```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Columbus
    Southern Power Company and :Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR
4
    Ohio Power Company,
                                 :Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR
    Individually and, if
5
    Their Proposed Merger is
6
    Approved, as a Merged Company:
    (collectively, AEP Ohio)
7
    for an Increase in Electric
    Distribution Rates.
8
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Columbus
9
    Southern Power Company and :Case No. 11-353-EL-ATA
    Ohio Power Company
                                 :Case No. 11-354-EL-ATA
10
    Individually and, if
    Their Proposed Merger is
11
    Approved, as a Merged Company:
    (collectively, AEP Ohio)
12
    for Tariff Approval.
13
    In the Matter of the
    Application of
14
    Columbus Southern Power : Case No. 11-356-EL-AAM
15
    Company and Ohio Power
                                 :Case No. 11-358-EL-AAM
    Company, Individually and, if:
    Their Proposed Merger is
16
    Approved, as a Merged Company:
     (collectively, AEP Ohio)
17
    for Approval to Change
    Accounting Methods.
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

Proceedings

1	PROCEEDINGS				
2	before Ms. Katie L. Stenman, Hearing Examiner, at the				
3	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Lima Senior High				
4	School, 1 Spartan Way, Lima, Ohio, called at 6:00				
5	p.m. on Monday, October 24, 2011.				
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.				
22	222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201				
23	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 Fax - (614) 224-5724				
24					
25					

```
3
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
            Public Utilities Section
            By Ms. Katie L. Stenman
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
 5
                 On behalf of the staff of the Public
                 Utilities Commission of Ohio.
 6
 7
     ALSO PRESENT:
 8
            Mr. Matt Butler.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

•				4
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS		PAGE	
4	Marva Cowan		9	
5	Kathy Hennessy		12	
6	Barbara Garland		14	
7	James Rohrer		18	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Monday Evening Session,
October 24, 2011.

_ _ -

2.2

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you.

Go on the record. The Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio has called for public hearing at
this time and place Case Numbers 11-351-EL-AIR,
11-352-EL-AIR, 11-353-EL-ATA, 11-354-EL-ATA,
11-356-EL-AAM and 11-358-EL-AAM, caption in the
matter of the application of Columbus Southern Power
Company and Ohio Power Company, individually, and if
their proposed merger is approved as merged
companies, collectively AEP Ohio, for an increase in
electric distribution rates, for tariff approval and
for approval to change accounting methods.

Again, my name is Katie Stenman. I'm one of the attorney examiners with the Commission's legal department, and I've been assigned to preside over this hearing.

Along with me is Matt Butler, the Commission's public affairs department and Tonya Toles, the Commission's service monitoring and enforcement department.

At this time I'll take appearances on behalf of the parties and any interveners that are

```
1 here starting with the company.
```

2.2

MS. MILLER: Hi, Erin Miller on behalf of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company. At this time I'd also like to enter an appearance on behalf of my colleagues who are not present, Matt Satterwhite and Ann Vogel.

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Can you spell the names.

MS. MILLER: Satterwhite,

S-a-t-t-e-r-w-h-i-t-e, and Ann Vogel, V-o-g-e-l.

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. Are there any interveners present tonight?

On February 28, 2011 Columbus Southern

Power Company and Ohio Power Company, which are

operating companies of American Electric Power Ohio,

filed applications with the Commission for an

increase in electric distribution rates, for tariff

approval, and for approval to change accounting

methods.

This local public hearing is only one aspect of the case process. Public hearings have also occurred in Marietta and Canton and are scheduled to occur in Columbus.

An evidentiary hearing is also scheduled to begin on November 14 at 10 a.m. at the PUCO

offices in Columbus, Ohio.

2.2

The purpose of the evidentiary hearing is for the companies, Commission's staff, and other interveners to present their expert witness testimony and provide evidence in support of their positions in this case.

The purpose of tonight's public hearing, however, is to receive comments from the public. We will not be hearing from the company tonight as we already have their application.

Today is about hearing from the public, and it's your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think about AEP's proposal.

When you arrived, you were offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. If you missed that opportunity, you may still sign up at any time during the hearings.

Also at the end of the hearing I will ask if anyone wishes to give testimony who did not sign up. I'll take the witnesses in the order in which they signed up and will call you forward and ask you to take an oath that what you're about to say is the truth before you begin your testimony.

I will also ask for your name and address for the record. If you could please spell your last

name for the court reporter.

2.2

Your testimony this evening is considered part of the official record and will be reviewed by the commissioners before they make their final decision.

The attorney for AEP that is present tonight will be able to ask you questions if she so chooses. If you decide you don't wish to testify at any time when I call your name, you may simply pass.

Additionally, once you give your testimony or if you're simply here to observe, you may leave at any time.

As I said before, tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter which means that she's writing down everything that you say in typewritten fashion, so please speak plainly and clearly so that she can accurately reflect your comments.

Also if you prepared a written statement, it would be helpful if you could provide that to the court reporter at the conclusion of your testimony.

The Commission appreciates your participation in this evening's hearing, and we want to make sure that everyone who wants to testify has the opportunity to do so, so I would just ask that

1 you keep your comments to a reasonable length. 2 Are there any questions before we begin 3 taking testimony? 4 Okay. And I apologize in advance when I 5 butcher your names. 6 Marva Collins please come on up to the 7 podium. 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. Please state and spell your name for the record and 10 11 give your address. 12 MS. MARVA COWAN: Marva A. Cowan, my 13 address is 760 South Elizabeth Street in Lima, Ohio. 14 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Go ahead. 15 16 MARVA COWAN 17 presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT TESTIMONY 20 First of all, I'd like to thank you for 21 the opportunity to be here tonight. 2.2 Based on my occupation that I do, I see people that are in need every day, but tonight I'm 23

because we're working people who -- there aren't any

here to speak on behalf of myself and my family

24

25

programs, low income programs for, and I do know that there is a cost to doing business. I have an MBA, so I realize that companies are in business to make money.

However, I implore you that based on the state of the economy today, the fact that wages are not going up but expenses are going up, prescriptions are going up, all of our costs are going up including our utility costs. And so we sit back and there is a new face to the folks that now find themselves trying to figure out what it is they are going to do.

And so I implore you to think about the folks who are working, the folks who are not working too, some of them due to no cause of their own found themselves unemployed, but just to think about the people on Main Street.

And when you make those decisions that you would base those decisions on the fact that we, the people, we need help too. We also would like to enjoy our liberties and our freedoms as well.

I want to look forward to a time when I don't have to worry about how I'm going to meet my prescription costs because my electric went up and my other utilities went up and my wages didn't go up.

And so I'd just like to say on behalf of

my family, my mom who worked at Ford for 30 years who sits down at the beginning of the month when she gets her pension and her Social Security and she says to me, "You know, I feel like I'm on welfare. And that's not a good feeling." She's put her time in. She should be enjoying her retirement.

2.2

In this great country that we live in, we should be able to enjoy things without having to worry about everything.

I started this out by saying I know the cost of doing business is quite expensive. And for those of us who have jobs, we're blessed beyond measure to have those jobs because what we see every day is factories closing down, businesses right-sizing. We see factories moving to other countries.

We want to live in this country and enjoy this country and be able to live with some kind of peace of mind that we don't have to worry that every time we turn around, things are continually going up beyond our measure.

When I started out with my education I never thought that I would be sitting in back doing the same thing that other people are doing, just trying to figure out how I'm going to make it.

That's not why I went to college to get an MBA. I want to do more than just make it.

So I just ask you here tonight if you would just consider the people when you make your decisions on the rate increases, and keep us in mind because it's the people that also built this country.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. Kathy Hennessy.

(Witness sworn.)

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. Please state your name and address for the record, spelling your name.

2.2

15 KATHY HENNESSY

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

Kathy Hennessy, H-e-n-n-e-s-s-y. Kathy with a K, and I live at 5544 Reservoir Road, Lima.

And I'm one of those retired people, I am semi-retired. I still work a few hours a week, but some of the information I've heard tonight isn't quite accurate because I have my bill here from last month. And since I am a retired teacher, I also do a

lot of math.

So my distribution service for last month was 32.7 percent which is a lot higher than what she said, 25 percent.

If you add another 17 percent onto that, you're going to get almost 50 percent. And if you're on a fixed income, it's really hard to make ends meet. So I would just ask people to think about that. Think about the people that, you know, you might have a part-time job or you might be working maybe 20 hours a week, but that's just to get by. We're not getting ahead.

So think about all the people that are on fixed incomes, whether it be retired people or not before you let someone raise the rates 17 percent.

I also would like to know what the generation service is, the transmission service and the customer charge, because there's three other things that we get charged. I'd like to know what those are for. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. If you have any questions, Miss Toles is here from our service monitoring enforcement department, she may be able to answer those for you.

Barbara Garland.

14 1 (Witness sworn.) 2 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. 3 Please state your name and address, spelling your 4 name. MS. BARBARA GARLAND: What was the part 5 6 after state my name and address? 7 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: And spell your 8 name for the court reporter. 9 10 BARBARA GARLAND 11 presented herself as a public witness, and being 12 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT TESTIMONY 14 Okay. My name is Barbara Garland. I 15 live at 228 West Vine Street, Lima, Ohio. 45804 is 16 my zip. 17 My name as far as spelling is B-a-r-b-a-r-a, G., middle initial, G-a-r-l-a-n-d is 18 19 my last name. 20 I'm here today. I'm really not happy 21 with AEP. 2.2 I am on PIP. I was signed for \$39 a 23 month PIP program last November for the address I 24 live at. 25 If you total up for a year those \$39

payments for PIP, they add up to \$468. If you total up for the year what I have paid AEP for PIP, it adds up to \$476.79, but AEP claims they turned me off because I owed them PIP money.

So that's my major reason that I was here to speak tonight, but let's go on.

AEP, I've heard some of what's been spoken already tonight, and I don't understand because I am a 62-year-old woman.

When I come up as a child, our gas bills wasn't this high. Our light bills wasn't this high. When the bill came to my mother's house, it said pay this much for your electricity. It didn't pay a consumer fee, pay a fee for hunting coal, pay a fee for hunting this, a fee for hunting that, and all this extra stuff that's added in there. And, oh, excuse me, and a fee for reading the meters.

And then every month you get threatened because just about every month I get a bill that says they are disconnecting me. Guess what? AEP connected my electric on November the 10th of last year. On November the 11th, I got a bill from AEP for a hundred and some dollars, one day after they connected me when I was signed for a \$39 a month PIP payment.

And every month since then I have gotten a disconnect bill from AEP. I feel that with all this stuff going on, like I say, I don't understand. Why is all these extra charges on there that wasn't on my mom and dad's bill, but yet you guys were still making a profit when they were paying their bills?

Boy, the profit must be really good now compared to what we paid to pay our electric bills.

And the other part of it is, I, too, do not feel that AEP should have a raise or any other company when it comes to where there is an absolute necessity for the product that is being put out because the economy is not for these raises. The people cannot pay it, just like Miss Collins said, they are not getting raises on their checks, but the raises are coming to the gas company, the light companies, and all these big organizations and things that we have to pay out. And even our groceries are getting raises and our milk is up to almost \$4 a gallon now.

And here is AEP back here and they've got to be making an awful great profit because they don't pay to do their own coal anymore or anything, and if I was bringing forth a business to the public, if I was selling something to the public, I would have to

say this is my price, not add all that little stuff all in. This is my price. And then I would have to bring forth spaghetti if I was running a restaurant, for instance, or whatever. And I don't get to charge extra little undercover things here with these -- with this plate of spaghetti. So why should AEP?

If I was running a business, I would have to put forth to my business. If I go out here and open this five and dime store, I've got to bring in the five and dime merchandise to be sold. It's put on a price tag on my merchandise. So whatever I pay for that is worked in. It's put on that price tag.

After you see that price tag you don't see underneath that price tag, well, I want a fee for this and a fee for this on our bills.

There are, like, three or four different fees telling us, well, this is for the recovery of this, this is for the recovery of that. This is a customer fee payment. This is a that payment, et cetera.

Okay. And I don't feel AEP should have a raise, not only because of the economy, but because I think AEP is making enough profit already.

That's all I have to say.

```
1
                 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you.
2
                 MS. BARBARA GARLAND: Except that I'd
3
     like my lights back on because you're overpaid here
4
     and you turned me off.
5
                 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Jim Rohrer.
6
                 (Witness sworn.)
7
                 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you.
8
    Please state and spell your name for the court
9
     reporter and your address.
10
11
                          JAMES ROHRER
12
    presented himself as a public witness, and being
     first duly sworn, testified as follows:
13
14
                        DIRECT TESTIMONY
15
                 James A. Rohrer, R-o-h-r-e-r, 2134
16
    Wyandotte Drive, Lima, Ohio.
17
                 I've got so many things here I really
    don't know where to take off. I guess I kind of
18
19
     represent the gray hair society which we have
20
    somewhat heard from.
21
                 But I would like to jump into a few
22
     things. Let's just take my bill that I received
23
    August -- it was dated, I should say, August 8 of
24
    this year of '11.
25
                 And I went back and I tried to compare
```

that particular bill with the kilowatt hours that I used, and I'm one of these strange people, I guess, I save my utility bills.

2.2

And I had to go back to August of '08. Now we're only talking three years. It's not that much.

If this fly doesn't get out of here we're going to kill him.

Anyhow, the increase was this, I paid this time, this month, I should say, in August, \$145.06.

In '08, I paid 105.37. Now the variance in kilowatt hours was not right on the penny, but it was awfully close.

In '11 it was \$1,348.

In '08, it was 1,325, just a few kilowatt different.

But the increase, if you figure that out, you're talking about a 35 percent increase in three years. It's too much.

They shouldn't have been approved then, and they shouldn't be approved now.

Now, there's another area down here on the bottom of the bill that says "price to compare." In other words, if we find a competitor price, which

I understand there are some of them moving in the area now, and I had to go back a ways on this one, but let's just go back to December 7 -- December 12 of '07. At that time it was 4.3 cents per kilowatt hour that you had to beat.

2.2

This year it's 7 cents. Now, it doesn't sound like much. It's just a big deal. You know, what is it, 3.7 cents, somewhere in that range, but that's a 63 percent increase. Not a two percent or five percent, 63 percent.

And then there was other things that I noticed that through newspaper periodicals that the customer charge was going to increase from 3.82 to \$8.40 a month.

And it kind of sounds like what Dominion East Ohio has done on their gas bills. I would say probably well over 50 percent of Dominion's customers are buying their gas from other companies, but they are still getting the huge rates. They are actually on my gas bill. I know I'm off the subject. We're talking electric, but I'm going to compare this.

On the gas bill, Dominion gets more for distribution than what my company gets per hundred cubic feet of gas. That's not right. It should never have happened in the first place.

And I realize that the EPA has mandated a lot of issues. I guess it's like too much government. And they are in places they shouldn't be, and they are too hard. And I realize that the company has to abide by those. But at the same time, let's go back for the last ten, fifteen years. You knew it was coming and so did I and I'm just John Q. Public. Why didn't you upgrade your equipment then? Then you wouldn't have to be beating us to death for rate -- huge rate increases?

There is another thing I read in the -was a notification in the Lima newspaper. Of course,
number one item, the AEP (aka) Ohio Power Company,
whoever it was, they asked for distribution rate
increases. I understand that. We just discussed
that portion of it. But they also asked for tariff
approval. So evidently they are planning on changing
the tariff rating so I would like an explanation on
that tonight if you don't mind, please.

And also they ask for a change in accounting methods.

Now, I say to myself, if their accounting method is so great and fantastic the last 15 years, ten years, whatever it might be, why do we have to change now? Is it to make you guys sound poorer?

And that's my question, why? 1 I think I've pretty much stood my point. 2 3 I'm not happy with it, and I'm going to encourage the 4 Board to disallow any rate increase. In fact, I 5 encourage you to decrease it. 6 Thank you very much. I appreciate it. 7 HEARING OFFICER STENMAN: Thank you. 8 That concludes our witness list. 9 Is there anyone who did not sign up who would like an opportunity to testify? 10 11 No one? Okay. Seeing no one, I'd like 12 to thank everyone for coming out tonight. 13 This will conclude this evening's public hearing and will be submitted on the record for the 14 Commission's consideration of this case. 15 16 I'd encourage the company representatives 17 to stick around and we will stick around for a few minutes if anyone has any questions. 18 19 Off the record. 20 (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 21 6:40 p.m.) 2.2 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, October 24, 2011, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Deborah J. Guzzo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the

My commission expires June 25, 2012.

(2812-DJG)

State of Ohio.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/8/2011 12:44:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0351-EL-AIR, 11-0352-EL-AIR, 11-0353-EL-ATA, 11-0354-EL-ATA, 11-0356-EL-AAM, 1

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company hearing held on 10/24/11 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Guzzo, Deborah Mrs.