

BEFORE THE RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 2011 SEP -6 PM 4: 46

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, In the form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	PUCO Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), respectfully request that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio issue a protective order keeping confidential the portion of the Deposition of Laura J. Thomas, taken August 10, 2011, that is the subject of and was filed contemporaneously with FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.'s ("FES") August 29, 2011 motion for protective order. The reasons supporting this motion (and also supporting FES's motion) are provided in the attached memorandum in support.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven T. Nourse / perauthorization Steven T. Nourse

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite American Electric Power 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373 Telephone: (614) 716-1608

Facsimile: (614) 716-2950

stnourse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
41 S. High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 227-2100
Facsimile: (614) 227-2270

Facsimile: (614) 227-2270 dconway@porterwright.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively, "AEP Ohio" or "the Companies") request that a portion of the Deposition of Laura J. Thomas, which FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES") filed contemporaneously with its August 29, 2011 motion for protective order, be protected from public disclosure. The information for which protection is sought includes detailed discussion of, and includes as an exhibit, AEP Ohio's forecast for fuel expenditures, which the Companies produced to FES during discovery pursuant to a protective agreement between the parties. The information is the product of original research and development by AEP Ohio, has been kept confidential, and, as a result, retains substantial economic value to the Companies by being kept confidential. It would be costly and timeconsuming for third parties to replicate the information on their own. Allowing unfettered public access to the information would enable third parties to replicate AEP Ohio's forecasted expenditures at little or no cost and would give third parties inappropriate access to competitively sensitive business information about the Companies. Accordingly, release of the information to the public would significantly reduce, if not eliminate, the value that the information has by being kept confidential and, thus, would cause harm to AEP Ohio.

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio (the "Commission") or certain designated employees may issue an order to

protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's

Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information
and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of
the Revised Code.

The criteria used to determine what the Commission should keep confidential is well established, and the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligation to protect trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read <u>in pari materia</u> with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982).

Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)). The Uniform Trade Secrets Act defines "trade secret" to mean: information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R.C. § 1333,61(D),

This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information that is the subject of this motion. Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of the companies subject to its jurisdiction, the trade secrets statute creates a duty to protect them.

New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the

Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, and now the new entrants who will be providing power, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. The Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965- TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); OhioBell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio. Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 7, 1990).

In <u>Pyromatics</u>, <u>Inc. v. Petruziello.</u>, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing <u>Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer</u>, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

These factors were adopted by the Supreme Court of Ohio in State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept of Ins. (1997), 80 Ohio St3d 513,524-525.

Applying these factors to the information contained in the relevant portion of Ms.

Thomas' deposition transcript demonstrates that protection from disclosure is appropriate. As noted above, the information includes a detailed description AEP Ohio's forecast for fuel expenditures and also includes an actual copy of the forecast. AEP Ohio utilizes this forecast to estimate its costs of providing SSO service to customers. The information is the product of original research and development, has been kept confidential, and, as a result, retains substantial

economic value to the Companies by being kept confidential. It would be costly and timeconsuming for third parties to replicate the information on their own, without access to the information. Allowing unfettered public access to the information would enable third parties to replicate AEP Ohio's forecasted expenditures at little or no cost and would grant third parties inappropriate access to competitively sensitive business information about the Companies. Accordingly, release of the information to the public would significantly reduce, if not eliminate, the value that the information has by being kept confidential and, thus, would cause harm to AEP Ohio.

For the reasons provided above, AEP Ohio requests that the Commission grant its motion, and FES's motion, for a protective order to maintain the confidentiality of the information contained in the portion of the Deposition of Laura J. Thomas that FES filed contemporaneously with its August 29, 2011 motion for protective order, by ordering that the deposition excerpt be kept under seal.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven T. Nourse/ Ren arthor itation Steven T. Nourse

Matthew J. Satterwhite

American Electric Power

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373

Telephone: (614) 716-1608

Facsimile: (614) 716-2950

stnourse@aep.com

misatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
41 S. High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 227-2100
Facsimile: (614) 227-2270
dconway@porterwright.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Columbus* Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's Motion for Protective Order has been served upon the below-named counsel and Attorney Examiners via electronic mail this 6th day of September, 2011.

Daniel R. Conway

Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph E. Oliker
Frank P. Darr
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

Dorothy K. Corbett
Associate General Counsel
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com

Richard L. Sites General Counsel & Senior Director of Health Policy Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Philip B. Sineneng
Thompson Hine LLP
41 South High Street
Suite 1700
Columbus, OH 43215
Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com

Thomas J. O'Brien
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
tobrien@bricker.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Terry L. Etter
Maureen R. Grady
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
etter@occ.state.oh.us
idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh.us

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 lmcalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus Ohio 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
Mark A. Hayden
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexandor
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Jay E. Jadwin
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
jejadwin@aep.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Pamela A. Fox Law Director, the City of Hilliard, Ohio pfox@hilliardohio.gov

Christopher L. Miller
Gregory H. Dunn
Asim Z. Haque
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA
250 West Street
Columbus, OH 43215
cmiller@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
ahaque@szd.com

Kenneth P. Kreider Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com Michael J. Settineri Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mjsettineri@vorys.com

Henry W. Eckhart Shannon Fisk 2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348
jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Sandy I-ru Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 BarthRoyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

David A, Kutik
Jones Day
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114
E-mail: dakutik@jonesday.com

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017 E-mail: aehaedt@jonesday.com

COLUMBUS/1600028v.1

Trent A. Dougherty
Nolan Moser
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212
camille@theoec.org
nolan@theoec.org
trent@theoec.org

Emma F. Hand
Douglas G. Bonner
Keith Nusbaum
SNR Denton US LLP
1301 K Street, Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005-3364
emma.hand@snrdenton.com
doug.bonner@snrdenton.com
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 E-mail: gpoulos@enernoc.com