BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER BY					
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM	PUC	2011 JUL 25 PM	KECEIYEU-DUUNCI
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO			

THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") hereby moves the Public Utilities Commission ("PUCO" or "Commission") for a protective order regarding information asserted to be confidential by Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company ("AEP Ohio" or "Companies"). As part of discovery in this proceeding, AEP Ohio provided certain information to OCC, subject to a protective agreement. The Companies assert that this information is proprietary and competitively-sensitive confidential, and constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law, and that non-disclosure is not inconsistent with the purposes of R.C. Title 49.

OCC hereby requests that the Commission issue such order as is necessary to protect the portions of the Direct Testimony of Anthony J. Yankel ("Yankel Testimony"),

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete repreduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician A Date Processed 7/2/4

¹ This Motion is filed pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-24(D).

filed on behalf of OCC in this proceeding, that AEP Ohio deems confidential. OCC is filing the Yankel Testimony under seal, and is filing a redacted public version, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement and provisions of Ohio law.

By filing the instant motion, OCC does not concede that the information constitutes trade secrets. However, OCC acknowledges that it has obtained this information pursuant to a protective agreement with the Companies that provides for such information to be treated as confidential and protected (subject to OCC's right under the protective agreement to initiate a process for the PUCO to rule whether the information deserves confidential treatment under Ohio law, and otherwise according to law).

The grounds for this Motion are more fully described in the accompanying Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Terry L. Etter, Counsel of Record

Maureen R. Grady

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-7964 (Telephone)

etter@occ.state.oh.us

grady@occ.state.oh.us

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	
Establish a Standard Service Offer)	
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	
Certain Accounting Authority.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, OCC is filing the testimony of three witnesses in this matter. The testimony of two OCC witnesses – Daniel J. Duann and Mack A. Thompson – does not contain information that AEP Ohio deems confidential. The Yankel Testimony, however, contains information gained during discovery that the Companies assert to be competitively-sensitive confidential.

Accordingly, OCC is filing the Yankel Testimony under seal, pursuant to Ohio Adm.

Code 4901-1-24(D)(2) and the protective agreement between OCC and AEP Ohio. OCC is also filing a version of the Yankel Testimony for viewing by the public, with the purportedly confidential information redacted pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-24(D)(1) and the protective agreement between OCC and AEP Ohio.

Without conceding that the allegedly confidential information meets the standard for trade secrets and deserves protection from public revelation under R.C. 1333.61(D), OCC files the instant Motion to protect the information. Accordingly, OCC requests that

the Commission issue such order as is necessary to protect the Yankel Testimony as filed under seal. Such information was designated as confidential by AEP Ohio, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement. OCC, nonetheless, retains the right to initiate the process for the PUCO to decide if confidential treatment is appropriate.

OCC understands that AEP Ohio considers the redacted information to be confidential and deserving of the status of trade secrets as defined in R.C. 1333.61(D). Such assertions would be based on claims by the Companies that the information (1) derives economic value, actual or potential, from not being known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by others, and (2) is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. Under such assertions, confidential treatment of the unredacted Yankel Testimony would be appropriate, subject to OCC's rights under the protective agreement to initiate a process to determine whether the information should be protected, and otherwise according to law.

For the foregoing reasons and subject to the foregoing reservations of rights, this Motion for Protective Order should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Terry LÆtter, Counsel of Record

Maureen R. Grady

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-7964 (Telephone)

etter@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Protective Order by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel has been served electronically upon those persons listed below this 25th day of July 2011.

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us jbentine@cwslaw.com

<u>John.jones@puc.state.oh.us</u> <u>cmooney2@columbus.rr.com</u> lmcalister@bricker.com jejadwin@aep.com

MWarnock@bricker.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com

 stnourse@aep.com
 smhoward@vorys.com

 mjsatterwhite@aep.com
 mjsettineri@vorys.com

 tobrien@bricker.com
 wmassey@cov.com

sam@mwncmh.com henryeckhart@aol.com

fdarr@mwncmh.comjesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.comjoliker@mwncmh.comsandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

<u>ricks@ohanet.org</u> <u>kpkreider@kmklaw.com</u> msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org holly@raysmithlaw.com

<u>jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org</u> <u>BarthRoyer@aol.com</u>

<u>Philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com</u>
Dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com

Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com
gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

todonnell@bricker.com laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

<u>cmontgomery@bricker.com</u> <u>cmiller@szd.com</u> myurick@cwslaw.com gdunn@szd.com

dconway@porterwright.com ahaque@szd.com

<u>haydenm@firstenergycorp.com</u> <u>tsantarelli@elpc.org</u>

mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com nolan@theoec.org

 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
 trent@theoec.org

 emma.hand@snrdenton.com
 ned.ford@fuse.net

doug.bonner@snrdenton.com gpoulos@enemoc.com

keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com sfisk@nrdc.org

 JLang@Calfee.com
 zkravitz@cwslaw.com

 lmcbride@calfee.com
 aehaedt@jonesday.com

<u>talexander@calfee.com</u> <u>dakutik@jonesday.com</u> <u>ssolberg@eimerstahl.com</u>

<u>aaragona@eimerstahl.com</u> <u>greta.see@puc.state.oh.us</u> dstahl@eimerstahl.com