7		
	BEFORE	RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF		OF OHIO 2011 APR 15 PM 12: 32
OTC DAIHEN, INC.,	:	
Complainant,		PUCO
e en plantant,	: Case N	o. 11-0549-TP-CSS
۷.		
FRONTIER NORTH, INC.,		
Respondent.	:	

Ŀ,

REPLY OF OTC DAIHEN, INC. TO MOTION TO DISMISS OF MCI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. d/b/a VERIZON BUSINESS SERVICES

By Entry dated March 11, 2011, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") ordered that MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business Services ("MCI"), should be joined as a party to this proceeding and file an Answer to OTC Daihen's Complaint. On March 31, 2011, MCI filed both an Answer and a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint as against MCI, and to dismiss MCI as a party to this proceeding.

The Memorandum in Support of MCI's Motion to Dismiss contains no legal arguments to which OTC Daihen may reply. Rather, the Memorandum contains only MCI's factual contentions that MCI never billed or provided services to OTC Daihen,. (MCI Mem. at 2.) MCI contends that, based on the circuit number referenced in OTC Daihen's invoice, Verizon Advanced Data, Inc. is the party that billed and provided services to OTC Daihen. (MCI Mem. at 4.) MCI further contends that the Verizon Advanced Data, Inc. circuit was transferred to Frontier North, Inc. as a result of the 2010

This is to certify that the insues appearing any an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file jocument delivered in the regular course of business Nechnician _____ Date Processed _____ 2/0/1 transfer of ownership of Verizon North, Inc. from Verizon Communications, Inc. to Frontier North Communications Corporation. (Id.)

Frontier North disputes this assertion of ownership, asserting that "Verizon Business is a separate legal entity from Frontier and provided separate services and separate billing to OTC." (Frontier North Answer at 2.) In its March 11, 2011 Entry, the Commission stated that it was seeking "clarity regarding which entity with the Verizon name was providing and billing OTC for internet and DS1 Service." (Entry ¶ 3.) OTC Daihen seeks that same clarity. OTC Daihen requests that the Commission order Frontier North to identify the exact party responsible for billing and providing the "Verizon Business" services to OTC Daihen, so that the appropriate party may be joined in this matter.

OTC Daihen would accept the dismissal of MCI as a party in this matter on the condition that Frontier North can identify any additional proper parties who should be joined in this proceeding rather than a general denial that Frontier North is responsible. If MCI is correct that Frontier North owns the circuit related to OTC Daihen's service, in which case no additional parties are necessary, OTC Daihen would accept dismissal of MCI as a party to this matter without the joinder of additional parties.

Respectfully submitted,

John W. Bentifie (0016388) Direct Dial: 614.334.6121 Email: jbentine@cwstaw.com Mark S. Yurick (0039176) Counsel of Record Direct Dial: 614.334.7197 Email: myurick@cwstaw.com

Jason H. Beehler (0085377) Direct Dial: 614.334.6122 Email: jbeehler@cwslaw.com Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 E. State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: 614.221.4000 Facsimile: 614.221.4012 *Attorneys for OTC Daihen, Inc.*

v

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing Reply of OTC

Daihen, Inc. to Motion to Dismiss of MCI Communications, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business

Services was served, via regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, this 15th day of April, 2011,

upon the following:

Kevin Saville Associate General Counsel Frontier Communications Corporation 2378 Wilshire Boulevard Mound, MN 55364 Email: Kevin.Saville@FTR.com Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 Email: BarthRoyer@aol.com

Carolyn S. Flahive Thomspon Hine LLP 41 South High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215 Email: Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com

Kind Yuricīk

4843-6257-4345, v. 1