

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Report of Duke Energy)	
Ohio, Inc. Concerning Its Advanced and)	
Renewable Energy Baseline and Benchmarks)	Case No. 11-2515-EL-ACP
)	
In the Matter of the Report of Duke Energy)	
Ohio, Inc. Concerning its Ten Year Advanced)	Case No. 11-2516-EL-ACP
Energy and Renewable Energy Benchmark)	
Compliance Plan.)	

**MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY THE
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL**

This proceeding involves Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.’s (“Duke”) 2010 Alternative Energy Portfolio Status Report and Ten Year Advanced Energy and Renewable Energy Benchmark Plan. As more fully discussed in the accompanying memorandum, the Ohio Environmental Council (“OEC”) has a real and substantial interest in this proceeding. As Ohio’s largest non-profit environmental advocacy organization, OEC seeks to ensure alternative and renewable energy benchmarks are implemented and deployed according to the statutory requirements of S.B. 221. In addition, the OEC’s participation will ensure the reports and compliance plans are properly scrutinized in light of the letter and intent of the legislation. The interests of OEC and its members are not represented by any existing party, and its participation in this proceeding will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved. OEC’s participation will not unduly delay the proceeding or unjustly prejudice any existing party. Accordingly, the OEC hereby moves to intervene in this proceeding pursuant to R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11.

WHEREFORE, the OEC respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene in the above captioned matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Cathryn N. Loucas

Trent Dougherty, Counsel of Record
Cathryn N. Loucas
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
(614) 487-7506 - Telephone
(614) 487-7510 - Fax
[trent@the OEC.org](mailto:trent@theOEC.org)
cathy@theOEC.org

**Counsel for the Ohio Environmental
Council**

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Report of Duke Energy)	
Ohio, Inc. Concerning Its Advanced and)	Case No. 11-2515-EL-ACP
Renewable Energy Baseline and Benchmarks)	
)	
In the Matter of the Report of Duke Energy)	Case No. 11-2516-EL-ACP
Ohio, Inc. Concerning its Ten Year Advanced)	
Energy and Renewable Energy Benchmark)	
Compliance Plan.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

R.C. Section 4903.221 provides that any “person who may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding may intervene in such proceeding.” The OEC is a non-profit, charitable organization comprised of a network of over 100 affiliated member groups whose mission is to secure a healthier environment for all Ohioans. Throughout its 40-year history, the OEC has been a leading advocate for clean air, clean water, and sustainable land and energy use. The OEC was an active participant in the effort that led to the passage of S.B. 221, including the inclusion of the alternative and renewable energy benchmarks. OEC has a real and substantial interest in assuring that these benchmarks are attained and that resources expended towards these efforts are implemented and deployed in accordance with the requirements of SB 221. The attainment or non-attainment of these benchmarks, and any costs incurred, will have a direct effect on the deployment of these clean energy sources and thus the air quality within Ohio. Therefore, there can be no question that the OEC has an interest in and may be adversely affected by the disposition of this case.

R.C. 4903.221(B) outlines four factors that the Commission shall consider when ruling on a motion to intervene in a proceeding. R.C. 4903.221(B)(1) provides the Commission shall

consider “the nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest.” The OEC has several distinct interests in the disposition of this case. First, the OEC is interested in the proper type and amount of revenue recovery necessary to secure the alternative and renewable energy benchmarks. The decisions made as a result of this proceeding will have a direct impact on the current and future implementation and effectiveness of these benchmarks and other provisions mandated by S.B. 221, and thus, the further deployment of cleaner, more efficient energy production in Ohio.

Second, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(2), the Commission shall consider “The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.” Although OEC does not outline detailed legal arguments in this section, OEC maintains Duke’s report and compliance plan should be properly scrutinized by interested parties to ensure that it complies with the letter and intent of S.B. 221.

Third, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(3), the Commission shall consider “Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.” OEC has significant experience dealing with electric utilities questions before the Commission and will not seek to delay the proceeding. The OEC has been consistently involved in the development and enactment of S.B. 221, the associated rules, and as a party in numerous cases before the Commission regarding the implementation of S.B. 221 and its EE/PDR requirements. The OEC’s intervention will not unduly prolong or delay these proceedings; to the contrary, the OEC’s expertise and unique interest will add value to the development of this case.

Fourth, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(4), the Commission shall consider “Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.” As stated above, the OEC has actively participated in the implementation

of the efficiency, PDR, and renewable energy benchmarks established by S.B. 221 and in numerous other matters before the Commission.¹ As an active participant in cases before the Commission, the OEC has developed expertise that will contribute to the full development of the legal questions involved in this proceeding. Finally, as Ohio's leading environmental advocate, the OEC will be able to assure that the environmental impacts of the alternative and renewable energy benchmarks are fully developed.

The OEC also satisfies the intervention requirements outlined in the Commission's rules. The criteria for intervention established by O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A) are identical to those provided by R.C. 4903.221, with the exception that the rules add a fifth factor that the Commission shall consider when ruling on a motion to intervene. Pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A)(5), the Commission shall consider "The extent to which the [intervenor's] interest is represented by existing parties." OEC's interest is not fully represented by the existing parties. OEC is the leading advocate for Ohio's environment. No other party to this proceeding has the mission of securing healthy air for all Ohioans, and no other party has been a continuous participant in cases before the Commission for the specific purpose of furthering this mission.

Finally, we point out that it is the Commission's stated policy "to encourage the broadest possible participation in its proceedings."² The Commission should not apply its intervention criteria in a manner that would favor one environmental or consumer advocate to the exclusion of others.

¹ See Case No. 99-1613-EL-ORD, and the OEC's May 5th 2000 application for rehearing, which include numerous well-sourced recommendations for the implementation of Ohio's environmental disclosure law from other jurisdictions; see Case No. 02-565-EL-ORD and the OEC's May 24th 2002 reply comments which encompassed efforts to create compromise in a Percentage Income Payment Plan Proceeding; and see Case No. 08-935-EL-SS0 and the OEC's submission of the direct testimony of Randy Gunn, on September 29th, 2008, which provided extensive and illuminating analysis on FirstEnergy's initially inadequate energy efficiency program portfolio filing.

² *Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co.*, Case No. 85-675-EL-AIR, Entry dated January 14, 1986, at 2.

The OEC meets all the criteria established by R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A)(5) and therefore should be granted intervenor status in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the OEC respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene in the above captioned matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Cathryn N. Loucas

Trent Dougherty, Counsel of Record

Cathryn N. Loucas

The Ohio Environmental Council

1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449

(614) 487-7506 - Telephone

(614) 487-7510 - Fax

trent@theOEC.org

cathy@the.OEC.org

**Counsel for the Ohio Environmental
Council**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the Motion to Intervene by the Ohio Environmental Council and Memorandum in Support have been served upon the following parties by electronic mail this 1st day of February, 2012.

/s/ Cathryn N. Loucas
Cathryn N. Loucas

Elizabeth H. Watts
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Ohio
155 E. Broad Street
21st Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Phone: (614) 222-1330
Fax: (513) 419-1846
Elizabeth.Watts@Duke-Energy.com

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Chief, Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 6th FL
Columbus, OH 43215
William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us

Terry L. Etter
Office of the Ohio Consumers Counsel
10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-1292
Telephone: (614) 466-7964
etter@occ.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/1/2012 4:05:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-2515-EL-ACP

Summary: Motion to Intervene electronically filed by Ms. Cathryn N. Loucas on behalf of The Ohio Environmental Council