

Staff Review and Recommendations for
Case No. 10-2025-EL-EEC
Joint Application for
A Special Arrangement between
Ohio Edison Company
And
Cleveland Clinic Foundation
A Special Arrangement with a Mercantile Customer

Summary of Filing

On August 3, 2010, in Case No. 10-2025-EL-EEC, Ohio Edison Company (“Company”) submitted a Joint Application for Commission approval of a special arrangement with Cleveland Clinic Foundation (“Customer”). The Joint Application includes a provision that would exempt Customer from paying costs included in the Company's Rider DSE2. Applicants jointly request authority from the Commission to exempt Customer from paying the charges set forth in the DSE2 Rider, to become effective during the Customer's first billing cycle after the issuance of the Commission's Opinion and Order approving the project for inclusion in the Company's EEDR compliance plan. ORC 4928.66 requires certain energy efficiency and demand reduction benchmarks with which the Electric distribution utilities (“EDU”) must comply. This statute also allows an EDU to include certain mercantile customer-sited energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs (“Energy Projects”) to be included in their compliance measures. Specifically, the Applicants request that the Commission:

- (a) Approve the Agreement;
- (b) Approve the Energy Projects as qualifying for inclusion in the Company's EEDR compliance plan;
- (c) Authorize the Company to exempt Customer from paying the charges included in the Company's Rider DSE2, effective for the Customer's first billing cycle after the date on which the Commission issues its Opinion and Order in this matter approving the Energy Projects for inclusion in the Company's EEDR compliance plan and continuing for as long as Customer meets the requirements set forth in Rider DSE2; and,
- (d) Any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.

Staff's Review

During review, Staff discovered that the Elyria FCH facility was no longer in operation. On December 10, 2010 the Applicant filed a request to modify its application to reflect this fact. Staff reviewed this application which included numerous projects contained within three separate sites. The projects within the application included lighting retrofits. Staff reviewed further supporting documentation provided by Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company including project descriptions pertaining to each site, project in-service dates, lighting and occupancy sensor invoices, kWh reduction, total project costs, and the exemption period from the rider. Energy savings and incremental KW saved were calculated at the device level.

Pursuant to Division (A)(2)(d) of section 4928.66 of the Revised Code, the filing must:

- (a) Address coordination requirements between the electric utility and the mercantile customer, including specific communication procedures.
- (b) Grant permission to the electric utility and staff to measure and verify energy savings and/or peak-demand reductions resulting from customer-sited projects and resources.
- (c) Identify all consequences of noncompliance by the customer with the terms of the commitment.
- (d) Include a copy of the formal declaration or agreement that commits the mercantile customer's programs for integration, including any requirement that the electric utility will treat the customer's information as confidential and will not disclose such information except under an appropriate protective agreement or a protective order issued by the commission.
- (e) Include a description of methodologies, protocols, and practices used or proposed to be used in measuring and verifying program results, and identify and explain all deviations from any program measurement and verification guidelines that may be published by the commission.

The Customer uses more than 700,000 kWh annually and/or otherwise meets the requirements of a "mercantile customer." The Customer has provided documentation showing that the methodology used to calculate energy savings conforms to the general principals of the International Performance Measurement Verification Protocol (IPMVP). Within the Mercantile Customer Project Commitment agreement, the Customer committed the Energy Projects for the life of the project. In committing this Energy Project, the customer provided:

- Annual Energy Baseline Consumption data
- An accounting of incremental energy saved

- A description of projects implemented and measures taken
- A description of methodologies, protocols and practices used to measure and verify the energy savings
- An accounting of expenditures to demonstrate the cost effectiveness of the project
- Supporting documents to verify the timeline and in service dates of the project

The Customer has implemented the Energy Projects. The Energy Projects consist of lighting retrofits. Further, the Energy Projects were implemented after January 1, 2007.

Staff compared the customer's average annual energy baseline consumption with the energy savings achieved to verify the length of exemption of the DSE2 Rider and concludes that the exemption period is accurately calculated. In reviewing this application, Staff also verified the Company's avoided cost exceeds the cost that the company spent to acquire the mercantile Customer's self-directed energy efficiency project.

Staff Recommendation

Based upon its review, Staff believes that the Energy Projects meet the requirements for inclusion in the Company's EEDR compliance plan. With the savings achieved, Cleveland Clinic Foundation locations will receive the following exemptions from the DSE2:

Cleveland Clinic Foundation Case # 10-2025-EL-EEC	
Site	Exemption Period through
Chestnut Commons FHC	12/31/2019
Elyria	N/A*
Lorain FHC	12/31/2016
Sagamore MOB 2	12/31/2019

* Application was modified to remove this facility

This joint application does not appear to be unreasonable, was properly filed in conformance with the applicable rules, and Staff recommends approval of this mercantile exemption from the DSE2 Rider as requested.

Prepared by: K. Braun, R. Wolfe
Date: 06-Apr-2011

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/8/2011 8:37:37 AM

in

Case No(s). 10-2025-EL-EEC

Summary: Staff Review and Recommendation electronically filed by Kristin L Braun on behalf of PUCO Staff