

FILE

200

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

We can't hardly eat the fish in Ohio, as it is now! I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mark Pittroff
4081 Creek Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45241

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2011 SEP 29 PM 5:30
PUCO

CREDO Action

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician [Signature] Date Processed 9-29-11

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jesse Williams
1525 Marlowe Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ann Thompson
2878 Robers Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45239

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Aaron Weaver
3526 Constitution Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barry Schwartz
4118 Langland
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bitzer
7328 Windsor Meadow Drive
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Reed Oliver
6200 S Clippinger Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45243

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Angie Denov
1225 W North Bend Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Garrett
8824 Pembroke
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Braden Trauth
2 Cloister Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Donovan
1516 Elm St. #10
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

When do we plan to make the transition to clean, efficient forms of energy? Renewable power? Only after the last mountain has been "removed"? Please reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for ten years without any plans to reduce pollution from these plants. Meanwhile consumers would foot the bill for maintaining these aging coal plants, while continuing to suffer ill health effects from the pollution. I hope PUCO will reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than out-of-date coal plants.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Franks
418 Chisholm Trail
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Simmons
1780 N Us Rt 42
Lebanon, OH 45036

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brian Shumard
842 Jackson Ln
Middletown, OH 45044

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carol Brenner
5900 Delhi
Mt.St, Joseph, OH 45051

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Stevens
3840 Rohling Oaks Drive, B3
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Clark Dawson
8913 Summit Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Julie Earwood
12 Arbor Circle Apt 1235
Cincinnati, OH 45255

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

David Christman
5273 Morning Sun Rd.
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dale Giese
6581 Montevista Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dolores Dryden
106 Hopping Court
Harrison, OH 45030

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

A. Ward
4330 Georgia Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kathleen O'Neill
810 Matson Pl, #408
Cincinnati, OH 45204

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Eric Staples
6926 Gammwell Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Evelyn
3590 Roundbottom Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I URGE you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy *rather than* dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robertshaw
6200 Grand Ave.
Cinti., OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Valeria Freysinger
1338 Paxton Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Hi PUCO, I had an opportunity to see miles of windmills in Indiana while I drove back from Chicago last weekend. I believe those windmills and solar energy expansion are the key to reducing dirty coal usage. I don't see how the ongoing use of coal is more cost effective for Duke Energy. And I also believe that the continued use of this will cause health problems down the road which ultimately raises costs to all people. Can Duke Energy invest in windmills and solar as opposed to continuing the same expensive use of coal? I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Thanks for listening to me, Eric Bair Loveland, OH 45140

Sincerely,

Eric Bair
9260 McKinney Rd
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ruth Hunt, SC
5900 Delhi Road
Mt. St. Joseph, OH 45051

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jason Bruewer
10636 Bridlepath Lane
Sharonville, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. We live in Cincinnati. The air quality in the summer is killing us. My family is in the process of selling our real estate and we will relocate to Washington state, where the air is breathable and energy is clean. We will relocate our family business, which we can operate from any location. The Duke Energy "profits at any costs" model is killing people and economic activity in Ohio. For the sake of the people who cannot leave here by choice, please do the right thing.

Sincerely,

John Kuhn
7252 Mariemont Cres
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Schmidt
8617 Zenith Court
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jerry Miller
3070 Old State Route 32
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Joyce Kahle
834 Hermosa Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Scott
6794 Shawnee Run Road
Cincinnati, OH 45243

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Scheidt
4329 Ashland Ave.
Norwood, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Kinsman
1650 Glen Parker
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Karen Cudjoe
4013 S. Shore Dr.
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lauri Aultman
3426 Craig Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Irene & John seiler
6757 Old Station Dr
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Laura Read
5264 Rybolt Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lisa Vfisher
6919 Merwin Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Laura Koeninger
5988 Red Oak Dr
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Engelbrecht
880 Rue De La Paix, Apt. T-6
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Loretta Workman
2533 Indian Mound Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Laura Stanton
600 Myrtle Avenue
Terrace Park, OH 45174

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Deborah Lyons
326 W Withrow St Apt 1
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Missy Proffitt
3209 Rufus St.
Middletown, OH 45044

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Margaret Morris
9816 Old Chimney Court
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

We Ohians do not want dirty coal energy in Ohio, the US or anywhere else in the world any longer. Pollution from coal plants harm human health and the environment. I strongly urge you to absolutely reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Brown
3987 Bennett Rd
Morrow, OH 45152

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Rietz
8435 Susann Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Maude Nagle
1300 Cereal Ave
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michael Webb
1805 Yellow Pine
Amelia, OH 45102

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

William O'Neil
5629 Macey Ave. Apt W3
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Melissa Simmons
2742 Montana Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Woodconsteable
3524 St. Charles Pl.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Does Duke have plans to generate electricity by alternative resources? There should be. We still need coal but Duke should show initiative for the long run.

Sincerely,

Werner Jud
1044 Vacationland Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Diana Porter
1334 Wittekind Ter
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Margaret Weyand
2405 Grandview Ave Apt 2
Cincinnati, OH 45206

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Bright
677 Yorkhaven Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45246

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rick Sowash
338 Milton Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Leah Berger
3822 Marburg Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45209

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rosario Davis
167 Meadow Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45217

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Wagner
10349 Kingsport Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Russell Lockett
177 Caldwell Dr Apt B4
Cincinnati, OH 45216

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Margaret Sacco
708 Marcia Dr
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

David Joiner
7290 Greenfarms Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Selya
Po Box 210131, Uc
Cincinnati, OH 45221

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sharon Baker
530 Observatory Drl
Cincinnati, OH 45246

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Greg Sletten
17 Wigwam Path
New Richmond, OH 45157

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Reinhardt
3052 Taylor Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ellen Dryer
337 Fieldcrest Lane
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tricia Gilligan
6769 Warder Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Troy Davis
4009 Crosley Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dale Uetrecht
8668 Orchardhill Court
Cincinnati, OH 45251

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Deborah Wissman
800 Diane Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Deborah Volk
1548 Pullan Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Connie Vaughn
4361
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Charlene Schneider
1867 Riverwood Trail
Kings Mills, OH 45034

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Ekk
6518 Crestview Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michelle Siekerman
1470 Rugg St
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sherrie Remsen
3998 Brandychase Way
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Joyce Hailstone
300 Beech Road
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Dierig
610 Carrington Place #207
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I think of Texas as a pretty conservative state, but the energy companies there are working on cleaner energy. Why don't we have more action on this in Ohio? Cincinnati has some of the dirtiest air in the country- and dirty air shortens your life. This isn't ok. I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cristina Boulle
5067 Bristol Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Dirty coal & sulfur dioxide cause me to have respiratory difficulties. Please respect the health of your customers.

Sincerely,

Gary Barton
2555 Bartlett Street
Cincinnati, OH 45214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kevin Armitage
26 Jeffrey Dr.
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tracy Johnson
4892 Hunt Rd #410
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. The time is now to look toward a new energy infrastructure dependent on the sun rather than on fossil fuels. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Lageman
932 O'Bannonville Road
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Hugh Ballem
8445 Preakness Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45249

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Mueller
5530 Hamilton Ave #24
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. PUCO has allowed Duke to be an inefficient, indifferent and wasteful provider of utility services which now wants additional ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cassidy
6151 Green Knoll
Fairfield, OH 45011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

August Kurtz
7470 Jean Dr
West(By God) Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Amy Elder
460 Warner Street
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Matt Maras
1248 Herschel Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sybil Ortego
Ortego
Cincinnati, OH 45214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marie Edrich
2360 Kipling Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45239

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Keith Baker
269 Emming St
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Gail Valenson
2091 Harrowgate Hill
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Becky Leibreich
4163 Drummore Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Randy Centner
7919 Jolain Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. This is important to the health of everyone and to our local economy.

Sincerely,

Tim Meier
4033 Cherry Street
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Trisha Durham
5036 Colerain Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brandon Bradshaw
325 Pike Street
Reading, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Matt Kovach
817 Overlook Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Matthew Mercurio
6206 Fairhurst Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Arturo Araya
2170 Elysian Pl.
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Burik
4308 Joan Place
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Bruhn
51 Scarlet Oak Circle
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Weber
7072 Jeannie Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Beaver
3738 Davenant Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tom Maringer
5779 Desertgold Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Tyler
427 Wellesley Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. In these changing times, locking almost anything in for ten years is shortsighted and wasteful, and we all know who'll end up footing the bill (us). Not too far away, a large solar manufacturer is opening up—by locking itself into dirty coal, Duke is turning its back on a chance for our own neighbors to grow an industry that could revitalize the state. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Here in SW Ohio, we're already known as "Sinus Valley" because of the pollen. Don't stack the deck against us with extra dirty coal pollution, too!

Sincerely,

Jen Sokoloski
2416 Glendale Court
Lebanon, OH 45036

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Joe Wiley
3648 Columbus Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Frieda Hughes
1325 Spring Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeff Campbell
4216 Fergus St.
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carol Rainey
1497 Beacon St.
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Beatrix De Greve
2903 Traverse Creek Drive
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marji Mendelsohn
349 Compton Hills Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Duke Energy needs to invest in clean energy, not continue to rely on coal. The pollution from coal is killing Ohians. I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Christa Bauke
5708 Harvey Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45233

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. We have added solar panels in an attempt to lower our coal use at our home. Make subsidies support solar and other green efforts.

Sincerely,

Barbara Eshbaugh
209 Mckee Ave
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Lipchik
14 Arbor Circle Apt 1419
Cincinnati, OH 45255

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Dick
9646 Union Cemetery Rd.
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wessling
1020 Lenox Place
Cincinnati, OH 45229

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. If Cincinnati Bell can bring wind power to Ohio, why can't Duke change its source as well? No to patching out dated and even dangerous coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sandy McClure
3815 Fox Run Drive #1220
Cincinnati, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Louise Bangel
7095 Winding Way
Cincinnati, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Victor Chiu
1211 Forest Ct
Wyoming, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Annie Katz
11326 Terwilligerscreek Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45249

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Linda Fast
684 Cedar Knolls Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Cole
670 Carlsbad Road
Cincinnati, OH 45240

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jill Johnson
1276 Duncan Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Diane McJunkin
965 Tam-O-Shanter Way
Monroe, OH 45050

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kate Gallion
4271 Fergus St.#2
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Marrs
3545 Perignon Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45226

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Eileen Frechette
5081 Wooden Shoe Hollow
Cincinnati, OH 45232

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Thomas Warner
3161 Golden Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45226

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

It is long, LONG past time when companies like Duke should have been doing R&D into alternatives to coal. Ohio is a beautiful state and the use of coal is killing our natural beauty, not to mention our health. Show your leadership, Duke, and start to aggressively pursue cleaner alternatives, preferably wind, solar and hydro. PUCO, you also need to be a leader in this regard. Help nudge Duke towards being a good Corporate Citizen by their current plan and insisting they begin to spin up cleaner alternatives. Thanks, bill halstead milford, ohio 45150

Sincerely,

William Halstead
973 Stone Valley Lane
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nathan Singer
6825 Bramble Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Thomas Mirick
761 Athony Ln
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Sincerely,

Dan Lewis
13612 Sableglen St.
Houston, TX 77014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Anne Robinson
6625 Hudson Pkwy
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Donald Lucas
120 Wentworth Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Jost
460 Warner St.
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patricia Piatt
1490 Sutton Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gabbard
3900 Belfast Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Julie Goodin
3511 Arbor Hill Lane
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Debra Rudnay
1001 Coronado Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Stookey
123 Any Street
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Gene Willeke
1007 Cedar Drive
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lynne Hannen
6106 Birkdale Drive
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Alvin Johnson
7330 Ocean Terrace
Miami Beach, OH 45214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Shadley
1744 Jester St.
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Andrew Hissett
3343 Felicity Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. It is time we start looking at sustainability instead of how the corporations can make more money. If the human race is to survive, we MUST clean up the mess we have made in the past by polluting our air and water.

Sincerely,

Geri Sue Sandor
416 Westview Ave.
Trenton, OH 45067

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community, even charging customers who aren't getting power generated from Duke. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Alison Auciello
914 Kreis Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45205

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jim Larson
7074 Royalgreen Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Christine Schumacher
5835 Wyatt Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marketa Anderson
1548 W Pekin Rd
Lebanon, OH 45036

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Chris Stepanian
Stepanian
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Zulliger
3570 Monteith Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dave sweeney
3527 Middleton Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brenda Miller
7865 Ramble View
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeanine Bowman
3850 Bennett Road
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tracy Hendricks
5919 Beacraft Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carol Scott
6794 Shawnee Run Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45243

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dustin Bass
8087 Mulberry St.
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Kramer
1343 Prayview Ct.
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I was shocked to learn that Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Josh Nelson
2637 16th St. Nw #704
Washington, DC 20009

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carol McMahan
1811 Tuxworth Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kramer
986 Seminole Tr.
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Julie Phalen
4224 Brookside Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lauren Yarrish
6887 Rushwood Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Deb Brod
428 Ada Street
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

William Reynolds
P. O. Box 351
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Stephen Sullivan
702 Woodlaw Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45205

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bernard Cornelius
2680 Lehman Rd Unit 204
Cincinnati, OH 45204

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth McCormack
12127 Sycamore Terrace Drive Apt. A
Cincinnati, OH 45249

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Doreen Shay
5583 So. Gilmore Rd
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mark Jensen
3505 Mcfarlan
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Anthony Harris
11456 Enyart Road
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patricia Willig
1424 Pullan Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Melvyn Levrant
3827 Yorkshire Circle
Cleves, OH 45002

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mooydeen Frees
3826 Portrush Way
Amelia, OH 45102

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jen Kawanari
4108 Fergus Street
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Russell Akred
12123 Midpines Drive #37
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Jackson
7 Main Street, P.O. Box 432
College Corner, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan gay
7080 Grant Way
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Lederer
2310 Williamsburg Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45225

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patsy Camp
3729 Zind Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Steve Wilder
5747 Crabapple Way Drive
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Krupa Shah
3673 Willowlea Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Peter Poulos
7858 Trailwind Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brian Sullivan
4656 Nathaniel Glen Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jim Poole
1600 Emerson Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45239

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Emily Mulcahey
6412 Evelyn Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeff Stout
24 W. Court St.
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kathy Wise
3160 Fairhaven Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45237

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Stallings
1835 Losantiville Ave., D210
Cincinnati, OH 45237

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ethan Fletcher
131 E 14th St Apt 302
Cincinnati, OH 45202