RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV



Case No. 11-6076-GA-EXM

:

:

2012 JAN 23 AM 10: 29

PUCO

In the Matter of the Application to Modify, in Accordance with Section 4929.08, Revised Code, the Exemption Granted to The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a/ Dominion East Ohio in Case No. 07-1224-GA-EXM.

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN E. PUICAN Utilities Department Rates & Tariffs/Energy & Water Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

STAFF EXHIBIT

January 23, 2012 This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician _____ Date Processed IAN 2.3 2012____

1	1.	Q.	Would you please state your name and business address?
2		A.	My name is Stephen E. Puican. My business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus, Ohio.
4			
5	2.	Q.	What is your present employment?
6		А.	I am currently employed as Co-Chief of the Rates & Tariffs/Energy &
7			Water Division in the Utilities Department of the Public Utilities Commis-
8			sion of Ohio ("PUCO").
9			
10	3.	Q.	Would you outline your academic and professional qualifications?
11		A.	I received a B.A. degree in Economics from Kent State University in 1980
12			and an M.A. degree in Economics from Ohio State University in 1983. I
13			was employed by the Ohio Department of Development, Division of
14			Energy, from May 1983 until October 1985 at which that Division was
15			incorporated into the PUCO. I have been employed in several positions at
16			the PUCO since that time and have been Co-Chief of the Rates & Tariffs /
17			Energy & Water Division since May 2005. Prior to that, I had been Chief
18			of the Gas and Water Division since 1999. In both my current and previous
19			positions I have been responsible for oversight of the Utilities Department's
20			natural gas staff which includes responsibility for all GCR cases, as well as
21			other areas relating to natural gas such as contracts, certain tariff provisions
22			and rate case issues. I have also been involved in the development and

.

,

1			evolution of Ohio's customer choice programs as well as the transition
2			from the Gas Cost Recovery commodity pricing mechanism to the Standard
3			Service Offer (SSO) and Standard Choice Offer (SCO) mechanisms. I have
4			previously testified in support of the SSO/SCO commodity pricing mecha-
5			nisms.
6			
7	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
8		A.	I am testifying in support of the Joint Motion filed on December 28, 2011
9			to modify Dominion's exemption order to allow a single combined
10			SSO/SCO auction.
11			
12	5.	Q.	What is the basis of your support for a combined auction?
12 13	5.	Q. A.	What is the basis of your support for a combined auction? As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving
	5.		
13	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving
13 14	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con-
13 14 15	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con- tinue to believe the SCO auction brings additional value in terms of a lower
13 14 15 16	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con- tinue to believe the SCO auction brings additional value in terms of a lower retail price adder than would be in the case of a stand alone SSO auction.
13 14 15 16 17	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con- tinue to believe the SCO auction brings additional value in terms of a lower retail price adder than would be in the case of a stand alone SSO auction. However, the results of the Dominion's 2010 and 2011 auctions did not
13 14 15 16 17 18	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con- tinue to believe the SCO auction brings additional value in terms of a lower retail price adder than would be in the case of a stand alone SSO auction. However, the results of the Dominion's 2010 and 2011 auctions did not result in any difference in the adder between the SSO and SCO compo-
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	5.		As I testified previously in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM involving Columbia Gas transitioning from an SSO auction to an SCO auction, I con- tinue to believe the SCO auction brings additional value in terms of a lower retail price adder than would be in the case of a stand alone SSO auction. However, the results of the Dominion's 2010 and 2011 auctions did not result in any difference in the adder between the SSO and SCO compo- nents. I continue to believe the SCO auction brings additional bidders to

•

both the SSO and SCO components. As a result I see no added value in 1 continuing separate auctions. Therefore, I recommend the Commission 2 approve the joint motion permitting Dominion to conduct a single 3 SSO/SCO auction beginning with the auction scheduled for February 28, 4 2012. This will also bring consistency to the auction formats across the 5 6 three natural gas companies that currently conduct auctions. Vectren has always conducted a combined auction and Columbia will conduct a com-7 8 bined auction for its first SCO/SSO auction in February 2012. There is no compelling reason for Dominion to not use the same model. 9

- 10
- 11 6. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

12

A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Stephen E.

Puican was served via electronic mail on the following parties of record on this 23rd day

of January, 2012.

Devin D. Parram Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Jonathan W. Airey Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com smhoward@vorys.com wjairey@vorys.com

Joseph Serio

Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 serio@occ.state.oh.us Mark A. Whitt Melissa L. Thompson Carpenter, Lipps & Leland 280 Plaza, Suite 1300 280 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215 whit@carpenterlipps.com thompson@carpenterlipps.com

David C. Rinebolt Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 drinebolt@ohiopartners.org cmooney2@columbus.rr.com