

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 62285
Received: 10/31/2011 2:18:02 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 62285 AT:10-31-2011 at 02:17 PM

11-346-EL-SSD

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Grace Franklin

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 11465 Cable Road SW
- Pastakala , Ohio 43062
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-984-6977
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: gafdkfnz@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I write in reference is to Columbus Dispatch article Oct 30,2011 G-1 by Benjamin Marrison in which he describes the AEP/PUCO negotiated settlement regarding the AEP rate hike request. He states PUCO has allowed parties to the case to keep documents sealed. He states parties to the settlement do not include consumer representatives. And he states the few documents that were provided to the Dispatch reporter, Dan Gearino, were essentially devoid of meaningful content.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician AK Date Processed NOV 07 2011

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2011 NOV -7 AM 10:19
PUCO

I would like to know why a "negotiated settlement" can proceed -- I would like to know what the stakes are for AEP and the public -- I would like to know when representatives of the public and the press will be allowed to weigh in on the terms of the settlement. I would like to know why the PUCO appears to be less than totally transparent in this rate hike.

Thank you.