Daniel Koscielak
Service address: 48 W Cooke Road
Service phone: 513-226-4027

• (no account number provided?)

#### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am writing regarding the recent revocation of AEP Ohio's rate plan by the PUCO. A new rate plan will help AEP Ohio transition to market competition. AEP's losses due to the capacity charge are enormous and could have a staggering effect on our local economy, considering AEP is one of the largest local employers. Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. Our local economy and a competitive electricity market depend on it.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65200

Received: 3/7/2012 8:01:48 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65200 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:01 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. James Goodnite

CONTACT SENDER? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 9501 Country Club Estates Drive
- Byesville, Ohio 43723
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-264-5974

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jmgoodnite@frontier.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

I want these comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. Your recent decision to reverse AEP-Ohio's eletric security plan was an over reaction to a solvable issues. One that should have been discussed prior to your decision to approve the proposed rate increase. Your decision to hit the "reset button" will, if a compromise cannot be reached, cost Ohio jobs...period. Not just with AEP, but within the communitys where those employees live. And do not forget the competitive eletrical market place you desire. Do you truly believe this

will help this effort? Here is a paragraph from a recent editorial "Reasonable Request" from the Dispatch that pulls this topic into clear focus..."American Electric Power, is in a particularly vulnerable competitive position because of the recent revocation of its rate plan by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Without action by the PUCO to give AEP the breathing space and rates it needs to continue to do business, electricity competition in the state could be harmed for years to come."

I recommend the commission to read this article, and take this into consideration.

Thanks for your time,

Jim Goodnite

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65352

Received: 3/7/2012 9:51:12 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65352 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:51 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Kirk Pierson

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

# MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2592 Bouchard Ct
- Powell, Ohio 43065
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614) 336-2030

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: kbpierson@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: American Electric Power
Name on account: Kirk B Pierson
Service address: 2592 Bouchard Ct
Service phone: (614) 336-2030

• (no account number provided?)

#### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please read the editorial in the Columbus Dispatch March 6, 2012. A fair price is what we are requesting, which will allow us to transition to an open marketplace and competition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65290

Received: 3/7/2012 8:49:48 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65290 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:49 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Teresa McWain

CONTACT SENDER ? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- (NO CITY?), Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

#### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614) 716-1655

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tlmcwain@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- Name on account: Teresa McWain
- Service address: 1076 Marland Dr. N.
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I implore the PUCO to take action in a fair and responsible way to allow AEP a reasonable transition to competition. AEP should have the right to charge its competitors a fair charge for using AEP's power plants to provide electricity to our customers. AEP should be allowed to charge \$255 per megawatt day until a final ESP is approved by the PUCO. I expect the Commission to take fair action today that allows a committed community partner to charge a fair capacity charge to those competitors who wish to use its assets for their financial gain. To do otherwise will cause an unfair and unnecessary financial consequence for AEP in the state of Ohio.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65121

Received: 3/7/2012 7:05:32 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65121 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:05 AM

#### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Michael Martin

CONTACT SENDER? No

#### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1928 Wilmer St
- Zanesville, Ohio 43701
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (740) 297-7995

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: meigsmikemartin@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

• Company: AEP Ohio

Name on account: Monica Thomas
Service address: 1928 Wilmer St
Service phone: 740-297-7995
(no account number provided?)

#### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. You forced AEP to go to competition, then you accepted their plan to do so, then you rescinded your own approval. Your continued and politically motivated changes will result in the reliability of our electrical infrastructure, our environment, our economy, and as a result our society to decline. Your actions and over-reactions will make it impossible for a highly capital intensive company which has served/lead this state and region faithully with reliable service and low rates to convert to a competitive market. The result will be a shock wave of price increases as you have already seen. Approve AEP's petition quickly and favorably to stop the madness for which you are perpetrating.

TIM FLESHER 115 Township Road 1061 Apt. 3 Proctorville, Ohio 45669

Phone: (740) 886-1719

WECEIVED MAR 1 5 2012

March 14, 2012

Public Utilities Commission 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

To Whom It May Concern:

Let it be known that I am for the repeal of the rate increase that AEP Ohio put into effect recently I am referring to Case Number 11-346-EL-SSO.

My AEP Ohio Account Number is 074-409-752-0-4.

Please feel free to call me at (740) 886-1719 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tim Hester

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65318

Received: 3/7/2012 9:10:58 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65318 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:10 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. John Dixon

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

## MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10582 State Route 554
- Bidwell, Ohio 45614
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 7403888639

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jrdixon@hughes.net

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

• Company: AEP

• Name on account: Ronda Dixon

- Service address: 10582 St Route 554, Bidwell Ohio, 45614
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Don't be part of the problem, step-up and fix this mess that you have had a part in creating, get it settled with AEP NOW before we loose more jobs in OHIO. That is in the best interest for the people in OHIO.

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to

move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve our customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to VERY unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65265

Received: 3/7/2012 8:32:55 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65265 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:32 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Grant Circle

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 4129 Stoneroot Dr.
- Hilliard, Ohio 43026
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-535-6190

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: grantcircle@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

• Name on account: Grant Circle

- Service address: 4129 Stoneroot Dr.
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

#### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

Good Morning, I'm here in support of AEP in regards to the entrance into the competitive markets. It's not easy to make everyone happy and I realize you will be stuck in the middle with someone not completely satisfied, but I think the most recent request before you is a fair compromise. Yes I am an employee, but for years before working for the company I was a very satisfied customer. I work with a lot of great people who could potentially lose their jobs depending on the outcome. Many are going to lose jobs regardless. I have never worked for a

company that promotes giving so strongly. I have given to more charities in the last few years as an employee than ever before, and mostly because they were prompted by internal emails requesting my support. I think competition can be a great thing and benefit all, but can we please take the appropriate and timely steps to get there. It's not about aep versus puco, it's about the city of Columbus and what is best for it and the surrounding areas.

Thank You, Grant Circle

To: ContactThePUCO Subject: 65296

Received: 3/7/2012 8:55:06 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65296 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:54 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Brian Elswick

CONTACT SENDER? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 8518 Ridge Rd
- Fredericktown, Ohio 43019
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-327-1590

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: therevrnd5@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

I simply want to ask you to take immediate and favorable action to AEP Ohio's petition for a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework. As the sole financial provider for my family of 5, I have real concerns about job losses if this petition is not accepted. I appreciate your serious consideration.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65372

Received: 3/7/2012 10:07:20 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65372 AT:03-07-2012 at 10:07 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. John Presutti

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-716-3697

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jnpresutti@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please add these comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65272

Received: 3/7/2012 8:37:57 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65272 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:37 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Donald Ash

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1200 Mary Francis Ct
- Lancaster, Ohio 43130
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: (740) 407-1478
Alternative: (614) 836-4166
Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: deash@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: American Electric Power

- Name on account: Donald Ash
- Service address: 1200 Mary Francis Ct
- Service phone: 740-407-1478(no account number provided?)

## **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

Hello.

Please docket these comments in case numbers 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

I am writing with regard to the recent PUCO reversal of AEP's plan which they submitted to

enable them to compete fairly in Ohio.

Jobs are at risk with whichever choice is made. The same small business owners who will suffer when their electric rates go up to a fair level are the same small businesses who will suffer when the newly unemployed AEP workers can no longer patronize their businesses. With the rate reversal, AEP employees face unemployment due to unfair competetion rules beyond their control. The PUCO case seems like a lose-lose situation regardless of which approach is taken.

The honorable, truthful, fair thing to do is that a company be allowed to charge a fair price for it's product. Your unprecedented reversal which hamstrings AEP made you look foolish. Either you must allow fair practices for both consumer and supplier and face the heat for your decision or you will cause Ohio to spiral downward because companies cannot fairly compete. Eventually, one way or another, the consumer WILL end up paying a fair rate. If AEP is gone and there is no competition, then the surviving company which holds the monopoly will charge whatever rate they wish and no one can stop it.

I'm sure you've received emails with analogies of companies being unable to charge a price for their product which allows a profit. Why make a product if you can't make a profit? How can a company survive when they are FORCED to provide charity to other companies? Oh, yeah, I remember - that's called socialism. Wikepedia defines socialism as "an economic system characterized by social ownership or control of the means of production and cooperative management of the economy". So, you see, since you are telling AEP what they must charge and to whom, then YOU, as the PUCO, are social dictators over AEP, since you are controlling our economy unfairly.

You MUST allow fair competition. I understand that you are also tasked with protecting the consumer and that is certainly an honorable goal! But it is unwise to subsidize and promote unfair practices. (I wonder if you've promoted unfair business practices unknowingly? It seems if one business pays it's fair cost for electricity but a competitor receives the subisdized rate then the first company has been effectively put down because of your rate management.)

AEP must be allowed to compete competitively and must be allowed to earn a profit.

Thank You, Donald Ash

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65377

Received: 3/7/2012 10:13:52 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65377 AT:03-07-2012 at 10:13 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. mark ryczko

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 7565 Danbridge Way
- Westerville, Ohio 43082
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: (614)8650829
Alternative: (614)583-7868
Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: maryczko@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

### **ACCOUNT INFORMATION:**

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

My comments are to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO

Please take immediate favorable action on AEP Oho's petition.

We all know that a fare and equitable transition period is required for an industry to go from a

regulated to an unregulated market. We also know that if a fare and equtable transition period is not provided that there will be others in the market place that will take advantage of a bad situation and in the end will not be a good thing for Ohio and its people.

Please keep in mind the long term goal for Ohio and have patience in the short run to set everyone up for success. If done correctly this can be a win win for both AEP and Ohio.

Mark Ryczko

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65434

Received: 3/7/2012 11:47:19 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65434 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:47 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Eric Blind

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 4903 Common Market Place
- Dublin, Ohio 43016
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

• Alternative: (614)716-1766

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: ebblind@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for

investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

I do believe that competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. I ask for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so that all Ohioans can be successful. AEP Ohio will file a modified Electric Security Plan (ESP) with the PUCO by the end of March that will outline a path toward this goal.

On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt the financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. I request that the Commission set the capacity charge that alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65300

Received: 3/7/2012 8:58:22 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65300 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:58 AM

#### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Victor Blasutta

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 5548 Glasgow Place
- Columbus, Ohio 43235
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-562-0646

• Alternative: 614-716-1000

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: gp5548@columbus.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Other

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric power
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please consider the AEP motion for relief on the decision to revoke AEP ESP plan. This is a good company and employes many people in Ohio, please do not jeapordize the balance of our fragile states economy. Please decide in favor or AEP's motion for relief.

Thanks for your consideration

Victor Blasutta

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65160

Received: 3/7/2012 7:33:32 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65160 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:33 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Georgia Crosby

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1RP
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: 614-716-2805
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: gmcrosby@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am an AEP employee and I wish to comment on the February 23 decision by the PUCO. Over the last 10 years, our company, through support of the PUCO, provided lower market rates to Ohio customers via our many low-cost power plants. We are now embracing and have welcomed the new competitive, deregulated model, which will take 3 years to implement.

Yet, I am troubled by the recent PUCO decision on February 23 that has enabled competitors owning very few or no generation assets in Ohio to use our generation plants to serve customers at an extremeley low price. This makes for an unlevel, unfair playing field in Ohio, and that is NOT competition. That is placing an unfair burden on our company while other companies lure our customers away by offering lower prices on generation we are producing. What message does that give to investors in Ohio businesses in general? If this is how a company like AEP is treated, what does that mean for them? Why would a company want to move their business here?

Please help to rectify this situation by setting the capacity charge that alternative suppliers must pay to use our generation assets to \$255/MWday until the PUCO and AEP come up with a workable rate plan.

I ask this as an AEP employee (whose job may actually now be on the line), as an electricity consumer in this state, as a taxpayer and voter in the state of Ohio, and as a believer in fairness in competition.

Thank you. --Georgia Crosby

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65157

Received: 3/7/2012 7:31:31 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65157 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:31 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Thomas Festi

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

#### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 10513 Brettridge Drive
- Powell, Ohio 43065
- USA

#### PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: (614) 764-1145
Alternative: (614) 716-2629
Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tjfesti@gmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: American Electric Power
Name on account: Thomas J. Festi

• Service address: 10513 Brettridge Drive

Service phone: (614) 764-1145(no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-345-EL-SSO and 11-348-EL-SSO I am writing to ask that you take favorable and immediate action regarding AEP Ohio's petition. If Ohio is going to a competitive electric environment, than Ohio needs many strong electric companies to compete for customers. Without a strong AEP in the competition, I fear what will happen to electric rates, and to the families and businesses in the state. AEP Ohio Power has a tradition of low rates and is a respected company in the electric industry. AEP is also headquartered in Columbus, Ohio and a major employer throughout Ohio. Again I request that you take favorable and immediate action on AEP Ohio's petition

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65278

Received: 3/7/2012 8:41:44 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65278 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:41 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Mario Piciucco

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

# PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: 614-716-2793
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mlpiciucco@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65340

Received: 3/7/2012 9:38:23 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65340 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:38 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Brian Rupp

**CONTACT SENDER?** Yes

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 155 West Nationwide Blvd
- Suite 500
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

• Alternative: 614-583-6193

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: bkrupp@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am an employee of American Electric Power in their Regulatory Services Department. I do not work on cases involving Ohio but I hear plenty about them, in the office as well as in the local media, because Ohio is so vital to our business' success. I am very concerned that an order, that was unanimously approved by the PUCO, was unanimously revoked shortly thereafter by the very same Commission. This type of regulatory uncertainty only harms our business, and creates a situation where the Commission's willingness to revoke its own orders negatively impacts our customers, shareholders, and employees. I ask that the Commission take immediate and favorable action regarding AEP Ohio's petition requesting relief and expedited action. Such a decision would allow us to earn a reasonable amount while determining a best path forward for our bunisees in this state.

To: ContactThePUCO Subject: 65333

Received: 3/7/2012 9:25:49 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65333 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:25 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Abbie Fellrath

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 8031 Griswold Drive
- New Albany, Ohio 43054
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614) 949-8051

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: AbFellrath@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# **ACCOUNT INFORMATION:**

• Company: AEP Ohio

• Name on account: Abbie F Fellrath

• Service address: 8031 Griswold Drive, New Albany, OH 43054

Service phone: 614-949-8051(no account number provided?)

#### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please docket these comments in cases numbered 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

I am writing to ask that the PUCO take immediate and favorable action on AEP's petition in the above-referenced cases. In the interest of full disclosure, I am an employee of American Electric Power Service Corporation in Columbus, though these comments are my own. I have worked for

AEP since April 2001, originally based in our offices in Fort Wayne, Indiana. In 2006 I requested the opportunity to relocate to Columbus and by September of that year, I was a proud resident of the State of Ohio. In my short time living here, I have been impressed with my company's interaction with its regulators and have found that all stakeholders are well-served by the collaboration of all parties in regulatory proceedings - - until now.

I am not a regulatory expert but I know a lot of people who are, whether advocating on behalf of citizens, ratepayers, the State or the company. In a climate where all are working to support a competitive marketplace, I encourage the PUCO to take action that will not have the unintended effect of immediate and severe financial consequences for a company that has invested so much in the electric infrastructure of this State. Please take action in support of AEP's petition to ensure that AEP maintains a competitive presence in the State of Ohio.

Thank you.

To: ContactThePUCO Subject: 65406

Received: 3/7/2012 10:54:49 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65406 AT:03-07-2012 at 10:54 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mr. Nathan Roberts

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

### MAILING ADDRESS:

- 333 S. Washington St
- Greenfield, Ohio 45123
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 9379810143

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: nathan roberts@frontier.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

Name on account: Nathan RobertsService address: 333 S. Washington St

Service phone: 9379810143(no account number provided?)

## COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

Please have the following comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

This is not a complaint against AEP, it is against the recent actions of the PUCO. I would like to express my concern over the recent unprecedented actions of the PUCO. I feel they are

completely unwarranted and uncalled for. I do not feel that the PUCO has the right to revoke a signed agreement by multiple parties. If a mistake is made a new agreement should be reached to make necessary changes. On your site you state "On September 7, 2011, AEP and 19 other parties representing a wide range of interests filed a stipulation that settles many of the issues in the ESP proposal and several other cases. The stipulation was signed by AEP, PUCO Staff, the Ohio Manufacturers Association, the Ohio Energy Group, environmental groups, and energy suppliers among others. The PUCO publicly vetted the agreement during 13 days of hearings at which 30 witnesses provided testimony and faced cross-examination." How can any such agreement reached over so much deliberation be revoked without notice? I feel that this action proves that PUCO does not have the best interest of Ohio customers in mind. The PUCO should be held accountable for the wasted time and effort of the "13 days of hearings at which 30 witnesses provided testimony and faced cross-examination". A blatant waste of time and money does not achieve the mission to "to assure all residential and business customers access to adequate, safe, and reliable utility and transportation services at fair prices, while facilitating an environment that provides competitive choices.". Also forcing a company to give handouts to its competitors as stated in the Dispatch "This rate, called the capacity charge, is what AEP is allowed to charge its competitors for the use of AEP's infrastructure when an AEP customer decides to switch to a competing power company. At present, the capacity charge is lower than AEP's cost to provide the electricity, resulting in a financial hemorrhage for AEP and a gift to its competitors." does not foster a competitive market. Furthermore I feel that as a customer my access to utility services may be negatively impacted after statements like "American Electric Power's top executive says the company will have to make drastic cuts in jobs and investment" also from the Dispatch. Given information like "AEP employs over 6,000 people in Ohio, paying about \$669 million in annual wages. They pay about \$348 million annually in taxes in the state and purchased \$2.6 billion in goods and services from Ohio companies.", I think we would be seriously mistaken to run them out of town! Please reconsider these actions and please allow fair competition again! Please do not leave AEP without any incentive to be in Ohio! Thanks for your considerations.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65159

Received: 3/7/2012 7:33:17 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65159 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:33 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Matthew Curtis

CONTACT SENDER? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1524 Doone Road
- Columbus, Ohio 43221
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-486-6958

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mpcurtis78@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

• Name on account: Matthew Curtis

• Service address: 1524 Doone Road Columbus OH 43221

Service phone: 614-486-6958(no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

Like most people, I am not in favor of paying higher rates for my utilities, but I also understand the many constraints within which our public utilities operate. There is simply no easy way for a company like AEP that has operated as a regulated utility for 100 years to transition to a competitive entity overnight. If Ohio really wants a competitive market for electric generation (which I question the logic of given the disasters that have occurred in states that have tried competition like California, New Jersey, and Maryland), companies have to be given the

opportunity for a smooth transition. If Ohio believes that competition in this industry is the right long-term choice, than a couple of years of transition should not be a huge hurdle. I support giving AEP Ohio an opportunity to transition to competition through some type of transition rider until they are fully engaged in the competitive market.

I also ask the Ohio legislature and Commission to re-evaluate the benefits of competition. A regulated marketplace has served Ohio customers well for many years, and a law written and enacted when competition was the "in vogue" thing to do may not still be the best thing for Ohio citizens. I think revisiting SB 221 may be appropriate given the changing world in which we now live.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65186

Received: 3/7/2012 7:52:13 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65186 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:52 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. john schmidt

**CONTACT SENDER?** Yes

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 4998 bantam ct
- hilliard, Ohio 43026
- USA

#### PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: 614-319-3288
Alternative: 614-542-7566
Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jes.jschmidt@gmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

Name on account: john e schmidt
Service address: 4998 bantam ct
Service phone: 6143193288
(no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please docket my comments in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

To have healthy and viable competition in any industry, more than one organization needs to be capable of competing on an equitable playing field.

Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive environment, Ohio's economic recovery can not be sustainable due to the uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electricity service.

Competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. We ask for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so that all Ohioans can be successful. Reliable and affordable electricity is vital for economic health, security, and well being.

To this point please consider immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65185

Received: 3/7/2012 7:51:27 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65185 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:51 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Stacey MacDonald

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 3005 Eagle Crest Dr.
- Zanesville, Ohio 43701
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-502-1796
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: semacdonald@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

thank you

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65315

Received: 3/7/2012 9:05:42 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65315 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:05 AM

## Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Sarah Hunyadi

**CONTACT SENDER? No** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-716-3041

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: shunyadi@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

# ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like my comment to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. Failure to do so will have a devastating result on Ohio's economic situation. Thank you.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65183

Received: 3/7/2012 7:51:11 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65183 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:50 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Yared Ayele

CONTACT SENDER? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 493 Winding willow way
- Columbus, Ohio 43213
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

Home: 2404769751

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: Yaredumd@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: American Electric Power
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt the financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP plays a vital role in the community and for the states over all economy. We can not afford to lose companies like AEP from Ohio because of a lack of business incentive by the state. I ask the Commission to take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65310

Received: 3/7/2012 9:01:40 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65310 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:01 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Jerra Thomas-Schlagheck

## **CONTACT SENDER? Yes**

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 9490 Cooper Road
- Johnstown, Ohio 43031
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 740-967-1492

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jerraschlagheck@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Other

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

## To Whom It May Concern:

I want my comments docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

If AEP leaves Ohio, electric prices will go through the roof. We are already struggling to pay

electric bills, please consider what you are doing to residents and businesses in Ohio.

I support a competitive electricity market, but the transition tneeds to be reasonable.

On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt the financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. I support AEP's request that the Commission set the capacity charge that alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

Please realize what you are doing to residents, businesses and future businesses. I am confident you will act quickly and fairly for AEP and all Ohioans.

Jerra Thomas-Schlagheck

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65336

Received: 3/7/2012 9:30:06 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65336 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:30 AM

### Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Waylon Binkley

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 950 S Pickaway St
- Circleville, Ohio 43113
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 614-266-7650
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: waylonbinkley@gmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like these comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

I would like for the PUCO to take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition. The unprecedented revocation of the agreement by the PUCO on February 23rd will be detrimental to Ohio's economy, causing the loss of jobs, and a decrease in competition among electric utility

providers throughout the state. AEP has always been a great partner to the state of Ohio and its residents. They are philanthropic to our communities (large and small), employ many of our friends and family members, and contribute considerably to Ohio's tax base.

Please do your part in ensuring that AEP can conduct business in a fair and reasonable fashion by taking immediate and favorable action on the petition before you.

Sincerely, Waylon Binkley

To: ContactThePUCO Subject: 65349

Received: 3/7/2012 9:45:26 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65349 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:45 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Christine Craig

CONTACT SENDER? No

#### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1208 Westwood Drive
- Lewis Center, Ohio 43035
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614)-216-5312

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (888)-854-0447

E-MAIL: randccraig@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am an employee as well as a consumer of AEP. I am very concerned about the current status of rates and of future impact on AEP's ability to continue to employee me. Please work together with AEP and seek a solution that is realistic with regard to addressing the coal powered plants and other EPA concerns. The uncertainty of this issue is very stressful and with everything else my husband and I face with the increase in gas prices for our car, taxes, food, housing, it is just too much to bear. Again, please seek to find a solution that we can all live with. My husband is now 65 years old, I am 62 and without my job I will certainly lose our home. The thought of starting over is very overwhelming and frankly we don't know if we can. Please help us and others like us that only want to continue to work and live in central Ohio. Thank you.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65240

Received: 3/7/2012 8:21:31 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65240 AT:03-07-2012 at 08:21 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. OMAR HELLALAT

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 2512 MAXWELL AVE
- LEWIS CENTER, Ohio 43035
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 6149064747

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: hellalat@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

Company: AEP

Name on account: OMAR HELLALAT
Service address: 2512 MAXWELL AVE

• Service phone: 6149064747

• (no account number provided?)

# COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65343

Received: 3/7/2012 9:39:09 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65343 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:39 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Thomas Johnson

CONTACT SENDER? No

## MAILING ADDRESS:

- 9106 Calverton Terrace
- Pickerington, Ohio 43147
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614) 322-1213

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tfj3@att.net

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

My comments refer to case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. I am both an Ohio rate payer and 30-year employee of AEP. While I realize that the issues facing the PUCO regarding AEP's ESP are complex, they need to be resolved quickly and fairly to AEP. I do not believe PUCO has treated AEP fairly in this dealing, claiming that they had no idea that small businesses and other rate payer classes were going to suffer dramatically increased rates. In a year of studying the ESP, did no one at PUCO do the due diligence that even the Columbus Dispatch

was able to do? No. Was tossing the whole "agreement" out the window upon discovering their own oversight a good idea? No. It's time for the PUCO to wipe the egg off it's face, and do its job, not point its finger at anybody else. Clean this up, do it fast, be fair to AEP and MAYBE, regain some smidgeon of respect as a governing body. If not, I will be the first one on the train out of Ohio when AEP picks up stakes and sells out of this ridiculously overtaxed, improperly governed state.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65418

Received: 3/7/2012 11:24:11 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65418 AT:03-07-2012 at 11:24 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Dave Lucas

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-716-2087

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: dalucas@aep.com

INDUSTRY:Other

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This comment is in regards to PUCO's recent actions taken towards American Electric Power's rates in Ohio. The manner in which the PUCO communicated their misunderstandings of the rates they approved and tried to place the blame for the rate structure on AEP is very disappointing.

As an employee at AEP that works hard every day to provide safe, reliable, and affordable electricity to my family members, community, and the rest of the state of Ohio (as well as all other jurisdictions)... the treatment that AEP has received in this state is extremely unappreciative.

I can assure you that we have been working extremely hard over the past several years to work with the US EPA, US congress, state legislators, and others to continue to try to find ways to balance the investments we are being requested to make in environmental, reliability, and technical innovation all while keeping the costs down for our customers. It is a constant struggle that the majority of the public does not understand nor do they appreciate the significant efforts that AEP is putting forward on their behalf.

Most in the public also do not understand that AEP must generate reasonable profits to generate cash and raise capital to pay for the environmental, reliablity and other improvements AEP is in most cases MANDATED to make on its facilities. AEP has to COMPETE for capital which is largely based on the financial health of the company. One of the biggest downside factors that impacts our ability to compete in the financial markets is the the fact that we operate in Ohio and it (and your organization) is so unstable.

As it relates to the matter currently being contemplated in Ohio, I would ask that you keep a broader perspective of fairness and what is in the best interest of Ohioans in the long term. There is no doubt this is a difficult position, but at the end of the day we must maintain some incentive for utilities to want to invest in Ohio, remove the level uncertainty that is a concern for investors, and keep a vibrant infrastructure ready to support the long term future of our state.

The proposal set forth by AEP is a reasonable solution to bring us closer to the competitive market place that the state has adopted. Please support this fair solution that provides are company a platform to be competitive and be a part of the growth of this state for years to come.

Thank you for your consideration.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65359

Received: 3/7/2012 9:55:15 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65359 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:55 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Robert Suter

CONTACT SENDER ? No

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1 Riverside Plaza
- Columbus, Ohio 43215
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: 614-716-5806
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: rlsuter@aep.com

**INDUSTRY:**Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future.

Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

I believe competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. AEP has asked for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so all Ohioans can be successful. On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65037

Received: 3/6/2012 5:47:26 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 65037 AT:03-06-2012 at 05:47 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: question

NAME: Mr. David Rettig

**CONTACT SENDER?** Yes

## MAILING ADDRESS:

- 8791 Morris Rd
- Hilliard, Ohio 43026
- USA

## PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-851-0665

• Alternative: 614-716-2692

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: direttig01@gmail.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

• Company: American Electric Power

• Name on account: David Rettig

• Service address: 8791 Morris Rd

• Service phone: 614-851-0665

• (no account number provided?)

## QUESTION DESCRIPTION:

Why did the PUCO reverse their decision on the already approved rate cases for AEP? A significant amount of consideration and effort go into these cases, the impacts should have been discussed and considered before their approval, so why change your mind after people start complaining? Gasoline prices go up all the time and people complain all the time, but people also understand increased costs have to be passed on to the consumers sooner or later (they don't have to like it, and they are allowed to complain about it) However, complaints shouldn't just

stop or reverse the decision - because reversing the decision also has consequences. Reversing the decision is like admiting you didn't put enough thought or consideration into the original approval or you didn't understand what your were approving in the first place (I hope that's not the case). Please let me know why the PUCO reversed their decision for the AEP rate increases and what all was considered when reversing the rate case approval.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65361

Received: 3/7/2012 9:56:15 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65361 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:56 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Christina Montgomery

**CONTACT SENDER?** Yes

### **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 4592 Parkwick Drive
- Columbus . Ohio 43228
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

• Alternative: 614-572-3211

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mommy@ron8chris.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future.

Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all, want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

I believe competitive electricity markets can work for Ohio. AEP has asked for a fair transition to market that includes regulatory certainty so all Ohioans can be successful. On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14 and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

Please take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition.

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65378

Received: 3/7/2012 10:17:22 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65378 AT:03-07-2012 at 10:17 AM

## Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. W. David Ballard

**CONTACT SENDER? Yes** 

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 1059 Bergenia Drive
- Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-208-2883

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: dballard@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

## ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## **COMMENT DESCRIPTION:**

docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SS Please approve these cases in order to make competition fair

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65355

Received: 3/7/2012 9:52:28 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65355 AT:03-07-2012 at 09:52 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Jim Sayre

CONTACT SENDER? No

### MAILING ADDRESS:

- 777 Hopewell Dr
- Heath, Ohio 43056
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (740)0349-4072

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jrsayre@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

## COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

AEP has fully embraced the state's decision to move to electricity competition and is prepared to move forward through a fair and reasonable transition much like other utilities did. During the last decade, with the support and encouragement of the PUCO, AEP Ohio committed its low-cost power plants to serve our customers and avoided implementing higher market rates. That commitment cannot be changed overnight and will last three years into the future. Now competitors, most of whom don't have investments in Ohio and many who own no assets at all,

want to use AEP Ohio's plants to serve our customers using an arbitrary price as a basis for paying for the use of those plants. This price is extremely low, would have severe and immediate financial consequences for AEP, and could lead to unstable conditions for future investment in Ohio.

To have a healthy competitive environment in any industry, more than one company needs to be capable of competing on a level playing field. Without a reasonable transition to a fair competitive framework, Ohio's economic recovery is not sustainable due to uncertainty for investors, and long term price instability for electric service.

To: ContactThePUCO

**Subject: 65100** 

Received: 3/7/2012 6:32:06 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65100 AT:03-07-2012 at 06:32 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Stephan Haynes

CONTACT SENDER? No

## **MAILING ADDRESS:**

- 3472 Stonevista Ln
- Columbus, Ohio 43221
- USA

### PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 614-219-2924

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: srmbchaynes@columbus.rr.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

### **ACCOUNT INFORMATION:**

• Company: Ohio Power

Name on account: Stephan T Haynes
Service address: 3472 Stonevista Lane

Service phone: 614-219-2924(no account number provided?)

### COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

#### 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO

Other states that have transitioned from regulation to competition by allowing a recovery of legitimate invested generation costs. This is the intent of an MRO rate phase in or continuing an ESP rate while allowing some customers increased ability to switch. Not allowing this transition

and cost recovery endangers the financial strength of our utilities and therefore our electricity reliability.

In addition the PUCO needs to recognize that it must decide clearly what form of regime it wants - competitive or totally regulated, when the regime chosen is regulated you are giving up to market forces the decision to build the next power plant. You no longer would have the say over the existing or new generation built in the state or sold into the state. PJM would have the control over that reliability and ensure that it gets built.

Set a level playing field according to the principles of regulation established over 100 years.

Sincerely,

Stephan Haynes