RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

DIICO

副順

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO^{2011 DEC} 23 PM 1: 12

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.)))))	Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.)))	Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Corporate Separation Plan.)	Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

Comes now Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., by and through counsel, and pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12, Ohio Administrative Code, moves to strike, on an expedited basis, the Application for Rehearing of the Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, for reasons set forth more fully in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy B. Spiller (Counsel of Record)

Deputy General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery

Associate General Counsel

139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main

P.O. Box 961

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

(513) 287-4359 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On June 20, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio) submitted an Application for an Electric Security Plan (ESP) in this proceeding. An unprecedented thirty-two parties intervened and participated in the case. The case was resolved through a stipulated settlement (Stipulation), with no intervening party opposing. The Stipulation was submitted to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) for its consideration on October 24, 2011.

The Commission adopted and approved the Stipulation by Opinion and Order issued on November 22, 2011. On December 14, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio conducted an auction consistent with the Stipulation, the results of which were approved by the Commission on December 15, 2011, in Case No. 11-6000-EL-UNC. Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively, AEP Ohio) intervened in the above-captioned proceedings and took no position for or against the Stipulation. However, AEP Ohio now seeks rehearing of the Commission's Opinion and Order on the ground that the Commission has applied the applicable statute and its own rules inconsistently.

Regardless of the substance of AEP Ohio's application for rehearing, such filing should be stricken from the record and not considered by the Commission. The supposed application for rehearing of the order in Duke Energy Ohio's ESP proceedings actually seeks a changed outcome in AEP Ohio's proceedings. Thus, it is entirely irrelevant and inapposite in this case. Further, the entire justification for AEP Ohio's position is based on a comparison between the Commission decision in these proceedings and the Commission decision in a subsequent, unrelated decision in a case to which Duke Energy Ohio was not even a party. AEP Ohio attempts to compare and analogize the factual situations in the two cases, even though no fact

related to the AEP Ohio proceeding was of record in this case. It is procedurally improper – and would be unprecedented – to raise new factual evidence at this stage in this proceeding. Indeed, AEP Ohio has not even sought leave of the Commission to do so. Its effort in this regard should be rejected and the pleading based on that effort should be immediately stricken, without further need for the expenditure of time and effort by the parties in this case.

I. AEP Ohio Does Not Seek a Remedy in this Proceeding.

The goal of an application for rehearing of a Commission order is, by definition, to change the outcome of that order. R.C. 4903.10 clearly states that such a pleading may be filed "in respect to any matters determined in the proceeding." Further, division (B) of such statute requires the applicant to "set forth specifically the ground or grounds on which the applicant considers the order to be unreasonable or unlawful." Nevertheless, AEP Ohio blatantly admits in its Application that it "does not necessarily desire to alter the overall outcome of the November 22 Opinion and Order" in this proceeding.

Rather, AEP Ohio seeks rehearing in this case to ensure that it receives equal treatment, as compared with the treatment received by Duke Energy Ohio.¹ What specific changes does it seek? It specifies several desired outcomes, none of which is the appropriate subject for a rehearing in Duke Energy Ohio's ESP proceeding. First, it states, in general terms, that the Commission must either modify AEP's ESP order or Duke Energy Ohio's ESP order, with the former being the preferred course, explaining that the only rationale for its application for rehearing here being the preservation of its right to appeal the Duke Energy Ohio ESP order. It does not explain what right it would have to appeal the Duke Energy Ohio ESP order when it actually approves of the outcome that was reached.² Second, AEP Ohio asks the Commission to

¹ Application for Rehearing at 5.

² Application for Rehearing at 6.

correct the perceived unequal treatment "by permitting AEP Ohio to transfer its generation assets at book value and grant AEP Ohio's...waiver request." Third, AEP Ohio asks the Commission to provide final approval for the transfer of its generation assets, as it did for Duke Energy Ohio.⁴ Fourth, AEP Ohio asks, in Duke Energy Ohio's ESP case, that the Commission grant full approval of AEP Ohio's structural corporate separation proposal, as it is the same relief afforded to Duke Energy Ohio.⁵

AEP Ohio's Application for Rehearing does not actually seek relief in these proceedings. Rather, in reality AEP Ohio is asking the Commission to alter the outcome in other, AEP Ohiorelated proceedings. Thus, the Application for Rehearing is procedurally inappropriate and should be simply stricken on procedural grounds.

II. <u>AEP Ohio's Application for Rehearing is Not Supported by the Record in these Proceedings.</u>

AEP Ohio's Application for Rehearing refers, for the primary basis of its single ground for rehearing, to the Opinion and Order issued in Case No. 11-0346-EL-SSO, *et al.*, relating to AEP Ohio's application for an electric security plan. That order was issued by the Commission on December 4, 2011, after the order relating to Duke Energy Ohio's ESP and many weeks after the conclusion of the hearing in such proceeding. Thus, based on the time of its mere existence, it is clear that the fact of the Commission's decision in the AEP Ohio ESP order was not of record in Duke Energy Ohio's ESP proceedings. Such proceedings were complete prior to the issuance of the AEP Ohio order. AEP Ohio cannot argue that the order in the present proceeding was wrong on the basis of a fact that was neither in existence nor admitted into the record of the case.

³ Application for Rehearing at 11.

⁴ Application for Rehearing at 12-13.

⁵ Application for Rehearing at 13.

Further, AEP Ohio has sought to gloss over the lack of possible record evidence for its argument by not even moving to add such information to the record. Regardless of the merit or lack thereof of such a motion, it was not made. AEP Ohio cannot cause the order in its ESP proceedings to exist in the record in this case by simply attaching a copy of the order to its Application for Rehearing. There is no legal basis for such a procedure. Thus, the record in these proceedings includes no reference to the Commission's order in the AEP Ohio ESP case. Rehearing on the basis of such order is therefore procedurally impossible.

AEP Ohio's Application for Rehearing is procedurally inappropriate and should be simply stricken on procedural grounds.

III. Request for Expedited Treatment.

The Company requests expedited treatment of the within motion, pursuant to O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-12(C). In order to ensure that the approved ESP will go into effect on a timely basis and in an orderly fashion, without the negative consequences that might be engendered by a pending but needless and inappropriate application for rehearing, Duke Energy Ohio desires to have its motion addressed as soon as the Commission's business may allow. Without a speedy response from the Commission, customers may not be sure what rates they will be charged, competitive suppliers may not be able to respond appropriately to market pressures, auction winners may not know whether to continue toward filling contracts and placing needed hedges, among other unintended outcomes.

Due to the December holidays and tight deadlines, Duke Energy Ohio has not contacted all parties to seek their consent to this request. Rather, Duke Energy Ohio recognizes that the above-cited rule will allow those parties a response to this motion. However, in light of the need for a ruling on this motion prior to January 1, 2012, when the new ESP will go into effect, Duke

Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the attorney examiner shorten the time allowed for any such responses, as is allowed by the rule.

IV. Conclusion,

AEP Ohio's Application for Rehearing is, in reality, a demand for a different outcome in proceedings other than those above-captioned. It is therefore not in compliance with applicable law. Further, AEP Ohio seeks to justify such Application for Rehearing on the basis of facts not of record in the above-captioned proceeding. For both of these reasons, the purported Application for Rehearing should be immediately stricken on procedural grounds.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy B. Spiller (Counsel of Record

Deputy General Counsel' Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery

Associate General Counsel

139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main

P.O. Box 961

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

(513) 287-4359 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was delivered via U.S. mail (postage prepaid), personal, or electronic mail delivery on this the 23rd day of December, 2011, to the following parties.

Jeanne W. Kingery

Steven Beeler
John Jones
Assistant Attorney Generals
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us
John.jones@puc.state.oh.us

Counsel for Staff, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 tobrien@bricker.com

Counsel for the City of Cincinnati

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 E. State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com

Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Jody Kyler
David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@bkllawfirm.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
jkyler@bkllawfirm.com

Counsel for Ohio Energy Group

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street, Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

Counsel for The Greater Cincinnati Health Council

Trent A. Dougherty
Nolan Moser
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
trent@theoec.org
nolan@theoec.org

Counsel for the Ohio Environmental Council

Mary Christensen Christensen & Christensen, LLP 8760 Orion Place, Suite 300 Columbus, OH 43240 mchristensen@columbuslaw.org

Counsel for People Working Cooperatively, Inc.

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Counsel for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Jeffrey L. Small
Joseph P. Serio
Melissa R. Yost
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us
serio@occ.state.oh.us
yost@occ.state.oh.us

Counsel for the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Lisa G. McAlister
Matthew W. Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Imcalister@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

Counsel for Ohio Manufacturers Association

Mark S. Yurick, Esq.
Zachary D. Kravitz, Esq.
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
myurick@cwslaw.com
zkravitz@cwslaw.com

Counsel for the Kroger Company

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212

Counsel for the Environmental Law & **Policy Center**

Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O.Box 1008 tsantarelli@elpc.org Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com misettineri@vorys.com

Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.

Constellation Energy Resources, LLC

550 West Washington Blvd, Ste 300

For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Constellatio

Cynthia.brady@constellation.com

Cynthia Fonner Brady

Chicago, IL 60661

M. Howard Petricoff

Michael J. Settineri

David I. Fein Vice President, Energy Policy- Midwest Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 West Washington Blvd, Ste 300 Chicago, IL 60661 David.fein@constellation.com

For Constellation Energy Group, Inc.

Energy Commodities Group, Inc. Matthew Satterwhite Allison Haedt

Erin Miller American Electric Power Service Corporal 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus OH 43215 mjsatterwhite@aep.com ecmiller1@aep.com

Jones Day 325 John H McConnell Blvd, Ste 600 Columbus OH 43215-2673 aehaedt@jonesday.com

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com

talexander@calfee.com

Ann M. Vogel American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus OH 43215 amvogel@aep.com

Counsel for AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation

Gregory Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street, Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

Counsel for EnerNOC, Inc.

Joseph M. Clark Vectren Retail, LLC d/b/a Vectren Source 6641 North High Street, Suite 200 Worthington, OH 43085 jmclark@vectren.com

Counsel for Eagle Energy, LLC

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Counsel for Vectren Retail, LLC d/b/a Vectren Source

Glen Thomas GT Power Group 1060 First Ave, Ste 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Counsel for PJM Power Providers Group

Laura Chappelle
Chappelle Consulting
4218 Jacob Meadow
Okemos, MI 48864
laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

For PJM Power Providers Group

For PJM Power Providers Group

Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com

Counsel for Direct Energy

M. Howard Petricoff
Special Assistant Attorney General
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Counsel for Miami University and the University of Cincinnati

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC. 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Counsel for COMPETE Coalition

Andrew J. Sonderman Margeaux Kimbrough Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter LPA Capitol Square, Suite 1800 65 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 asonderman@keglerbrown.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com

Counsel for Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC

Teresa Ringenbach
Senior Manager - Government and Regulatory Affairs
(Midwest)
Direct Energy, LLC
9605 El Camino Lane
Plain City, OH 43064
teresa.ringenbach@directenergy.com

For Direct Energy

M. Howard Petricoff
Michael Settineri
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Counsel for COMPETE Coalition

Joel Malina
Executive Director
COMPETE Coalition
1317 F Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20004
malina@wexlerwalker.com

Counsel for COMPETE Coalition

John W. Bentine Chester Wilcox and Saxbe LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, Ohio 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com

Counsel for American Municipal Power, Inc.

Vincent Parisi
Matthew White
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin OH 43016
vparisi@igsenergy.com
mswhite@igsenergy.com

Christopher Allwein Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, Ohio 43212 callwein@williamsandmoser.com

Counsel for the Natural Resources Defense Council

Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

M. Howard Petricoff
Lija Kaleps- Clark
Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease, LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Jesse Rodriguez
Public Policy & Affairs Manager
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348
Jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

For Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Counsel for Exelon Generation Company, LLC

David Stahl
Scott Solberg
Arin Aragona
Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg LLP
224 S Michigan Ave, Ste 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
dstahl@eimerstahl.com
ssolberg@eimerstahl.com
aaragona@eimerstahl.com

Sandy I-ru Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Ave NW Washington DC 20001 Sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Counsel for Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Anastasia O'Brien Vice President and Deputy General Counsel 10 South Dearborn St, 49th Floor Chicago, IL 60603 Anastasia.obrien@exeloncorp.com

Counsel for Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Kevin Osterkamp Roetzel & Andress LPA 155 East Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 kosterkamp@ralaw.com

Counsel for Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.

Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens, Wheeler, & Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Rdc_law@swbell.net

Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.

Gary A, Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale St, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

Jouette Brenzel 221 E Fourth St, 103-1280 Cincinnati, OH 45202 jouett.brenzel@cinbel1.com

Counsel for Cincinnati Bell Inc.

Sharon M. Hillman
Executive Vice President
10 South Riverside Plaza
Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60606
sharonhillman@mc2energyservices.com

Counsel for RESA

Barth E. Royer
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

Douglas Hart 441 Vine St, Suite 4192 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

Counsel for Cincinnati Bell Inc.

Tammy Turkenton
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Accounting & Electricity Div., Utilities Dept.
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Tammy.turkenton@puc.state.oh.us

Matthew R. Cox McDonald Hopkins of Counsel 41 South High Street Suite 3550 Columbus, OH 43215 mcox@mcdonaldhopkins.com

Counsel for Small Enterprises