BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

[c.∥

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC Case No. 10-2000 Case No
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC)))) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO)))))) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO))))))))))
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)) Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM) Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM)
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)) Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA))
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)) Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA)
In the Matter of the Commission Review Of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC))
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.)) Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR))
)) Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR) the images appearing are an production of a case file production of a case file
in the contract in the contrac	Date Processed FEB 17 2012

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record) Frank P. Darr Joseph Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com

February 17, 2012

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.)))	Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Commission Review Of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)))	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.)))	Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.))))	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

Pursuant to Section 4903.10, Revised Code, and Rule 4901-1-35, Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC"), Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") respectfully submits this Application for Rehearing of the Entry issued by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") on January 23, 2012 regarding the Detailed Implementation Plan ("DIP") filed with the Commission on December 29, 2011 by Ohio Power Company ("OP").¹ The Commission's Entry is unreasonable and unlawful for the following reasons:

- 1. The Commission's January 23, 2012 Entry Is Unreasonable Because It Fails to Provide that All CRES Suppliers Supplying Customers Through Governmental Aggregation Programs That Have Completed the Necessary Process to Take Service by December 31, 2012 Shall Have Access to RPM-Priced Capacity.
- 2. The Commission's January 23, 2012 Entry Is Unreasonable and Unlawful Because It Artificially Limits Governmental Aggregation Programs Ability to Secure Access to RPM-Priced Capacity By Imposing a December 31, 2012 Deadline to Completing the Necessary Process to Take Service In OP's Service Territory.

As discussed in greater detail in the Memorandum in Support attached hereto,

IEU-Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Application for Rehearing

and clarify and modify its Entry in accordance with this Application for Rehearing.

¹ The DIP was filed on December 29, 2011 on behalf of both OP and the Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP"); however, throughout this Application for Rehearing all filings by these two companies are referred to as being filed by OP because CSP has since completed its merger with OP.

Respectfully submitted,

Thaid lat

Samuel C. Randazzo Frank P. Darr Joseph Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.)))	Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Commission Review Of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)))	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.))))	Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel))	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.))	

•

,

I. INTRODUCTION

On September 7, 2011, OP presented the Commission with a Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") purporting to settle OP's pending electric security plan ("ESP") application as well as all the other proceedings proposed to be consolidated with the ESP, including OP's capacity proceeding to establish the state compensation mechanism. To resolve OP's capacity proceeding, the Stipulation proposed, among other things, to establish a two-tiered generation capacity service pricing scheme ("shopping caps") designed to limit the ability of customers to beneficially exercise their "customer choice" right.² Under the two-tiered plan, competitive retail electric service ("CRES") providers of customers under the first tier have access to capacity priced by the Reliability Pricing Model's ("RPM") competitive bidding process ("CBP") established by PJM Interconnection ("PJM"), while CRES providers of customers in the second tier are required to pay an arbitrary \$255/MW-Day charge for capacity. The Stipulation proposed to cap access to tier one in 2012 at 21% of OP's annual load and proposed to increase the annual load eligible for RPM-priced capacity by roughly 10% for 2013 and again for 2014. The determination of which customers are under the shopping caps is based on a queuing process generally described in the DIP.

 $^{^{2}}$ FES Ex. 1, TCB-8 ("So the thought and the theory [of the shopping caps] is that the shopping will be constrained to the RPM price.").

On December 14, 2011, the Commission modified and approved the shopping caps.³ Of importance to this Application for Rehearing was the Commission's modification due to OP's failure to carry its burden of proof to support application of the new and higher capacity charge to governmental aggregation programs. The Commission rejected the Stipulation's inclusion of governmental aggregation programs in the shopping caps, finding that governmental aggregation programs had proven to be effective in promoting retail competition.⁴ The Commission further held that "any customer located in a governmental aggregation programs are not subject to the shopping caps,⁶ the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order allowed customers shopping through governmental aggregation programs to continue to receive the CBP-based RPM-priced capacity.

OP, however, sought to implement the Commission's modifications in a manner that was not consistent with the letter and spirit of the Commission's Opinion and Order. In a filing on December 29, 2011, OP filed a revised DIP that contained several revisions that restricted access to RPM-priced capacity in violation of the Opinion and Order.

⁵ Id.

³ The Commission's other modification required that OP maintain the pro rata share of the customer class-specific capacity set-asides. Thus, for 2012 each customer class will maintain their respective 21% allocation (of OP's annual load) of RPM-priced capacity. Opinion and Order at 54-55 (Dec. 14, 2011).

⁴ *Id.* at 54. "It is the state policy to ensure the availability of unbundled and comparable retail electric service to all customer classes, including residential customers, and governmental aggregation programs have proven to be the most likely means to get substantial numbers of residential customers to become the customer of a CRES provider." *Id.*

⁶ Although the Commission held that it was necessary to modify the Stipulation's proposal to include governmental aggregation in the shopping caps, the Commission then subjected the governmental aggregation programs to a deadline that requires the programs complete the necessary process to take service from a CRES provider by December 31, 2012. *Id*.

IEU-Ohio and FirstEnergy Solutions ("FES") opposed OP's December 29, 2011 filing, and moved for a Commission order directing OP to comply with the Commissionordered modifications. On January 23, 2012, the Commission ordered OP to comply with the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order. The January 23, 2012 Entry held that:

- The capacity allotments for each customer class were to remain at 21% and any unused allotment could not be allocated to other customer classes;
- (2) Governmental aggregation programs were not subject to inclusion in the customer-class specific shopping caps and could not be counted towards the 21% customer class-specific shopping caps;
- (3) All governmental aggregation programs approved up to and including the communities that passed governmental aggregation programs in the November 2011 elections were eligible for access to RPM-priced capacity outside of OP's customer class-specific shopping caps if they took steps necessary to take service prior to December 31, 2012;
- (4) Mercantile customers in governmental aggregation programs could access RPM-priced capacity; and
- (5) The Commission was retaining continuing jurisdiction over OP's shopping caps to determine if adjustments to the shopping caps in 2013 and 2014 and the first five months of 2015 would be necessary.⁷

For the reasons discussed below, the Commission should grant rehearing to clarify that the January 23, 2012 Entry allows access to RPM-priced capacity for all governmental aggregation programs, regardless of when they were or will be authorized and implemented, so long as the necessary process has been completed to take service from a CRES provider by December 31, 2012. Consistent with the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order, the Commission should also explicitly hold that CRES providers of customers in governmental aggregation programs that complete the

⁷ Entry at 3-6 (Jan. 23, 2012).

necessary process by December 31, 2012 will retain access to the RPM-priced capacity in 2013, 2014, and first five months of 2015 (at which time OP will conduct a CBP auction and all of OP's customers will return to having access to RPM-priced capacity).

Further, the Commission should also modify its Entry regarding governmental aggregation programs' access to RPM-priced capacity for those programs that do not complete the necessary process to take service until 2013 and thereafter. Specifically, the Commission should hold that customers in governmental aggregation programs that complete the necessary process to take service from a CRES provider after December 31, 2012, may also continue to secure RPM-priced capacity consistent with the policy in the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order that supports access to RPM-priced capacity for all governmental aggregation programs.

II. ARGUMENT

1. The Commission's January 23, 2012 Entry Is Unreasonable Because It Fails to Provide that All CRES Suppliers Supplying Customers Through Governmental Aggregation Programs That Have Completed the Necessary Process to Take Service by December 31, 2012 Shall Have Access to RPM-Priced Capacity.

The Commission's December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order modified the Stipulation filed in this proceeding by rejecting the Stipulation's inclusion of governmental aggregation programs in the shopping caps.⁸ As the Commission explained, it found "it necessary to modify the proposed Stipulation ... to ensure that <u>any</u> customer located in a governmental aggregation community" would have access to RPM-priced capacity.⁹ The Commission's only limitation on governmental aggregation

⁸ Opinion and Order at 54 (Dec. 14, 2011).

⁹ *Id.* (emphasis added).

programs' continuing ability to proceed with shopping at RPM-priced capacity was that the community, or its CRES provider, complete "the necessary process to take service in [OP's] service territory by December 31, 2012.^{*10}

¹³ Entry at 4 (Jan. 23, 2012).

9

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ On December 29, 2011, OP filed a revised DIP to implement what it believed were the Commission's modifications to the shopping caps.

¹² PJM's Reliability Assurance Agreement ("RAA") at 112, available at: <u>http://www.pjm.com/~/media/documents/agreements/raa.ashx</u> [the default price of capacity for a fixed resource requirement ("FRR") entity under the RAA is the RPM price established in Attachment DD of PJM's Open Access Transmission Tariff]; Attachment PJM's Open Access Transmission Tariff]; Attachment PJM's Open Access

While IEU-Ohio believes that the Commission's actions to this point clearly indicate that RPM pricing for generation capacity service shall continue to apply to shopping through governmental aggregation programs regardless of the shopping that occurs within the shopping caps, OP's intentions to push and pull in the opposite direction are also clear. And, IEU-Ohio expects that OP will continue to try to fill any gap in the Commission's chosen words with language that advances OP's campaign to discourage large scale governmental aggregation programs. In this regard, IEU-Ohio urges the Commission to further clarify the January 23, 2012 Entry to make it clear that governmental aggregation programs, regardless of when they were approved, which complete the necessary process to take service in OP's service territory by December 31, 2012, will have access to RPM-priced capacity.

As the Commission stated in the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order, it was the Commission's intent to continue to make available RPM-priced capacity to "any customer located in a governmental aggregation community" to ensure customers continue to have access to unbundled and comparable retail electric service.¹⁴ In the Opinion and Order, the Commission did not indicate any restriction on the modification other than completing the necessary process to take service in OP's service territory by December 31, 2012.¹⁵ However, OP has already taken the position that the Commission's preservation of RPM-priced capacity need not extend to programs adopted in 2012.¹⁶

¹⁴ Opinion and Order at 54 (Dec. 14, 2011).

¹⁵ *Id*,

¹⁶ Ohio Power Company's Application for Rehearing at 8-9 (Feb. 10, 2012).

Data published on OP's website on February 14, 2012¹⁷ now show that but for the preservation of RPM-priced capacity for governmental aggregation programs, the amount of RPM-priced capacity available under the shopping caps is essentially gone well into or through 2014.¹⁸ While IEU-Ohio believes that RPM-priced capacity should be available to all shoppers, OP's own numbers show that its effort to shut down access to RPM-priced capacity by governmental aggregation programs will impose the much higher \$255/MW-Day charge on thousands of customers besieged by large electric bill increases at a time when market-based electric prices are declining elsewhere.

As the Commission determined, the State policy is to ensure the availability of unbundled and comparable retail electric service to all customer classes.¹⁹ OP, through its statements²⁰ and its filings,²¹ has made it clear that it will narrowly construe the Commission's order to further its own stop-shopping goals. For the reasons set out above, the Commission should grant this Application for Rehearing and clarify the extent to which it has preserved RPM-priced capacity for shopping occurring through government aggregation programs.

¹⁹ Id.

¹⁷ https://www.aepohio.com/service/choice/cres/Default.aspx.

¹⁸ The data reflects shopping as of January 20, 2012.

²⁰ FES Ex. 1, TCB 7, 8, & 9.

²¹ Detailed Implementation Plan (Dec. 29, 2011); Ohio Power Company's Application for Rehearing at 8-9 (Feb. 10, 2012).

2. The Commission's January 23, 2012 Entry Is Unreasonable and Unlawful Because It Artificially Limits Governmental Aggregation Programs Ability to Secure Access to RPM-Priced Capacity By Imposing a December 31, 2012 Deadline to Completing the Necessary Process to Take Service In OP's Service Territory.

The Commission should modify its Entry and remove the unreasonable and unlawful December 31, 2012 restriction that it has placed on governmental aggregation programs. The Commission has not unequivocally addressed the effect its modification of the Stipulation will have on customers in governmental aggregation programs that complete the process to take service from a CRES provider after December 31, 2012. As discussed above, the RPM pricing mechanism is the default capacity pricing mechanism under PJM's tariffs²² and was the default price under the state compensation mechanism,²³ but for the Stipulation.²⁴ In the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order, the Commission rejected OP's proposal to include governmental aggregation programs from the Stipulation's proposal to establish a state compensation mechanism, governmental aggregation programs should continue to operate under the previous regulatory environment.

Once the Commission determined that governmental aggregation programs were not subject to the shopping caps and the \$255/MW-Day charge, there was no reason to

²² Supra n. 16, at 9.

²³ In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company, Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC, Entry at 3 (Dec. 8, 2010). "The Commission will now expressly adopt as the state compensation mechanism for [OP] the current capacity charges established by the three-year capacity auction conducted by PJM, Inc." *Id.*

²⁴ Stipulation at 20-21 (Sept. 7, 2011).

²⁵ Opinion and Order at 54 (Dec. 14, 2011).

impose the December 31, 2012 deadline. For those communities that may not be able to meet the December 31, 2012 deadline, the Commission should clarify its Entry and hold that governmental aggregation programs that complete the necessary to process to take service in OP's service territory in 2013, and, thereafter, will continue to have access to RPM-priced capacity.

For these reasons, the Commission should grant this Application for Rehearing and unequivocally hold that the state compensation mechanism that applies to governmental aggregation programs is the capacity price set by PJM's RPM auction. This holding would be consistent with its prior holding that established the state mechanism based on the RPM price²⁶ and its decision in the December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order to not subject governmental aggregation programs to the new state compensation mechanism proposed in the shopping caps (*i.e.*, the \$255/MW-Day charge).

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should grant this Application for Rehearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel C. Randazzo Frank P. Darr Joseph Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215 **Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio**

²⁶ Supra n. 26, at 12.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Application for Rehearing and Memorandum in Support of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio* was served upon the following parties of record this 17th day of February 2012, *via* electronic transmission, handdelivery or first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

Tchaid

Matthew R. Pritchard

Matthew J. Satterwhite Steven T. Nourse Anne M. Vogel American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 mjsatterwhite@aep.com stnourse@aep.com amvogel@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur Huntington Center 41 S. High Street Columbus, OH 43215 dconway@porterwright.com

ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Dorothy K. Corbett Amy Spiller 139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, OH 45202 Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com Philip B. Sineneng Terrance A. Mebane THOMPSON HINE LLP 41 S. High St., Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215 Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY RETAIL SALES, LLC

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF ENERNOC, INC.

Terry L. Etter Maureen R. Grady Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3485 etter@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us small@occ.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Richard L. Sites General Counsel & Senior Director of Health Policy Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien BRICKER & ECKLER, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com

OH BEHALF OF OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Zachary D. Kravitz Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com zkravitz@cwslaw.com

ON BEHALF OF THE KROGER CO.

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF PAULDING WIND FARM II LLC

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017 aehaedt@jonesday.com

John N. Estes III Paul F. Wight Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20005 jestes@skadden.com paul.wight@skadden.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK

Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Thomas O'Brien BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Imcalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com tobrien@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF OMA ENERGY GROUP

Jay E. Jadwin American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jejadwin@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF AEP RETAIL ENERGY PARTNERS LLC

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com smhoward@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP AND THE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, MI 48864 Iaurac@chappelleconsulting.net

ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP M. Howard Petricoff Michael Settineri Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Joel Malina Executive Director COMPLETE Coalition 1317 F Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20004 malina@wexlerwalker.com

ON BEHALF OF THE COMPETE COALITION

Henry W. Eckhart 1200 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

Christopher J. Allwein Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 callwein@williamsandmoser.com

ON BEHALF OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL AND THE SIERRA CLUB M. Howard Petricoff Michael J. Settineri Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com smhoward@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC., CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC., DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

David I. Fein Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest Constellation Energy Group, Inc. Cynthia Fonner Brady Senior Counsel Constellation Energy Resources LLC 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 david.fein@constellation.com cynthia.brady@constellation.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC. AND CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.

Pamela A. Fox C. Todd Jones, Christopher L. Miller, Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Schottenstein Zox and Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215 pfox@hillardohio.gov cmiller@szd.com gdunn@szd.com ahaque@szd.com

ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF HILLIARD, OHIO, THE CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO AND THE ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO Sandy I-ru Grace Assistant General Counsel Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Ave., NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

David M. Stahl Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60604 dstahl@eimerstahl.com

ON BEHALF OF EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

Gary A Jeffries Assistant General Counsel Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 Gary A. Jeffries@aol.com

ON BEHALF OF DOMINION RETAIL, INC.

Kenneth P. Kreider David A. Meyer Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com dmeyer@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss Manager, State Rate Proceedings Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Stephen.Chriss@wal-mart.com

ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.

Barth E. Royer (Counsel of Record) Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 BarthRoyer@aol.com

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org

ON BEHALF OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Camille Yancy Cathryn Loucas Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449 nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org camille@theoec.org cathy@theoec.org.

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL

Douglas G. Bonner Emma F. Hand Keith C. Nusbaum Clinton A. Vince Daniel D. Barnowski SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 doug.bonner@snrdenton.com emma.hand@snrdenton.com keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com clinton.vince@snrdenton.com daniel.barnowski@snrdenton.com

ON BEHALF OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION

Jay L. Kooper Katherine Guerry Hess Corporation One Hess Plaza Woodbridge, NJ 07095 jkooper@hess.com kguerry@hess.com

ON BEHALF OF HESS CORPORATION

Allen Freifeld Samuel A. Wolfe Viridity Energy, Inc. 100 West Elm Street, Suite 410 Conshohocken, PA 19428 afreifeld@viridityenergy.com swolfe@viridityenergy.com

Jacqueline Lake Roberts, Counsel of Record 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 jroberts@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF CPOWER, INC., VIRIDITY ENERGY, INC., ENERGYCONNECT INC., COMVERGE INC., ENERWISE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND ENERGY CURTAILMENT SPECIALISTS, INC.

Robert Korandovich KOREnergy P.O. Box 148 Sunbury, OH 43074 korenergy@insight.rr.com

ON BEHALF OF KORENERGY

Benita Kahn Lija Kaleps-Clark Vorys Sater, Seymour and Pease LLC 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 bakahn@vorys.com Ikalepsclark@vorys.com

William Wright Werner Margard Thomas Lindgren John H. Jones Assistant Attorneys' General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 john.jones@puc.state.oh.us werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO Greta See Jon Tauber Attorney Examiner Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

ATTORNEY EXAMINERS