

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

BEFORE

2011 OCT 28 PM 3: 39

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.)))))	Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.)	Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Corporation Separation Plan.)	Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CHARLES R. WHITLOCK

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

October 28, 2011

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 10-28-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S GENERATION PORTFOLIO	3
m.	TRANSFER OF LEGACY GENERATION ASSETS	6
IV.	CONCLUSION	10

Attachments:

CRW-1: Duke Energy Ohio Operating Generation Assets

CRW-2: Duke Energy Ohio Retired Generation Assets

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Charles R. Whitlock, and my business address is 139 East Fourth
3		Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services, LLC, (DEBS) as President
6		Midwest Commercial Generation (MCG), Commercial Businesses. DEBS
7		provides various administrative and other services to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
8		(Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and other affiliated companies of Duke
9		Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).
10	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL
11		EXPERIENCE.
12	A.	I am a graduate of the University of Alaska at Anchorage, with a Bachelor of
13		Business Studies Degree in Accounting. I am also a graduate of the Mahler
14		School of Advanced Management Skills Program and the Center for Creative
15		Leadership Developing Strategic Leadership Program. I have taken advanced
16		course work in business management at Harvard University.
17		Prior to joining Cinergy Corp. (Cinergy), I was a Senior Power Trader for
18		Statoil Energy. I also held various positions with Vitol Gas and Electric, which
19		included responsibilities for energy trading, marketing and risk management.
20		joined Cinergy in May 2000 as a power trader for Cinergy Services, Inc. I held
21		positions of increasing responsibility within the trading organization, culminating
22		in the position of Vice President, Power Trading. In 2004, I became Vice
23		President, Portfolio Optimization. In this role, I managed the commodity

- exposure related to the generation assets. I remained in this position through the merger with Duke Energy. I was named to my current position in October 2009.
- 3 Q. WHAT IS MIDWEST COMMERCIAL GENERATION?
- 4 A. MCG is the organization within Duke Energy Corporation that manages all
- 5 aspects of non-regulated generation and market participation in the Midwest.
- 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS PRESIDENT, MCG,
- 7 **COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES.**
- A. I am responsible for the Midwest commercial generation fleet, which includes the 9 generating assets that are owned by Duke Energy Ohio but are functionally 10 operated as a separate affiliate under Ohio's corporate separation rules and 11 regulations. Currently, I am responsible for the safe, reliable, and economic 12 supply of capacity and power, including fuel and emission allowances, to Duke 13 Energy Ohio's standard service offer (SSO) customers. I am also responsible for 14 the commercial risk management of all components of Duke Energy Ohio's non-15 SSO generation, including risk management associated with prices for power, 16 capacity, fuel, emission allowances, and congestion, as well as the Company's 17 participation in wholesale auctions. I have managerial responsibility for over 600 18 employees in the MCG organization. Finally, I continue to function as the 19 President of Duke Energy Retail Services, LLC, a competitive retail electric 20 service and retail natural gas provider in Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Michigan.
- 21 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
- 22 UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO (COMMISSION)?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
 CHARLES R. WHITLOCK DIRECT

PR	O	CEI	'n	IN	C)
11	•	LUL	21	11.1	U	,

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

2	A.	In the Stipulation and Recommendation filed on October 24, 2011 (Stipulation),
3		the Signatory Parties agreed that Duke Energy Ohio would transfer its generation
4		assets to an affiliate or subsidiary and further agreed to recommend waiver of the
5		Commission's rules applicable to approval for the transfer of generating assets.
6		For the Commission to evaluate the Stipulation, I understand that it must
7		determine whether the Stipulation is in the public interest and benefits our
8		customers. Thus, without intending to conflict with the provisions of the
9		Stipulation concerning the transfer and, more specifically, the waiver of certain
10		Commission rules, I address here the benefits of the contemplated transfer.

Specifically, the purpose of my testimony is to support the provisions of the Stipulation that address the transfer, by Duke Energy Ohio, of all of its directly owned current operating and retired generation assets to an affiliate or subsidiary. I also explain how transferring the generating assets currently owned by Duke Energy Ohio into a separate company benefits ratepayers and is in the public interest.

17 Q. WHAT ARE THE ATTACHMENTS FOR WHICH YOU ARE 18 RESPONSIBLE?

I am sponsoring two Attachments. Attachment CRW-1 is a list of Duke Energy
 Ohio's Operating Generation Assets, as I further describe below. Attachment CRW 2 is a list of Duke Energy Ohio's Retired Generation Assets.

II. SUMMARY OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S GENERATION PORTFOLIO

22 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S GENERATION ASSETS.

1	A.	A list of Duke Energy Ohio's currently operating generation assets, including
2		Duke Energy Ohio's current ownership share, is attached to my testimony as
3		Attachment CRW-1. The assets listed on Attachment CRW-1, which I will refer to
4		in the remainder of my testimony as the Operating Generation Assets, do not
5		include assets in which Duke Energy Ohio has no ownership share but that may be
6		owned by any subsidiary or affiliate of Duke Energy Ohio.
7	Q.	WHAT ARE THE OPERATING GENERATION ASSETS?
8	A.	The Operating Generation Assets are assets currently owned by Duke Energy Ohio
9		that were, prior to January 1, 2001, regulated assets used and useful in providing
10		retail electric service in Duke Energy Ohio's certified territory. When Ohio
11		deregulated electric generation service, this set of generating assets were
12		functionally separated from Duke Energy Ohio, the regulated distribution utility.
13		Since 2001, the energy and capacity of these plants have been dedicated to serving
14		Duke Energy Ohio's retail electric customers.
15	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MAKE-UP OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S
16		OPERATING GENERATION ASSET PORTFOLIO.
17	A.	Duke Energy Ohio has, as part of the Operating Generation Assets, an ownership
18		share in six coal-fired generating stations: Beckjord (units 1-6), Conesville (unit 4),
19		Killen (unit 2), Miami Fort (units 7 and 8), Stuart (units 1-4) and Zimmer (unit 1).
20		Duke Energy Ohio is responsible for the operation of the Zimmer, Miami Fort and
21		Beckjord stations.
22		In addition, Duke Energy Ohio owns, either in whole or in part, combustion
23		turbine (CT) facilities. These Operating Generation Assets include Beckjord CTs
24		(units 1, 2, 3, 4), Miami Fort CTs (units 3, 4, 5, 6), Killen CT, and Dicks Creek

- 1 CTs (units 1, 3, 4, 5), as well as Stuart Diesels.
- 2 Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT THE OPERATING
- 3 GENERATION ASSETS ARE FUNCTIONALLY SEPARATED FROM
- 4 THE DISTRIBUTION BUSINESS OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO?
- 5 A. The Operating Generation Assets are still owned by Duke Energy Ohio. However,
- since early this decade, due to Ohio's change in law in 1999 that initiated
- deregulation, these assets have been managed as if they were wholly owned by a
- 8 separate generation affiliate and treated as merchant assets. That is, the MCG
- 9 group is viewed as an affiliate of Duke Energy Ohio, the regulated entity, for
- purposes of complying with the Commission's rules on corporate separation.
- Duke Energy Ohio no longer earns a regulated rate of return on these assets, as it
- does on the transmission and distribution side of its business. However, since
- 13 1999, the assets have been dedicated to the customers of Duke Energy Ohio and
- these customers may choose not to take generation service from Duke Energy
- Ohio. As Duke Energy Ohio is the provider of last resort, these assets have been
- held in reserve, even if a customer switches to an alternative provider. This
- dynamic limits the Company's ability to cover its costs to maintain and operate
- these assets.
- 19 Q. WHY IS FUNCTIONAL SEPARATION AN IMPORTANT CONCEPT IN
- 20 THESE PROCEEDINGS?
- 21 A. Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation filed in these proceedings, the Operating
- Generation Assets will no longer be relied upon to provide energy for Duke
- Energy Ohio's ratepayers. However, the operation of these assets will continue
- unchanged, as the functional separation has been in place since January 1, 2001.

1 Q. DOES DUKE ENERGY OHIO OWN ANY INTEREST IN GENERATING

2 ASSETS THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY OPERATIONAL?

11

12

13

14

known.

- 3 Yes. Several of the plants owned by Duke Energy Ohio include units that have Α. 4 been retired. In addition, the Company owns a number of generating plants that 5 have been retired, some as long as almost a century ago. Duke Energy Ohio, 6 throughout its corporate history going back to the incorporation of its ultimate 7 predecessor, Cincinnati Gas Light & Coke Company in 1837, has owned numerous 8 generation facilities, the records of many of which are no longer easily located. 9 However, Duke Energy Ohio has endeavored to list, on Attachment CRW-2, as 10 many of such retired operating assets (Retired Generation Assets) as are currently
 - For the remainder of my Direct Testimony, I will collectively refer to the Operating Generation Assets and the Retired Generation Assets as the Legacy Generation Assets.

III. TRANSFER OF LEGACY GENERATION ASSETS

- 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE OBJECTIVE OF TRANSFERRING THE
 16 LEGACY GENERATION ASSET PORTFOLIO FROM DUKE ENERGY
 17 OHIO TO AN AFFILIATE OR SUBSIDIARY.
- A. The objective of transferring the Legacy Generation Assets to an affiliate or subsidiary is to allow the Company to fully embrace competitive markets. The Stipulation filed in these proceedings requires Duke Energy Ohio to transfer the Legacy Generation Assets to an affiliate or a subsidiary, by no later than December 31, 2014. The ESP under which Duke Energy Ohio will operate in providing for its customers will now be predicated upon a competitive auction pricing

mechanism. This will bring the benefits of competition in both wholesale and
retail markets to customers of Duke Energy Ohio. As is evident from the
Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio is exiting the retail electric generating function and
will rely upon market structures to provide customers with safe, reliable, and
adequate generation service. Upon the transfer of the Legacy Generation Assets,
Duke Energy Ohio will have realized the legislature's intent, as expressed more
than decade ago, to fully separate the generation business. It is noteworthy that, in
the past several years, other Ohio utilities have successfully fulfilled their SSO
obligations for non-shopping customers without directly owning generation.

Q. WHY WILL THE TRANSFER OF THE LEGACY GENERATION ASSETS

NOT OCCUR IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE STIPULATION IS

APPROVED?

A.

The process to transfer the Legacy Generation Assets will begin immediately after the Stipulation is approved, but could potentially take as long as one year, as the transfer also requires Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approval. Pursuant to FERC rules, there is a 180-day comment period, with the opportunity for the FERC to extend this period by an additional 180 days. In addition to FERC approval, a myriad of legal and other internal issues needs to be completed, including such matters as creating accounting records, determining and executing on a capital structure, systems migration, contract assignment, employee matters, and deed transfers. This work will begin after the Stipulation is approved, but will take some time to complete.

Q. WHY SHOULD DUKE ENERGY OHIO TRANSFER ITS LEGACY

24 GENERATION ASSETS TO AN AFFILIATE OR SUBSIDIARY?

The Stipulation confirms why Duke Energy Ohio should transfer the Legacy
Generating Assets to an affiliate, and this issue is discussed in detail in the
Supplemental Testimony of Duke Energy Ohio witness Julia S. Janson. I would
note, generally, that the Stipulation results in the use of a competitive bidding
process (CBP) to procure the supply needed to serve the SSO customers, reflecting
a complete severing of the nexus between Duke Energy Ohio's generation and its
load. The CBP in other territories has resulted in the lowest SSO price in the state.
The transfer of assets allows Duke Energy Ohio to effectively plan for reliable
service in the competitive market and provides clarity for Duke Energy Ohio
customers, many of whom were represented by Signatory Parties to the
Stipulation, around how their generation supply will be procured and priced in the
future. Similarly, the Stipulation protects Duke Energy Ohio's financial stability
by removing the uncertainty that comes with fulfilling the provider of last resort
obligation via owned generation.

A.

A.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW TRANSFERRING THE LEGACY
GENERATION ASSETS TO AN AFFILIATE OR SUBSIDIARY BENEFITS
CUSTOMERS AND IS REASONABLE AND IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

As acknowledged in the Stipulation, transferring the assets embraces competition.

The competitive market contemplated by the Ohio General Assembly and this Commission has finally arrived, and its perpetuation is assured via the Stipulation.

Duke Energy Ohio's customers have clearly accepted the retail electric market, making provision in the Stipulation for continued use of competitive auctions. The separation of the assets will further enhance the competitive retail electric service market by placing the generation function on a level playing field with other

wholesale and retail competitive generation providers. Ultimately, openness,
competitiveness, and transparency of the retail market are advanced by the
Stipulation. After Duke Energy Ohio initiates SSO auctions to serve its load and
its price for retail electric generation service is determined by the market, there is
no reason for Duke Energy Ohio, as a pure electric distribution utility, to continue
to legally own generation assets. All customers will benefit from the prices
available from the competitive retail and wholesale electric markets. This is
precisely what was originally contemplated with the transition plans in the early
part of the decade and what is further supported by the 2008 legislation.

Duke Energy Ohio will satisfy its obligation to provide reasonable and adequate retail electric service to its customers. Customers who do not wish to rely upon Duke Energy Ohio will still have the opportunity to find alternative pricing options through competitive retail electric service providers.

14 Q. WHEN IS DUKE ENERGY OHIO PROPOSING TO COMPLETE THE 15 TRANSFER OF ITS LEGACY GENERATION ASSETS?

- A. As set forth in the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio will complete the transfer of its Legacy Generation Assets on or before December 31, 2014. Duke Energy Ohio has agreed, in the Stipulation, to transfer such assets as soon as practicable after the Commission's approval of the Stipulation and upon receipt of necessary regulatory approvals.
- Q. WILL DUKE ENERGY OHIO OR ITS SUBSIDIARIES OR AFFILIATES

 PARTICIPATE IN DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S AUCTIONS, PRIOR TO

 COMPLETING THE ASSET TRANSFER?
- 24 A. The terms of the Stipulation allow affiliates and subsidiaries of Duke Energy Ohio

- 1 to participate in SSO auctions. However, Duke Energy Ohio itself agreed, in the
- 2 Stipulation, not to participate in such auctions for so long as Rider ESSC is in
- place, regardless of whether it has or has not yet transferred the Legacy Generation
- 4 Assets.

IV. CONCLUSION

- 5 Q. WERE ATTACHMENTS CRW-1 AND CRW-2 PREPARED BY YOU OR
- 6 UNDER YOUR DIRECTION AND CONTROL?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN
- 9 THIS PROCEEDING?
- 10 A. Yes.

Duke Energy Ohio's Operating Generation Assets are as follows:

Station	Ownership
Beckjord 1	100.00%
Beckjord 2	100.00%
Beckjord 3	100.00%
Beckjord 4	100.00%
Beckjord 5	100.00%
Beckjord 6	37.50%
Beckjord CT 1	100.00%
Beckjord CT 2	100.00%
Beckjord CT 3	100.00%
Beckjord CT 4	100.00%
Conesville 4	40.00%
Dick's Creek CT 1	100.00%
Dick's Creek CT 3	100.00%
Dick's Creek CT 4	100.00%
Dick's Creek CT 5	100.00%
Killen 2	33.00%
Killen CT	33.00%
Miami Fort 7	64.00%
Miami Fort 8	64.00%
Miami Fort CT 3	100.00%
Miami Fort CT 4	100.00%
Miami Fort CT 5	100.00%
Miami Fort CT 6	100.00%
Stuart 1	39.00%
Stuart 2	39.00%
Stuart 3	39.00%
Stuart 4	39.00%
Stuart Diesels	39.00%
Zimmer 1	46.50 %

Duke Energy Ohio's Retired Generation Assets are as follows:

Station	
Plum Street Station	
Newport Station	
Loveland Station	
Middletown Station	
West End Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
Miami Fort Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
Dick's Creek CT 2	
Miami Fort CT 1, 2	