

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65170

Received: 3/7/2012 7:42:22 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65170 AT:03-07-2012 at 07:42 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Tressa Hickman

CONTACT SENDER? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4615 Homer Ohio Lane
- Groveport, Ohio 43125
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (614) 836-2752 • Alternative: (614) 949-9739

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tahickman@aep.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like my comments to be docketed in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO.

On February 27, AEP Ohio filed a motion for relief and expedited action with the PUCO to halt the financial losses sustained as a result of the Commission's decision on February 23 to revoke its approval of the company's ESP plan, which the Commission had approved on December 14

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician_ _Date Processed 03-/4-/2

2012 MAR 14 PM 5: 30 PUCO

and modified on January 23. AEP has requested that the Commission set the capacity charge that alternative suppliers must pay to use AEP's generating assets at \$255/MWday until a final ESP has been approved.

I am asking that you take immediate and favorable action on AEP Ohio's petition

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 65531

Received: 3/8/2012 11:57:30 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 65531 AT:03-08-2012 at 11:57 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Pam Bonifield

CONTACT SENDER? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10010 East Athens rd.
- Roseville, Ohio 43777
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: Pamela L Bonifield/AEPIN@AEPIN

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please put my comments in docket in case nos. 11-346-EL-SSO & 11-348-EL-SSO. I do not agree with overturning a roling that was in affect. That only hurts the company and employees. You may be costing people jobs. Not only the Employees of AEP but also the contractors they use and suppliers for materials in Ohio. What happens when AEP decides to pull out of Ohio and go to another state that will welcome their taxes and income with open arms.