

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

CHE.
W

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Ohio Power Company and Columbus	)	
Southern Power Company for	) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC	
Authority to Merge and Related	)	•
Approvals.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of		
Columbus Southern Power Company	` ·	
and Ohio Power Company for	) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO	
Authority to Establish a Standard	) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO	
Service Offer Pursuant to Section	)	
4928.143. Revised Code, in the Form of	Ś	
an Electric Security Plan.	í	
In the Matter of the Application of	j.	
Columbus Southern Power Company	) N. 11 240 FT 4 436	
and Ohio Power Company for	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM	
Approval of Certain Accounting	) Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM	
Authority.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Columbus Southern Power Company	)	
to Amend its Emergency Curtailment	) Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA	
Service Riders.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of		
Ohio Power Company to Amend its	)	
Emergency Curtailment Service	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA	
Riders.	)	~ 3
In the Matter of the Commission	)	
Review of the Capacity Charges of	) 70	
Ohio Power Company and Columbus	) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC	11.0
Southern Power Company.	<b>(</b>	20 EX
In the Matter of the Application of	′ 0	NOV 15 PM 12: 34
Columbus Southern Power Company	) Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR	٠ ١
for Approval of a Mechanism to		<b>↑</b> Ald
Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered		7
Under Section 4928.144, Ohio Revised	)	
Code.	)	
This is to certify that the i	mages appearing ere an	

In the Matter of the Application of	)
Ohio Power Company for Approval of	) `
a Mechanism to Recover Deferred	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section	)
4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.	· ·
(Consolidated)	,

## MOTION TO STRIKE OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION

Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation ("Ormet"), by its undersigned counsel, respectfully moves the Ohio Public Utilities Commission to strike from the Joint Initial Brief of the Undersigned Signatory Parties (1) the kWh tax exemption discussion that starts in the first full paragraph on page 47 with "As the above history reflects, ..." and continues through the second full paragraph on page 48, ending with "... as reflected in the Stipulation" and (2) the discussion of Ormet's contractual history with AEP Ohio and others dating back to 1957, which begins on page 43 with the heading "i. The LFP's 250 MW monthly peak demand limit is reasonable, as Ormet has frequently been treated as a unique customer and it is not unduly discriminatory to treat them differently in this case" and continues through the end of the first partial paragraph on page 46 which ends "... on January 7, 2009." The reasons supporting this motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Emma F. Hand (PHV - 1353-2011)

Douglas G. Bonner (PHV - 1363-2011)

SNR Denton US LLP

1301 K Street, NW

Suite 600, East Tower

Washington, DC 20005

Tel: 202-408-6400

Fax: 202-408-6399

emma.hand@snrdenton.com doug.bonner@snrdenton.com

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

November 15, 2011