FILE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO **ECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV **ECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

| In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison |) | PUCA |
|---|---|------------------------|
| Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating |) | -00 |
| Company, and The Toledo Edison Company for |) | Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA |
| Approval of a New Rider and Revision of an |) | |
| Existing Rider. |) | |

THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION AND THE OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION'S JOINT MEMORANDUM CONTRA APPLICATION FOR REHEARING BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Section 4903.10 and Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") Rule 4901-1-35(B), the Ohio Manufacturers' Association ("OMA") and Ohio Hospital Association ("OHA") submit this joint memorandum contra to the Application for Rehearing of The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel filed on June 25, 2011 (the "Application for Rehearing"). The OMA and OHA jointly urge the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the "Commission") to deny the Application for Rehearing, in particular, OCC's unfounded request to require all customer classes to make up the revenue shortfall associated with the Residential Generation Credit ("RGC"), rather than just the residential customers who solely receive the benefit from the RGC.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

The Commission's May 25, 2011 Opinion and Order (the "Order") in the above-captioned proceeding adopted the arguments of the PUCO Staff, OMA/OHA, and IEU-Ohio, and appropriately concluded that the "revenue shortfalls resulting from Rider RGC should be recovered solely from the residential class." (Opinion at 26). In the Application for Rehearing,

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician | Dans Processed 7/5/2011

the OCC misguidedly asks the Commission to "spread the revenue shortfall associated with the RGC across all customers [Application for Rehearing at 20]," while conveniently ignoring the fact that non-residential customers receive absolutely no benefit whatsoever from Rider RGC or any other all-electric discount available solely to residential customers.

1. OCC failed to raise any new claim.

The Commission should deny OCC's Application for Rehearing inasmuch as it raises no new issues for the Commission's consideration. As the Application for Rehearing overtly omits, the Commission already considered and rejected OCC's argument that the revenue shortfall associated with the RGC should be recovered from all customers as analogous to the recovery of delta revenue in a reasonable arrangement case. The Commission has already thoroughly considered OCC's arguments and OCC has raised no new issues for the Commission's consideration. OCC's Application for Rehearing should be denied for this reason alone.

2. There is no legitimate reason for recovering the revenue shortfall associated with Rider RGC from non-residential customers.

The PUCO Staff¹ and OMA/OHA,² among others,³ persuasively argued that there is no justification for requiring non-residential customers to pick up the revenue shortfall from Rider RGC—a rider providing a discount solely to the residential customer class. The Commission accepted these arguments and affirmatively stated on page 26 of the Order that "there has been

¹ See pages 20-23 of the Initial Post-Hearing Brief Submitted on Behalf of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Staff.

² See pages 7-9 of the Joint Post-Hearing Brief of The Ohio Manufacturers' Association and Ohio Hospital Association.

³ See pages 9-11 of the Post-Hearing Brief of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio.

no legitimate reason set forth to justify recovery from all customer classes." The Application for Rehearing sets forth no new ground for rehearing and should be denied.⁴

3. The Application for Rehearing misinterprets the Order and provides no legitimate reason for recovering the revenue shortfall from Rider RGC from non-residential customers.

OCC's Application for Rehearing inaccurately claims that R.C. 4905.31 and the Commission's rules regarding reasonable arrangements not only apply to this case, but require that the revenue shortfall associated with Rider RGC be recovered from all customer classes. This is simply untrue. As the Commission noted in the Order:

The Commission finds that revenue shortfalls resulting from Rider RGC should be recovered solely from the residential class. As Staff argues, there has been no legitimate reason set forth to justify recovery from all customer classes. Despite OCC's assertion that the discounted rate is analogous to a reasonable arrangement, which is typically spread among all customer classes, as the Companies point out, OCC's own witness stated that the discounted rate was not for economic development purposes. No other reason for analogizing is apparent.

(Emphasis added). Simply put, this is not a reasonable arrangement case and the rules relating to a reasonable arrangement case do not apply.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, OMA and OHA request that this Commission adopt the positions of OMA and OHA on the issues set forth above and deny the OCC's Application for Rehearing.

⁴ It should be further emphasized that OCC wants to have its cake and eat it too—namely have non-residential customers fund multiple all-electric discounts for residential customers for which they receive no benefit. As the Order explains, and "as OMA and OHA point out, nonresidential customers already fund Rider EDR which provides a significant portion of the discount for electric space heating customers." (Order at 26).

Respectfully submitted on behalf of THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION

Thomas J. O'Brien

Matthew W. Warnock

BRICKER & ECKLER LLP

100 South Third Street

Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Telephone: (614) 227-2335 Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: tobrien@bricker.com

and

THE OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

Richard L. Sites

General Counsel & Senior Director of Health Policy

OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215-3620

Telephone: (614) 221-7614

Facsimile: (614) 221-4771

Email: ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien

BRICKER & ECKLER LLP

100 South Third Street

Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Telephone: (614) 227-2335

Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: tobrien@bricker.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Memo Contra of The Ohio Manufacturers' Association and The Ohio Hospital Association was served upon the following parties of record via electronic mail this 5^{th} day of July 2011.

Matthew W. Warnock

James W. Burk FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

David C. Rinebolt Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793

Jeffrey L. Small Maureen Grady Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485

Kevin Corcoran Corcoran & Associates, Co., LPA 8501 Woodbridge Court North Ridgeville, Ohio 44039

Cynthia Fonner Brady Senior Counsel Constellation Energy Resources, LLC 550 West Washington Blvd, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Samuel C. Randazzo McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Musto W. W.

Grant W. Garber Jones Day 325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600 P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-1190

William L. Wright
John Jones
Thomas Lindgren
Public Utilities Section
Office of the Attomey General
180 E. Broad St., 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215