

D. Casey Talbott Attorney at Law

Direct Dial: 419-247-1845 dctalbott@eastmansmith.com

EASTMAN & SMITH LTD.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Established 1844

2011 OCT - 7 PM 3: 0 Toledo, Ohio 43699-0032
Policy Packet Allow Proceedings of Toledo, Ohio 43699-0032
Packet Allow

Via Overnight Mail

Docketing Clerk c/o Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attention: Docketing Division, 13th Floor 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Re:

In the Matter of the Complaint of Ohio State Legislative Board and United Transportation Union vs. Norfolk Southern Railway Co. and CSX Transportation for the Alleged Excessive Growth of Weeds and Vegetation at Mansfield Rd. MP Q1 122.55 at the Location of 1221 Westward Facing Signal in the Vicinity of Mt. Victory - Hardin County.

PUCO Case No. 11-5238-RR-CSS Our File No. N1432/176349

Dear Docketing Clerk:

Enclosed for filing are an original and seven (7) copies of Respondent Norfolk Southern Railway Company's:

- 1. Motion to Dismiss; and
- 2. Answer

Pursuant to Rule 4901-5-05(B)(3) of the Ohio Administrative Code, please file the Motion to Dismiss first, and then the Answer; also, please return a file-stamped copy of each pleading to me via the enclosed, stamped envelope.

Please call me – at 419-241-6000 – if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

D. Casey Tallyott

EASTMAN & SMITHTLTD.

DCT/kml **Enclosures**

cc:

Leah Thomas-Dalton (w/enc.) Luther G. Newsom (w/enc.) Rusty Orben (w/enc.) Thomas C. Wood (w/enc.)

Technician

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case fila document delivered in the regular course of business. Date Processed 10-07-11

Columbus

Toledo

Findlay

Novi

1383847.1

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2011 OCT -7 PM 3: 07

PUCO

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of

Ohio State Legislative Board and

United Transportation Union vs.
Norfolk Southern Railway Co. and

CSX Transportation for the Alleged

Excessive Growth of Weeds and

Vegetation at Mansfield Rd. MP Q1

122.55 at the Location of 1221

Westward Facing Signal in the

Vicinity of Mt. Victory – Hardin

County.

Case No. 11-5238-RR-CSS

RESPONDENT NORFOLK

SOUTHERN RAILWAY

COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS

: D. Casey Talbott (0046767)

(Counsel of Record)

Mark W. Sandretto (0079536)

EASTMAN & SMITH LTD.

One SeaGate, 24th Floor

P.O. Box 10032

: Toledo, Ohio 43699-0032

Telephone: (419) 241-6000

Fax: (419) 247-1777

: Attorneys for Norfolk Southern

Railway Company

Pursuant to OAC 4901-5-05(B)(3), Respondent Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("Norfolk Southern") respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss this Complaint at least as against Norfolk Southern.

A memorandum in support is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

EASTMAN & SMITH LAD.

D. Casey Talbott

Mark W. Sandretto One SeaGate, 24th Floor

P.O. Box 10032

Toledo, Ohio 43699-0032

Telephone: (419) 241-6000

Fax: (419) 247-1777

Attorneys for Norfolk Southern

Railway Company

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Via letter of September 16, 2011, the Ohio State Legislation Board, United Transportation Union ("UTU") purported to file a Complaint against CSX Transportation ("CSX"), alleging excessive weed and vegetation growth on certain property in the vicinity of Mount Victory, Hardin County, Ohio.

Via letter of September 23, 2011, the Commission served the Complaint upon Norfolk Southern, directing it to move, plead, or otherwise respond to the UTU's Complaint within fifteen days after September 22, 2011 - i.e., on or before October 7, 2011.

The Complaint purports to state a claim against CSX, as opposed to Norfolk Southern, and upon information and belief involves property and/or conditions which are not within Norfolk Southern's possession and/or control.

Accordingly, and as the Complaint appears to have been misdirected to Norfolk Southern, the Complaint should be dismissed at least as against Norfolk Southern.

Further, complaints relating to the elimination of alleged weed and vegetation growth are governed by OAC 4901-5-07 and, accordingly, are to be handled initially pursuant to

the informal procedure outlined in OAC 4901-5-05. As a jurisdictional prerequisite, OAC 4901-5-05(A)(4) states in pertinent part that a formal weed and vegetation complaint "shall aver that a reasonable but unsuccessful effort has been made by the parties to reach an amicable agreement". The instant Complaint makes no such averment, and Norfolk Southern is not aware that any such effort was made.

Accordingly, and even assuming directed against the proper-party respondent, the Complaint should be dismissed for want of jurisdiction.¹

Respectfully submitted,

EASTMAN & SMITHLTD.

D. Casey Talbott

Mark W. Sandretto

One SeaGate, 24th Floor

P.O. Box 10032

Toledo, Ohio 43699-0032 Telephone: (419) 241-6000

Fax: (419) 247-1777

Attorneys for Norfolk Southern

Railway Company

¹ Norfolk Southern reserves the right to contest subject matter jurisdiction as well; further, and assuming jurisdiction, Norfolk Southern reserves the right to contest the merits of the Complaint.

PROOF OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing Respondent Norfolk Southern Railway Company's Motion to Dismiss was mailed this day of October, 2011, to: Leah Thomas-Dalton, Chief, PUCO Rail Division, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215; Luther G. Newsom, Chairman & State Legislation Director, Ohio State Legislative Board, 2021 East Dublin-Granville Road, Suite 245, Columbus, Ohio 43229-3522; Rusty Orben, Designated Agent, CSX Transportation, 137 E. State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215; and Thomas C. Wood, UTU Legal Counsel, 501 Morrison Road, Suite 203, Gahanne, Ohio 43230.

Attorney for Norfølk Southern

Railway Company