

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     )  
Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval of Tariff     )   Case No. 11-2798-EL-ATA  
for Rate PTR.2.0.                                 )

---

**MOTION TO INTERVENE  
BY  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

---

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case in which the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") will address the second peak time rebate ("PTR 2") program that Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke" or "Company") has proposed that may affect the price of electricity paid by certain residential customers.<sup>1</sup> PTR 2 provides customers with an opportunity to earn rebates and thus reduce electricity costs by avoiding electric power usage during the ten most costly electric peak periods during the year. OCC is filing on behalf of all the approximately 612,000 residential electric utility consumers of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke" or "Company"). The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

---

<sup>1</sup> See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER  
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Ann M. Hotz

---

Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-8574 – Telephone

[hotz@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:hotz@occ.state.oh.us)

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     )  
Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval of Tariff     ) Case No. 11-2798-EL-ATA  
for Rate PTR.2.0.                                 )

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

---

This case involves the review of the reasonableness and lawfulness of Duke's second proposed peak time rebate program ("PRT 2") that Duke proposes to offer its customers. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the approximately 612,000 residential electric utility customers of Duke, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential consumers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the consumers were unrepresented in a proceeding in which Duke is offering a new program that could have a significant impact on the adequacy of service provided to them and the rates charged to them. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential consumers of Duke in this case where Duke is proposing a PTR 2 program. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for consumers will include advancing the position that the PTR 2 program should provide adequate service at reasonable rates -- such as rates that should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm.

Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case in which Duke is offering a new peak time rebate program that will provide an opportunity to customers to reduce their bills by reducing use during peak times.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.<sup>2</sup>

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential consumers, the Commission should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER  
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Ann M. Hotz

Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-8574 – Telephone

[hotz@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:hotz@occ.state.oh.us)

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel* was served electronically upon the following persons stated below on this 2nd day of June 2011.

/s/ Ann M Hotz

Ann M. Hotz  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

### **SERVICE LIST**

Amy B. Spiller  
Elizabeth H. Watts  
Duke Energy Business Services  
Room 2500 Atrium II  
P.O. Box 960  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960  
[amy.spiller@duke-energy.com](mailto:amy.spiller@duke-energy.com)  
[elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com](mailto:elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com)

William L. Wright, Chief  
Attorney General's Office  
Public Utilities Commission Section  
180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793  
[william.wright@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:william.wright@puc.state.oh.us)

Colleen L. Mooney  
David C. Rinebolt  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
P.O. Box 1793  
Findlay, Ohio 45840-1793  
[cmonney2@columbus.rr.com](mailto:cmonney2@columbus.rr.com)  
[drinebolt@aol.com](mailto:drinebolt@aol.com)

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**6/2/2011 12:23:22 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 11-2798-EL-ATA**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Mary Edwards on behalf of Hotz, Ann M. Ms. and Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel