BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of Pilot)	Case No. 12-609-EL-ATA
Tariff Rates TD 2012 and PTR 3.0.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case involving the charges that Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Company") proposes to collect from residential customers participating in the Company's time-of-use and rebate pilot SmartGrid programs. OCC is filing on behalf of all of the Company's approximately 660,000 residential electricity consumers. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON INTERIM CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry L. Etter, Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-7964

etter@occ.state.oh.us

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of Pilot)	Case No. 12-609-EL-ATA
Tariff Rates TD 2012 and PTR 3.0.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On February 9, 2012, the Company filed an application proposing two pilot SmartGrid programs: one is a time-of-use rate structure pilot offering three variations that reflect different ratios of peak to off-peak pricing²; the other is a peak time rebate pilot whereby customers on the Company's standard residential rate may receive a rebate of \$0.28 for every kWh of reduction that they take, in comparison to their baseline usage during a peak period of 2:00 to 7:00 p.m.³ OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the Company's approximately 660,000 residential electricity customers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential consumers may be "adversely affected" by these cases, especially if the consumers were unrepresented in a proceeding involving the rates that the Company's residential customers may pay for electricity. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

-

² See Application (February 9, 2012) at 3-4.

³ See id.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the Company's residential consumers. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for consumers will include, *inter alia*, advancing the position that the Company's customers should receive adequate service at a reasonable rate under Ohio law.⁴ OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information

-

⁴ R.C. 4905.22.

that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case in which the Commission must address whether the Company is providing adequate service under Ohio law.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention.⁵

3

-

⁵ See *Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential consumers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON INTERIM CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry L. Etter, Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-7964 etter@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission this 29th day of February 2012.

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry L. Etter Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William Wright
Chief, Public Utilities Section
Attorney General's Office
180 E. Broad St, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us

Amy B. Spiller
Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke Energy Business Services, Inc.
155 East Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/29/2012 3:03:16 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0609-EL-ATA

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Etter, Terry L.