

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Case Nos.	11-346-EL-SSO 11-348-EL-SSO	JCO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Case Nos.	11-349-EL-AAM 11-350-EL-AAM	

### PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

## **DORIS MCCARTER**

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO UTILITIES DEPARTMENT CAPITAL RECOVERY & FINANCIAL ANALYSIS DIVISION

STAFF EX.

August 4, 2011

This is to care. The in the production of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Seconician Sum Date Processed AUG 0.5 2011 15

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV 2011 AUG -4 PH 4:54 PUCO

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		A.	My name is Doris McCarter. My business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
4			
5	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).
7			
8	3.	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational and professional background.
9		A.	I received a Masters in Public Administration from Columbia University. I
10			have been employed by the PUCO since December, 1989 in various
11			capacities; Commissioner Aide to Commissioner Richard M. Fanelly,
12			Utility Specialist 2 in the Telecommunications Division of the Utilities
13			Department, and Deputy Director of the Service Monitoring and
14			Enforcement Department.
15			
16	4.	Q.	Please describe your responsibilities.
17		А.	I am Chief of the Capital Recovery and Financial Analysis Division within
18			the Utilities Department. My duties include establishing policies, practices,
19			and procedures for the Division's regulatory analysts who conduct audits
20			and investigations of public utility companies subject to the jurisdiction of
21			the PUCO. I have overall responsibility for certain aspects of the Staff's
22			revenue requirement determination during rate setting investigations. The

• ,

1			calculation of depreciation expense, accumulated depreciation reserve and
2			cost of capital are under my purview. I also have overall responsibility for
3			management and operations reviews, corporate separation compliance,
4			financing approvals, and the administration of the significantly excessive
5			earnings test for electric distribution companies.
6			
7	5.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
8		A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address the Companies' proposed
9			Distribution Investment Rider (DIR).
10			
11	6.	Q.	Will you summarize your position?
12		A.	Staff recommends that the Commission not adopt the Companies' proposed
13			DIR.
14			
15	7.	Q.	Why do you recommend the commission not adopt the Companies'
16			proposed DIR?
17		Α.	The Companies have not demonstrated a need for a DIR. At this time, the
18			Companies have not developed a specific analysis of what assets they
19			would replace, nor a concrete methodology to target the asset
20			improvements/replacements cited as a major factor in the need for a DIR.
21			There are only two specific capital investments identified by the
22			Companies; gridSMART Phase II and the replacement of the current

۰.

• .

1			mobile communications system. In addition, the Companies have not
2			indicated any expected quantifiable tangible improvement to reliability
3			performance as measured by customer outages or power quality indices.
4			
5	8	Q.	Should the Commission approve a DIR for the Companies, are there
6			modifications the Commission should make to the proposed DIR?
7		A.	Yes. I would recommend several modifications.
8			
9	9.	Q.	Should the Commission approve a DIR, do you concur with the
10			Companies' proposal that only accounts 360 through 374 be included in the
11			DIR mechanism?
12		A.	Yes. I concur that the Plant In Service (PIS) for accounts 360-374, less
13			accumulated deprecation, is the appropriate foundation for the capital costs
14			to be included for recovery. These costs would only be recovered from
15			customers once they have been approved by the Commission. Due to the
16			complexity of the review associated with the quarterly filings, the three
17			quarterly filings should be rate adjustment filings only. The annual filing
18			would also include a review to confirm that the amounts for which recovery
19			is sought are not unreasonable. Staff believes the review should be
20			conducted by an independent auditor, funded by the Companies, but chosen
21			and by Staff and under Staff's direction. Should the Commission determine

• ,

1			that a capital DIR mechanism is appropriate for AEP, capital costs
2			recovered by other riders should be excluded from the DIR mechanism.
3			
4	10.	Q.	Should the Commission grant the Companies' a DIR, do you concur with
5			the proposal to include an operations and maintenance (O&M) adder in the
6			DIR?
7		А.	No, I do not. I believe the DIR should only recover PIS costs reflected in
8			Accounts 360 through 374, less accumulated depreciation. PIS expenses
9			(which include capitalized labor) are associated with tangible plant and are
10			more easily audited. Given the nature of the DIR review, the ability to
11			meaningfully, and quickly, audit the Companies's expenses is critical. Due
12			to the nature of the O&M adder, such an audit of these specific O&M
13			expenses would not be possible on a quarterly basis. Setting aside the
14			ability to meaningfully audit both O&M and capital costs on a quarterly
15			basis, there are non-procedural reasons I would not recommend the O&M
16			adder be approved. The Companies have not quantified the amount of
17			O&M expenses that are associated with capital projects. In addition, O&M
18			expenses are largely associated with routine maintenance programs, and the
19			Companies have not provided a tangible, quantified enhancement or
20			acceleration of those programs. The Companies also indicate that the
21			O&M expenses associated with new equipment may actually be less than

• •

1			the O&M expenses related to current equipment. For these reasons, I do
2			not believe that it is appropriate to include the O&M adder in the DIR.
3			
4	11.	Q.	Do you have a recommendation with respect to the recovery of
5			gridSMART costs in the DIR should the Commission approve a DIR for
6			the Companies?
7		А.	Based on the testimony of Staff Witness Scheck, I do not recommend the
8			inclusion of any gridSMART expenses in the DIR.
9			
10	12.	Q.	Should the Commission approve a DIR for the Companies, on what basis
11			should the baseline be established for costs to be eligible for recovery in the
12			DIR?
13		A.	The PIS level approved by the Commission in Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR
13 14		A.	The PIS level approved by the Commission in Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the
		A.	
14		А.	and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the
14 15		A.	and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the threshold cost level. Doing so permits all parties to have comfort that the
14 15 16		A.	and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the threshold cost level. Doing so permits all parties to have comfort that the starting amounts included in the DIR have been thoroughly examined and
14 15 16 17	13.	A. Q.	and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the threshold cost level. Doing so permits all parties to have comfort that the starting amounts included in the DIR have been thoroughly examined and
14 15 16 17 18	13.		and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the threshold cost level. Doing so permits all parties to have comfort that the starting amounts included in the DIR have been thoroughly examined and approved by the Commission.
14 15 16 17 18 19	13.		and 11-352-EL-AIR, less accumulated depreciation, should be used as the threshold cost level. Doing so permits all parties to have comfort that the starting amounts included in the DIR have been thoroughly examined and approved by the Commission. Do you concur with the use of an interim DIR PIS threshold should the

•,

• .

1		А.	No, I do not. Staff recommends that the Commission not use Case No. 05-
2			843-EL-ATA as the DIR interim baseline until a decision is rendered in this
3			case. Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power have not had a rate case
4			in many years. It is Staff's position that a potentially brief delay in the start
5			of the proposed DIR is not a compelling reason to engage in unnecessary
6			reconciliation filings for what is a short-term concern. Therefore, Staff
7			recommends that whatever PIS expenses are approved by the Commission
8			in Case Nos. 11-351-EL-AIR and 11-352-EL-AIR be used as the baseline
9			expenditure level at the commencement of the DIR.
10			
11	14.	Q.	What is your position regarding the carrying charges the Companies' have
12			proposed be used in the DIR recovery calculation?
13		A.	Staff Witness Retterer is addressing the appropriate calculation and
14			application of the carrying charges.
15			
16	15.	Q.	Do you believe there should be a cap on the amount of the DIR, should the
17			Commission approve a DIR?
18		A.	Yes, I do. While the Companies have not provided criteria for what assets
19			would be replaced, or a specific plan for how it will develop a set of
20			concrete criteria to select assets for replacement, they have provided a
21			forecasted capital budget through 2013. I recommend that, should the
22			Commission believe a DIR is appropriate for the Companies, the forecast

۰.

• .

1			provided, less gridSMART Phase II costs and adjusted for the carrying cost
2			calculation of Staff Witness Retterer, be used as the annual revenue
3			requirement ceiling for the DIR.
4			
5	16.	Q.	Do you have a recommendation as to when the DIR rate expires should the
6			Commission approve a DIR?
7		A.	Yes, I do. Staff recommends that the DIR recovery mechanism and
8			associated rate(s) sunset with the end of the ESP. After that time, should
9			the Companies wish to recover any of the incremental PIS incurred since
10			the inception of the ESP, they could file a rate case to recover the
11			incremental PIS unless a subsequent ESP has been approved by the
12			Commission which continues the DIR recovery mechanism for the incurred
13			incremental PIS. In addition, due to the timing of the quarterly filings and
14			quarterly update process, no additional costs should be included in the DIR
15			after December 31, 2013. To permit incremental PIS to flow to the DIR
16			after this point would create a situation where the last quarterly update
17			review (for incremental PIS incurred from January to March 2014) would
18			not commence before May 31, 2014.
19			
20	17.	Q.	What is your position regarding the recovery of the DIR as a percentage of
21			the base distribution rate should the Commission approve a DIR?

• ,

1		A.	It is my understanding that this recovery basis for the DIR is in
2			conformance with Staff's general preference that recovery of costs used to
3			benefit all customers should be recovered from all customers. As all
4			customers are served by the distribution network, all customers should
5			contribute to the recovery of the costs associated with maintaining the
6			distribution infrastructure.
7			
8	18.	Q.	If approved, should the DIR be nonbypassable?
9		А.	Yes.
10			
11	19.	Q.	Do you have a recommendation regarding the Companies' proposal to have
12			one percentage for both OP and CSP?
13		А.	Yes, I do. Should the Commission find that a DIR mechanism is
14			appropriate, I recommend that one of two things occur. Either have
15			separate DIRs for each operating company based solely on the PIS
16			associated with that company, or the limit of the capital investment level
17			for each operating company should be based on the forecasts provided for
18			each operating company.
19			
20	20.	Q.	Does Staff have any recommendations with respect to the participation
21			agreement and associated transactions between AEP Ohio and Turning
22			Point?

1		A.	On July 1, 2011, the Companies filed Supplemental Direct Testimony
2			which summarized the Turning Point Solar Project (TSP), provided the
3			proposed Participation Agreement, mentioned other necessary agreements
4			and requested recovery treatment. Staff has reviewed the Supplemental
5			filing. But a final determination of the appropriateness of costs associated
6			with the Turning Point Project should be subject to further review if and
7			when the Companies apply for recovery under the GRR.
8			
9	21.	Q,	Does Staff concur that Columbus Southern Power's SEET obligations are
10			fulfilled if AEP Ohio's equity contributions are made at the financial close
11			dates for the three phases of Turning Point or at some later point for each
12			phase in connection with the take-out of the construction financings?
13		A.	The Commission has stated that the \$20 M was to be expended by the close
14			of 2012 on this project or a similar project. In re Columbus Southern
15			Power and Ohio Power Company, Case No. 10-1261-EL-UNC (Opinion
16			and Order 26, 27) (January 11, 2011); Id. (Entry on Rehearing at 9, 10)
17			(March 9, 2011).
18			
19	22.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
20		A.	Yes, it does.
21			

• ,

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Doris

**McCarter**, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivered, upon the following Parties of Record, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

John H//Jones

Assistant Attorney General

**Parties of Record:** 

Matthew J. Satterwhite Steven T. Nourse American Electric Power 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, OH 43215 <u>mjsatterwhite@aep.com</u> <u>sthourse@aep.com</u>

Daniel Conway Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 4321 dconway@porterwright.com

ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN Power Company and Ohio Power Company

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45840 <u>ctnooney2@columbus.rr.com</u>

ON BEHALF OF OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY Dorothy Corbett Duke Energy Ohio 139 East Fourth Street Suite 1303 P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201 dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com

Philip P. Sineneng Thompson Hine 41 South High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215 Phillip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com

### **ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY RETAIL SALES**

Michael Smalz Joseph Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-1137 <u>msmalz@ohiopovertlaw.org</u> jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK David Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm Kurtz &Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

### **ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP**

John Bentine Mark Yurick Chester Willcox & Saxbe 65 East State Street Suite 100 Columbus, OH 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com

### **ON BEHALF OF THE KROGER CO.**

Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Imcalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

### **ON BEHALF OF OMA ENERGY GROUP**

### Jay E. Jadwin

American Electric Power Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jedjadwin@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF AEP RETAIL ENERGY PARTNERS

Terry Etter Maureen R. Grady Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 etter@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 ricks@ohanet.com

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF OHIO HOSPITAL Association

### Terrence O'Donnell

Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF PAULDING WIND FARM II AND THE DISTRIBUTED WIND ENERGY ASSOCIATION Gregory Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com

ı

**ON BEHALF OF ENERNOC, INC.** 

Tara Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org

ON BEHALF OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue Suite 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos MI 48864 laurac@chappellconsulting.net

ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP

William L. Massey Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Joel Malina Compete Coalition 1317 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 2004 malina@wexlerwalker.com

ON BEHALF OF THE COMPETE COALITION

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang Laura McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee Halter & Griswold 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

### David Kutik

Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 <u>dakutik@jonesday.com</u>

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017 aehaedt@jonesday.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215-1008 <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u> <u>smhoward@vorys.com</u>

### ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS GROUP AND THE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

Douglas G. Bonner Emma F. Hand Keith C. Nusbaum Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 600 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005 doug.bonner@snrdenton.com emma.hand@snrdenton.com keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com

## ON BEHALF OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION

M. Howard Petricoff Michael J. Settineri Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215-1008 <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u> <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u>

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC., CONSTELLATION ENERGY Commodities Group, Inc., and The Compete Coalition

Samuel C. Randazzo Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker McNees Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 sam@mcwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com

ON BEHALF OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-Ohio

### Henry W. Eckhart

1200 Chambers Road Suite 106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

### **Shannon Fisk**

Natural Resources Defense Council 2 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606 <u>sfisk@nrdc.org</u>

### ON BEHALF OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL AND THE SIERRA CLUB

David Fein Cynthia Fonner Brady Constellation Energy Resources 550 West Washington Boulevard Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 david.fein@constellation.com cynthia.brady@constellation.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC. AND CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.

Barth Royer Bell & Royer 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 barthroyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 gary.a.jeffries@aol.com

### **ON BEHALF OF DOMINION RETAIL**

Pamela A. Fox/C. Todd Jones Steven J. Smith/Christopher Miller Gregory Dunn/Asim Haque Schottenstein Zox and Dunn 250 West Street, Suite 500 Columbus, OH 43215 pfox@szd.com cmiller@szd.com gdunn@szd.com ahaque@szd.com

ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF HILLIARD, OHIO, THE CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO, AND THE ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO

Kenneth P. Kreider

Þ

Keating Muething & Klekamp One East Fourth Street Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 gkreider@kmklaw.com

### **Holly Rachel Smith**

Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115-3338 holly@raysmithlaw.com

#### Steve W. Chriss

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

### ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST AND SAM'S EAST

### Sandy Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, D.C. 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez Exelon Generation Company 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348 jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

David M. Stahl Arin C. Aragona Scott C. Solberg Elmer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg 224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60604

### Anastasia Polek-O'Brien

Exelon Generation Company 10 South Dearborn Street, 49<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60603

# ON BEHALF OF EXELON GENERATION COMPANY

**Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty** Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue

Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449 nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL