

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 8:48 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing:11-351-EL-AIR

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 10/17/2011

Re: Don Riley
217 Curtis Blvd
Marietta, OH 45750

Docketing Case No.:11-351-EL-AIR

Notes:

To: docketing@puc.state.oh.us
CC:
BCC:
Subject: Docketing 11-351-EL-AIR

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 10/5/2011

Re: Don Riley
217 Curtis Blvd
Marietta, OH 45750

Docketing Case No.:11-351-EL-AIR

Notes:

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

I email you to register a complaint against the Columbus Southern AEP intended actions to raise electricity rates, effective January, 2012. Currently, AEP is charging a considerable amount more than other competitive electricity suppliers: 13.36 cents/KWH versus 8.10 cents/KWH. AEP raising their rates even more is

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2011 OCT 17 AM 9:18
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Don Date Processed OCT 17 2011

outrageous. In a coal-fired business to be doomed by the Federal Administration, AEP, one would believe, should be reducing their costs-verses revenues in some attempt to survive. Conversely, AEP is doing the exact opposite (just like the Obama Administration's activities)of what should be done and is unconscionable: a WRONG OVERCOMING RIGHT. Please take action to not approve AEP's increase of electricity rates. I email you to register a complaint against the Columbus Southern AEP intended actions to raise electricity rates, effective January, 2012. Currently, AEP is charging a considerable amount more than other competitive electricity suppliers: 13.36 cents/KWH versus 8.10 cents/KWH. AEP raising their rates even more is outrageous. In a coal-fired business to be doomed by the Federal Administration, AEP, one would believe, should be reducing their costs-verses revenues in some attempt to survive. Conversely, AEP is doing the exact opposite (just like the Obama Administration's activities) of what should be done and is unconscionable: a WRONG OVERCOMING RIGHT. Please take action to not approve AEP's increase of electricity rates.

*****ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM CUSTOMER;

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

I contact the PUCO to register my complaint against Columbus Southern AEP plans to raise electricity rates to be effective January 1, 2012. In addition AEP is proposing an additional "Rider" that appears to be unjustified and questionable. This "Rider", "Plant Closure Cost Recover Rider", proposes to collect revenue in anticipation of covering the costs of closing their business either by choice or by unreasonable rules directed by the Government's Administrative EPA. Collecting such revenue in anticipation of a closure of business to be paid by consumers is absurd and ridiculous. Most American businesses who find a need to have to close down must unfortunately absorb closure costs without collecting revenue from consumers. It appears that AEP's intent is to voluntarily close their "energy generating" business and gain revenue at the expense of Ohio consumers. This is incomprehensible and a greedy endeavor by AEP.

I ask that you, PUCO, to act to disapprove AEP's intended "Rider", as above, and their price increase of electric rates.

*****ADDITIONAL

COMMENTS FROM CUSTOMER;

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

I am emailing this Complaint Form to voice my objections against Columbia Southern AEP's proposed increase of electricity rates to be effective January, 2012. Moreover, in addition to the actual electricity generation and transmission rate increases, their proposed "Rider", "Generation Resource Rider (capital for "solar investing)", appears to be a ridiculous cost for consumers. For a profitable business to forcibly collect revenue from consumers to advance their ventures is unconscionable and not justified. Why should the general consuming public have to foot-the-bill for a business to change its choice of mode of operation? In our Capital Society, businesses survive on their ingenuity, product acceptability, cost, availability, etc. For AEP to propose to charge consumers for "their" choice of changing their business to a possible uneconomical, unproven potential solar energy business at consumer's expense is despicable. Solar energy may in time, when proven to be a viable, economical and practical source of energy, become one of the world's sources of electricity. But, at this time of economical depression across America, imposing new unproven sources of energy at the expense of consumers, many of whom are jobless, is absurd and violates using common sense. I strongly ask that you, PUCO, act to disapprove AEP's intended electricity rate increases with the "Rider", as above.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.