

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Energy)
Plus Natural Gas LP for Certification as a) Case No. 11-4170-GA-CRS
Retail Natural Gas Marketer.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On July 5, 2011, Energy Plus Natural Gas LP (Energy Plus or company) filed an application for certification as a retail natural gas marketer. On that same day, Energy Plus filed a motion for a protective order, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), requesting that exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 of its application be kept under seal. These exhibits contain financial information of the company, including forecasted financial statements for 2011 and 2012.
- (2) In support of its motion for a protective order, Energy Plus explains that exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 contain competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business financial information which is not generally known or available to the general public. Therefore, Energy Plus requests that the information found in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 be treated as confidential.
- (3) Section 4905.07, Revised Code, provides that all facts and information in the possession of the Commission shall be public, except as provided in Section 149.43, Revised Code, and as consistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Section 149.43, Revised Code, specifies that the term "public records" excludes information which, under state or federal law, may not be released. The Ohio Supreme Court has clarified that the "state or federal law" exemption is intended to cover trade secrets. *State ex rel. Besser v. Ohio State* (2000), 89 Ohio St.3d 396, 399.
- (4) Similarly, Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C., allows an attorney examiner to issue an order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in a filed document, "to the extent that state or federal law prohibits release of the information, including where the information is deemed . . . to constitute a trade secret under Ohio law, and where non-disclosure of the information

is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.”

- (5) Ohio law defines a trade secret as “information . . . that satisfies both of the following: (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.” Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code.
- (6) The attorney examiner has examined the information covered by the motion for protective order filed by Energy Plus, as well as the assertions set forth in the supportive memorandum. Applying the requirements that the information have independent economic value and be the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy pursuant to Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code, as well as the six-factor test set forth by the Ohio Supreme Court,¹ the attorney examiner finds that the information contained in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 of Energy Plus’s application constitutes trade secret information. Release of these documents is, therefore, prohibited under state law. The attorney examiner also finds that nondisclosure of this information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Accordingly, the attorney examiner finds that Energy Plus’s motion for protective order is reasonable with regard to exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 filed on July 5, 2011; therefore, the motion should be granted.
- (7) Rule 4901-1-24(D)(4), O.A.C., provides for protective orders relating to gas marketers’ certification renewal applications to expire after 24 months. The attorney examiner finds that the 24-month provision in Rule 4901-1-24(D)(4), O.A.C., is intended to synchronize the expiration of protective orders related to gas marketers’ certification applications with the expiration of their certification and that the expiration dates should allow adequate time for consideration of any motion for extension. Therefore, confidential treatment shall be afforded to exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 for a period ending 24 months from the

¹ See *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.*, (1997) 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 524-525.

effective date of the certificate issued to Energy Plus, or until August 5, 2013. Until that date, the docketing division should maintain, under seal, exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, which were filed under seal in this docket on July 5, 2011.

- (8) Rule 4901-1-24(F), O.A.C., requires a party wishing to extend a protective order to file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date. If Energy Plus wishes to extend this confidential treatment, it should file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date. If no such motion to extend confidential treatment is filed, the Commission may release this information without prior notice to Energy Plus.


It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for a protective order filed by Energy Plus be granted with regard to the information contained in exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5 of Energy Plus's application. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the Commission's docketing division maintain, under seal, the unredacted exhibits C-3, C-4, and C-5, which were filed under seal in this docket on July 5, 2011, for a period of 24 months, ending on August 5, 2013. It is, further,


ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO


By: Kerry K. Sheets
Attorney Examiner


/vrn

Entered in the Journal
SEP 16 2011


Betty McCauley
Betty McCauley
Secretary