BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus)	
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company)	
for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
of an Electric Security Plan.)	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company)	
for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM

DIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE JOINT STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF

Steve Irvin

on behalf of

Paulding Wind Farm II LLC

1	BAC	KGRO	OUND, EXPERIENCE AND PURPOSE
2 3 4	1.	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
5 6 7		A.	My name is Steve Irvin. My business address is 808 Travis Street, Suite 700, Houston, TX 77002.
8 9	2.	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
10 11 12		A.	I am employed by EDP Renewables North America LLC (formerly known as Horizon Wind Energy LLC) ("EDPR NA").
13	3.	Q.	CAN YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE EDPR NA?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A.	Based in Houston, EDPR NA, through its subsidiaries, develops, constructs, owns and operates wind farms throughout North America. It is a subsidiary of EDP Renovaveis, S.A., which is owned by Energias de Portugal, S.A., headquartered in Lisbon, Portugal. EDPR NA owns and operates twenty-eight (28) wind farms across the United States totaling more than 3,500 megawatts ("MW") of capacity, ranking EDPR NA third in the country in terms of net installed capacity. EDPR NA subsidiary Paulding Wind Farm II LLC ("Paulding Wind") owns and operates the 99 MW Timber Road II Wind Farm ("Timber Road II") in Northwest Ohio.
232425	4.	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH HORIZON?
26 27		A.	I am Chief Commercial Officer.
28 29	5.	Q.	HOW LONG HAVE YOU HELD THIS POSITION?
30 31 32		A.	I have held this position since August 2010. Prior to that time I was Director of Power Marketing from June 1, 2005 – August 2010.
33 34	6.	Q.	WHAT IS THE NATURE OF YOUR DUTIES WITH HORIZON?
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42		A.	As Chief Commercial Officer, I lead both the Power Marketing and Origination and Market Operations departments at EDPR NA. My responsibilities include expanding and maintaining EDPR NA's customer relationships, overseeing the marketing and negotiation of renewable energy purchase agreements and managing the scheduling and hedging of EDPR NA's merchant assets. In my prior role as Director of Power Marketing, I was also responsible for overseeing the marketing and negotiation of renewable energy purchase agreements and managing customer relationships.

43

2	7.	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
3 4 5		A.	I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from Vanderbilt University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Thunderbird School of Global Management.
6 7 8 9	8.	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE APPLICATIONS OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF AN ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN IN THIS CASE?
11 12 13 14 15		A.	Generally, yes. I have reviewed the applications of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively "AEP Ohio" or the "Company") for approval of an electric security plan ("ESP Case"). I am not an Ohio utility law expert. I specialize in the economics of wind farm development.
16 17 18 19 20 21	9.	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE JOINT STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION (THE "STIPULATION") FILED SEPTEMBER 7, 2011, WITH THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO ("PUCO" OR THE "COMMISSION") THAT WOULD SETTLE THE ESP CASE AND A NUMBER OF OTHER CASES?
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29		A.	Generally, yes. I have reviewed the Stipulation. However, Paulding Wind is not a party to the other cases and its involvement in the ESP Case is limited to protecting its interests in its twenty (20)-year Renewable Energy Power Purchase Agreement with AEP Ohio for the electrical output of Paulding Wind's Timber Road II wind project (the "Timber Road REPA"). I have not evaluated the merits of the other provisions in the Stipulation and do not take a position on issues outside the scope of the Timber Road REPA.
30	10.	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
31 32 33 34 35 36 37		A.	The purpose of my testimony is twofold. First, I will discuss how the Stipulation's treatment of the Timber Road REPA addresses AEP Ohio's need to enter into long-term contracts with wind energy providers to ensure it meets the obligations of Ohio's renewable portfolio standard ("RPS"), set forth in Ohio Senate Bill 221. Second, I will discuss how the Timber Road REPA benefits AEP Ohio ratepayers, the state's economy, and energy policy more generally.

38

¹ SB 221 created an alternative energy portfolio standard for the State of Ohio, which included separate benchmarks for renewable energy (e.g., wind, solar, biomass) and advanced energy (e.g., clean coal, nuclear). For purposes of this testimony, I focus on the renewable energy benchmarks, which I refer to as the renewable portfolio standard, or RPS.

BENEFITS OF THE STIPULATION'S TREATMENT OF THE TIMBER ROAD REPA

11. Q. HOW DOES THE STIPULATION ADDRESS THE NEED FOR LONG-TERM CONTRACTS TO SUPPORT RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVELOPMENT IN OHIO AND HELP AEP OHIO MEET THE SB 221 RPS BENCHMARKS?

 As I mentioned in my direct testimony in the ESP Case², a commercial-scale wind farm is a significant capital investment like any other large-scale power plant. To obtain lowest-cost financing for such projects, developers must demonstrate to investors and lenders the availability of a long-term revenue stream to repay the substantial upfront costs. This requires regulatory certainty regarding recovery of the costs for the duration of the long-term power purchase agreements.

Without regulatory certainty on the question of cost recovery, wind energy investments today are becoming increasingly difficult, if not impossible, to finance. As the renewable energy requirements under Ohio's RPS escalate, Commission-sanctioned cost recovery for long-term contracts will play a critical role in the utilities' ability to cost-effectively satisfy those requirements and fulfill the promise of SB 221.

The Stipulation establishes a framework that guarantees cost recovery through May 31, 2015, and then anticipates a new mechanism for cost recovery following corporate separation. In anticipation of that new mechanism for cost recovery, AEP Ohio has agreed in the Stipulation to terminate the "regulatory out" provision in the Timber Road REPA—subject to corporate separation occurring as planned—that allows AEP Ohio to cancel the REPA if cost recovery is denied by the Commission. AEP Ohio's decision to terminate the REPA's "regulatory out" provision inspires lender and investor confidence in the availability of a revenue stream for the full twenty (20)-year term of the REPA. Commission approval of the Stipulation will provide critical regulatory certainty for the Timber Road II project, and recognize long-term contracts as an essential element in the development of Ohio's advanced energy marketplace.

12. Q. HOW DOES THE STIPULATION ADDRESS THE COMMISSION'S PRUDENCY REVIEW OF THE TIMBER ROAD REPA?

A. The Stipulation anticipates a one-time, thorough, transparent Commission process for assessing the prudency of the Timber Road REPA. Costs of the Timber Road

² See In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO et al., Direct Testimony of Steve Irvin on behalf of Paulding Wind Farm II LLC (July 25, 2011).

REPA through May 31, 2015, will be recovered through the Alternative Energy Rider ("AER"). Costs will be reviewed through the annual Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") proceedings through May 31, 2015. Additionally, the initial FAC proceeding under the new ESP will include a determination of the methodology for valuation of renewable energy credits ("RECs") for bundled purchases of RECs and electricity, such as those in the Timber Road REPA.

13. Q. SHOULD THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE STIPULATION?

 A. Yes. The Commission's approval of the Stipulation, and with it, the approval of the Timber Road REPA, will bring direct benefits to Ohio ratepayers through lower prices, to Ohio's economy through increased private investment, and to Ohio's advanced energy policy through successful implementation of a large-scale generation project.

BENEFITS OF THE TIMBER ROAD REPA

14. Q. HOW WILL THE TIMBER ROAD REPA BENEFIT AEP OHIO'S CUSTOMERS?

A. The twenty (20)-year length of the agreement facilitates long-term financing, which amortizes the cost of the project over a longer period, lowers interest rates and the cost of equity, and reduces upfront costs. The REPA will ultimately benefit customers by creating the kind of price certainty and lower rates that would be much less likely under short-term or spot-market REC purchases. Additionally, the Timber Road II project will have the benefit of existing federal incentives, which help to buy-down the cost of energy to AEP Ohio and its customers. Such federal incentives, which are currently set to expire on December 31, 2012, may not be available in the future.

Importantly, the twenty (20)-year term of the Timber Road REPA poses <u>no</u> undue risk to ratepayers. Wind farms are capital-intensive but have the advantage of <u>no</u> fuel costs. Therefore, there are no significant cost variables that present long-term risk to ratepayers. Additionally, the Timber Road REPA presents no risk to customers who switch to another electric supplier. The costs of the REPA are fully bypassable, meaning customers who choose another electric supplier will not have to pay the per-customer charge associated with the REPA.

15. Q. HOW WILL THE TIMBER ROAD REPA BENEFIT OHIO'S ECONOMY?

A. The Timber Road REPA supports a \$175 million investment by EDPR NA in Ohio's economy in a region of the state that has historically not attracted investment at these levels, the creation of more than 1,000 construction jobs, nearly \$900,000 in annual tax revenues for the local county, and the training of a work force in wind installation and maintenance. This investment and the

1 2 2			potential for future investments rely on regulatory certainty surrounding the Timber Road REPA.
3 4 5	16.	Q.	HOW WILL THE TIMBER ROAD REPA BENEFIT OHIO'S ADVANCED ENERGY POLICY?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12		A.	While some utilities have suggested a reluctance to enter into long-term renewable energy contracts because of regulatory uncertainty, AEP Ohio has shown leadership and a commitment to RPS compliance by entering into the Timber Road REPA and supporting the REPA in the Stipulation. For AEP Ohio, the REPA will provide a more definite, cost-effective means than short-term or spot-market REC purchases to satisfy the in-state portion of its non-solar
13			renewable energy requirements.
14 15 16 17			For the advanced energy market in Ohio more broadly, the Timber Road REPA serves as an example of the type of long-term contract that can spur development of additional, large-scale generation projects, ultimately increasing the likelihood
18 19 20 21 22			of utility compliance, and the realization of the market's full potential promised by SB 221. Significant, new advanced energy generation resources are unlikely to be built in Ohio without the support of long-term contracts. The Commission has an opportunity in this case to provide regulatory certainty by making a definitive statement in support of the Stipulation and the Timber Road REPA.
23			
24	CON	CLUS	ION
25 26 27	15.	Q.	DO YOU HAVE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING APPROVAL OF THE STIPULATION?
28 29		A.	Yes.
30 31 32	16.	Q.	WHAT ARE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS?
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		A.	I recommend the Commission approve the Stipulation. Approval of the Stipulation will provide critical regulatory certainty for the Timber Road REPA, and will also provide an important measure of support for the state's advanced energy market and help ensure the success of SB 221. More generally, I recommend the Commission use all tools available to it to remove regulatory risk associated with long-term cost recovery in Ohio for AEP Ohio and the advanced energy market as a whole.
41	18.	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
42 43		Α.	Yes.
. –		~	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing DIRECT TESTIMONY was served

upon the parties of record listed below this 13th day of September 2011 via electronic mail.

Christopher Montgomery

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
dconway@porterwright.com

el Randazzo
l Oilker
Darr
es Wallace & Nurick LLC
et State Street, 17th Floor
lbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com

Amy B. Spiller
Dorothy K. Corbett
Duke Energy Retail Sales LLC
139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main
Cincinnati, OH 45202
amy.spiller@duke-energy.com
dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Terry L. Etter
Maureen R. Grady
Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215
etter@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 tobrien@bricker.com

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 ricks@Qhanet.org

Jay E. Jadwin
American Electric Power Service Corp.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jejadwin@aep.com

Michael R. Smalz Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org John Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester Willcox & Saxbe LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave,
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348
jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Suite 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Henry W. Eckhart 2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, Ohio 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enemoc.com Christopher L. Miller
Gregory H. Dunn
Asim Z. Haque
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA
250 West Street
Columbus, OH 43215
cmiller@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
Sandy Grace
Exelon Business Services Company
101 Constitution Avenue N.W., Suite 400 E
Washington, DC 20001
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817
gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Kenneth P. Kreider Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Recordtown Road Marshall, Virginia 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Cynthia Former Brady 550 W. Washington Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com Phillip B. Sineneng Carolyn S. Flahive Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com

Steve W. Chriss Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72716 stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927 barthroyer@aol.com

Werner L. Margard III John H. Jones Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6* Floor Columbus, OH 43215 werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us john.jones@puc.state.oh.us

Emma F. Hand
Douglas G. Bonner
SNR Denton US LLP
1301 K Street, NW, Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005-3364
emma.hand@snrdenton.com
doug.botmer@snrdenton.com

Shannon Fisk 2 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606 <u>sfisk@nrdc.org</u>

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Lisa G. McAlister
Matthew W. Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
Imcalister@bricker.com
mwamock@bricker.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, Michigan 48864 laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

E. Camille Yancey
Nolan Moser
Trent A. Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
camille@theoec.org
nolan@theoec.org
trent@theoec.org

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/13/2011 4:35:16 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO

Summary: Testimony of Steve Irvin electronically filed by Teresa Orahood on behalf of Paulding Wind Farm II LLC