

COMPANY EX NO.

THE PUBLIC U	TILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO				
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio) for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rate	) Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR				
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively AEP Ohio) for Tariff Approval	) Case No. 11-354-EL-ATA				
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively AEP Ohio) for Approval to Change Accounting Methods	) Case No. 11-358-EL-AAM		2011 OCT 21	RECEIVED-D	
Their Proposed Merger IS Approved, as a )       Case No. 11-358-EL-AAM         Merged Company (collectively AEP Ohio) )       O         for Approval to Change Accounting )       O         Methods )       O         PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY       O         IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE STAFF REPORTS       O         OF       SELWYN J. DIAS         ON BEHALF OF       SO         COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY       SO         AND       OHIO POWER COMPANY					

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Management Policies, Practices & Organizations

X Operating Income

Ratebase

Allocations

Rate of Return

Rates and Tariffs

Other

Filed October 24th, 2011

1

#### Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Selwyn J. Dias and my business address is 850 Tech Center Drive, Gahanna,
 Ohio 43230.

## 4 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME SELWYN J. DIAS THAT FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY 5 IN THIS PROCEEDING?

6 A. Yes.

# Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL BIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE STAFF 9 REPORTS IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. The purpose of my pre-filed supplemental direct testimony in support of objections to the Staff Reports is to address Staff responses regarding the Columbus Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's (collectively "Companies" or "Company") overall request, identify the Companies' witnesses and their corresponding objections discussed in their pre-filed supplemental direct testimonies, and the severance amortization adjustment (Obj-7).

16Q.PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANIES' ORIGINAL REVENUE17REQUEST AND THE STAFF'S POSITION REGARDING THIS REQUEST.

A. As stated by Company witness Hamrock in his direct testimony, AEP Ohio is proposing to increase its retail electric distribution rates by approximately \$94 million. The Staff recommends an increase in base rates in the range of approximately \$23 million to \$32 million for OPCo and a decrease in base rates in the

1

range of approximately \$2 million to \$10 million for CSP, with the overall effect of
 increasing base rates for AEP Ohio by approximately \$21 million.

# Q. BASED ON THE COMPANIES' ANALYSIS OF THE STAFF REPORTS OF 4 INVESTIGATION, WHAT CONCLUSIONS DO YOU HAVE?

5 A. Based upon the Companies' analysis as shown by Companies witnesses Roush, 6 Mitchell, and Bartsch in their pre-filed supplemental direct testimonies, the 7 Companies have identified numerous errors and inconsistencies made by the Staff 8 throughout the Staff Reports of Investigation ("SR") which, when corrected, results 9 in a revenue request that supports the reasonableness of the Companies' original 10 revenue request.

## 11 Q. HOW ARE THE PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONIES IN

### 12 SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE STAFF REPORTS ORGANIZED IN

#### 13 THIS FILING?

A. The Companies have nine witnesses supporting various objections to the SR in this
 filing. The following table summarizes the Companies' witnesses and the objections
 that they support in their pre-filed supplemental direct testimonies.

Witness	Title	Objection(s) Addressed
Selwyn Dias	VP Regulatory and Finance,	Obj. 7
Renee Hawkins	Managing Director Corporate Finance	Ођ. 20
Thomas Mitchell	Managing Director Regulatory Accounting Services	Obj. 2, Obj. 3, Obj. 4, Obj. 8, Obj. 10, Obj. 11a, Obj. 11d, Obj. 19
Andrea Moore	Manager Regulatory Pricing and Analysis	Obj. 5, Obj. 6, Obj. 28, Obj. 29, Obj. 30, Obj. 31, Obj. 32, Obj. 33, Obj. 34, Obj. 35, Obj. 36, Obj. 37, Obj. 38
David Davis	Manager Property Accounting Policy & Research	Obj. 9
Thomas Kirkpatrick	VP Distribution Region Operations, AEP Ohio	Obj. 10, Obj. 17, Obj. 18, Obj. 39, Obj. 40

Jeffrey Bartsch	Director Tax Accounting &	Obj. 4, Obj. 11c, Obj. 12, Obj.
	Regulatory Support	13, Obj. 14, Obj. 15, Obj. 16
William Avera	External Return on Equity	Obj. 21, Obj. 22, Obj. 23, Obj.
	Consultant	24, Obj. 25, Obj. 26, Obj. 27
David Roush	Director Regulator Pricing &	Obj. 1, Obj. 11b, Obj. 11d, Obj.
	Analysis	18

1

#### 2 Q. WHAT WAS THE COMPANY'S ORIGINAL REQUEST IN REGARDS TO

#### 3 THE SEVERANCE PROGRAM?

- A. As per my direct testimony and supported by Companies witness Mitchell, the
   Companies proposed to recover the full cost of the severance program of
   approximately \$34 million and amortize this amount over a three-year period.
- 7 Q. WHAT WERE THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE

#### 8 SEVERANCE PROGRAM?

9 A. The Staff recommended a 50 percent reduction to the amount of the severance
10 program cost to be amortized over the three year-period.

#### 11 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO REDUCE

#### 12 THE SEVERANCE AMORTIZATION AMOUNT BY 50 PERCENT?

13 A. No. Staff's recommendation concerning the reduction in severance amortization by 50 percent is based on their conclusion that the severance program was a benefit to 14 both shareholders and to ratepayers alike, therefore they should share in the amount 15 AEP Ohio's cost savings for distribution were estimated to be 16 amortized. approximately \$13.7 million annually, which is solely to the benefit of AEP Ohio's 17 customers. Since the entirety of the benefit goes to customers through reduced O&M, 18 it is reasonable that customers bear all of the costs of the severance program. 19

3

# Q. CAN YOU PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN WHY THE COMPANY'S REQUEST TO RECOVER THE ACTUAL-COST OF THE SEVERANCE PROGRAM IS REASONABLE?

4 A. The Company has asked for recovery of approximately \$34 million associated with the severance program over a three-year period. On a yearly basis, this equates to 5 approximately \$11.3. Comparing this amount to the annual O&M savings of \$13.7 6 million, customers gain an annual net benefit of approximately \$2.4 million for years 7 to come. The severance program was implemented to better allow the Company to 8 manage its costs for the benefit of customers, and it should not be penalized for 9 making prudent cost beneficial decisions. There is no difference between the cost of 10 the severance program as proposed by the Companies and the cost of any other 11 program the Companies undertake to reduce O&M expenses and to keep customers' 12 rates low. 13

#### 14 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT

## 15 **TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE STAFF REPORTS?**

16 A. Yes, it does.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Pre-Filed Supplemental Direct Testimony In Support of Objections to the Staff Reports of Selwyn J. Dias on behalf of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company has been served upon the below-named counsel via First Class mail, postage prepaid, this 24th day of October, 2011.

Matthew J. Satterwhite

William L. Wright, Section ChiefThomas McNameeWerner L. Margard IIIStephen A. ReillyPublic Utilities Commission of Ohio180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> FloorColumbus, OH 43215-3793William.wright@puc.state.oh.usThomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.usThomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us614-466-4397werner.margard@puc.state.oh.usstephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us614-466-4397

Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 <u>sam@mwncmh.com</u> 614-719-2840 joliker@mwncnh.com 614.719.5957 fdarr@mwncmh.com 614.719.2855

Counsel for Industry Energy Users-Ohio

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 <u>dboehm@bkllawfirm.com</u> 513-421-2255 <u>mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com</u> 513-421-2255

Counsel for Ohio Energy Group

Thomas J. O'BrienLisa G. McAlisterMatthew W. WarnockBricker & Eckler LLP100 South Third StreetColumbus, OH 43215-4291Imcalister@bricker.commwarnock@bricker.comtobrien@bricker.com614.227.4854

Counsel for Ohio Hospital Association and OMA Energy Group James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 JLang@Calfee.com 216.622.8563 LMcBride@Calfee.com 216.622.8528 talexander@calfee.com 614.621.7774

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Maureen R. Grady Larry Sauer Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus OH 43215 grady@occ.state.oh.us sauer@occ.state.oh.us 614-466-8574 614-466-1312

Richard L. Sites 155 East Broad Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 <u>ricks@ohanet.org</u> 614-221-7614

Counsel for Ohio Hospital Association

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45840 <u>cmooney2@columbus.rr.com</u> 419-425-8860

Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Henry W. Eckhart The Sierra Club 1200 Chambers Road, #106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com 614-461-0984

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com Phone (330) 761-7735 John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Zachary D. Kravitz Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com 614-334-6121 myurick@cwslaw.com 614-334-6121 zkravitz@cwslaw.com 614-334-6117

Counsel for The Kroger Co.

 Benita Kahn

 Lija Kaleps-Clark

 Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

 52 East Gay Street

 P O Box 1008

 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

 bakahn@vorys.com

 614-464-6487

 lkalepsclark@vorys.com

Counsel for Ohio Cable Television Association

John Davidson Thomas Hogan Lovells US LLP Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington DC 2004 Dave.Thomas@hoganlovells.com 202-637-5675

Counsel for Ohio Cable Television Association

Christopher J. Allwein Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 <u>callwein@williamsandmoser.com</u> 614-429-3092

Counsel for Natural Resources Defense Council

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 <u>barthroyer@aol.com</u> (614) 228-0704

Counsel for The Ohio Department of Development

Douglas G. BonnerEmma F. HandKeith C. NusbaumSNR Denton US LLP1301 K Street NWSuite 600, East TowerWashington, DC 20005doug.bonner@snrdenton.com202-408-3957emma.hand@snrdenton.com202-408-7094keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com

Counsel for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 <u>msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org</u> 614-824-2502 jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org 614/221-7201 x105

Counsel for The Appalachian Peace and Justice Network