

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2011 5:30 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 9/7/2011

Re: Vicente Colon
1534 Indian Bluffs Dr

Maineville, OH 45039

Docketing Case No.: 11-3549-EL-SSO

Notes:

Have just become aware of Duke's proposed rate plan through 2021. Have read and studied the stipulations of the plan and it appears to provide for a monopoly in power generation by Duke Energy. My wife and I favor a more competitive system and encourage the PUCO to deny the Duke proposal.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
technician AMN Date Processed SEP 09 2011

11-3544-EL-580

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 61182
Received: 9/2/2011 2:24:26 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 61182 AT:09-02-2011 at 02:24 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. marian lampl

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 44 flanders lane
- cincinnati , Ohio 45218
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (513) 825-2751
- Alternative: none
- Fax: none

E-MAIL: mrlampl@fuse.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: duke energy
- Name on account: marian lampl
- Service address: 44 flanders ln
- Service phone: 513 825 2751
- Account Number: 9000-0050-20-1

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I want you to dismiss the Duke requests for additional funds for the maintenance of their coal fired plants and for the installation of their smart meters. Their costs for the maintenance should be contained in their costs figures when they last asked for a rate increase, not in the interim. The costs for their smart meters will be met by eliminating the need for personnel to read meters. I personally do not want a smart meter, mine works fine. If they want to eliminate meter readers, that is their choice and they should have done a cost/benefit analysis before they began installation,.

Duke asking for additonal monies in every year (such as to help they cover the ice storm damage) is the

cost of doing business and should have been factored into the costs presented to the PUCO on each rate requests, not as small amounts frequently.

I understand they have recently bought another energy company and are now one of the five largest in this country. Apparently they are doing very well. Their CEO and CFO are paid in excess of what I consider reasonable.

Marian Lampl

11-3549-EL-550

RECEIVED

SEP - 7 2011

INVESTIGATION AND AUDIT DIVISION
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

It is my opinion that the PUCO can and should encourage Duke to invest more in energy efficiency and adopt innovative programs to obtain even more savings.

These programs should provide accountability. Wind power should be weighted heavily and wherever possible equitable transition for the employees and communities affected by these new energy sources.

Tracy Johnson