



COLUMBUS | CLEVELAND
CINCINNATI-DAYTON

BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
MAIN: 614.227.2300
FAX: 614.227.2390

www.bricker.com
info@bricker.com

Thomas J. O'Brien
614.227.2335
tobrien@bricker.com

August 15, 2011

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Betty McCauly
Administration/Docketing
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

**Re: Staff Proposal for An Economic Development Tariff Template
PUCO Case No. 11-4304-EL-UNC
Ohio Hospital Reply Comments**

Dear Ms. McCauly:

On August 5, 2011, the Ohio Hospital Association ("OHA") filed its Comments on the economic development tariff template as proposed by the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission"). Comments were also filed by the following parties: Ohio Energy Group; Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy; The Ohio Consumers' Counsel; the OMA Energy Group; Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company; The Dayton Power and Light Company; Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.; Industrial Energy Users-Ohio; the Retail Energy Supply Association; Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company; and FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. Pursuant to the Commission's July 15, 2011 Entry, the OHA respectfully replies to comments that have been received by the Commission to this point.

The thrust the OHA's initial comments was that the Staff's proposal should serve as the starting point of a more thorough and comprehensive consideration of a more standardized economic development tariff mechanism. The comments received by the Commission convincingly corroborate the view espoused by the OHA that the Commission should not act on the Staff's proposal; but rather, the Commission should set the matter for a more deliberate investigation. The commenting parties raised a host of thoughtful and compelling issues that the Commission should carefully consider before adopting a final economic development tariff.

Once again, the OHA urges the Commission to consider these reply comments along with its initial comments as it begins a larger and more comprehensive process to further develop the Staff Proposal.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. O'Brien

cc: Parties of Record

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/15/2011 4:06:08 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-4304-EL-UNC

Summary: Reply Comments of The Ohio Hospital Association electronically filed by Teresa Orahod on behalf of Ohio Hospital Association