Proceedings

```
1
     BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of the :
    Application of Duke
    Energy Ohio, Inc., to :
4
    Establish its Fuel and : Case No. 10-974-EL-FAC
    Economy Purchased Power:
5
    Component of its Market-:
6
    Based Standard Service :
    Offer for 2010.
    In the Matter of the :
    Application of Duke
8
    Energy Ohio, Inc., to
9
    Establish its System : Case No. 10-975-EL-RDR
    Reliability Tracker and :
    its Market-Based
10
    Standard Service Offer :
    for 2010.
11
12
13
                       PROCEEDINGS
14
    Before Katie Stenman, Hearing Examiner, at the
15
    Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East
    Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, Hearing Room 11C,
16
17
    on Wednesday, August 3, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.
18
19
20
2.1
                  ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
             222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
                Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
22
              (614) 224-9481/(800) 223-9481
2.3
                   Fax (614) 224-5724
24
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Michael DeWine, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. Thomas W. McNamee	
3	Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	
5	On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
6		
7	Mr. Jeffrey L. Small Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800	
8	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485	
9	On behalf of the Residential Customers of Duke Energy Ohio.	
11	Ms. Amy B. Spiller Deputy General Counsel	
12	Duke Energy 139 East Fourth St./1303-Main P.O. Box 960	
13	Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960	
14	On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

,			3
1	INDEX	TO WITNESSES	
2			
3	APPLICANT'S	PAGE	
4	William Don Wathen,		
5	Direct by Spiller Cross by Small	10 13	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2		
3	APPLICANT'S ID'd AD'd	
4	1 - Application filed March 1, 2011 8 16	
5	2 - Direct Testimony of Salil Pradham 8 16	
6 7	2A - Confidential Attachments to Testimony of Salil Pradham 9 16	
8	3 - Direct Testimony of Gregory H. Cecil 8 16	
9	4 - Direct Testimony of William Don Wathen 8 16	
11	4A - Confidential Attachments to Testimony of William Don Wathen 9 16	
12	5 - Supplemental Testimony of William Don Wathen 8 16	
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li></ul>		
15		
16	JOINT ID'd AD'd	
17	1 - Stipulation and Recommendation 10 16	
18		
19		
20		
21	STAFF ID'd AD'd	
22	1 - Audit Report 10 16	
23	1A - Confidential Version of Audit	
24	Report 10 16	
25		

5 1 Wednesday Morning Session, 2 August 3, 2011. 3 4 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: The Public 5 Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 10-974-EL-FAC being in the matter of the 8 Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to 9 establish its fuel and economy purchased power 10 component of its market-based standard service 11 offer for 2010; and 10-975-EL-RDR being in the 12 matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, 13 Inc., to establish its system reliability 14 tracker and its market-based standard service 15 offer for 2010. 16 My name is Katie Stenman, and I'm the 17 Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case. 18 19 At this time let's start with the 20 appearances of the parties, starting with the 21 Company. 2.2 MS. SPILLER: Good morning, Your 23 Honor. Amy Spiller on behalf of Duke Energy 24 Ohio, 139 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio

25

45202.

HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

MR. SMALL: Your Honor, on behalf of the residential customers of Duke Energy Ohio,
Jeffrey L. Small, Assistant Consumers' Counsel,
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio
43215. Thank you.

2.2

MR. MCNAMEE: On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine, Attorney General, State of Ohio. I am Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General. The address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you.

I understand the parties have docketed a

stipulation?

MS. SPILLER: Yes, Your Honor.

HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Do you want to go forward with the stipulation at this time?

MS. SPILLER: I would, Your Honor.

Thank you. And Mr. Wathen is here to testify as to that. We do have two motions for protective order that Duke Energy Ohio has filed as well in this proceeding, neither of which I understand to be opposed but certainly wanted to identify

```
the filing of those. One concerning attachments to the direct testimony of Mr. Wathen as well as Salil Pradhan, attachments to their testimony.

That motion was filed on March 1.
```

2.2

The second motion for protective order filed on May 12, 2011, in this docket is concerning various portions of the report completed by, the audit report, completed by Schumaker & Company.

HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: And it's my understanding that those were granted via Attorney Examiner entry on June 1st, and that will be confirmed in the opinion and order that will be forthcoming.

MS. SPILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Additional documents, if I may identify for the record please, Duke Energy Ohio asks that its application filed on March 1, 2011 be identified as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 1, please.

HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: It will be so marked.

MS. SPILLER: Duke Energy further offers for marking the direct testimony of Salil Pradhan filed on March 1, 2011. Ask that that be marked as Duke Energy Exhibit No. 2.

```
HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: So marked.
1
2
                MS. SPILLER: Duke Energy Ohio next
3
    identifies the direct testimony of Gregory H.
    Cecil filed on March 1, 2011. Would ask that
4
5
    that be marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 3.
6
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: It will be
7
    so marked.
8
                MS. SPILLER: The direct testimony of
9
    William Don Wathen, Jr., also filed on March 1,
    2011. Would ask that that be marked as Duke
10
11
    Energy Ohio Exhibit 4.
12
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: It will be
13
    so marked.
14
                (EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED.)
15
                MS. SPILLER: The final Duke Energy
16
    Ohio exhibit is the supplemental testimony of
17
    William Don Wathen, Jr. Would ask that that be
    marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit No. 5.
18
19
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: And that
20
    was filed on July 28?
21
                MS. SPILLER: I'm sorry, on July 28.
22
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: It will be
23
    so marked.
24
                (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED.)
25
                MS. SPILLER: And then I would
```

1 identify, if I may, as Joint Exhibit 1 the 2 stipulation and recommendation also filed with the Commission on July 28, 2011. 3 4 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: It will be 5 so marked. And with respect to Duke Exhibit 2 and Duke Exhibit 4, we also need to mark the 6 confidential attachments to that testimony. Can 7 8 that be marked, the confidential attachment to 9 Salil Pradhan's testimony, as Duke Exhibit 2A, and confidential attachment to William Don 10 11 Wathen's testimony as Duke 4A? 12 MS. SPILLER: Yes, Your Honor. 13 (EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED.) HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Are there 14 15 any other exhibits to mark before we move 16 forward with the witness? 17 MS. SPILLER: Not on behalf of Duke 18 Energy Ohio. 19 MR. SMALL: If I may, how about the 20 quarterly filings, are they part of the 21 testimony? 2.2 MS. SPILLER: They are part of

Mr. Wathen's direct testimony.

```
1
    the audit report itself as Staff Exhibit 1.
2
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: And that
3
    was filed on May 12?
4
                MR. MCNAMEE: May 12.
5
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: And
6
    similarly to what we did with the testimony, the
    confidential version will be marked as Staff 1A.
7
8
                MR. MCNAMEE: Yes.
9
                (EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED.)
10
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: You may
11
    call your witness.
12
                MS. SPILLER: Thank you. We would
13
    call Mr. Wathen to the stand, please.
14
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Please
15
    raise your right hand.
16
17
                 WILLIAM DON WATHEN, JR.
    called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant,
18
19
    being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
    By Ms. Spiller:
2.2
                Mr. Wathen, can you identify yourself
           Q.
23
    for the record, please.
24
           Α.
                My name is William Don Wathen, Jr.
25
           Q.
                And do you have before you, sir,
```

- documents that have been marked respectively as

  Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 4 and Duke Energy Ohio

  Exhibit 5?
  - A. I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Is Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 4 direct testimony that you filed in this proceeding?
  - A. It is.
- Q. And similarly is Duke Energy Ohio
  Exhibit 5 supplemental testimony that you filed
  in support of the stipulation and recommendation
  in this proceeding?
  - A. It is.
- Q. And Mr. Wathen, attached to Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 4 -- are attached to Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 4 the quarterly FPP filings made by the company?
- A. Yes. There are copies of filings we made in these dockets on a quarterly basis, yes.
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Wathen, if I were to ask you the questions set forth in your direct or supplemental direct testimony today, would your answers be the same?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to conclude then, sir,
  that you don't have any changes or revisions to

your testimony?

2.2

- A. Not at this time.
- Q. And, Mr. Wathen, do you have before you what has been marked as Joint Exhibit No. 1?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And that is the stipulation and recommendation filed in this proceeding?
  - A. It is, yes.
- Q. And, sir, if you could turn to page 6 of that document, please, there is reference to a credit in paragraph No. 5, a credit of \$612,970 that would be returned to Duke Energy Ohio's rider PTC-FPP customers, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And can you discuss for a moment the timing related to how that refund would be provided back to customers in the context of the FPP filings?
- A. Well, the idea is to file it in the next FPP filing. However, this upcoming filing, which would be for the fourth quarter of 2011, is really the last FPP filing we would expect to have anticipating a new ESP model after 2011. So we would file that application on or about September 1. So it would be very helpful to get

```
13
 1
     that in order by September 1 to get that;
     otherwise, we're going to have to make some
 2
    other accommodation with the parties to
 3
 4
     effectuate that credit.
           Q. And that accommodation can be made
 5
 6
     and the company agreed to work toward that
    accommodation, correct?
 7
 8
           Α.
                That's correct.
 9
                But simply more streamlined, if you
    were able to remit it in the next filing?
10
11
                That is correct.
           Α.
12
                MS. SPILLER: Thank you. The witness
13
     is available for cross-examination.
14
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Any
15
    questions?
16
                MR. MCNAMEE: The staff has no
17
    questions.
                MR. SMALL: I have just one
18
    clarifying question.
19
20
21
                    CROSS EXAMINATION
2.2
    By Mr. Small:
23
                Mr. Wathen, you mentioned your
24
     statement that the fourth quarter 2011 would be
25
     the last regular filing by the company for the
```

rider?

2.2

- A. We have filed an ESP anticipating a new ESP for 1-1-12, so absent some delay in their new ESP, that would be the last FPP filing we have.
- Q. But in terms of matters that might come up in the 2011 audit, we started as a preliminary matter, there is an additional audit that's ordered as far as the approved ESP plan; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you understand that, my terminology, if I call that -- in the stipulation it's referred to as the 2011 audit report?
- A. There will be another audit report, right.
- Q. Another audit report. And if there are matters that come up during that audit report, there would be additional, there could be an additional filing to deal with the matters that are brought up in that audit report, correct?
- A. There is, but we have, as I speak we have no mechanism right now. We would have to

```
1
    work with the staff and other parties to deal
2
    with how we're going to flow through any credits
    that result from that audit.
3
4
                MR. SMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. I
5
     just wanted to clarify that there might be some
6
    filing in the future, even though there wouldn't
7
    be a regular quarterly one. Thank you very
8
    much.
9
               HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Any
    redirect?
10
11
                MS. SPILLER: No, Your Honor.
12
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Thank you,
13
    Mr. Wathen.
14
                MS. SPILLER: Your Honor, at this
15
    time Duke Energy Ohio would move for the
16
    admission of its exhibits identified as Duke
17
    Energy Ohio Exhibit 1, 2, 2A, 3, 4, 4A, 5, as
    well as the Joint Exhibit 1.
18
19
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Any
20
    objections?
21
                MR. SMALL: No.
22
                MR. MCNAMEE: No objection from the
    staff.
23
24
                MR. SMALL: No objection, Your Honor.
25
                HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Duke
```

16 Exhibits 1, 2, 2A, 3, 4, 4A, 5, and Joint 1 Exhibit 1 will be admitted. 2 3 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED.) 4 MS. SPILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 MR. MCNAMEE: Likewise, staff would 6 move for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 7 1A. 8 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Any 9 objections? 10 MS. SPILLER: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. SMALL: None. 12 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Staff 13 Exhibits 1 and 1A will be admitted. (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED.) 14 15 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Is there 16 anything else to come before us? 17 MS. SPILLER: No. 18 MR. MCNAMEE: Nothing from the staff. 19 MR. SMALL: Just a matter, I don't 20 think any of the parties, because of the 21 complete stipulation, anticipates having any 2.2 need to brief the matter. 23 HEARING EXAMINER STENMAN: Correct. 24 Then we're adjourned. Thank you.

```
17
 1
                 Thereupon, at 10:15 a.m. the hearing
 2
     was concluded.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on Wednesday, August 3, 2011. Iris I. Dillion Registered Professional Reporter. 2.1 2.3 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/17/2011 3:06:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 10-0974-EL-FAC, 10-0975-EL-RDR

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. hearing held on 08/03/11 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Dillion, Iris I. Mrs.