Hunter, Donielle

From: Sent: To: Subject: ContactThePUCO Thursday, February 09, 2012 9:35 AM Docketing Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 2/9/2012

Re: John Narburgh Greenhouse Ln # 2 Cincinnati, OH 45209

Docketing Case No .:

11-3549-EL-SSO

Notes:

Explained to customer that the charge for Duke was a result of the last SSO case where duke was approved to have a generation non bypassable charge regardless if someone goes with Duke or another supplier. This is something that shows on bills for all customers and allows Duke to recover costs for switching to market based pricing. The generation charge for FES is based on usage.

Customer does not feel he should have to pay the charge for Duke and stated he was going to contact the media. I told him that was his choice and again explained the above information.

Customer also stated that his bill went up, rather than down as it should. Asked customer if it was actual or estimated reading - it was an actual read. Explained that could be why his bill is higher than previous months.

accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician_____Date Processed FEB (9 2012

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV 2012 FEB -9 PH 3: 59 PUCO