

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the Ohio Department of Development for an Order Approving Adjustments to the Universal Service Fund Riders of)	Case No. 11-3223-EL-USF
Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution Utilities.)	

PUCOCKETINGOIN

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "the Commission") will consider adjustments to the Universal Service Fund ("USF") riders of Ohio's jurisdictional Electric Distribution Utilities ("EDU's"). OCC is filing on behalf of all the approximately 4.2 million residential utility customers of Ohio's jurisdictional EDU's. The reasons the Commission should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' & OUNSEL

Joseph P. Serio, Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-9565 serio@occ.state.oh.us

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the)	
Ohio Department of Development for an)	Case No. 11-3223-EL-USF
Order Approving Adjustments to the)	
Universal Service Fund Riders of)	
Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution)	
Utilities.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In this proceeding, the Commission will consider whether certain adjustments to USF Riders are appropriate. To the extent that residential customers are potentially eligible to receive such funds, and are required to pay such Riders, it is imperative that residential customers be represented when adjustments or modifications to those USF Riders or the methodology behind Riders are considered. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the approximately 4.2 million residential utility customers of Ohio's jurisdictional EDU's, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding that will consider the USF Riders that those same customers may be eligible to receive and are required to pay for. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Ohio's jurisdictional EDU's in this case involving potential adjustments to USF Riders and the methodologies behind them. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that the resulting USF Riders are set at a level that is no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law.

OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information

that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where potential adjustments to the USF Riders and the methodologies behind them will be considered.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.²

² See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶13-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Joseph P. Serio Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-9565

serio@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons

stated below via regular U.S. mail this 22nd day of July 2011.

Jøseph P.Seriø∠

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793

William Wright
Public Utilities Section
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Randall V. Griffin Judi L. Sobecki The Dayton Power & Light Company 1065 Woodman Avenue Dayton, Ohio 45432

Carrie Dunn Kathy J. Kolich FirstEnergy Corporation 76 S. Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co. LPA 33 S. Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927

Elizabeth H. Watts Duke Energy Ohio 155 E. Broad St., 21st Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite American Electric Power Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215