```
1
                          BEFORE THE
              PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
     In the Matter of:
    The Complaint of
4
    Brenda Fitzgerald
    and Gerard Fitzgerald,
5
            Complainants, :Case No. 10-791-EL-CSS
6
        VS.
7
    Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.,
8
             Respondent.
9
                          PROCEEDINGS
10
    before Mr. Kerry K. Sheets, Hearing Examiner, at the
11
12
    Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad
    Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00
13
14
    a.m. on Wednesday, April 27, 2011.
15
16
17
18
19
20
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
21
                     Columbus, Ohio 43215
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
22
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
23
24
25
```

```
2
 1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
            Eberly, McMahon, LLC
            By Mr. Robert A. McMahon
            2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100
 3
            Cincinnati, Ohio 45206
 4
 5
                 On behalf of the Respondent.
 6
            Michale DeWine
            Ohio Attorney General
 7
            By Mr. John Jones
            Assistant Attorney General
            Public Utilities
 8
            180 East Broad Street, Floor 9
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
                 On behalf of the Public Utilities
10
                 Commission of Ohio.
11
            Mr. Gerard Fitzgerald and
12
            Ms. Brenda Fitzgerald
            123 B Southern Trace
13
            Cincinnati, Ohio 34255
14
                 Pro se, Complainant.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

INDEX  IN	3
WITNESS  Gerard Fitzgerald  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Pro se Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Cross-Examination  Cindi Mack  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  S2  By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination  66	
WITNESS  Gerard Fitzgerald  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Pro se Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Cross-Examination  Cindi Mack  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  S2  By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination  66	
Gerard Fitzgerald  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Pro se Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Cross-Examination  Cindi Mack  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination  66	
By Mr. Fitzgerald - Pro se Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Cross-Examination  Cindi Mack  By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination  By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination  66	
Cindi Mack  5 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 52  By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 66	
5 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 52 By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 66	
By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 66	
6 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Recross-Examination 71	
By Mr. McMahon - Redirect Examination 74	
7 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Further Cross-Exam 75	
Vel Mitchell	
8 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 79	
By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 83	
9 By Mr. Fitzgerald - Recross-Examination 87	
Cindy Laycock	
By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 89	
Pam Ball	
By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 96	
By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 116	
By Mr. Fitzgerald - Recross-Examination 123	
By Mr. McMahon - Redirect Examination 136  Cynthia Marie Givens	
By Mr. McMahon - Direct Examination 139	
By Mr. Fitzgerald - Cross-Examination 156	
15 COMPANY'S EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	
1 - CMG-1 - John Gray Road Bills 83	
16 2 - CMG-2 - Hunters Court Bills 83	
3 - Account Note History Detail -	
John Gray Road 83	
4 - Account Note History Detail -	
18 Hunters Court 116	
5 - Final DNP Notice History Detail -	
19 Hunters Court 150	
COMPLAINANT'S EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	
20 1 - Winter Reconnect Order: Maintain Electric & Natural Gas Service-PUCO 26	
21 2 - Duke Energy Rights & Responsibilities 26	
3 - Case Report with Attachments 54	
22 4 - Account Note History Detail - John Gray 78	
5 - Email to Ms. Givens from Ms. Ball 96	
23   6-8 Email to PUCO from Ms. Ball 96	
ALL ADMITTED	
24	
25	l

1 Wednesday Morning Session, 2 April 27, 2011. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We'll call the 4 5 The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has case. 6 set for hearing, at this time and place, Case No. 7 10-791-EL-CSS in the matter of Brenda Fitzgerald and 8 Gerald Fitzgerald versus Duke Energy. My name is 9 Kerry Sheets. I'm an Attorney Examiner for the Commission and I've been assigned to hear this case. 10 11 May I now have the appearances of 12 parties, please, starting with the Complainant. Give 13 your name and address. 14 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, sir. Please note 15 for the record, my name is Gerard, G-E-R-A-R-D, not 16 There's several conflicts several times I'm 17 referred to as Gerald and others I'm referred to as 18 Gerard. My correct name is Gerard. I want to ask --19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What's your 20 address, sir? 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me? 2.2 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Address? 23 MR. FITZGERALD: Oh, you want my address. 24 For the purposes -- 123 B Southern Trace and that's 25 in Cincinnati.

5 1 MRS. FITZGERALD: 45255. 2 MR. FITZGERALD: 45255. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Which address 4 did you give, Mr. Fitzgerald? 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, we just moved from 6 61 Hunters Court where this whole situation took 7 place. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. And I believe docketing has your new address. 9 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, that's the address 11 I just gave you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That's the 13 service, okay, that will be the service address which 14 you'll be served at now. 15 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, the one I just 16 gave. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. let's have the Company's appearance. 18 19 Good morning, Your Honor. MR. MCMAHON: 20 Bob McMahon on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you. 2.2 MR. JONES: Your Honor, if I could just 23 make a limited appearance on behalf of Cindi Mack, as 24 a witness in this proceeding. John Jones with the

Ohio Attorney General's office, 180 East Broad Street

```
6
    Columbus, Ohio 43215.
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.
2
3
                 MR. JONES: You.
4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS:
5
    Mr. Fitzgerald, do you wish to present testimony?
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, but before anything
6
7
    gets started, I assume these are the four people that
8
    we have subpoenaed from Duke; is that correct?
9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I don't know
    that.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Cindy Laycock.
12
                 MS. LAYCOCK: (Raises hand.)
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Cindy Givens.
                 MS. GIVENS: (Raises hand.)
14
15
                 MR. FITZGERALD: And Cindi Mack.
                                                    Pam
16
    Ball.
17
                 MS. BALL: (Raises hand.)
18
                 MR. FITZGERALD: And Vel Mitchell, I
19
     assume, right?
20
                 MS. MITCHELL: (Raises hand.)
21
                 MR. FITZGERALD: It be possible for them
2.2
    to be somewhere so they're not persuaded in one way
23
    or the other by the previous testimony so that they
24
    don't have to sit and listen and possibly be
25
    persuaded by something they heard from somebody else?
```

```
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No. We're not
 2
     going to segregate them. Everybody will present
 3
    their testimony. But right now we want you on the
 4
     stand, if you want to present testimony.
 5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: If I want to present
 6
    testimony?
 7
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me.
 8
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't want to present
 9
    testimony.
10
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You don't want
11
    to --
12
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, let me ask you
13
    this, can we -- Mr. McMahon indicated that we were
    not properly informed on April 15th. Can we just get
14
15
    to the point of what do we agree on and what do we
16
    disagree on? And what I mean by that is, the amount
    of money that was served, what was told that was on
17
    the 29th and all of that, which will come out in
18
19
    testimony and which we have documents to support our
20
    position, but there's -- concerning the amount of
21
    money that was -- we were compelled to pay.
2.2
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Let's
23
    get this on the record. You, yourself do not want to
24
    testify, correct?
25
                 MR. FITZGERALD: No. Is it compulsory
```

```
that I testify?
```

2 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Your wife 3 doesn't want to testify?

4 MR. FITZGERALD: My wife is not going to 5 testify.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, we could go off the record and we could get a stipulation at this point, then we could go back on and state the stipulation, if that's what you want to do to start off. We can get an agreement of the facts.

MR. FITZGERALD: I want to expedite it as best we can.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Why don't we do that then. Let's go off the record. (Recess taken.)

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go on the record now.

MR. MCMAHON: Just for the record, Your Honor, as I stated beforehand, Duke Energy Ohio is willing to stipulate that the company, during the course of the April 15th, 2010 telephone conversation with Mr. Fitzgerald, did not explain his and his wife's options under the Winter Rule Program in order to reconnect their service, which had been

1 disconnected. HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you agree to 2 3 that, Mr. Fitzgerald? 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I do. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now --6 MR. FITZGERALD: Additionally, I would 7 like to get into the statement --8 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You can on the 9 stand. You can --MR. FITZGERALD: I've got to take the 10 11 stand to make another statement to answer what he 12 just said? 13 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah. You'll 14 have to present your testimony. He presented the 15 stipulation that you've indicated you agreed to. 16 Okay. Now it's time for you to come up and testify. 17 MR. FITZGERALD: I can take the stand and testify. 18 19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Right. 20 MR. FITZGERALD: That's where I'm headed 21 for. 2.2 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Right up here. 23 Now raise your right hand. 24 25 GERARD FITZGERALD

```
10
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
1
2
    examined and testified as follows:
3
4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.
5
                 Okay. State your name, give your address
6
    again for the court reporter.
7
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Gerard Fitzgerald, 123 B
8
    Southern Trace, Cincinnati, Ohio. What's the zip
9
    code?
10
                 MS. FITZGERALD: 45255.
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: 45255.
12
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Go ahead
13
    and present your testimony in the narrative fashion.
                MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. This matter
14
15
    starts prior to the 15th, which we'll have testimony
16
    to later, and that is what was told to Brenda
17
    Fitzgerald on March 29th.
18
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. What
19
    year was this?
20
                 MR. FITZGERALD: 2010.
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
2.2
                MR. FITZGERALD: I assume his stipulation
23
    is also referring to 2010. When he indicated April
24
    15th, was it 2010?
25
                 MR. MCMAHON: That's correct. That's the
```

date I stated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: It begins on March 29th and it's -- that Brenda was aware and made aware by people that will come up here and testify to that effect that they spoke to her, whether or not it was on March 29th is an academic exercise. I don't care if it was March 29th. She knew prior to April 15th of Winter Rules, but the Winter Rules did us no good because if we knew about the Winter Rules and know that all we had to pay was \$175 when the disconnect came and Duke refused to honor that and Duke says, no, we had to pay 7 -- I spoke to -- in Mr. McMahon's stipulation and in the papers that will come into play later with Ms. Mack, Duke constantly refers to what was said to me on April 15th. They ignore that the first phone call on April 15th was made by Brenda Fitzgerald. And they have a situation here where they say Brenda has got standing in the matter and they've constantly say we don't -- I don't have standing in the matter. That's not so, I do have standing in the matter. I -- Ms. Ball wrote right on the very top line of one of her memos that I'm listed as the spouse, so they knew who I was and where I was. That doesn't give me standing in the matter? MR. MCMAHON: Excuse me, Your Honor.

guess I would object to the testimony. I have no problem with Mr. Gerard providing us with facts, but he appears to go arguing the case. So if he wants to provide us with admissible evidence, lay a foundation and tell the story as to what he personally knows, I'm fine with that, but he is going way beyond what he personally knows. He's now just arguing his case.

2.2

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Let's stick to the facts, the facts of the case.

MR. FITZGERALD: The facts of the case,
April 15th, the very first phone call -- and by the
way, both in the PUCO's records and in Duke's records
they -- several references are made to all this
happening on April 14 and there was several other
things that are erroneous in the record and if I have
to go get the whole list and go over them, I will.
But do we all agree that this was April 15th and not
April 14th? Okay. Then I'll give it in a narrative
fashion.

The Public Utilities Commission says this happened on April 14th. The Duke several times mentions this happened on April 14th. To the best of my knowledge, nothing happened on April 14th. It all happened on April 15th. Immediately upon our power being disconnected upon April 15th, Brenda called,

the first one to the company and the company told her she had to pay 7 -- a thousand --

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me?

MR. FITZGERALD: I thought he said something. The first phone call was from Brenda

8 Fitzgerald, who there can be no question is the

9 person on the account. Nobody is questioning that.

They say that they may or may not have told me.

11 Ms. Mack in her -- not Ms. Mack, but Ms. Givens

12 | indicates that she only addresses whether or not I

13 | should have been told of the Winter Rules or I should

14 have been charged \$175 and ignores the first phone

15 | call was from Brenda Fitzgerald, which we'll put on

16 proof that the first phone call was absolutely from

17 Brenda Fitzgerald.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Then they -- additionally we made a complaint on the 16th. I called the company to complain on the 16th and I spoke to a gentleman, who identified himself, I believe, as Ronnie. I think it subsequently come out to be Ronnie Hanson, but he -- it was the first time I heard of Winter Rules. Now, my wife was in no way being deceitful or deceptive toward me with the Winter Rules. She had been told

```
1
     for medical reasons that I should avoid all stress.
 2
    Now, she felt it would be stressful for me to know
 3
    that we owed this much money to Duke. I was unaware
 4
     of it at that point, but when I became aware of it,
 5
    the first thing was to say, pay them. She called and
 6
    attempted to pay them and they indicated she had to
 7
    pay 1,700 and some dollars. She called -- they say
 8
    they called the bank and the bank declined it. My
 9
    bank denies that they ever called the first bank --
10
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Hearsay.
11
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. You have
12
    to present testimony from your personal knowledge
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, my personal
    knowledge is I went to the bank and asked the bank if
14
15
    they had declined a Duke Energy request to pay a
16
     1,000, the first -- the way they do it is $1,000 at a
17
    time, in increments of a 1,000.
18
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Let's
19
    proceed to another point.
20
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Key Bank says that that
21
    phone call never took place.
2.2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
23
                 MR. FITZGERALD: That's first-hand
24
    knowledge of mine.
25
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                                                  We'll
```

let that stand. Proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: Now, I call. The bank -- a female that I spoke to first at Duke Energy says that I was to pay \$1,400 and she wasn't very clear on it and I said, look, I'm not hear to argue what we're going to pay. I'm going to pay whatever it is. attempted to -- she called a second bank and the second bank declined the payment. I called them. hung up. I call the bank. The bank indicated that they had had a call, but there was a freeze on my account because some person in Hawaii saw a debit to Kroger Foods or Kroger the day before and thought it was a suspicious entry so they had frozen my accounts, but the person at the bank knew me and knew my voice so she called up the supervisor and said they would approve the payment. So I called back to Duke and they approved the payment, but that was when we talked to Jonathan Green and Jonathan Green was 1,712 initially and then additional 50 for the reconnect and whatever it came out to be, 1700 and something dollars.

I called on the 16th and I say on the 16th, I wish to make a complaint about this. At this point, I'm thinking one phone call, a couple of mea culpas and the whole thing is over with. Well, then

Mr. Hanson explains Winter Rules. And I say, what are the Winter Rules? My understanding now is that Winter Rules are that \$175 was the maximum that they could have charged us in this circumstances --circumstance. So I said, who knew about this? And he said, well -- I said the people we spoke to between my wife, myself, we spoke to six people at Duke and not one of them mentioned the Winter Rules to us the day before. He said the day before they should have, everyone should have told us about Winter Rules. None of them did. 

2.2

I subsequently called PUCO and spoke with Ms. Mack. Now, on the 19th, Ms. Mack called me. Let me move ahead now to April 19th. I get another notice. I called on the 19th to make sure something — that they weren't going to disconnect us again, I called Duke. Duke told me at approximately 9 o'clock in the morning I owe \$250 still to be kept from being turned off again. I said okay, hold it. So I said I'll call back. Hung up. Meaning to call back to pay the \$250, I call back approximately two hours later after I got the mail and in the mail was a bill for 1,600 and some dollars, disconnect notice.

I called back to Duke. I spoke to a young lady named Crystal. The second person that got

```
1
     on the phone was Crystal when I called back. Crystal
 2
     informed me I had to pay $478 to keep from being
 3
    disconnected again. I paid the $478. Once again,
     this was outside the Winter Rules, but we have to
 4
    move forward to September 7th when I spoke with
 5
 6
    Mr. McMahon and his associate or the young lady --
 7
    the woman that accompanied him up here and asked even
    as late of September 7th, what was I paying the $478
     for on the 19th? And they looked at all their
 9
    records and indicated they couldn't give me an
10
11
     answer.
12
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. The witness is
     now testifying as to conversations during the course
13
     of the settlement conference, which are all
14
     inadmissible.
15
16
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: When did you
17
    get this information?
                 MR. FITZGERALD: When did I get this
18
19
     information?
20
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Uh-huh.
21
    that during the settlement conference?
2.2
                 MR. FITZGERALD: At the settlement
23
    conference, that's correct.
24
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. We won't
25
    allow that in the testimony.
```

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Then let me say this, they charged me this money the 19th of April. This is now the 27th of April, a year later, a year and three days later or a year and six days later, what was the \$478 for? Is that punitive because I had called PUCO? I have no idea what it's for. They have speculated that it might be some money that Stephanie Carson owed on either a current account or a previous account, an additional \$250 for a security deposit.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me. Now who is Stephanie Carson?

MR. FITZGERALD: Stephanie Carson is a young lady that they had variously identified as Brenda's daughter, Brenda's daughter-in-law, say that she may have possibly called and identified herself as Brenda. Stephanie Carson is a young lady that has dated and still dates Brenda's son, who is my stepson. And she called and they say, she's Brenda's daughter, so they told her information, which they shouldn't have told her, for instance, that she had to pay — she had to wait two days because there was a disconnect.

MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Hearsay.

Foundation.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think that's correct. That's sustained. Let's move onto another point. We were at \$478.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: Right. So we're talking about in excess of \$2,100 that we paid. Ms. Mack first called, and I'll bring this out in testimony, when she first called to Duke and said what was the situation, why -- I don't know what prompted it, but I got a call on the 19th from Ms. Mack and Ms. Mack indicates to me that we never made the payment. We didn't make a \$300 payment on April 5th. Now why that's important is, if we made a \$300 payment on April 5th of 2010, that would have qualified as the in excess of \$175. You had to pay at least \$175 under the Winter Rules. So on that one that had barred a disconnect notice of April 6th, on the 5th it was made, the payment of \$300 was made and that negated any disconnect notice. So, your rules called for disconnect notices in Winter Rules, not one but two. One two weeks before and one ten days before. And if I can, I'll put in evidence your own -- can I go back over and get the papers? Is there going to be evidence submitted to you?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: This whole hearing is about this matter.

```
MR. FITZGERALD: Well, over there -- I
 1
 2
     just want to go over and get my papers, if I might,
 3
    bring back the Winter Rules and read them into the
 4
     record, so if there's any mistake with the Winter
    Rules, we can correct it.
 5
 6
                 (Witness getting papers at table.)
 7
                 MR. FITZGERALD: This says Winter --
 8
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What do you got
 9
     there, sir?
10
                 MR. FITZGERALD: This is something that I
11
     think I took off the website. Do you want a copy of
12
     it?
13
                 MR. MCMAHON: Yes, please.
14
                 Your Honor, if it helps, I'm happy to
15
     stipulate that, you know, the Court can take judicial
16
    notice of, administrative notice, whatever you want
17
     to call it, of what their Winter Rule applies.
    don't think there's any need for Mr. Fitzgerald to
18
19
    read the Winter Rule into the record while the
20
    Commission is well aware of what the Winter Rule
21
     applies.
2.2
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. Jones, did
23
    you want to take a look at this?
24
                 MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor, briefly.
25
     Thank you, Your Honor.
```

MR. FITZGERALD: I would just like to say there's a slight difference between what I handed Mr. McMahon and what that is. That's a copy so that one has just not got the red and blue on it. Do you understand?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes.

2.2

(Mr. Jones reading document.)

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. We are satisfied this is the Winter Rule. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I will give that back to you. Now we'll let you proceed. I understand what Duke's counsel has said about the -- they'll recognize that as being the Winter Rule.

I'll let you -- if you want to read something from it, go ahead.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I want to read on the top of the second page. It says, "there is no sign up required." We all understand that. So, it's very clear having read this, that \$175 had to be paid in that billing cycle. We paid 300 in that billing cycle on the 5th. Ms. Mack called me and informed me that Duke informed her that we hadn't made a payment on April 5th. It happened to be when Ms. Mack called me I was reviewing the file and sitting directly next to me was a receipt for the April 5th payment and I

```
asked her if she had a fax number because I'd fax her the receipt and she said no and discontinued the conversation.
```

2.2

Subsequently, Duke changed their mind and said, well, we found the records and there was a \$300 payment. So any disconnect notice that could have sent to us dated April 6th was negated by that \$300 payment on April 5th, according to the Winter Rules.

Does anybody — that's what's written here.

Now, as far as Duke, I don't know what -the disconnect thing, I have here a Duke, I don't
know what you would call this thing, but you -- it's
sitting on the counter.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you pointing to now?

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm going to point to a paragraph on the fourth page of that concerning the disconnects and what can happen and what can't happen.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: This is -- it has at the top Duke Energy and it says Customer Rights and Responsibilities. If we -- does anyone want to look at this?

MR. MCMAHON: I would, Your Honor. Thank you. Can I just show it to Ms. Givens?

```
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yes.
 2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Thank you.
 3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. Jones.
                 MR. JONES: I believe that's a Duke
 4
 5
    document, Your Honor. It wouldn't be for the
 6
     Commission. It's a Duke document.
 7
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Go ahead.
 8
    You're on what page of the document, sir?
 9
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Page 4 of this document
     states, "Disconnection for Nonpayment. If your
10
11
     service is disconnected due to nonpayment of a
    delinquent bill, a reconnection fee and full payment
12
13
    of the amount in arrears is required for restoration.
     In certain instances, a security deposit may also be
14
15
    necessary." And here comes why I'm reading it.
16
     "Duke Energy is required by PUCO to provide notice 14
17
    days prior to disconnection and an additional notice
     ten days prior to disconnection during the winner
18
19
     season, the winter heating season." That's what it
20
     says in their own thing. Repeatedly we have asked
21
     through this process to show us the disconnect notice
    that was in force on April 15th. Mr. McMahon has
2.2
23
    responded that a disconnect notice is -- let me get
24
    his exact words. Excuse me a second.
25
                 We asked for a copy of a disconnect
```

1 notice. This -- a copy of the disconnect notice that 2 was in force on April 15th, 2010. This is sent to 3 docketing on March 11th, 2011. Mr. -- Duke Energy, 4 Mr. McMahon's response to this; "A copy of the disconnect -- his answer is, "Respondent objects to 5 6 this request because it seeks documents that are 7 neither relevant nor likely to lead discovery of admissible evidence." What is not relevant about a 9 disconnect notice? So in essence, what he's saying in this is that it's not relevant that a disconnect 10 11 notice and --

MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, I object to this testimony. He's arguing prehearing discovery issues. If he has facts, he can testify to them, but this isn't the time or the place for a discovery dispute.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I think he's correct. I have to sustain that objection. What we need now is the facts of the complaint. Okay. Nothing from the settlement conference or discovery. You've got to stick to the facts of the complaint.

Now, before you proceed, though, I need to know, did you want any of those other documents marked as exhibits? You had the, what you said was

```
1
    the disconnect notice -- excuse me, the Winter Rule
2
    and then Duke's document. Did you want those marked
3
    as exhibits?
                MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like the one that --
4
5
    what I'd like for an exhibit would be Winter
6
    Reconnect Order: Maintain Electric and Natural Gas
7
    Service-PUCO. I've given Mr. McMahon a copy. That's
    the one you have a copy of. I'd like to be in
9
    evidence.
10
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You'd like that
11
    marked?
12
                MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Now can I see that
13
    copy and the other copy --
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go onto
14
    the other document that you had.
15
16
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Well, Duke says
17
18
                HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you want
19
    that marked?
20
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I do.
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
2.2
                 MR. FITZGERALD: And do you want me to
23
    mark the whole thing or page 4?
24
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No. I'll mark
25
    them -- we'll mark them Complainant's Exhibit 1,
```

```
which would be your copy of the Winter Reconnect
1
    Order: Maintain Electric and Natural Gas
2
    Service-PUCO.
3
4
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
5
                                                  That
6
    would be Complainant's Exhibit 1.
7
                 (EXHIBIT 1 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
8
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And we'll mark
     as Complainant's Exhibit 2, the document titled Duke
9
    Energy Customer Rights and Responsibilities.
10
11
                 (EXHIBIT 2 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
12
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now,
    these will have to go to the court reporter and you
13
    won't get these back.
14
15
                 MR. FITZGERALD: But they will be in the
    file?
16
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yes. They're
18
    part of the case.
19
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Can I get copies of them
20
    before I leave here?
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yes, we can
2.2
    make copies.
23
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like copies of both
24
    of those so that I could show what my Exhibits 1 and
25
     2 were, even after they're marked.
```

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, let's continue with your testimony. Stick to the facts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: We were given no warning that this was to happen. We were taken completely by surprise on April 15th when that power was turned off because we had not been given -- the PUCO says we should have been given by that point two warnings. We had not received the disconnect notice. disconnect notice, the most recent one we had received at that point had a -- bore a date of April 6th, but since the \$300 payment was made on April 5th, that negated that, so we had no warning, and not the ten-day warning because it is a winter disconnect. So they, in fact, gave us no warning, turned off our power and charged us ten times the amount of money that we should have paid. Then four days later charged us an additional \$478 to keep the power on.

These matters are all going to be brought forth as we can speak with people because it does no good for Duke to say a person knows about the Winter Rules if they're not going to follow them. They chose not to follow the rules. We were following the rules.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Does that conclude your testimony?

2.2

MR. FITZGERALD: Can I come back up later if something else comes up? So can I sit down and then stop and then come back to contradict or what happens now?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I would rather you complete your testimony at this point, but we could recall you as a witness, if necessary.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. The standard points are, we received no notice that we were going to be disconnected. We had no idea of what was going to happen. Okay. That they charged us an absorbent amount of money that they shouldn't have. That's been stipulated to. But the notice is of concern to us, too.

And then, additionally, we had no warning that we were speaking to anybody outside the State of Ohio. We called the local number and that number was either answered out of state or transferred out of state by the first person. I do not know which but I'll find out hopefully from the Duke people that will take the stand. Then when we attempt to subpoena people to come to this hearing, they tell you, by "you" I mean PUCO, Public Utilities

```
Commission, that you don't have the authority to
 1
 2
     subpoena anybody from out of state.
 3
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. We're going
 4
    beyond the scope of the complaint, Your Honor.
 5
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think we have
 6
    the subpoenas on the record, so we'll go with what's
 7
    on the record regarding the subpoenas. Now, is there
 8
    any more you want to add to your testimony?
 9
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Can you think of
    anything?
10
11
                 MRS. FITZGERALD: No. (Shakes head back
12
    and forth.)
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: No, that's it.
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                                                  Now
15
    we'll let the Company cross-examine.
16
                 MR. MCMAHON: Okay. Thank you, Your
17
    Honor.
            Should I just stay here?
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me?
18
19
                 MR. MCMAHON: May I just stay here
20
     sitting down or would you like me to --
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You can --
2.2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Approach as necessary?
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'd like
24
    everybody to speak up, if you could.
25
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               Okay. Will do.
```

MR. FITZGERALD: I can hear him quite well.

2.2

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. McMahon:

- Q. I just have a few questions, Mr. Fitzgerald, just to clarify some things for the record. First of all, I realize you're Mrs. Fitzgerald's husband, but you're not the customer with Duke Energy, correct?
- 11 A. I am on the account as the spouse.

  12 That's my answer. I don't know what that qualifies

  13 me to be.
  - Q. Okay. Fair enough. And I believe you said earlier, I'm not so sure if it was before we were on the record or after you took the stand so I just want to clarify, you agree and you're testifying today that your wife knew of the Winter Rule before April 15th, 2010?
  - A. She had a vague -- she knew there was a Winter Rule. I didn't.
  - Q. Okay. And isn't it accurate that your wife invoked the Winter Rule at your account at 1923

    John Gray Road back in 2008? Are you aware of that?
    - A. I am not aware of that.

Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. And this has on a bearing on 20 --
- Q. I'm just following up on some questions. And your wife has advised you, has she not, that she was told during the conversation with Duke on March 29th, 2010 that she discussed the Winter Rule and her options at that time?
  - A. No, that is not accurate.
- Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that your wife ever discussed the Winter Rule with any representative of Duke on March 29th, 2010?
- A. My wife's position is this, she thinks she discussed it. We were of the opinion it was on March 23rd; however, she was aware vaguely of the Winter Rule. She thought that somebody told her she had to go down to Duke and sign up for something. She didn't know what the Winter Rule was exactly.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. That's what she conveyed to me.
- Q. Okay. And isn't it true that before you made the \$300 payment to Duke on April 5th, 2010, neither you nor your wife ever called Duke and said we want to pursue the Winter Rule?
  - A. That's absolutely true.
  - Q. Okay. And I can show you some bills, but

let me ask you this way. Isn't it true that you received bills on a monthly basis concerning your account at 61 Hunters Court, Amelia, Ohio, and just for the record it's Account No. 88200701555, and that those bills in early of 2010 all included disconnect notices?

- A. I've seen several of them. I don't know how many there are. I would -- probably all of them.
- Q. So would you agree with me that the bills that you and your wife received that were generated in January, February and March of 2010 all contained written disconnect notices to you?
  - A. May I see them?

2.2

- Q. Absolutely. And just for the record, I'm showing Mr. Fitzgerald the bills as are attached to the testimony of Cynthia Marie Givens, that's already been prefiled in this case. Let me just show you here, sir. I'm directing your attention first, I'm looking at Exhibit CMG-2, to Ms. Givens' testimony and I'm showing you the bills. Here is the bill prepared date and the due date so they're different.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. So the bills prepared on January 19th, 2010, February 12th, 2010, and March 15th, 2010 all contain the disconnect notice, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you. And isn't it also true, sir, that on -- on or about April 2nd, 2010, you and your wife received a separate written disconnect notice that was delivered to your house?
  - A. No. Which house? 61 Hunters Court?
  - Q. Yes, sir.

2.2

- A. I would have to check. I'm unaware of that April 2nd. I know there's an entry, a Duke entry for it, but I don't know that we ever received anything April 2nd.
- Q. Okay. So that might be something to ask your wife?
- A. I've already asked her. I know she said

  15 --
  - Q. But you're not sure of it?
  - A. I'm sure I didn't get it and I'm sure she didn't get it. That's how it stands right there.
  - Q. Okay. I thought you just said you're not sure, you don't recall getting one. Are you saying you did or you didn't or you don't remember, which is it?
  - A. I saw somebody -- in the paperwork we had that somebody prepared something on April 2nd. I am unaware that we received it.

- Q. Okay. Now, before moving to 61 Hunters

  Court -- and this is important because I think

  there's been some confusion in the paperwork. Before

  moving to 61 Hunters Court you lived at 1923 John

  Gray Road, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- Q. And you moved to 61 Hunters Court when?
- A. I believe late November of 2009.
- Q. And by that time you were past due on your account at John Gray Road, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the account -- the utility account, which was gas and electric at John Gray Road, was in the name of your wife, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And it stayed in your wife's name even after you had moved and it remained in your wife's name up until it was disconnected somewhere, I think, in March of 2010, correct?
- A. Either late March or early April, correct.
  - Q. Okay. And who was living there from December of '09 through March of 10?
- A. December of '09 through March of '10, I believe Craig Stanton from time to time. I don't

- know who was there because I hadn't been out there since November. I was pretty much housebound for medical reasons, so I rarely went out, in fact, I may have never gone back out there.
  - Q. Who is Mr. Stanton, I apologize?
  - A. Brenda's son, my stepson.
- Q. And that's the gentleman who is dating Stephanie Carson?
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Thank you. So in the first several months of 2010, isn't it true that your wife was getting disconnect notices at both 61 Hunters Court and 1923 John Gray Road addresses?
- A. I know -- we just witnessed what was going on at 61 Hunters Court. I don't know what was being mailed to 1923 John Gray Road.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I assume if they were disconnect notices in her name, that she was getting them.
  - Q. And --
- A. Or they were being mailed, whether we got them or not, it's academic.
- Q. And we can establish this later, but isn't it true that your wife had different account numbers at the separate addresses, correct?

A. Absolutely.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And do you recall ever calling Duke Energy and giving them the account number for the John Gray Road address when you, in fact, had intended to discuss the 61 Hunters Court address?
- A. Well, I'll answer you this way, we have disconnect notices that are mailed to 61 Hunters

  Court that have one account number on the top and then a disconnect notice for 1923 John Gray that has a separate account number at John Gray. So I have notices over there that you've supplied us that have both of those account numbers on it. So if somebody asks me a question, I can only tell you -- I'd have to hear the question specifically.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me ask you this way.

MR. MCMAHON: If I may approach?
HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Sure.

- Q. I'm handing you, again, sir, the prefiled testimony of Cynthia Marie Givens and attached as Exhibits CMG-1 are the bills from your address at the 1923 John Gray Road.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. I guess for the record, would you agree with me that the documents attached as Exhibits CMG-1

- are your bills relating to the John Gray Road address?
- A. Well, they're mailed to Brenda at 1923

  John Gray. I don't know that she received them

  because, you know, Duke knows and we know that we

  were at 61 Hunters Court from November.
  - Q. Fair enough. Let me see if I can clarify that. When you answered my question, you were looking at a bill from back in February of 2009, correct?
    - A. That's what you're showing me.
  - Q. Right. But if you, in fact, go forward to the 2010 bills, for instance, let's look at the bill that was prepared on February 1st, 2010 with a due date of February 23rd, 2010.
    - A. Okay.

2.2

- Q. Do you see that this bill was mailed to Brenda at 61 Hunters Court but it relates to the John Gray Road account?
- A. Yes, I do. So is this a disconnect notice for John Gray or a disconnect for Hunters Court?
- Q. Well, as it says here -- let's see if we can answer that because you're the witness not me.

  The disconnect notice and it says the name and

- service address; it's identified as John Gray Road, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And it's just being mailed to Brenda because you all had changed your address with Duke, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. So the disconnect notice that you just showed me is for 1923 John Gray Road?
  - O. Correct.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. So you and your wife were receiving disconnect notices for both addresses, 1923 John Gray Road and 61 Hunters Court, at your then current residence of 61 Hunters Court as of the first several months of 2010?
- A. I know we were receiving stuff at 61 Hunters Court. I don't know that we were receiving anything from 1923 John Gray.
- Q. Okay. Even though I just showed you the bills and you acknowledged those were your bills, you just don't recall whether you were receiving them or not?
  - A. I don't know that we got forwarded from

1923 John Gray.

- Q. Okay.
- A. See you asked me a question about the disconnect notice and I'm asking you, that disconnect notice is for 1923 John Gray Road, you'll agree with that, because I don't know how to answer you?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: He asks the questions at this point.

- Q. The bill that I just showed you and you identified as having a service address of 1923 John Gray Road, in fact, relates to 1923 John Gray Road, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And those are the bills dated in February of 2010, March 2010, that are attached as CMG-1 to Cynthia Givens' testimony, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You testified previously that Duke had divulged some confidential information or something to Stephanie Carson. Is the basis of that testimony just what you have read in documents produced by Duke during the course of discovery in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I mean, isn't it --

- A. Or conversations possibly with PUCO.
- Q. Okay. Isn't it accurate that, as you've said, your stepson, Brenda's son, he was living there at times along with Stephanie Carson, correct?
- A. I can only answer you this way. I had not seen Stephanie Carson, to the best of my memory, and I have a pretty good one, since Thanksgiving the year before, Thanksgiving when we moved. I had not seen Stephanie Carson from roughly November maybe December of 2009 through April when all this happened 2010. Where she was living, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, you don't have any personal knowledge of Stephanie Carson's telephone communications with Duke Energy, correct?
  - A. You mean other than what I read in --
- Q. You don't have any direct first-hand knowledge, you weren't a witness to any of her conversations with Duke Energy?
- A. No, I have no first-hand knowledge of that, other than what I've read.
  - Q. Okay.

2.2

- MR. MCMAHON: May I approach again?
  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You may.
- Q. Sir, I'm showing you what is part of Exhibit CMG-2 to Cynthia Givens' testimony. It's the

- bill for 61 Hunters Court that was prepared by Duke on April 14th, 2010 with a due date of May 6th, 2010.
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And would you agree with me that that bill was generated as it reflects here on April 14th, 2010?
    - A. That's what it says, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And this is the bill that you received somewhere -- it precipitated your call on April 19th, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. I believe I received this on the 19th if this is -- I'd have to go check.
  - Q. Okay. And this is the bill that you were referring to when you got a bill saying, wait, we still owe 1600 and something dollars?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And isn't it true, sir, that this bills reflects that you got a credit of \$300, which was the payment that you made in early April of 2010?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And since you hadn't paid the full balance before this bill had generated, you still had an outstanding balance of \$1,679.86 as of April 14th,

2010?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

21

- A. Of 1,600 and something?
- Q. Right.
  - A. I believe that's not accurate. I believe that they even -- if we'll get into the recordings, that you were only telling me I owe 1,300 or something on the 15th.
  - Q. Well, let's just keep looking at this bill you were just talking about. Again, it's the bill prepared on --
- 11 A. April 14th.
- Q. Let me make sure I'm looking at the right one. There we go. We'll look at the bill prepared that April 14th, 2010 for Account No. 88200701555 at 61 Hunters Court, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any inaccurate information on that bill?
- A. I don't know. I can't tell from looking at it without going back over every month.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I'm -- it doesn't state when this \$300 payment was made. Do you have some way on here of telling when that was?
  - Q. No. Let me ask you this. Between

- 1 mid-March of 2010 and April 14th of 2010, isn't it
  2 true that you only made one \$300 payment?
  - A. From mid March, which we're basically talking the 15th, on the previous bill. Why don't we just go back and look at it, if it's here.
  - Q. Feel free. It's the page right before that.
    - A. This one that says April 6th.
  - Q. With the due date of April 6th, generated on March 15th, 2010, correct.
  - A. That's correct. Yes, I believe that's the last bill that -- between them. There's no other bill involved between those two.
    - Q. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. Okay. So the \$300 payment was what I said she made on April 6th. Brenda made it on April 5th because it had to be made by April 6th, is what I believe the facts are.
- Q. And the amounts that you ultimately paid to Duke, isn't it true, that you owed all of those monies?
  - A. Absolutely not.
  - Q. Which amounts did you not owe?
- A. Several different amounts that Duke has indicated are deposits.

Q. Okay. You take issue with the \$50 after-hours fee?

2.2

A. I certainly shouldn't have been charged that. I'm not bringing that. What I'm saying to you is on the 15th, Jonathan put in a 250 or a 200 something dollar deposit, as well as what we owe.

Also -- who is this gentleman coming in over here?

Crystal, on the morning of the 19th, when the bill went from 250 to 478 in a matter of hours, there was an additional deposit charged to us, so at least \$500, I believe, we did not owe.

- Q. Okay. If I show you these bills, can you identify those charges and where they went on your account?
- A. Well, show me them. It's not on there, the deposit money.
  - Q. Okay. So you're saying --
- A. I'm saying that Jonathan Green charged us a -- some part of it was the security deposit, some part of that \$1,712 was. The initial \$50 was for the reconnect.
- Q. Let's look at your bill that was prepared on May 13th, 2010 with a due date of June 4th, 2010. This is also included in Exhibit CMG-2, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And do you see a security deposit amount due of \$205 there?
  - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And do you see an after-hour service charge of \$50 there?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, isn't it true that you and your wife were months behind on your bill at 61 Hunters Court, correct?
  - A. No, that's absolutely not true.
- Q. Okay. What about with the unpaid electric from 1923 Johns Gray Road?
- A. That might be -- that is a different question than what you've just asked.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. Would you agree with me that your wife was months behind in the electric charges for the address at 1923 John Gray Road?
- A. Yes, my wife -- I will agree with this, my wife was current as of April 15th, 2011. She was current.
  - O. 2011 or '10?
  - A. 2010, pardon me. Thank you for correcting me.
    - Q. She was current at which address?
- 25 A. At 61 Hunters Court. And the other one

was no longer in our name.

2.2

- Q. Okay. You're talking about April 15th, once you made the credit card payment?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. But before that --
  - A. We had the one address in your name.
- Q. But before that, as we just went over the bills, 1923 John Gray Court and 61 Hunters Court were your wife's name, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And your wife was months late on the John Gray Road address, correct?
- A. On the John Gray Road address? I can only answer this way, we were current. That's how I'm going to answer you. You can say what you want about what we owed. With Winter Rules, we were current.
- Q. I'm talking about the 1923 John Gray Road address. Would you like to go back over the bills because I thought --
  - A. Let's go.
- Q. Look at CMG-1. And I'm going to point you to the bill that was prepared on April 6th, 2010 with the due date of April 28th, 2010 relating to the service address of 1923 John Gray Road, Account No,

82000642254.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. So this bill is prepared on April 6th and you're asking me what question about it?
- Q. I'm asking you, isn't it true that as of April 6th, 2010, your wife owed \$1,376.22 at 1923

  John Gray Road?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Do you have -- what information do you have to refute that?
- A. Well, the very fact that the \$300 that was paid on April 5th isn't reflected on this.
- Q. Sir, this invoice that we're looking at, isn't it true, relates to 1923 John Gray Road account, not your 61 Hunters Court account?
  - A. Okay. On April 28th --
  - Q. Would you agree with me on that?
  - A. No. I want to say this.
- Q. You don't.
- A. You're showing me on bill that's

  addressed to her -- we didn't live there at that

  point. Do you understand that bill was then -- I

  very honestly know what bill was Stephanie Carson's.

  I think at that point you had transferred Stephanie

  Carson to 61 Hunters Court and to John Gray.
  - Q. I thought you testified earlier that the

account remained in your wife's name at 1923 John Gray Road after you moved out of the property and until it was disconnected in March of 2010?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. This is April of 2010 you're showing me.
- Q. Right, but that was still a bill in your wife's name relating to John Gray Road concerning unpaid gas and utility bills, correct?

MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like to object because I have to wear two hats here. I am both counsel for our team and the witness in this spot. So here's what I'm objecting to. I'm objecting to him or anybody else bringing up gas. Is gas the subject of this hearing?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No. I think you have to answer the question that he asked.

- A. Which is again?
- Q. Isn't it true that your wife had unpaid gas and electric bills at 1923 John Gray Road as of April 6th, 2010?
- A. I think she had electric bills unpaid as of April 6th, 2010. I don't think she had gas bills.

  I'm not aware she had gas bills.
  - Q. Okay. So you're not aware of that?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you aware actually, as we sit here today, there are still unpaid gas bills?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.2

MR. FITZGERALD: I want to object to that question again, but I'm wearing the other hat. Gas has no bearing on this. The complaint that we brought has only electric and they're attempting to paint us in a bad light to bring up something that they can adjudicate in a different form.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Please answer the question.

- A. Now, what was the question?
- Q. Isn't it true that your wife had unpaid gas bills from 1923 John Gray Road as of April 6th, 2010?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. That would be something I would need to ask your wife?
  - A. (Shrugs shoulders.)
- Q. Would you agree with me?
  - A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MR. MCMAHON: Let me just see if I have anything further. That's all I have, Your Honor.

```
1 Thank you.
```

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. Jones, do 3 you have any questions?

4 MR. JONES: No questions, Your Honor.

5 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you want to 6 make a statement in response to his

cross-examination?

8 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I would. I'd like 9 to know --

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Stick to the facts now of the case of what he said.

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sticking to the facts of what he said --

14 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.

MR. FITZGERALD: -- concerning the gas bill. It's inappropriate. It has no bearing and it shouldn't be brought up in this hearing. This is about the complaint we filled, which is dealing with electric.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You've had that objection and I ruled on that.

MR. FITZGERALD: What's your ruling, that it wasn't acceptable?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: He got to ask
the question. You supplied an answer. Now, do you

51 1 have any more in response to his cross-examination? 2 MR. FITZGERALD: No. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Huh? 4 MR. FITZGERALD: No, I don't. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Any on 6 recross? 7 MR. MCMAHON: No, Your Honor. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. You're excused as a witness. 9 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Then I assume 11 we're going to call a witness now and we've agreed 12 that Ms. Mack will be the first witness. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think that's the way we agreed to proceed. Do you want to take 14 15 the stand. Okay. At this point, we'll proceed with 16 the subpoenaed witnesses. Calling first Cindi Mack. 17 Raise your right hand. 18 19 CINDI MACK 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 21 examined and testified as follows: 2.2

MR. FITZGERALD: Just give me one second,

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.

23

24

25

Now, you Mr. --

please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now, excuse me, you may ask questions as on cross-examination since she will -- the subpoenaed witnesses will presume to be hostile witnesses in the case, so you may go ahead with your questioning.

7 MR. FITZGERALD: I just want to get out 8 --

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_sheets:} \mbox{ And please}$  speak up, sir.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

12

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fitzgerald:

- Q. Your position as an investigator for the Public Utilities Commission is to be impartial, you just want to get the facts and wherever the facts lead you is where you'll go; is that correct? Is that what your job is?
  - A. Correct. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to find out
  that Pamela Ball wrote a memo to Cynthia Givens and
  said that Cindi, referring to you, was trying to talk
  us out?
- MR. JONES: I'd have to object, Your

Honor. That's not an appropriate question for this witness. I mean, obviously, we're offering -HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You'll have to

4 speak up.

2.2

MR. JONES: I object, Your Honor, to the question. It's not an appropriate question for this witness as to her being surprised by some information he's providing for the record. You know, we're offering Ms. Mack here under subpoena to testify as to what involvement she had and how she fits into answering the complaint that's the crux of this case.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll caution you. You have to limit your questioning to her involvement in the case. Okay. If you're going to question her, you can ask a leading question, but it has to be connected with what her investigation of the case was.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) Do you recall ever calling me and telling me I didn't -- that we had not made a \$300 payment on April 5th?
- A. I can't say that I definitely recall that, no.
- Q. You don't deny it, do you? If I might, I have here, which I guess we'll offer into evidence,

```
you already have it, I'll give you the whole file as
 1
 2
    we received it from the Attorney General's office,
 3
    but I'll have to use it. Can we make a copy of it
 4
    now so we can provide Ms. Mack --
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Let's go
 5
    off the record here.
 6
 7
                 (Recess taken.)
 8
                 (EXHIBIT 3 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go back
    on the record.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Back on the record.
12
    We're talking about what's going to be entered as
    Complainant's Exhibit 3.
13
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You might want
     to step up closer to the witness. You can take a
15
16
     seat there, if you want.
17
                 MR. FITZGERALD:
                                 Okay.
                  (By Mr. Fitzgerald) In the course of the
18
            Q.
19
     situation, there came a time where you were
20
     listening -- you tried to listen to phone calls and
21
    you couldn't -- you couldn't -- I don't know how to
```

listening -- you tried to listen to phone calls and you couldn't -- you couldn't -- I don't know how to put it. What Duke had provided you, you couldn't disseminate up here, do you recall that? Or should I give you your testimony on that?

2.2

23

24

25

A. I'm not sure what you're asking me.

```
Q. Okay. I'm asking you -- read this very last --
```

- A. It wouldn't do me any good to look at that without my reading glasses.
- Q. I'll read it. It says this is the notes and the date on them is 5/5 this says the date is 5/5/2010.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What page are you reading from, sir?

MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me?

2.2

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What page of that document are you reading from?

MR. FITZGERALD: Page 6.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And that's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 3.

MR. FITZGERALD: That's page 6 of Complainant's Exhibit 3.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.

Q. "Per phone conversation with customer -- and I'm -- this is written in some sort of semi shorthand. I'm making the best sense of what's written here as I can. For instance, it says, "Per ph conv." I'm translating that to be per phone conversation and that's what I'm trying to allude to. It's not exactly verbatim what's written here, but

I'll make the best of it I can to expedite this.

MR. JONES: Excuse me, Mr. Fitzgerald.

But Your Honor, wouldn't it be better for the witness to read the shorthand of what she's provided for her own notes? That's her notes. I mean, can she read?

MR. FITZGERALD: I have no problem with

that, but she can't read it without her glasses.

MR. JONES: Oh, I'll take that back.

I'll withdraw that. (Laughter.)

2.2

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's proceed.

Q. Per phone conversation with customer, advised customer was -- advised company was in the wrong and had misinformed him of what he needed to reconn. What he needed to reconnect, I assume what reconn means. Adv as a possible resolution they are offering \$50 and \$25 -- it states it wasn't only Jonathan that misinformed him, but at least two other reps, reps, R-E-P-S. As that may and we'll point this out to the company that it was confirmed in the first call with Jonathan it was confirmed that he was misinfo, misinformed; therefore, I was not going to review the other calls. However, we bring this to the attention of the company.

Now, my problem is, you didn't listen to those other two calls.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now you have to ask a question now.

Q. Okay. So to get it. The other two calls, do you recall who those other two calls were? You have a reference in your notes that there are two calls, you can't read it, but the case that we were bringing we were indicating that Brenda called first, I called second and I called third. So what you're identifying as the first call that you listened to was with Jonathan, even though that was the third call.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You can't testify at this point.

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm not testifying. I'm trying to clarify.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You have to ask a question of her.

- Q. Okay. Is that accurate that you did not listen to all the calls?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Why not? Do you think in hindsight you should have?
- 23 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

- Q. Why not?
- 25 A. I was determined in the first call that I

- listened to that the company was in the wrong. There was no reason for me to listen to those other calls.
  - Q. Well, it doesn't change the groundwork any if the first call was Brenda?
  - A. Not at all because your complaint to me was the company lied to you and misinformed you. The first call that I listened to confirmed that.
  - Q. Do you have -- did you have those calls here in Columbus, copies of those calls?
    - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Where were they?
- A. I -- the company -- they're in the company's possession. I don't have those calls.

  Never did I.
  - Q. So this day that you listened to the Jonathan call, you were here in Columbus?
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. Speaking with, I assume, Pam Ball?
- 19 A. I do believe so.
  - Q. And Pam Ball was in Cincinnati or Indianapolis or do you know where Pam Ball was?
  - A. I would -- I definitely don't know for sure where she was at that time I was speaking to her.
    - Q. But she was not in your office or you --

this was done through a computer or a telephone, you were listening on a phone to this recording?

A. To the recorded call.

2.2

- Q. And you don't think it would have been -you didn't listen to the call with Brenda. Did
  anybody deny that Brenda had called first?
  - A. No. Nobody denied that, huh-uh.
- Q. So in the course of your investigation, you do believe Brenda did call first?
- A. It's my understanding that Brenda called the company on March the 29th and spoke to them about the John Gray address.
- Q. Okay. And I'm talking about April 15th, 2010, the day that we were cut off, there were three phone calls on that day.
- A. I don't believe that I have knowledge of that. You were my customer not Brenda. There was nothing that I had to show that Brenda called.
- Q. In your -- you're saying certainly this phone call with Jonathan was on the 15th of April, the day I was referring to that it was cut off, right, not March 29th?
  - A. I don't know. I don't know.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, if I may
- 25 interject here. I'm sorry, I do have the glasses for

- 1 Ms. Mack, her reading glasses, if that would be helpful.
- 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That would be 4 helpful.
- 5 MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
  - Q. There's the paragraph I was reading from.
  - A. Okay. There's nothing on this that identifies that that phone call was from April the 15th. Was that your question?
    - O. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Okay. There's nothing on here that identifies that that call was from April the 15th.
- Q. So you don't know when that phone call was?
  - A. The recorded phone conversation between you and Jonathan?
  - Q. The three phone calls you're referring to. You do see you refer to three phone calls?
  - A. Okay. This is you referring to two other representatives. I don't see where it's referring at all to three other phone calls specifically. I said at this point, it was confirmed in the first call with Jonathan, it was confirmed that he did misinform you; therefore, I was not going to listen to the

other calls.

2.2

Q. Okay. Give me one second.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. We've had a question about the April 15th phone call and I believe your answer was you don't know; is that correct?

A. The phone call that I listened to, I cannot confirm that that was from April the 15th.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. That's -- now we need another question.

- Q. Okay. To further elicit, this is page 3 of that same document and it starts out the complaint and it says, "States yesterday," would you refresh your memory with that.
- A. It looks like that this is a continuance from page 2.
- Q. Okay. Do you want it -- page 2 is just a heading on it.
  - A. Okay. So --

MR. MCMAHON: Just, if you don't mind, could you read the date of the entry that you're reading, please?

A. Looking at this, it appears to be April the 16th and this is an e-mail that was sent over to Duke regarding your phone conversation with me on the

```
16th of April. And basically, it's typewritten notes of our conversation.
```

- Q. That's correct. Well, here's my question to you. If you read this, the typewritten notes on the 16th, "States yesterday," start there and you'll see that it pretty well narrows down to when I spoke to Jonathan. If we're talking about yesterday on April 16th, we're necessarily then talking about the 15th of April; is that correct?
  - A. But you asked --

MR. JONES: Is there a question, Your

12 Honor?

MR. FITZGERALD: I was just asking if that's correct.

MR. MCMAHON: If this helps, Your Honor, we're willing to stipulate that Mr. Fitzgerald spoke to Jonathan Green on April 15th of 2010, if that helps in this process.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That's very good. Let's move on to another question.

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) Did it ever come to a point where you asked if they spoke to Brenda Fitzgerald?
  - A. What was the question again?
  - Q. Do you know from reading -- I'll let you

- read your whole report. Do you think that they ever spoke to Brenda Fitzgerald on April 15th?
- A. Well, I know that they did because in the beginning of this investigation it was confused because you gave the wrong account number, so the account number that you gave was for the John Gray address, as I understand it, so the information that I had was where Mrs. Fitzgerald's service was disconnected on March the 29th, and I believe that's indicated in the notes as well.
  - Q. Right. That at March 29th --
- A. That's my own knowledge of Mrs. Fitzgerald's service.
  - Q. In other words, you went through this whole investigation and you're saying the only knowledge you have of Mrs. Fitzgerald making a call to Duke was on March 29th?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Did you ever communicate to Duke that you were trying to talk me out of this, that you didn't want me to proceed?
    - A. No.

2.2

Q. I'm going to read to you page 3, the final paragraph of the -- the second paragraph at the top. It says, "Advised will send it over to the

```
1
    company to look into, and if this case is the case --
2
   and if this is the case, the internal customer
3
    service issue for the company to handle internally
    and retrain if necessary. States that's all he's
4
5
   asking for. Advise will follow up with him." So
6
   you're saying at the conclusion of your
7
    investigation, and we're talking about here on the
8
    16th, you were saying it was only an internal
9
    situation?
```

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. That wasn't the conclusion of my investigation. That was my first phone conversation with you and at that time I told you that if it turns out that you were correct and that the company did misinform you, at best that this was a retraining issue for the company to handle internally.
- Q. Okay. And how often is it your experience that something like this happens where people are misinformed and they call your office to complain?
  - MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Relevance.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, may I speak to that, the relevance?
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll let her supply an answer, if she can.
  - A. What was the question again?

Q. How often does this happen? Is it a very frequent occurrence that people are misinformed?

2.2

- A. If you're wanting a definite answer, we would have to pull the reports and -- I mean, I -- I can't give you an a definite answer on that.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Can I put Pam Ball up and then bring Ms. Mack back?

8 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me?

MR. FITZGERALD: I would like to excuse Ms. Mack and bring up Pam Ball and then put Ms. Mack back up so I can get in evidence other issues.

MR. JONES: Well, I'm going to object having Ms. Mack recalled. We'd like to conclude her testimony at this point, so if there's any more questions.

MR. MCMAHON: I would join in that objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think we need to complete Ms. Mack's questioning at this point and we'll ask if there's any other questions of either counsel for her.

MR. FITZGERALD: If I can find the page.

No more questions right now.

24 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Does counsel 25 have any questions?

MR. MCMAHON: Just a couple, Ms. Mack.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. McMahon:

- Q. As a result of -- well, strike that. Let me start off this way. I believe you said when Mr. Fitzgerald first filed the informal complaint, that he had mistakenly referred to the account at John Gray Road instead of Hunters Court; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So that initially presented some confusion on your part or at the Commission?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Okay. And when you were communicating with Duke in response to the informal complaint since the Fitzgeralds had mistakenly identified the John Gray Road account, that's the account that you were discussing with Duke at that time?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Which is we now know the John Gray Road account isn't the subject of this complaint proceeding per se, it's the 61 Hunters Court account?
- A. That was the one that I believed that he intended to call me about.

- Q. Okay. So to the extent you're asking communications with Duke around April 16th, 2010 concerning the John Gray account, it was your understanding that Duke was looking at the John Gray account records and discussing those with you?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So when you asked was there a \$300 payment -- strike that.

When you asked of Duke as to whether the Fitzgeralds had made a \$300 payment, you were asking had they made a \$300 payment on the John Gray Road account?

- A. Correct.
- O. Not the 61 Hunters Court account?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. I would like to also just point out that to clarify this confusion, at one point, Pam and I were having communications and that's when it was discovered that something was not right about what was going on.
  - Q. Right.
  - A. Pam made the discovery of the confused --
- 24 Q. So --
  - A. -- account numbers.

- Q. I'm sorry. So during the course of your investigation, which I guess we should call it, with Duke, Pam Ball realized that there was some miscommunication that would appear to be complaints about Hunters Court were incorrectly being referred to at the John Gray Road account?
  - A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. Okay. So to the extent Duke told you that there was no payment of \$300 on the John Gray Road account, that's accurate information?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And the result of your investigation here is simply that the company misinformed the Fitzgeralds when it came to their obligation to pay the full amount due instead of exercising the Winter Rule, correct?
- A. That was the basis of mine and Mr. Fitzgerald's conversation. He was upset because he was misinformed.
- Q. Right, and your conclusion is not and you have no reason to believe that the company tried to defraud the Fitzgeralds, do you?
  - A. There's no reason for me to believe that.
- Q. Okay. Or intentionally lied to him to get more money out of them for some reason?

A. No.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And did Mr. Fitzgerald, during his communications with you, ever dispute the underlying charges on the bills?
- A. Um, no. I mean, the basis of his conversation and his complaint with me was that the Company lied to him and misinformed him.
- Q. About the Winter Rule and what amount should have been paid at what point in time?
- A. Right, what he did pay versus what he should have paid.
- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, under the Winter Rule, isn't it true that all that would have happened had the Company acted correctly in April 2010, would be that the Fitzgeralds would have paid \$175 down in order to get their service reconnected and then they would have had to pay the unpaid balance over the course of six months while also paying all current obligations?
- A. That's correct. And if he was disconnected, he would have paid up to \$36 in a reconnection fee.
- Q. Fair enough. And so had the Winter Rule been explained and invoked in April of 2010, the Fitzgeralds, instead of paying what they owed in the

```
1 lump sum, would have paid 100 percent of it by
2 mid-October 2010 had they timely complied with the
3 payment obligations?
```

- A. Correct.
- Q. Which obviously is more than six months ago by now, correct?
  - A. Right. Correct.
- Q. So as we sit here today, this issue would have come and gone and been resolved on its own terms per the Winter Rule?
  - A. That's right.
- 12 Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. MCMAHON: That's all I have. Thank you.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. Jones.
- MR. JONES: I have no questions, Your
- 17 | Honor. Thank you.
- 18 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like to redirect
- 20 something.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: It would be
- 22 recross, but go ahead and ask the question. Why
- 23 | don't you come up here.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, recross. Do you
- 25 | want me to come up there? I can speak louder than

71 1 him. I can't go up there. I have a bad leg. 2 hurting myself. 3 4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 5 By Mr. Fitzgerald: Did you ever see a disconnect notice 6 7 involved in this matter; do you recall? 8 Α. I don't recall. 9 You make no mention of it that I know of. Q. 10 Α. I don't recall, no. 11 So to the best of your knowledge, no 12 disconnect notice was provided to us? 13 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. She just said "I don't recall." Asked and answered. 14 15 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That's 16 sustained. She's already answered the question. 17 MR. FITZGERALD: I asked if she ever saw one. Now I'm asking if she thinks one ever existed. 18 19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right. 20 I'll let you provide an answer on that basis. 21 Um, at the time of the investigation, 22 yes, I did believe that the disconnection notice existed. 23

24

25

0.

Α.

Yes.

Do you think so today, as we sit here?

```
1
                 Yet, you don't recall ever having seen
            Ο.
2
    one?
3
                 That wasn't a part of my investigation.
            Α.
4
    Your complaint to me was that the company misinformed
5
    you.
                 And it wouldn't necessarily be part of it
6
            Ο.
    that they disconnected us without a notice, you
7
8
    wouldn't fall upon that information?
9
                 That wasn't your complaint. That wasn't
            Α.
    your complaint to me.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I have no more
12
    questions.
                Oh, I do have one more question.
13
                 Do you have an application for employment
            Q.
14
     in with Duke Energy?
15
                 MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
16
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
17
                 MR. JONES: No relevance to that.
                 MR. FITZGERALD: There's no relevance for
18
     it, pardon me. What's going on in Indiana --
19
20
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I can't hear
21
    you. Speak up.
2.2
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm going to say to you
23
    that Duke Energy is under some scrutiny from the
```

organizations because of conduct up in Indiana where

Federal Bureau of Investigation and other

24

```
1
     there seems --
 2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Objection.
 3
    Move to strike.
 4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That doesn't
 5
    have anything to do with this complaint. It's
     overruled -- I sustain it. Excuse me.
 6
 7
                 MR. FITZGERALD: What are you sustaining,
 8
    that I can't what?
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'm sustaining
    his objection.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: What's his objection?
12
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'm assuming
13
     relevancy.
14
                 MR. MCMAHON: Relevance and foundation.
15
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. The foundation is
16
     simply everything here was in Ohio until such time on
17
    October 5th that Ms. Givens wrote a letter indicating
     that she was Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana and it caused us
18
19
     to go look at what was going on in Indiana and it
20
     caused a great many questions to come forward about
21
    people from the Indiana Utilities Commission applying
2.2
     for jobs at Duke Energy and accepting and getting the
23
     jobs and I just want to know who has applied for a
24
     job.
```

MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor, as to

what's going on in Indiana. This is the State of Ohio. Again, it's not even relevant to this proceeding.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That's not -
MR. FITZGERALD: They brought it in. I

didn't.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Your objection — his objection is sustained. You need to move onto another question. That doesn't have anything to do with your complaint today.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I have no more questions, Your Honor.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Did you have any more?

MR. MCMAHON: Just one quick follow-up because I just want to make sure I didn't misspeak.

- - -

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. McMahon:

2.2

- Q. Everything that the Fitzgeralds would have paid under the Winter Rule, would have been the past due balances, correct, not the current amounts due?
- A. It's regardless of the dollar amount that the customer owes the company, they can pay \$175 to

keep their services on or if they're disconnected, they can pay 175 and up to a \$36 reconnection fee.

- Q. Okay. And then they pay -- the monthly payment plan relates to the past due balance that was necessary to reconnect not current charges, correct?
- A. The payment plan would be for what is past due and then also it would include current charges.
- Q. That they would then have to then separately pay on a monthly basis just to remain current?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. FITZGERALD: I do have another question arising from that then.

## FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fitzgerald:

2.2

Q. What is the maximum amount of money that we should have been charged on April 15th -- no, I want to strike that and start over.

Should our power have been disconnected on April 15th?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah, I'd have

- to sustain that. She doesn't have any --
- 2 Q. Once the power was disconnected on
- 3 April 15th, what is the maximum dollar amount that we
- 4 | should have paid on April 15th?
- 5 A. That's already been established, and that 6 was 175.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: I have no more
- 9 questions.

- 10 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I'm
- 11 assuming you don't have any more questions.
- MR. JONES: No questions, Your Honor.
- 13 | Thank you.
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. You're
- 15 excused.
- 16 Call your next witness, Mr. Fitzgerald.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: Let me call Vel
- 18 | Mitchell.
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'd like you to
- 20 come up here and question her, Mr. Fitzgerald.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Certainly.
- 22 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Raise your
- 23 | right hand.
- 24
- 25 VAL MITCHELL

```
being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
1
2
    examined and testified as follows:
3
4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.
5
    State your name and give your address, please.
                 MS. MITCHELL: Okay. My name is Vel
6
7
    Mitchell and my address is 1567 Section Road,
8
    Cincinnati, Ohio 45207.
9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good.
    Proceed.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'd like to
12
    submit these two documents as our exhibits.
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. What are
13
    these?
14
15
                 MR. FITZGERALD: 4 and 5. These are
16
    notes that have been provided to us by Duke for -- I
17
    don't know what the proper terminology is. You
18
    might.
19
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: There are two
20
    pages here, both labeled page 1 document. I'll let
21
    you have a look at them.
2.2
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               Thank you.
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: By the way, I
24
    need Complainant's Exhibit 3 up here.
25
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
```

```
1
                 MR. MCMAHON: Thank you. Just, if I can
 2
    help just clarify.
 3
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Please.
                 MR. MCMAHON: The documents that
 4
 5
    Mr. Fitzgerald is going to hand to Ms. Mitchell are
 6
    notes from Duke's case management or billing system,
 7
     I should say, concerning the account at 1923 John
 8
    Gray Road.
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right.
     There appears to be two page 1's there.
10
11
                 MR. MCMAHON: These are continued notes
12
     from a conversation, the witness can identify it, but
     from March 29th, 2010. It starts on one page and
13
14
    goes onto the next.
15
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                                                  Are we
16
    marking that as an exhibit, Mr. Fitzgerald?
17
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, we are. I believe
     4.
18
19
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                                                  That
20
    will be Complainant's Exhibit 4.
21
                 (EXHIBIT 4 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now I'll hand
2.2
23
    back to you what's been marked as Complainant's
24
    Exhibit 4.
```

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't have a copy to

79 read off so I don't mean to be offensive. 1 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 By Mr. Fitzgerald: 5 This one here I will read from above it, it says, I'm reading the text of it, "Was left empty. 6 7 Stephanie was informed to fax a copy of her lease and picture to EP for review." 9 Α. Yes. 10 So you're apparently speaking to Q. 11 Stephanie? 12 Α. Yes. 13 In this particular thing? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 And on this one it says, "His mom is Q. 16 Brenda Fitzgerald." Now, who are speaking to here? 17 Α. This is still Stephanie. So you're referring to Stephanie? 18 Q. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That's the 20 second page of the document? Second page or -- actually, it's the one 21 you have the thing on, it's page 1. They're both 22 23 marked page 1 and they both say untitled, so it's one

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now what

of the two pages that we have here.

24

was your question again?

- Q. I don't know who "His mom is Brenda

  Fitzgerald and she is said to have left the house

  five months ago per Stephanie." So you're discussing

  this matter with Stephanie Carson; is that correct?
- A. What I'm discussing with Stephanie Carson is she wants service in her name at 1923 John Gray Road and I'm making known to her what she needs to do so that we can review if we can bring her service or not.
- Q. Okay. So this is just probably a typographical error here, "his mom," if you're talking to Stephanie, you're talking -- I don't --
- A. Okay. Well, here where it says

  "Stephanie was informed to fax a copy of her lease
  and picture ID to EP," which is Energy Protection per
  review. And this would be her cell phone number.

  This would be her work phone number. "His mom" is
  Brenda Fitzgerald.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I'm going to have to ask you to speak up for the court reporter. You were pointing to the first page of the document.

A. Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And now you're

```
pointing to the second page and you said "his mom is Brenda Fitzgerald," is that what you just said?
```

- A. Yes. I'm just reading from the document.

  HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Go ahead.
- 5 Okay.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. "She is said to have left the house five months ago per Stephanie," and then it says
  "Stephanie said the house," and it stops there.
- Q. Okay. Since these are the only papers that we can find that were provided to us, you have no knowledge that you broke to Brenda Fitzgerald on 29th?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Just Stephanie Carson?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. So somebody on the 29th was talking to Brenda, it was not you?
- A. I have no recollection of having spoken to Ms. Fitzgerald.
  - Q. Okay. These certainly --
- A. Those make a note that I spoke to Stephanie.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. FITZGERALD: I don't want to take

  your exhibits again. Just one second while I confer

```
1
    with Brenda.
2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, if I may.
3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me, you
4
    have no more questions?
5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: No, I just want to
6
    confer with Brenda a second.
7
                 MR. MCMAHON: I'll follow up. I'm sorry,
8
     I'll withdraw that.
9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                 MR. FITZGERALD: We have no more
10
11
    questions.
12
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
13
                 MR. MCMAHON: I think I need to clean a
14
    couple things up because there actually appears to
15
    have been -- now I realize there was a missing page
16
     from what the witness was just being shown.
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                 MR. MCMAHON: So I'm going to hand
18
19
    Mr. Fitzgerald a copy and then we are going to want
20
    to mark this. How would you like us to mark this?
21
    We have attached to Cindy Givens it's CMG-1 and
    CMG-2. I'm happy to mark this as Exhibit --
2.2
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Why don't we
24
    mark this as Company Exhibit 1, which that be
25
    sufficient?
```

MR. MCMAHON: Okay. That's fine. Or should we do it as 3, since Cindy Givens already uses 1 and 2? I just don't want to confuse the issue.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah. We'll mark the Company exhibits as, the ones submitted already in the case by Cindy Givens, as Company Exhibit 1 and Company Exhibit 2, and so what's just been handed me will be Company Exhibit 3.

(EXHIBITS 1-3 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
MR. MCMAHON: Okay. Thank you, Your

Honor.

\_ \_ \_

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. McMahon:

- Q. Ms. Mitchell, I'm handing you now what's been marked as Company Exhibit 3, just to confirm for the record, first of all, is Company Exhibit 3 a series of the account note history concerning Brenda Fitzgerald's Account No. 8200064225 for the property at 1923 John Gray Road?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And as you look into the middle of this Company Exhibit 3 for the notes, some of which were just discussing with Mr. Fitzgerald, isn't it true that the notes from your communications with

- Mrs. Fitzgerald on March 29th, 2010 are actually reflected on one, two and three pages?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And as you look at these notes -- as you just said, Stephanie Carson had called Duke to have service set up in her name?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And is that because the service that was in Mrs. Fitzgerald's name had already been disconnected for non-payment?
- A. Well, to the best of my recollection with the Department I was with, to speak with me you would have recently been disconnected or you would soon be disconnected because of arrearage.
- Q. And if you could, maybe explain for everyone here when you say with respect to the Department that you were with.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What do you mean by that?
  - A. Well, I was with Energy Protection.
  - Q. Which means what?
- A. And what that means is if a new applicant wants service at a place that is soon to be disconnected or recently has been disconnected, we're looking to see that it's fine to grant that new party

service. That they're not committing fraud or anything of the sort.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, this document I see there are four pages that reflect the two pages that were handed to me as Complainant's Exhibit 4.

MR. MCMAHON: That's correct.

- Q. In fact, I just realized it, too.

  Ms. Mitchell identified three pages, but there's actually a fourth, isn't there? So one page, and these all from your March 29th, 2010 conversation with Ms. Carson, one page, two page, three page and four pages, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. So basically, you're trying to make sure that nothing fraudulent is going on?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. On the account?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So did you at anytime reveal any confidential information to Stephanie Carson concerning the Fitzgeralds?
  - A. No.
- Q. And in fact, when she called, she had

- their account number, didn't she?
- 2 A. I can't recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And Stephanie Carson was the person who told you that Brenda Fitzgerald had moved out of the house and she identified herself as dating Brenda Fitzgerald's son?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And essentially, all you told her was, hey, for you to get service at this address, 1923 John Gray Road --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- in your name, Stephanie Carson, you
  have to prove that you live there, send us a copy of
  the lease and send us a copy of your picture ID,
  that's it?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And you didn't otherwise talk about the Fitzgeralds, reveal confidential information, no Social Security number, past due balances or anything of the kind?
    - A. No. Correct.
    - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. MCMAHON: That's all I have. Sorry.
- MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like to ask another
- 25 question.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any follow-up questions?

#### \_ \_ \_

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fitzgerald:

- Q. But you did tell Stephanie Carson that she had to come up with this because the power was disconnected because it was not paid; is that correct?
- A. I have no recall of having said that to her. No recollection of telling her that.
- Q. You said she had to send in the lease and the picture because to get it restarted to get it reconnected in her name. What was the reason why she had to do that?
- A. It would be for anyone that wanted service at this address. If an address is soon to be disconnected because of non-payment or has recently been, it doesn't matter who requests service, that was the procedure for them to send that information.
- Q. So you would have told her that it was either disconnected or getting ready to be disconnected?
  - A. No, that would not have been necessary.
    - Q. She would have known that?

```
1
                 She would have needed to know what she
            Α.
2
    needs to do as far as how she can be granted service.
3
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you very much,
    Ms. Mitchell.
4
5
                 MS. MITCHELL: You're welcome.
6
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Any other
7
    questions?
8
                 MR. MCMAHON: Nothing further.
9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
                 Please call your next witness.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Cindy Laycock, please.
12
13
                         CINDY LAYCOCK
14
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
15
    examined and testified as follows:
16
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.
18
    Give your name and address.
19
                 MS. LAYCOCK: My name is Cindy Laycock at
20
    6897 East County Road 300 South, Plainfield, Indiana,
21
    46168.
22
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: If you could,
23
    come up here, Mr. Fitzgerald, please.
24
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, sir, as soon as I
25
    get the documents I'm looking for.
```

1 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I need you to 2 ask the questions from up here.

MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Please come up and take a seat.

MR. FITZGERALD: I shall.

- -

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fitzgerald:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Ms. Laycock, do you recall when you became involved in this matter.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. Fitzgerald,

  I need you to question from up here in this seat.
- Q. Do you recall when you became involved in this matter?
  - A. I spoke with you on April 16th, 2010.
  - Q. And what was the purpose of the call?
  - A. You called in wanting to advise of the -- gave me an account number, requesting information on a payment you had made.
    - O. Uh-huh.
  - A. I requested the account number a couple times because the address you were referring to was not the account number you were giving me.
    - Q. Okay. And when we got it sorted out,

```
90
 1
    where was the address?
 2
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me, are these my
 3
    papers or are these yours?
 4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me?
 5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Are these papers here
 6
    mine?
 7
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I have no idea.
 8
                 MR. MCMAHON: No. I think that's the
 9
    witness's copy of the Company Exhibit 3 that
    Ms. Mitchell was looking at before.
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: So it should go up to
12
    where or did you want it to stay here?
                 MR. MCMAHON: I can take it back, if
13
14
    you'd like.
15
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't know if it's an
16
     exhibit.
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I have a copy
     of Company Exhibit 3.
18
19
                 MR. MCMAHON: The Court already has it.
20
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I just didn't want to
21
    get confused.
2.2
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               Thank you.
23
                 (By Mr. Fitzgerald) So once again, we are
            0.
24
    on the 16th and I gave you the wrong thing. Was it
```

permissible for you to talk to me at that point about

the accounts that I was talking to?

2.2

- A. I could verify information since you were listed as the spouse.
- Q. Now, when a person is listed as a spouse on an account, that gives them total access to the account?
- A. We can verify information. We cannot set up any agreements.
- Q. In other words, I can't materially change
  -- like can a spouse call and disconnect service?
- A. I believe so, as long as they are listed on the account as spouse.
- Q. So even though the bill came to Brenda Fitzgerald, in essence says Brenda Fitzgerald and Gerard Fitzgerald?
- A. No, sir, it does not. The bill does not say Gerard Fitzgerald.
- Q. It just says Brenda, but my rights as a spouse are what?
- A. You are listed on the account as a spouse, we can discuss the account with you. We cannot set any arrangements.
  - Q. Could Winter Rules be discussed with me?
- A. That you would have been transferred to the credit department.

- Q. And do you know if the rules allow for the credit department to discuss Winter Rules with me?
- A. I do not know what the credit department rules are.
- Q. Okay. Now, when I spoke to you on the 16th, were you located in Indiana?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. So when I call the local 513 number, it rings in Indiana or is it transferred or do you know?
- A. I'm not sure how it operates, but we handle calls in Ohio, Indiana and Kentucky.
  - O. And --
- A. And they're all called from the same -- I mean, whatever number you call from, it depends on how they're routed.
- Q. All right. So you don't know -- is it all times when a person calls you, it's their first contact with Duke. And what I'm trying so you can more clearly understand the question, I call a number, you answer the phone, is it that I have been transferred to you or are you answering the phone for the first time?
- A. If it's a transfer, we're notified that the call has been transferred.

- Q. Is this caller notified that the call has been transferred?
- A. I would hope that the previous representative would advise you that your call was being transferred to another representative.
- Q. Right. But I'm talking about transferred from one state to another or would the phone that day have been answered in Indiana?
  - A. I don't know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

25

MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Relevance.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think she answered the question she didn't know.

MR. MCMAHON: Okay.

- Q. So you worked in Indiana?
- A. Yes, sir. My office -- the office I am located at is in Plainfield, Indiana.
- Q. Okay. Is there a similar office to yours in Ohio, to your knowledge?
- A. There's an office in Cincinnati, yes, sir.
  - Q. And because of the workload, I would have been transferred to Plainfield, Indiana?
- A. Not necessarily. You could have also gotten a representative in the Carolinas possibly.
  - Q. And what determines that?

```
94
1
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Relevance.
2
            Α.
                 I do not how the phone system works.
3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think she
4
     answered again she doesn't know how the phone system
5
    works.
6
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. She can step down
7
    or you can question her, as you see fit.
8
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any
9
    questions?
10
                 MR. MCMAHON: No questions.
11
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
12
                 You need to call your next witness.
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Pam Ball.
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Raise your
15
    right hand.
16
17
                            PAM BALL
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
18
19
    examined and testified as follows:
20
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated.
21
22
    State your name and address.
23
                 MS. BALL: Pamela Ball, 2524 Governor's
24
    Point Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana.
```

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.

```
1
   When you're ready, Mr. Fitzgerald, you need to come
2
   back up here.
3
```

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm coming up. Would you like to look at these? These are our exhibits in proper order.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you 7 handing me?

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm handing you documents headlined Pam Ball and they are -- I am not sure if they're e-mails or --

11 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let counsel see 12 these documents.

MR. MCMAHON: There's a series of four different documents, Your Honor.

15 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Four documents.

MR. FITZGERALD: They're notes, e-mails, apparently all generated by Pam Ball?

MR. MCMAHON: I'm sorry, I didn't look over them that specifically.

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't know how to refer to them.

MR. MCMAHON: They're four separate documents that contain various e-mail communications involving Pam Ball and then one or more people, so he would have to go through them separately. They're

```
1
     internal Duke e-mails and what appears to be
     communications with Cindi Mack at the Commission.
 2
 3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you want
     those marked?
 4
 5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, sir, 4, 5, 6 or 7
 6
    or whatever the consequential order would be.
 7
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: That would be 5
 8
     through 8, I believe. I'll just mark them as they've
 9
    been handed to me. This will be Complainant's
    Exhibit 5, Complainant's Exhibit 6.
10
11
                 MR. MCMAHON: Can I just see them so I
12
    can make sure I keep track of what's being marked?
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD:
                                  Sure.
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Complainant's
15
    Exhibit 7, and Complainant's Exhibit 8.
16
                 (EXHIBIT 5-8 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
17
                 MR. MCMAHON: Thank you.
18
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now, when you
19
     question on those documents, please refer to the
20
     exhibit number that you're -- and the page number
21
     that you're questioning on.
2.2
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
23
24
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
25
     By Mr. Fitzgerald:
```

Q. The first one we're talking about is Complainant's Exhibit 5. It says, "Brenda Fitzgerald established electric service at 61 Hunters Court on 11/25." Just so I'm not misreading anything, would you mind reading along with me, if you wouldn't mind.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. That's an e-mail from who to who?

MR. FITZGERALD: This apparently from Pam Ball to Cindy Givens.

- Q. That's correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. "Established electric service at 11/25.

  On 3/29 Brenda was advised she could use the Winter

  Rule or a med cert, medical certificate, to cancel

  the disconnection." Now, where are we talking about

  because we're here at 61 Hunters Court? Well, since

  the power wasn't turned off the 61 Hunters Court,

  where are we talking about the power being turned off

  to use a medical certificate?
- A. Well, as I recall, you know, the power was not off. It was just a question that Brenda had, you know, what are some options, because our records showed on March 29th that we had advised her of some options at that date.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. And the Winter Rule was one and the medical certificate was another one.
- Q. Okay. So just -- since nobody is alleging that anybody ever put any medical information into play here, we're only dealing with money and the Winter Rules, what would you have told her about Winter Rules?
  - A. What would I have told her?
  - Q. Yeah, you spoke to her on --
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Then you make a reference to somebody speaking to her on the 29th.
  - A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. What would that person have told her about Winter Rules on the 29th?
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. I mean, what would that person, I mean, I don't know what personal knowledge Ms. Ball has.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You'll have to be more specific what person you are referring to.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'll be a specific as I can. On this document, which I'll share with you as --
- MR. MCMAHON: I know which one you're talking about. Thank you.

- Q. Okay. "3/29/10 Brenda was advised she could use Winter rules." Who advised her?
- A. That would have been the representative she was speaking with. Because our notes indicated as such that she was offered those options, not by me, but by another person at Duke Energy.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'm going to come back to that in a second, but now I'm going to make a reference to Exhibit No. 8. Do you have that?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, sir.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: It's Complainant's Exhibit 8.

- Q. Complaint's Exhibit 8. The very bottom entry and this is once again, this is from you to PUCO.
  - A. Right.

2.2

- Q. It says, "I wonder if Stephanie Carson was supposed to establish service in her name at 1920 John Gray address, but had left it in Mrs. Fitzgerald's name. I also wonder if Stephanie called in pretending to be Mrs. Fitzgerald when the service was disconnected." What led you to think that?
- A. That was really just speculation on my part because I didn't know for sure, so you know, I

- was actually just guessing. I've got in parenthesis,
  you know, it's not --
  - Q. I see it's a parenthetical.
  - A. Right.

2.2

- Q. So your speculation is somebody could have called on the 29th and identified herself as Brenda Fitzgerald and not having been Brenda -- well, that could happen on any day. Now, let me jump over -- you know -- Complainant's Exhibit 7, you're speaking here and you're saying, "Service was disconnected for non-payment on 3/29 in the name of Brenda --
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: This from who to who?
- Q. This is from Pam Ball to PUCO; is that correct?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Okay. "Service was disconnected for non-payment on 3/29." We could only be talking about 1923 John Gray, right, because it was not disconnected at 61 Hunters Court?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. "Stephanie called on 4/2 and said her boyfriend owned the home and that Brenda

  Fitzgerald is her mother." Was Stephanie telling

this to you?

2.2

- A. No. I did not talk to any of the customers. I didn't talk to Brenda, you or Stephanie.
- Q. Okay. So whose -- you don't know where you're going with that information?
- A. Well, when we get a request from the Commission to review the account or the complaint, we go to the notes and then that means that the representative that talked to that person would have noted the account, so you know, I don't have to talk to the customer to know what transpired.
- Q. You're reading off the notes, the script thing?
  - A. Exactly. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. Then let's go to number -- going back to Complainant Exhibit 5, this line right here. It's about sixth or the seventh bullet point down. It says, "Cindi Mack tried to talk him out of a formal complaint but he seems to want to have our hands slapped." How do you know Cindi Mack was trying to talk us out of our formal complaint, is that something Cindi Mack told you?
- A. You know, I -- Cindi said no. I remember her saying she doesn't remember doing that, so you

- 1 know, maybe I was incorrect about that. I don't
- 2 know. I -- you know, it was a year ago so I'm not
- 3 | positive about it, but I know that she doesn't recall
- 4 | saying that and that was just my own editorial
- 5 comment. She didn't say anything about the hand
- 6 | slap, I'm sure of that.
- 7 Q. Oh, I ain't saying she said hand slap.
- 8 I'm saying you're absolutely accurate, Cindi Mack was
- 9 trying to talk us out of it, that was accurate, but I
- 10 | want to know how you knew that.
- 11 A. I didn't know that -- you know, I didn't
- 12 hear the conversation between you and her, so I don't
- 13 know that for sure.
- Q. But you wrote it.
- 15 A. I wrote it, I did.
- 16 Q. And it's not a question, it's a
- 17 | statement. That Cindi Mack was trying to talk us out
- 18 of it and you were dead on, she was definitely trying
- 19 to talk us out of it.
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. We've
- 22 got an answer to the question. Let's move on.
- MR. FITZGERALD: What's the answer, she
- 24 | doesn't know?
- 25 | HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: She said she

- didn't, yeah, she wasn't sure it was accurate or -that was her note.
- Q. Okay. You've examined this case pretty thoroughly? You've looked at it several times?
  - A. I have.

2.2

- Q. Have you ever seen a disconnect notice that was said to be in force on April 15th?
  - A. That would what? Pardon me?
- Q. That was in force on April 15th. I'm saying, to your knowledge, were we identified that we were in jeopardy of having the power turned off on April 15th?
- A. Yes, because I saw your disconnect notices. There were at least three in a row. Every month you got a disconnect notice, so yes, I looked at them and I knew you were in jeopardy.
- Q. Okay. And in each of those months, did we make a payment sufficient to comply with Winter Rules?
- A. You made a \$300 payment, but you have to tell us it's the Winter Rule and it's typically 175 so we don't know that it's to be used for Winter Rule unless you ask us for that.
- MR. FITZGERALD: May I have a copy of one of the other exhibits where it says that we don't

```
104
1
    have to?
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you
2
3
     looking for now?
4
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Exhibit No. --
5
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS:
6
                 MR. FITZGERALD: No. 1.
7
            Q.
                 On the top of page 2 on Exhibit No. 1.
8
    Once she reads it, I'll ask the question.
9
                 What did we have to do now? It says
    there's no sign up or nothing required.
10
11
            Α.
                 Right.
12
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection, mischaracterizes
13
    the document.
                 May I see the document. I don't mean to
14
            Q.
15
    take it out from in front of you. "There is no sign
16
    up required. If a residential customer's service has
17
    been disconnected for non-payment or being threatened
    to be disconnected, he or she may be required to pay
18
19
    175." We do not have to -- 300 is certainly 175.
20
    The rules -- are these the Winter Rules as you
21
    understand them to be?
2.2
            Α.
                 Yes.
23
                 MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
24
                 MR. FITZGERALD: What's the objection?
```

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I sustain the

objection.

2.2

MR. MCMAHON: The witness was testifying about whether 175 was required or more. If his question is, are those the Winter Rules, that's fine, but leading up to that question were some prefatory statements by Mr. Fitzgerald.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now let's have a question about the Winter Rules.

- Q. Does 300 -- I don't want to be moronic, but --
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think she already supplied it, but I'll let you ask it again
- Q. Okay. We made a \$300 payment on

  April 5th, Brenda did, it's your statement now that
  we would have had to have identified that as a Winter
  Rules payment?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Where is that in the rules?
- A. The -- my understanding is typically a customer pays the 175 and that identifies it as a Winter Rule -- that they want to set up the Winter Rule. You made the payment in April 5th, I believe it was.
  - Q. That's correct.
  - A. You were not disconnected at that time.

So that would have not automatically said that this is -- Mr. Fitzgerald wants to use the Winter Rule.

Our system would not identify that payment saying this is a Winter Rule payment and we want to set up the agreement.

- Q. Even though it does qualify?
- A. If you had told us -- if you had asked us to do that, yes, it would have.
- Q. Can you show me or tell me where I might find this written down in either PUCO or Duke Energy?
- A. It probably isn't because our system is not sophisticated enough to know that every customer wants the Winter Rule when he makes a \$300 payment because some people do not want to use a Winter Rule. They just want to make a payment. And unless they ask us for that, we want to set it up, we have no way of knowing that.
- Q. Okay. So you're saying that the April 6th disconnect notice is the one that was in effect on April 15th, in force?
- A. You had -- you owed more than the \$300 at that time, so it would not have cancelled the disconnection because you only paid 300 and as I recall you owed 1,300 or something like that.
  - Q. I believe 17.

A. 17.

1

2

- Q. My question is, 300 doesn't qualify as
- 4 MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
- 5 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think she 6 supplied an answer.
- Q. What rule then does that come? It's absolutely contradictory to what's written on your situation.
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Argumentative.

  11 Asked and answered.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: She's answered the question. Now we need to move onto another one.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Let me go onto

  Duke Energy's --
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I need that
- 17 back up here, Exhibit 1.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Is that right? Now I'm taking Complainant's Exhibit No. 2.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
- Q. Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, page 4,
  would you read that. I'll read it. I don't mean to
  make you read it. It say, "Duke Energy is required
  by the PUCO to provide 14 days notice prior to the
  disconnection and an additional notice ten days prior

- to disconnection."
- 2 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. We're
- 3 | reading from page 4?
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Page 4, of Duke's own
- 5 document.

- 6 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Complainant's
- 7 Exhibit 2. Okay. Proceed.
- 8 Q. So we have here 14 days and ten days. So
- 9 ten days prior to you would have had to send us
- 10 | another notice; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Have you ever seen that notice?
- A. I have not. I haven't looked for it so I
- 14 haven't seen it.
- 15 Q. So you have no idea if it exists or not?
- A. Again, I didn't look for it. I'm not
- 17 | saying it didn't exist. I just didn't look for it.
- 18 Q. Were you aware prior to today that in
- 19 | Winter Rules you must provide two disconnects?
- A. Yes, we do. We do provide two.
- Q. And when you're looking for through this
- 22 case, you never went to look for that second
- 23 disconnect notice, you just assumed it was there?
- A. As Cindi Mack indicated, the conversation
- 25 | that she and I had was about the Winter Rule and not

offering that to you on April 15th, so that was the crux of what we were trying to determine; did we or did we not offer that to you. So Cindi is saying, let me hear the call. I want to know if you offered it. I didn't investigate further into whether you had that second notice because that was not part of the complaint.

- Q. In other words, the complaint had to be stipulated that it was -- that we hadn't been notified for you to look into that?
- A. It would have had to be -- you know, a another section of that said in addition he is saying he was not properly notified before I would have actually gone into the account to double check to make sure that you did get that. In addition to the bill with the disconnect notice you also got the ten-day disconnect notice.
  - Q. Okay.

2.2

MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, for the record, I guess I'd object to this whole line of questioning because the complaint has been, as been filed by the Fitzgeralds, makes no allegation about not having been notified of disconnect notices. This is all about whether they were told about the Winter Rule and there's been no allegation in the complaint that

- there were complaining about a lack of notice prior to disconnection.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Before ruling, may I

  indicated to you that part of the Winter Rule is a

  ten-day notice. That is part of the Winter Rule.

  It's on your thing.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We've mentioned 8 disconnection before --
- 9 MR. MCMAHON: Actually, I believe 10 Mr. Fitzgerald is misstating the Winter Rule about 11 the ten-day notice requirement.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll let him
  finish this line of questioning.
  - Q. As you sit here today, do you think we were properly notified of a disconnect?
    - A. Yes, I do.

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. That means two of them. That means on April 6th, which you claim was not nullified by the \$300 payment, that's the first notice. Where's the second notice?
- A. Our system is set up to notify customers on the bill, their regular bill, it has a disconnect notice. I did verify that you had those disconnect notices. I've seen those. I did not go the next step to look for the ten-day notice. But our system

is set up to notify the customers with the bill and an additional ten-day notice. I have seen those repeatedly, you know, hundreds of them.

- Q. Have you seen one with our name on it?
- A. I didn't look for it.

2.2

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. That's the answer to that question.

MR. FITZGERALD: So as she sits here today, the answer to that question is she has not seen that second notice?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: She didn't look for. That was her answer. Okay. You need to move onto another question.

- Q. What did Duke Energy do right on April 15th?
- A. You called in. You asked what it took to get your service back on. So the representative told you what was -- what you owed and what you needed to do to get the service back on and he helped you with getting your payments made. I think he stayed with you while there was that little issue with the bank. He help you to get your service back on and told you what was required.
- Q. That would be Jonathan Green we're talking about?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you're saying Jonathan Green's conduct was correct, that Duke did that right?
- A. You're saying what did he do right?

  That's what you're saying?
  - Q. What did Duke Energy do right on April 15th concerning the Fitzgerald family?
  - A. He told you what you owed. You asked what you owed and he told you.
- Q. Well, I asked what it was going to require to get our power back on.
- 12 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Is he
- 14 testifying or asking a question?
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, I'm just saying to you, I will ask a question of it.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
- Q. I called to get our power back on, would you agree with that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. I was told I had to pay 1,712 and then it became \$1,762. You're saying Duke Energy did that right?
- A. Not everybody wants to use the Winter

  Rule, so Jonathan, you know, he should have said, you

- know, this is another -- you can either pay your 1,762.50 that you owe us or you can pay 175 for the Winter Rule, so he did -- he just didn't go that second step.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. But he looked at what you owed. He didn't see any discrepancy, so he said this is what you need to pay us.
- Q. Are you familiar -- did you -- you went back and listened to telephone calls concerning this account, right?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Are you aware that Brenda called on April 15th?
- A. I did not look for a call from Brenda on April 15th, no.
  - Q. Why not? She's on the account.
  - A. Excuse me, again, you were the customer that Cindi and I were working with, Cindi Mack.
    - Q. Subsequent to that date, have you become aware that Brenda did call on April 15th?
      - A. No, not really.
- Q. As we sit here today, you don't know that
  Brenda called on April 15th?
  - A. I didn't look for her call.

- Q. But you were managing the account for Duke?
  - A. I was managing the complaint that you filed with PUCO.
- Q. Okay. So there comes a point on some day
  where you are on the phone with Cindi Mack in
  Columbus and you're going to listen to phone calls.
  There were three phone calls. The one you listened
  to that Cindi Mack testified to was Jonathan; is that
  correct?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. What were the other two?
- 13 A. We didn't look for others.
- 14 Q. You had the other two.
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Asked and
- 16 | answered.

3

4

11

- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. She's answered the question. She didn't look for others.
- 19 We need another question.
- Q. Were there three phone calls on
- 21 April 15th or one?
- 22 A. I do not know.
- Q. Okay. You didn't have three phones for
- 24 | Cindi Mack to listen to?
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Asked and

```
1 answered.
```

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. Did you tell Cindi Mack there were three phone calls?
  - A. I couldn't have told Cindi Mack there were three because I didn't know.
- Q. You did not know there were three phone calls?
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Asked and answered.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: She's answered the question.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, sir --
- Q. As we sit here today, you still don't know that there were three phone calls?
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: She's answered the question. You need another question.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: I have no more
- 19 questions.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have
- 21 any?
- MR. MCMAHON: Yes, sir.
- This is a new one.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Company Exhibit
- 25 4?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Company Exhibit 4.

(EXHIBIT 4 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Ms. Ball:

2.2

- Q. Ms. Ball, I'm just putting in front of you two different exhibits; one that's been marked as Company Exhibit 3 and one as Company Exhibit 4. Just to clarify for the record, Ms. Mitchell looked at No. 3 already. But Company Exhibit 3 consists of the accountant notes for Brenda Fitzgerald's account from 61 Hunters Court, Account No. 8820070155 as maintained in Duke's system, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is a true and accurate copy of those notes?
  - A. Yes.

back your document.

- Q. And with respect to Company Exhibit 4, same questions, those are the account notes from Duke's system concerning Brenda Fitzgerald's account -- sorry, I wrote down something wrong. Sorry, I wrote down the wrong document. Sorry. I apologize.

  MR. FITZGERALD: I wanted to give you
  - HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you.

- MR. MCMAHON: Sorry, I've got to go back because I accidentally wrote down the wrong number when you were being asked questions.
- Q. Exhibit 3, which has been previously marked Company Exhibit 3 consists of account notes for Brenda Fitzgerald's account at 1923 John Gray Road as maintained in Duke's system, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And Exhibit 4 consists of the account notes for Brenda Fitzgerald's account at 61 Hunters
  Court as maintained in Duke Energy's system, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And both of these exhibits, Company Exhibits 3 and 4, are maintained by the company in its ordinary course of business?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And these are true and accurate copies of those Company notes?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So can you take a look at the
  March -- let's start first with Exhibit 3, March 29,
  22 2010.
- A. Do you want me to start at the beginning of March 29th?
- Q. Actually, I'm sorry, I meant to say

118 March 29th was Ms. Mitchell's conversations on 1 2 April 2nd, 2010. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: About what page 4 are you on? 5 MR. MCMAHON: 7. MR. FITZGERALD: And this has a date of 6 7 April 2nd? 8 MR. MCMAHON: Correct. 9 Q. Do you see that? 10 Uh-huh. Right, Sandy Nicely. It would Α. 11 be your date entered here, April 2nd. 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Am I looking at 13 14 MR. MCMAHON: Company Exhibit 3. That's 15 4. 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Where is 3? Did you 17 give it to me? 18 MR. MCMAHON: Yes, before. 19 Q. Now, the page that you're looking at 20 there, ma'am, that relates to a telephone call by 21 Brenda Fitzgerald to the company concerning 1923 John 22 Gray Road? 23 It looks like a call from Stephanie Α. 24 Carson. "Transferred Stephanie cars to Energy 25 Protection."

- Q. Okay. I apologize. What is the reference here to Brenda Fitzgerald?
- A. It is -- yeah, it does mention Brenda Fitzgerald as being the customer.
  - Q. Okay. But the page that you're looking at concerns a call from Stephanie Carson concerning John Gray Road?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And as you take a look at Company Exhibit 4, Company Exhibit 4, as you said, identifies the notes for Brenda Fitzgerald's account at 61 Hunters Court, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you see anything in those notes relating to a call by Brenda Fitzgerald on April 15th, 2010?
- A. Brenda is mentioned on April 15th,

  Veronica Cage.
- 19 Q. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. "Customer called in. Customer card declined. Customer will call back to make that payment."
- Q. Right. So the company has a record of
  Mrs. Fitzgerald's call on April 15th, 2010, correct?
  - A. Yes. It was noted here by Veronica Cage.

```
Q. And based on the Company's -- the notes in the Company's records, Mrs. Fitzgerald called in to discuss a payment of 1,762.50 and was trying to make that payment by credit card, correct?
```

## A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. FITZGERALD: Hold on. That's not on the document. I object. Show me on the document where it says she was paying 1762.50.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What page are you on?

MR. MCMAHON: That wasn't my question.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Then proceed.

MR. MCMAHON: Okay.

- Q. Mrs. Fitzgerald called -- based on the company's records it reflects that Mrs. Fitzgerald called Duke because her credit card has been declined, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And later on there was contact by Mr. Fitzgerald with the company, which is what you had previously discussed?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now, could you keep going back in Plaintiff's -- the Company's Exhibit 4, I apologize, to March 29th, 2010.

A. Okay.

- Q. Do you see a reference there in the Company's records concerning a telephone call with Brenda Fitzgerald on March 29th, 2010 concerning the account at 61 Hunters Court?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it not true that the Company's records reflect that Mrs. Fitzgerald was advised of the Winter Rule on March 29th, 2010 and told to pay \$175 by April 8th, 2010 to cancel the disconnect notice?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the disconnect notice that had been out there, as you testified to in response to Mr. Fitzgerald's questions, you had seen a series of monthly bills generated in January, February and March of 2010, all of which contained the disconnect notice, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So those are the disconnect notices that are referenced here in the Company's records in Exhibit 4?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And as you testified to on cross-examination by Mr. Fitzgerald, unless a

- customer tells the company that it wants to invoke the Winter Rule, is there any other way that the Company would know that the customer wants to do that?
  - A. No, there's no way because some people wouldn't want to use the Winter Rule.
  - Q. And I guess maybe there is one exception. If someone pays is under you disconnect notice and then pays 175, the flat even amount of \$175, I think you said earlier, the Company's system would treat that payment as a Winter Rule invocation of some kind?
    - A. I'm not sure about that.
- 14 Q. Okay.

0.

2.2

- A. I'm not sure about that.
- Company's system that reflect that Mrs. Fitzgerald was told about the Winter Rule on March 29th, 2010. And are there any records in the Company's possession, to your knowledge, that either Mr. or Mrs. Fitzgerald called back and told the company we want to invoke the Winter Rule?

Okay. So here we have records from the

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. So when they paid \$300 on
  April 5th, 2010, that was a partial payment of the

- then outstanding balance of in excess of 1,600,
  correct?
  - A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. So the company gets \$300, applies that partial payment and there still leaves a considerable outstanding balance that is required to be paid in order to avoid disconnection?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Which the company never received prior to April 15th, 2010?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And as a result of their fairly to pay the amount due to avoid disconnection before

  April 15th, 2010, the Fitzgerald's account at 61

  Hunters Court was disconnected for non-payment?
  - A. That's correct.
- MR. MCMAHON: That's all I have. Thank you.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have anything on recross?
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I'd like to ask a 22 question.
- 23 | --
- 24 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 By Mr. Fitzgerald:

```
Q. Okay. Right there in -- can I have Exhibit 3, the one where we were talking about the 15th, go to that page.
```

A. The one for John Gray or -HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And you're referring to? Excuse me.

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm referring to, I believe, Company's Exhibit No. 3 or wherever that on the 15th of April the phone call with Brenda Fitzgerald.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. And what page of the document are you referring to?

MR. MCMAHON: That's Exhibit 4, Your Honor.

MR. FITZGERALD: Exhibit 4.

16 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're on page

17 | number 4?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: Uh-huh. There it is.

Q. Can you read what that says, please?

A. "Customer called in. Customer card was declined and customer will call back to make that payment."

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I still didn't get an answer. What page are we on?

A. Let's see. I'm sorry. Page 11.

- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: 11. Okay. Of that document, okay.
  - Q. Okay. Let me go back here. That's

    Customer's Exhibit 4 -- I mean, Company Exhibit 4?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. And that's on which page of that?
- 7 A. 11.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 12 of that same one. It says Veronica Cage and we're talking about 4/15/10; do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And when it says, "Customer need to make a payment of 1,712 to get service back on today." Is that the \$50 added there?
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You said
  16 1762.50?
- Q. Excuse me, 1762. So there is a statement that Veronica Cage told Brenda Fitzgerald she had to pay \$1,762 to get the service restored; is that correct?
  - A. That's what the note says, yes.
- Q. Well, how much did she, in fact, have to pay?
- A. To pay the bill or to use the Winter
  Rule?

- Q. To get the service restored.
- A. You know, she had two options. This amount was owed. Some people pay what they owe.

  Some people use the Winter Rule.
  - Q. Should she have been told to pay 175?
  - A. I think we've admitted that.
  - Q. But you didn't admit is, was she told -we're talking about what we're admitting is what you
    told me. Now we have Brenda being told this on the
    15th, this thing says -- it's definitely a call
    between Veronica Cage and Brenda Fitzgerald; is that
    right?
    - A. Yes, per our records.
- 14 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

20

2.2

23

- A. She was told on March 29 about the Winter Rule. She was not told on April 15th.
  - Q. She was not told on April 15th?
- A. She was told on March 29th but not on April 15th. See the next page.
  - Q. Should she have been told on April 15th?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. Then why did this person say the customer needs to make a payment of 1762? Is that misinformation?
- A. I think we have admitted and agreed that

```
we should have offered, even though we offered --
```

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. That was to me. Was this told to Brenda?

  MR. MCMAHON: Objection. The witness has asked and answered that Mrs. Fitzgerald was told on March 29th about the Winter Rule but not on April 15th.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Sustained. Go 8 onto another question, please.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: Well, sir, I --
- Q. Should this person have told her to pay \$1,762.
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think we've covered that. Now you need to go onto another question.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, what we were
  talking about before was what was told me, not what
  was told to Brenda. This thing says it was told to
  Brenda.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think we've 22 been through that.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- Q. You saw a disconnect notice for 61
  Hunters Court; is that correct?

1 A. Yes.

3

4

7

8

9

14

18

Q. Not 1923.

MR. FITZGERALD: May I see that, please?

MR. MCMAHON: May you see what?

5 MR. FITZGERALD: The disconnect notice

6 | for 61 Hunters Court. We don't seem to have it.

MR. MCMAHON: Well, as I've stated in prior filings, the Company, given the volume of disconnect notices that go out, the Company doesn't

10 keep a copy of the actual sheet that is hand

11 delivered to each address. All they have are

12 | computer-generated references to the notice having

13 been issued and delivered. I have that

computer-generated information. I don't have the

15 physical notice because the Company deals in

16 thousands of these notices at any given point in time

and doesn't keep images of every one. I do have the

computer-generated information concerning that

19 notice, which I'm happy to share with the

20 Fitzgeralds. This is my only copy.

21 MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, did I hear

22 him say that was hand delivered to us?

MR. MCMAHON: It was -- the notice is

24 handed and posted at the door, delivered to the

25 premises, correct.

```
MR. FITZGERALD: That's an outrage.
```

2.2

MR. MCMAHON: Well, for the record, I would object to Mr. Fitzgerald's attempt to retestify on the stand. He testified he has no idea whether a notice was delivered and now he's trying to reargue the case and accuse us of an outrage. It's improper.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, you need to go onto another question, if you have one for this witness.

Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) This disconnect notice I'm going to bring up to you, which I guess we'll have to enter as --

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you referring to?

MR. FITZGERALD: These are some disconnect notices that go from January 2nd, 2010, which I think are already in the record. I'm going to go to the one that's on March 24th.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: This has been marked?

MR. FITZGERALD: It's not marked yet.

MR. MCMAHON: To the extent it helps,
Your Honor, I believe Mr. Fitzgerald is referring to
bills that are part of CMG-2, the Company Exhibit 2
attached to Cindy Givens' testimony.

```
130
 1
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. So they're
 2
    already in here.
 3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me, what
 4
    page are you on?
 5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm on the one, two,
 6
    three, four, five, I'm on the sixth page. It says
 7
    Disconnect Notice Due Date is March 24th, 2010. It
 8
    said the amount due is 1355.88. Is everybody on that
 9
    page? I will provide you with a copy so you can be
    on that page.
10
11
                 MR. MCMAHON: I apologize, I just want to
    make sure I'm looking at the right document.
12
13
                 This is the John Gray address.
            Α.
14
                 MR. MCMAHON: Yeah, that's what I
15
    thought.
16
            Α.
                 That is not the Hunters Court address.
17
     This is John Gray.
                Where was it mailed to?
18
            Ο.
19
                Mailed to Hunters Court.
            Α.
20
                 This is a disconnect notice for where,
            Q.
21
     for John Gray or Hunters Court?
2.2
            Α.
                 John Gray.
23
                 Okay. Do you have one that says we were
            0.
24
     going to be disconnected at Hunters Court?
```

MR. MCMAHON: I'm happy to try assist,

```
Your Honor, if this helps. If you look at the exhibits to Cynthia Marie Givens' testimony, CMG-1 are all the bills for John Gray Road. CMG-2 are all the bills for 61 Hunters Court.
```

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) And on March 24th, there's one that says Hunters Court. You know, in other words, I'm saying when we get this disconnect notice, the address in this box on the disconnect notice is where it's to be disconnected from; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. This is the January something file. What am I referring to here? January 6th of 2010.
- MR. MCMAHON: Those bills are attached as Company Exhibit 2, CMG-2 to Cynthia Givens' testimony. Those are the Hunters Court bills.
- MR. FITZGERALD: So you understand they're in evidence, they are the Hunters Court bills under Cindy Givens.
  - HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah. Just identify the page you're on. Company Exhibit 2?

    MR. FITZGERALD: The third page.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Third page of 25 Company Exhibit 2.

```
MR. MCMAHON: Well, actually, I think
1
2
     it's important, he doesn't have the exact exhibit.
     It would be easier if he identifies the bill by the
3
    date of the bill.
4
5
                 MR. FITZGERALD: The due date --
6
                 MR. MCMAHON: Because they're not in the
7
     same order.
8
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, February 9th,
     2010.
9
                               There you go.
10
                 MR. MCMAHON:
11
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're going to
12
    have to give me a minute here to get there.
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Third page of
15
    Company Exhibit 2. Okay.
16
                 What does "held bill" mean?
            0.
17
            Α.
                 When our billing department is working on
     a bill, maybe they've had estimated reads or
18
19
     something, they've got to do some work on it before
20
     it goes out. They'll hold it and review it before
21
     they mail it out the next day. And it looks like
2.2
    that's what happened here. They held the bill while
23
    they had a chance to review, and then the very next
```

day, this same bill, that bill was sent out. This

bill was not sent out. It was held so that it could

24

- be reviewed and then the next day billing determined that it was correct and they mailed it out and that was your disconnect bill.
- Q. Thank you. And once again, that's back in February. So all these disconnect notices that you have been asked about and answered, that went on for months, January, February, March, April. Why wasn't -- why wasn't the power turned off in January?
  - A. I don't know.

2.2

- Q. Could it be we made a payment before --
- A. I think that -- you know, let me look at those bills again and maybe I can answer your question.
- MR. MCMAHON: You know, I guess for the record, I'd object to the question because the Company's reason as to why they were not disconnected in January are immaterial to the allegations in the complaint.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I agree with him. It's not material to your complaint, but if she can supply an answer, I'll let her go ahead and do it.
- A. Your first bill was 75.51, which you paid it. Then we transferred the unpaid charges from John Gray, the electric charges only, because this is

electric only.

2.2

- Q. All right.
- A. So that caused your account to be in disconnect because of that large balance that was transferred.
  - Q. Right.
- A. You paid the 75.51 and then you were up for disconnection there in March.
  - Q. And what did we pay?
- A. You paid -- well, you didn't pay the 300 until -- it didn't post until the bill that was actually due May 6th because it probably crossed in the mail, so you could have been disconnected right here.
  - Q. On March 8th?
- A. So it says if your service has not yet been disconnected, at that time you would have had to pay 1,053 to avoid disconnection. And then it mentions that you would be charged a security deposit as well. I don't know why you weren't disconnected. You were subject to disconnection.
- Q. Let's go to the next payment then and let's see what's credited then in the next situation.
- A. Not until here, 300. So this month still no payments. This would have been during the March

```
time frame, so then you're up to 1782.50. And here it says your service may be disconnected if the past due of 1326.41 is paid not paid by April 9th. You pay 300, so you should have paid 1326.41.
```

Q. This is April 6th, right?

- A. It's the due date of April 6th.
- Q. What was explained on March 29th? Do you think on March 29th when you're explaining a bill or when someone is explaining a bill that they make it clear it must be exactly \$175?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

MR. FITZGERALD: On what grounds?

MR. MCMAHON: I'm sorry, you're not the Court. I don't need to explain to you, sir.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll let her answer the question.

- A. Our notes indicate that we told Brenda on the 29th of March that she could use the Winter Rule by paying 175.
- Q. And your position is that 300 doesn't -- it must be exactly 175?
- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Asked and answered.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We've covered this before.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, okay. Is this my copy or yours of 3? I believe it's mine. It's got 3 written on it.

MR. MCMAHON: It's yours.

MR. FITZGERALD: We're done.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any

questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

MR. MCMAHON: Just a couple follow-up,

Your Honor.

10

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. McMahon:

- Q. Do you still have Exhibits 3 and 4 in front of you?
- 15 A. No, I think Mr. Fitzgerald took them.
- Q. If you look at page 14 of Company Exhibit
  3, and again, these are the account notes for the
  18 1923 John Gray Road account. Page 14, does that
- 19 reflect in the Company's records that Brenda
- 20 Fitzgerald had called into the company and was
- 21 advised by Duke Energy about her options under the
- 22 | Winter Rule with respect to the account at 1923 John
- 23 Gray Road?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And then as you look at Company Exhibit

- 4, that page from the March 29th, 2010, as you've already testified to, that page also shows that Brenda Fitzgerald was told about the Winter Rule on March 29th, 2010 -- or I'm sorry.
  - A. Same date.

2.2

- Q. Yeah, March 29th, 2010 with respect to her account at 61 Hunters Court, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And these were both communications with La'Tasha Savage and that's the person who entered the information into the system on behalf of Duke Energy, correct?
- A. Yes. She told Brenda about both accounts and using the Winter Rule.
- Q. Okay. And then isn't it true that the Company offered the Fitzgeralds the refund of the \$50 after-hour fee as well as the \$25 reconnect fee in connection with this matter?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And can you explain why the Company offered that?
- A. Due to the fact that we did not offer the 175 Winter Rule on that day, I talked to Cindi Mack about it and I said, you know, let's -- I agree that we could have offered -- we should have offered that.

```
Let's refund the $50 after hours and the $25
 1
 2
    reconnect to resolve the complaint if that would do
 3
     it and Mr. Fitzgerald declined that offer.
                 Okay. And this was an offer that was
 4
            Q.
 5
    made back in April of 2010?
                 That's correct.
 6
            Α.
 7
                 MR. MCMAHON: That's all I have. Thank
 8
    you.
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any
    other questions, Mr. Fitzgerald?
10
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD:
                                 Nope.
12
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
13
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I call Cynthia Marie
    Givens.
14
15
16
                      CYNTHIA MARIE GIVENS
17
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
     examined and testified as follows:
18
19
20
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now, since
21
     she's your chief witness, do you want to do direct on
22
    her now or do you want to ask that, we can take care
23
    of that right now or do you want to recall her?
24
                 MR. MCMAHON: I'm happy to, if that's
25
     easier.
```

139 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I'll leave it 1 2 up to you. Do you want to do that? 3 MR. MCMAHON: I can. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. 5 MR. MCMAHON: Okay. 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. McMahon: 9 Ms. Givens, I'm handing you what's been marked as, up in the upper right-hand corner, 10 11 DE-Ohio, Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A. 12 MR. MCMAHON: This is a copy that would 13 have been previously mailed to you. 14 MR. FITZGERALD: I have it. 15 Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A consists of Q. 16 your direct testimony in this matter, correct? 17 Α. That's correct. And did you review this testimony before 18 19 October 25th, 2010? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And was it true and accurate as of the 2.2 time of its filing with the Commission? 23 Yes, it was. Α. 24 And have you gone back over this 25 testimony in preparation for today's hearing?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And does the information set forth in Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A remain true and accurate?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Okay.

2.2

MR. MCMAHON: I guess at this point, I would offer in Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A as the direct testimony of Cynthia Marie Givens, Your Honor.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good. I MR. MCMAHON: I have a few things to supplement.

MR. FITZGERALD: Go ahead.

MR. MCMAHON: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. FITZGERALD: Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MCMAHON: I'll go back and sit down and just speak up.

Q. There's been, I guess, what I would describe some confusion on this billing issues possibly due in part to the different addresses, different accounts, but I think it might also be due in part to some of the timing of when bills are generated and things of that nature. So if you could take a look at the bills that are attached to CMG-2 to your testimony, that's the Company Exhibit 2. You have those in front of you, correct?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Again, to be clear, we're looking at the bills for the account at 61 Hunters Court, correct?

  They're toward the end of your testimony.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You heard Mr. Fitzgerald testify earlier about the \$300 payment and then getting a bill about \$1,600 or more due and then being told various amounts as to what was due and owing by Duke Energy. As you look at the bills for 61 Hunters Court, can you maybe explain to the Court exactly what transpired here?
  - A. Starting?
  - Q. Let's say mid-March.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Since that really leads up to the relevant time period.
- A. Okay. On the March 15th, there is a bill that was issued in the amount total amount of 1782.50 and the due date on that bill was April 6th. And on that bill, there was a disconnection notice and that is the 14-day notice there's referenced in the Commission Winter Rule Guidelines, or the Commission Guidelines period because of the tariffs. That's the 14-day notice and that notice states that

```
"Your service may be disconnected if a past due amount of 1326.41 is not paid before 4/9/2010."
```

- Q. So you're looking at the middle of this bill that as you said was prepared on March 15th, 2010, the information that's in that box?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Or boxed area, I should say?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, the 1326.41 was the past due amount that had to be paid to avoid disconnection, correct?
- A. That is the 60 days arrears on the account.
- Q. Fair enough. The actual past due amount was higher, but that's because you don't -- the Company does not disconnect for amounts that are past due only 30 to 60 days?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. The --
- Q. Go ahead. Sorry.
- A. Where it mentions down at the bottom
  where it says current billing and the amount due
  previous bill, that total is 1556.03, which
  represents a total amount that's past due.

- Q. So that 1556.03 is the total amount that had accrued over the prior months?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And also, that includes some of the unpaid electric charges from the 1923 John Gray Road address?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. But just to be clear, none of the unpaid gas charges were transferred from that account to 61 Hunters Court?
- A. Our system will not allow us to transfer gas charges to an all electric account.
- Q. Okay. It's sets up that way and that's how the Commission requires it as well, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. So what happens next?
- A. Okay. As far as just looking at the bills?
  - Q. If you'd like to look at Company Exhibits 3 or 4, if that would help tell the story, feel free.
- A. No. That bill went out on March the 15th. On March the 29th, Ms. Fitzgerald called and she was advised that she could use the Winter Rule, pay \$175, or her other option was, if applicable, she could use a medical certificate to stop the

disconnection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And as Mr. Fitzgerald confirmed earlier, the medical certificate is not an issue at all in this case, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. It also -- the notation on the account said that the 175 must be paid before close of business on April the 8th because the disconnection could have happened on April 9th.
  - Q. And so that ties -- what you're referring to is the notes, just to be clear, that's Company Exhibit 4 what you're talking about just from memory?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you're referring back to the bill that you were just testifying to?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. So as long as the payment was made by the end of business on the 8th, the Fitzgeralds could have avoided disconnection on the 9th?
    - A. Right.
    - Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 23 A. Well --
- Q. Let me ask you this way. To your knowledge and to the Company's knowledge, did the

Fitzgeralds, either Mr. or Mrs., ever call the company, notify in writing or any form of communication, that they wanted to invoke the Winter Rule at any time before April 15th, 2010?

A. They did not.

- Q. Okay. So what happened?
- A. Well, a payment was made on April the 5th, but let me just clarify that no one was watching the account. So if a payment is made on an account and the company isn't notified that the customer is invoking the Winter Rule, that payment will just be credited to the account. No one is watching the account looking for a payment. If a payment is made and the customer contacts us, then we will look at the account and we have to speak to the customer because we have to set up payment arrangements.
- Q. Could you explain that in more detail, please?
- A. Well, if a customer contacts us and says I'm using the Winter Rule -- and actually, more than \$175 could be used as the Winter Rule, but they have to let us know they're doing that because the condition of the Winter Rule is that you go on a payment plan along with that. You can't just make the payment of \$175 and be on the -- and utilize the

Winter Rule. The rule states that you have to enter into either the Percentage of Income Program or a payment plan, so there is a condition attached to using the Winter Rule. You can't just make a payment and be done with it.

- Q. So are you telling me it's impossible for a customer to invoke the Winter Rule simply by paying \$175 and doing nothing else?
- A. That is correct. There is conditions attached to it.
  - O. And those conditions would be --
  - A. You have to enter into a payment plan.
- Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you. And those are the conditions that are more fully explained in the Complainant's Exhibit 1 concerning the Winter Rule?
  - A. That's correct.

2.2

- Q. And how long have you been dealing with these issues during your employment at Duke Energy?
- A. Oh, Winter Rule has been in effect for the last 23 years and I've been in customer service for the last -- over 20 years.
- Q. Okay. So it's fair to say you're pretty well-versed in the Winter Rule?
  - A. Right. And I also manage the complaint

resolution team, so yes, I'm very -- and I handle regulatory matters so I'm very well-versed, yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. So when this \$300 payment came in, as I think Ms. Ball already testified to, the system recognized that as a partial payment, correct?
- A. Right. However, it was not enough to stop the disconnection because the disconnection amount, as shown on the March 15th bill, was \$1,356 so that \$300 payment would not have stopped any disconnection activity whatever, unless customer contacted us and said I'm using the Winter Rule and set up a payment plan.
- Q. And if the customer had done that, that information would be reflected in Duke's internal system, correct?
- A. It would be reflected in the internal system. The customer would have also received a letter showing the terms of agreement and the disconnection activity would have stopped.
- Q. Okay. And someone anticipated my question. The system generates a letter signed by an authorized representative, I believe, Jim Rinere; is that true?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. And that goes out to the customer and

indicates what the payment arrangements are with respect to that customer's invocation of the Winter Rule, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And that never happened in this case?
- A. There was no payment plan set and the disconnection was not stopped.
- Q. And obviously we know the Fitzgeralds' services were disconnect on April 15th, 2010, correct?
  - A. Can I also address the ten-day notice?
  - Q. Yes.

2.2

- A. Okay. Since the disconnection notice was not stopped when the payment of \$300 was made, as required by the Commission, not only during the -- if a person uses the Winter Rule, but during the period of time, November through April, we must provide the customer a 14-day notice on the bill and a ten-day notice. Up until November of 2010, that ten-day notice had to be hand delivered to the residence -- I mean, to the premises. After November 2010, it could be mailed, but during April of 2010, that notice had to be delivered and it was delivered on April 2nd.
  - Q. Okay. And how do you know that?
  - A. We have the computer-generated -- what

- happens is we have a contractor who goes out and delivers those notices. Once the notice is delivered, they send back electronic communication stating that the notice was indeed delivered.
  - Q. But the Company does not maintain a copy of the actual physical notice?
    - A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- O. Correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but the Company deals with thousands of these during a weekly time period?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I think the Company has somewhere in nearly 700,000 customers in Southwest, Ohio or something like that, correct?
- A. Correct. And that final ten-day notice also includes information regarding the Winter Rule.
- MR. MCMAHON: If I may approach.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You may.
- MR. MCMAHON: I'll hand the Fitzgeralds a copy. This is my only copy of this. It's the computer reference that Ms. Givens was just referring to.
- MR. FITZGERALD: We're not going to get a

```
150
1
    copy of this?
2
                 MR. MCMAHON: Afterwards.
3
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. That's good.
4
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS:
                                           Is this going
5
    to be marked?
                 MR. MCMAHON: Company Exhibit 5, please.
6
7
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right.
                 (EXHIBIT 5 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
8
9
                 (By Mr. McMahon) Ms. Givens, I've handed
            Q.
10
    you what's been marked Company Exhibit 5. Could you
11
     identify what that record is?
12
            Α.
                 That is the computer-generated record
     saying that the ten-day notice has been delivered on
13
    April 2nd, 2010.
14
15
                 And how -- and you're referring here to
            Ο.
16
    the middle of the document, just to be clear, it
17
    appears to indicate that the notice was issued on
    April 1st but delivered on April 2nd, correct?
18
19
            Α.
                 That's correct. Uh-huh.
20
                 And does it identify who did this?
            Q.
21
                     It's just the contractor number.
            Α.
2.2
     That's the employee number of the contract.
23
                 Okay. And this information --
            0.
24
            Α.
                 It was entered -- what happens is, it's
```

computer-generated. It comes back to us and then

it's entered into our system. Marie is the person who did the data entry.

2.2

- Q. Okay. So when a contract is out there delivering a notice, they enter something into a hand-held device of some kind?
- A. They actually send in documents that are done, then entered into our system electronically.

  Turn-around documents is what they're called.
- Q. I'm sorry, you said turn-around documents?
  - A. Turn-around documents.
  - Q. And what does that mean?
- A. That means that they're showing the work they provided that day has been turned around and it comes back and it's been completed.
- Q. Okay. So as we sit here today, is it your testimony that Duke fully complied with both the 14-day and ten-day notice requirements?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And there's already been extensive testimony about what we've acknowledged as an omission by not telling them about the Winter Rule on April 15th. Let me, though, ask you just about the billing of the account.

As you look at all the bills that are

attached to this Company Exhibit 2, CMG-2 attached to your testimony, is it fair and accurate to say that all of the Company's billing with respect to the Fitzgeralds account at 61 Hunters Court are correct?

- A. Absolutely. Yes.
- Q. Did the Company ever charge them for anything that they should not have been charged?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. I guess we could possibly argue about the \$50 after-hour fee or the \$25 reconnect fee, correct?
- A. Because they were not provided the -well, the \$25 reconnect fee would have been charged
  even if they used the Winter Rule and the \$50
  after-hour fee as well if the payment is made after
  12:30 and they want service on the same day. So yes,
  I mean, those charges would still have been in
  effect, but --
  - Q. And that's what I was trying to get to.
  - A. Right.
- Q. Even though \$75 in charges are accurate regardless of what happened with respect to the Winter Rule, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And certainly there's been no allegations

or evidence of any kind about the meters being incorrect or anything of that nature, right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So this all comes down to a failure on the part of the Company to advise about the Winter Rule during conversations on April 15th, 2010?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Even though, as we all know, notice and knowledge of the Winter Rule had already gone out and been discussed with Mrs. Fitzgerald, right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, as Pam Ball testified, the company offered to waive that \$50 and \$25 fee, even though, as you said, those were true and accurate fees?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And why did the company make that offer?
- A. Really as a gesture of acknowledging that they were not provided with all of the options available to them on April 15th and it was an effort, I think, to settle the complaint.
- Q. Okay. And the Fitzgeralds declined that offer?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. And didn't the company make another offer

to try to resolve this issue?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And what was that?
- A. That offer was that we would refund the money that they had paid and set them up on a payment plan, refund the money and then put it back on the account and then set their balance up on a payment plan, as it would have been set up in the first place.
- Q. And this was an offer made in the fall of 2010?
- 12 A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. So essentially, the Company was willing to refund everything that the Fitzgeralds had paid on April 15th, 2010 and then just basically start over and do the 175 down and then the six monthly payments that would have happened had they invoked the Winter Rule?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And the Fitzgeralds rejected that offer as well, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And had they accepted that offer, by now everything would have been taken care of, correct?
    - A. Uh-huh.

Q. Right?

- A. Provided that all the payments were made, yes.
- Q. Right. And also if they had invoked the Winter Rule on April 5th, 2010, they would have fully paid the past due account balance by October of 2010 had they timely made all their payments?
  - A. Right. That's correct.
- Q. So as we sit here today, is there anything more that the Company can do?
- A. Well, I mean, we could still offer the same \$75, if you'd like. I mean, that offer could still stand, even though the service at that address has been terminated.
- Q. Other than offering again the things that have already been rejected, is there some other form of relief here?
  - A. No, there's not.
- MR. MCMAHON: I guess I would offer into evidence Company Exhibits 1 through 5. And then I have no further questions.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good. Do you have any questions, Mr. Fitzgerald?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I do.

\_ \_

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Fitzgerald:

1

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

2.2

- Q. You're familiar with the whole overall operation of Duke for the most part; is that correct?
- 5 A. For the most part.
  - Q. Okay. WCC stands for Western Coal Center.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and ask you to come up here and sit so we can hear you.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: The court reporter needs to change her paper.

13 (Recess taken.)

14 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Go

15 ahead.

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) Okay. WCC stands for Western Coal Center -- West Coal Center?
  - A. Right. That's how Pam identified it.
- 19 Q. ERS, what's that stand for?
- 20 A. ER Solutions, which is our credit call center.
  - Q. Your credit call center in Alabama?
- A. There's one in Atlanta and one in
- 24 | Montgomery, Alabama, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, I will show --

```
MR. FITZGERALD: Do I have to put them in as exhibits to show them to her?
```

2.2

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah. We should have them marked if you're going to offer them as exhibits.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I'm not going to offer them as exhibits. I just want to ask a question about them and then if they have to be exhibits, I'll put them in. I'll show you the question I'm going to ask.

(Showing documents to Mr. McMahon.)

MR. MCMAHON: Go ahead.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, I need a decision about what you want to do with the exhibits.

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't want to put them in as exhibits. I'm just going to question about them. Is that acceptable?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Well, that's up to you now. You've got to identify them for the record and they won't be exhibits then.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'll use two of them, which is to not clutter up the record. I'm going to use one that was generated on 3/29/10 by a La'Tasha Savage and another one that was generated by

```
Veronica Cage, I believe they're already in there, on 4/15/10.
```

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you referring to when you say "one".

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't know what you call these things, history --

MR. MCMAHON: This might help, Your

Honor. He has pulled two pages from Company Exhibit

3 -- one page from Company Exhibit 3 and one page

from Company Exhibit 4, which have already been

entered into evidence.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: All right.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Just identify what you're talking about.

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) All right. On the bottom of the page on the one that is Veronica Cage, 4/15/10 is the date of it, it says, "No longer employed at ERS."
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Does that mean she's transferred somewhere else, she's just not in that center?
- A. No. It means that she is no longer employed at ERS and working on behalf of Duke Energy.
  - Q. Okay. Does Duke Energy have a contract

still with ERS?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 2 A. Yes, we do.
  - Q. Okay. This one says "no longer an employee," but you're saying you read those the same?
    - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Because these people we spoke to, I ——
    I'll give you some names, Veronica Cage, Jonathan
    Green, La'Tasha Savage and Veronica Cage, I guess I
    said it twice, all these people we spoke to on the
    15th, do you happen to know the circumstances under
    which they left the company?
  - A. No. They're like any call center, there's turnovers in the call center. We're not privy to any reason why they would have --
  - Q. Are you privy to the contract that Duke has with ERS?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Does anybody in Ohio in a supervisory capacity have a supervisory position over these people at ERS? Who do they answer to in Ohio?
    - A. They have a supervisor at ERS.
    - Q. They have one?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. But nobody at Duke Energy Ohio doesn't supervise these people?

```
A. They're -- Duke Energy Ohio manages the contract with ERS. They don't directly supervise the individual employees. They have supervisors at that location.
```

- Q. Okay. How many people would work in the West Call Center or ERS or what is there, a room full of 20, 30, 50 people?
  - MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Relevance.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I'm just trying to
- 10 --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you know the answer to the question?
- A. I don't know the exact number, no. I've been to all of them, but I don't know the number, no.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Here's the
- 16 relevance. Our power was disconnected on April 15th.
- 17 And I'm trying to -- I'm going to try to establish --
- 18 Mr. McMahon used a number 700,000 customers in
- 19 Southwest, Ohio, is that what you indicated that Duke
- 20 | has?
- 21 MR. MCMAHON: It wasn't an exact number.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, we're just using a
- 23 ballpark number. We can all use that, that's
- 24 | acceptable, that's close, approximately 700,000.
- MR. MCMAHON: Go ahead. I'm not sure

```
what point you're trying to make.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. How many of them, what I want to get at is, how many of them were contacted on April 15th by this ERS?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You can ask her that question and if she knows, she can supply an answer. I don't think it's relevant to the case -- to your case.

MR. FITZGERALD: Sir, let me direct this to you then instead of the witness.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No, you can't question me. You can question her now.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I want to direct a comment to you.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have a question for her?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I do.

- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) Do you know how many people, approximately, would be in an ERS phone room receiving these calls?
- A. I don't know an approximate number, no.

  Out of the exact number, no.
- Q. You don't know an exact number, I said approximately.

MR. MCMAHON: Whatever you know. Go ahead.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

- A. Approximately, 60, 70, maybe more.
- Q. Okay. And do you know, approximately, in this phone room with WCC, one of the phone rooms you're aware of, how many people per hour would each one speak to?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Yeah, it's -- it will be irrelevant.

Q. Okay. I just want to bring up another rule of yours, and that rule would be, we can't be singled out and treated differently.

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_sheets:} \mbox{ You have to ask}$  a question of her.

- Q. Were we treated the same as any other Duke customer?
  - A. Personally were you?
- Q. Yes, on April 15th, were we the only ones to your knowledge that got disconnected without being offered Winter Rules?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Go ahead. Can you answer the question?

A. I would have no way of knowing that.

Q. And you don't know how many people per power each person in the call room speaks to?

2.2

- A. I would have no way of knowing that, sir.
- Q. Do you believe Jonathan Green offered everybody else the Winter Rules on the 15th and not us?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: See, I don't think she has knowledge of that. I'll go ahead -- go ahead and answer the question.

- A. I would have no way of knowing that.

  MR. FITZGERALD: One second, please.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Does that conclude your examination of this witness?
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, I haven't. I wanted to ask another question.
- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) You expressed in the paper that you wrote an opinion, which appears to be a legal opinion. You said you didn't believe this had anything -- this was not extortion. Do you recall writing that?
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: What are you referring to?
- MR. FITZGERALD: I'm referring to, we're calling it Direct Testimony of Cynthia Marie Givens.

```
164
 1
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
 2
    page are you on?
 3
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I'll go to it and find
    it. Okay. Page 12, line 12.
 4
 5
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Page 12, line
     12.
 6
 7
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Are we all there?
 8
                 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Go ahead
    with your question.
10
11
                 (By Mr. Fitzgerald) Do you see where you
12
     say, "whatsoever that -- "there is no merit to the
13
     complaint in this case nor are there any grounds
14
    whatsoever for the Fitzgeralds unsubstantiated
15
    accusation that DE-Ohio committed extortion or
16
    otherwise lied to the Commission." Now, you have a
17
    high school -- that's the extent of your formal
     education? You have no legal training?
18
19
                No, I do not.
           Α.
20
                 I agree you have a great deal of
            Q.
21
    practical experience, which is very valuable, but you
2.2
    have no law thing. I mean, why is it that you're
23
    commenting on extortion?
24
                 I think they made a mistake. I don't
            Α.
25
    think they -- there was no -- they weren't going to
```

```
benefit at all from not offering you the Winter --

there's no personal benefit, which I believe is the

definition of extortion. But I mean, there's no

personal benefit for them not to have provided you

with the Winter Rule. They made a mistake, that's

why.
```

2.2

Q. Okay. Well, I believe that extortion is to get money from someone by use of a threat.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: No, you've got to ask a question.

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

Q. Okay. What do you feel extortion is?

MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: I think he's asking -- he's objecting on a relevancy basis now.

MR. FITZGERALD: She submitted a statement that has that in it. I'd like to know if she knows what extortion means. Let me say this, sir, I can't bring up extortion, she can?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You have to ask the question. Now we have a question before this witness. I'll let you go ahead and answer it, if you can.

A. There was no threat. The service was already off.

- Q. The threat was to not turn it on, unless I gave them money.
  - MR. MCMAHON: Is that a question or a statement?
  - Q. I'm asking then, was there a threat to not turn the service on if I did not give them the money?
  - A. The service -- the service would not have been turned on had you not paid. Should they have offered you the Winter Rule, yes. That's not -- that's not extortion.
  - Q. Is it a threat to say if you do not give me this money, I'm not turning the service back on?
    - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- MR. MCMAHON: Objection. We're getting beyond the scope of the complaint in this case.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: She -- if I can -- this
- 19 | lady is the one who said it's not extortion.
- 20 Extortion is outside the scope of your jurisdiction.
- 21 You have no jurisdiction if there is extortion. Why
- 22 are they bringing it up? And subsequently Mr.
- 23 McMahon has brought it up, too.
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: See, now you're testifying again. I need a question of this witness.

```
I think she's already answered it. I'll give you one more chance about this extortion thing. Go ahead and ask a question.
```

Q. If they would not turn the lights on unless we paid the money, that is not extortion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Sir, the reason the word extortion was used is because that's what you said in the settlement conference. You used that word.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Pardon me. You can't bring up settlement. I object to that. If she can bring up settlement conference, I can.
- MR. MCMAHON: You've asked -- excuse me, for the record. He's asked the question.
  - HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: See, you asked the question. I think she's answered it. Now we're going to go onto another question.
- MR. FITZGERALD: I have no more questions.
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
- MR. MCMAHON: Nothing further.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
- MR. FITZGERALD: I do have one more question.
- Q. (By Mr. Fitzgerald) This was hand delivered, the receipt that we saw before was hand

delivered by a person who then subsequently -- but nobody signed it or signs it, they just bring it to the house and say I took and taped it to the door?

HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now you're referring to a disconnect notice?

- Q. A disconnect notice of April 2nd. You remember the computer-generated piece that Mr. McMahon brought up to you?
- A. There's no requirement for it to be signed.
- Q. Okay. And do you know of which requirement in the -- in the Public Utilities

  Commission of Ohio requires that a person make known to the company that the payment is for Winter Rules?
- A. Again, as I mentioned, in the Winter Rule the condition attached to using the Winter Rule is that you set up a payment plan. The only way for you to set up a payment plan is for you to contact the Company because you have to agree to the payment plan, or you have initiate the payment plan. And Mrs. Fitzgerald would have been the one to set up the payment plan because she is the customer of record. And as Cindy Laycock mentioned, you can get information on the account, but you can't set up payment arrangements, so she would have had to so

```
that's why. No one was looking at your account, sir,
to know you even made a payment if you don't tell us.
No one was watching your account.
```

- Q. Okay. Once again, and I'm talking about not Duke's rules, regulations or how do you business, I'm talking about the Public Utilities Commission.

  They say we have to do none of that.
- 8 A. It's in the Winter Rule -9 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Asked and
  10 answered.

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

24

that?

- MR. FITZGERALD: She did not answer that.

  She answered something else.
  - A. It's in the Winter Reconnect Order that you have to set a payment plan as a condition of utilizing the Winter Rule.
- MR. FITZGERALD: May I see the Winter Rule, please?
- THE WITNESS: You don't have the actual order. You have the questions and answers. You don't have the actual order.
- MR. FITZGERALD: May I see the Winter Rules, please?
- HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Which one was
- MR. FITZGERALD: This one right here.

1 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: This one.

MR. FITZGERALD: This one.

Q. It's in there?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. This isn't the actual order. This is questions and answers. This is not the actual order.
  - Q. So this is questions and answers?
  - A. Yes. It says questions and answers.
- Q. Let me just take this a second and read the --
- 10 A. That is not the actual order that is
  11 issued by the Commission every year.
  - Q. But do you think that within the actual order that you're so familiar with, that it would say that, that there is no sign up required?
  - A. What it says is -- no. And actually, those words are not in the actual order. But the order does state that you have to enter into a payment plan.
- 19 Q. That's from PUCO?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Can you think of
- 22 | anything else, Brenda?
- 23 MRS. FITZGERALD: (Shakes head back and
- 24 forth.) No.
- MR. FITZGERALD: That's it.

```
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any
2
    other questions?
3
                 MR. MCMAHON: Nothing further.
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused.
4
5
                 THE WITNESS:
                               Okay.
6
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Do we have
7
    anything else today?
8
                 MR. MCMAHON: I just have a couple quick
    questions. I call Brenda Fitzgerald to the stand.
9
                 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't want her to
10
11
    testify. She has medical problems.
12
                 MR. MCMAHON: Brenda Fitzgerald is the
13
    Complainant. We have an absolute right to
    cross-examine the Complainant in these proceedings,
14
15
    Your Honor.
16
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off
17
    the record.
                 MR. MCMAHON: Perhaps I can do it by
18
     stipulation, then we can go off the record and
19
20
    discuss it.
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off
2.2
    the record.
23
                 (Recess taken.)
24
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: We'll go on the
25
    record. Did you set up a payment plan with Duke
```

```
1
    Energy for the Winter Rule?
 2
                 MR. FITZGERALD:
                                 No.
 3
                 MR. MCMAHON: That's good enough for me.
 4
                 MR. FITZGERALD: However, before the
 5
    record stops --
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Wait a second
 6
 7
    now. Do you want to qualify that?
 8
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
 9
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.
                                                  Go
10
     ahead.
11
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Because according to
12
    Duke, I am not able to set up a payment. I can't
     change the foundation of the rules. I had no right
13
     to do it according to Duke, so you asked me --
14
15
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me.
                                                       То
16
    your knowledge, did your wife set up a payment plan?
                 MR. FITZGERALD: To my knowledge, no.
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Let that
18
19
    be an answer to the question.
20
                 MR. MCMAHON: That's all I need, Your
21
    Honor.
             Thank you.
2.2
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Now, before we
23
    adjourn, I'm going to set up a briefing schedule.
24
                 MR. FITZGERALD: Which schedule, sir?
25
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off
```

```
the record and I'll discuss the briefing schedule.
1
2
                 (Recess taken.)
3
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Back on the
4
    record.
              We've been discussing a briefing schedule
5
    and I would like briefs by June 3rd, simultaneous
6
    briefs in the case, except that Mr. Fitzgerald may
7
    file a statement of the case, if he so wishes.
8
    that sufficient?
9
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               The only thing I'd like to
    clarify for the record is that what the Fitzgeralds
10
11
    will file is not an evidentiary statement. There's
12
    no more new evidence to come before the Court. It's
     just an argument about the evidence presented during
13
    the hearing.
14
15
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Correct.
16
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               Okay.
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: And with that
     said, I'll consider this case submitted on the
18
19
    record. I thank you all for coming.
20
                 MR. MCMAHON:
                               Thank you.
21
                 MR. FITZGERALD:
                                  Thank you.
2.2
                 (Recess taken.)
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER SHEETS: Excuse me.
24
    Let's go back on the record, and note that all
25
     exhibits are admitted into evidence.
```

```
174
 1
                  (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
 2
     1:23 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, April 27, 2011, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Sandra D. Kin, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My Commission Expires May 14, 2012.

(sdk-1312)

2.3

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

5/11/2011 9:13:17 AM

in

Case No(s). 10-0791-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Fitzgerald(s) vs. Duke Energy hearing held on 04/27/11. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Kin, Sandra Ms.