10-176-EL-ATA

3



Citizens for Keeping the All-Electric Promise *** Press Release ***

Comparison Rate Chart of FirstEnergy, the PUCO Staff, and OCC's Properties MAR 22 AM 8:5 Solutions to the All-Electric Rate Issue υ

March 16. 2011 Contact Sue Steigerwald for more info Sue2811@roadrunner.com

IVED-DOCKETING DIV FirstEnergy, the PUCO Staff, and the OCC have presented their proposed long term solutions for the All Electric Rate Issue at the recent Evidentiary Hearing. It is important for customers to understand how the proposed solutions will impact their bills. Therefore, CKAP is releasing the attached Rate Impact Comparison Chart which compares the three proposals to each other, to the all electric customer's bills today, and to what customers were paying during the 2010 Winter Rate Shock period.

When the various rates are compared together, many concerns become apparent. For instance, both the FirstEnergy and PUCO Staff proposals advocate complete elimination of the RGC credit portion (more than half) of the discount by the fifth year. This would cause CEI customer bills to increase an average of 63% higher than they are today, and Ohio Edison customer bills to increase an average of 33% higher than they are today. Furthermore, the average CEI customer's bill would be just 23% less than the Rate Shock Bill level of 2010, and the average Ohio Edison customer's bill would be just 5% less than the Rate Shock Bills of 2010. The PUCO Commission ordered the PUCO Staff and FirstEnergy to produce a viable long term solution to the problem. However, if customer bills return to near 2010 Rate Shock levels, these proposals are simply forcing the 300,000 all electric customers back into the economic emergency they endured during the winter of 2010.

After the fifth year, the PUCO Staff proposal states that the EDR and RDC credits would continue on a going forward basis, but without guarantee. The FirstEnergy proposal also does not guarantee the continuation of the EDR and RDC portions of the discount, and states multiple times in their proposal that it is their intention for all electric customers to be "transitioned toward standard residential rates." If the EDR and RDC credits are completely eliminated, this would cause CEI customers bills to increase an average of 125% higher than they are today, and Ohio Edison customer bills to increase an average of 85% higher than they are today.

When the all electric rate economic emergency first occurred in winter 2010, the PUCO Staff testified that they did not understand what the real bill impacts would be. With the attached Comparison Rate Chart, the bill impacts are clearly stated and the negative impacts of these increases to the all electric customer are well identified.

Under the new leadership of Chairman Todd Snitchler, the PUCO Commission has the chance to do the right thing and implement a fair, long-term solution for all electric customers. CKAP supports the solution proposed by the OCC and hopes the PUCO Commission will see the merits of the OCC's solution as well.

> this is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file locument delivered in the regular course of busines Pochnician _____ Date Processed 3/22/11

Totale	10000	0000	8006	7000	6000	5000	4500	4000	3500	3000	2500	2000	1500	0001	750	500						
\$8,408	\$1,228	\$1,105		0985	\$736	\$616	\$554	\$493				\$248		\$125			2006-8td. Rate					
S84183	\$1,199	5 \$1.079					1 \$540		3420			\$240		5	68 5 588			2010 8kd.				. ²¹
\$7,880	\$1,148	\$1,034	\$920	\$805	\$691	\$577	\$519	\$462	\$405	\$348	\$291	\$234	\$178	\$119	06\$	361	Rata in ite V	2011 Shd. 5		alin alin	.,S	
57,840 55,972 S	\$850	\$767	\$004	\$600	\$517	\$435	\$393	\$352	\$310	\$268	\$227	\$185	\$143	\$102	880	\$59	Vinter 2010	Shook Bills	R RAID HILL TO			
\$4,262	\$543	\$495	\$448	\$400	\$353	\$305	\$281	\$259	\$234	\$210	3185	\$162	\$138	\$105	583	\$61	Today it 1		a Ng		Ohio Ed	
\$4,729	\$608	\$555	\$502	\$448	\$395	\$342	\$316	\$288	\$262	\$235	\$209	\$182	\$1421	\$102	\$82	\$8 2		ates Year	peedor		Ohio Edison Winter Rate	
\$5,869	\$799	\$722	\$645	\$567	\$489	\$412	\$372	\$334	\$295	\$256	\$218	\$179	\$140	001\$	581	195		Rutes Year	Proposed		ter Rates	
27777277	242222	2646666	22222222	222222	44444	2622226	4464244	\$334 7777777	22222222	2222222		and a stately	266666	6266666	1221222	2222226	Get in it in the second se	Raine Year	Proposed		-	
\$4,262	3543	\$495	\$448	\$400	\$353	\$305	\$281	\$258	\$234	\$210	\$186	\$162	\$138	\$105	\$83	881	Year 1	Rates	ž	PUCO		
33.0%	\$799	\$722	3645	\$567	\$489	\$412	\$372	\$334	\$285	\$256	\$218	\$179	\$140	001\$	\$81	125	Yesy 5	Rates	Š		ļ	
33.0%	8799	\$722	\$645	\$567	\$489	\$412	\$372	1334	\$295	\$256	\$218	\$179	\$140	\$100	19\$	\$61		Rates Year Rad	Proposed			
\$4,997	\$685	\$621	\$556	\$481	\$426	\$361	\$328	\$296	\$263	5231	661\$	\$186	\$133	1015	581	\$6 1	Yearst		Proposed	000		
\$4,997	5685	\$621	\$556	1675	\$428	\$361	\$328	\$296	\$263	\$231	9615	3186	\$133	1015	193	81	Year 5	Fatus	peedated	Doce.		
\$4,997 17-3%	\$685	\$621	\$556	\$491	\$426	\$361	\$328	\$296	\$263	3231	88155	\$166	\$133	\$101	19	198	Year &t		peedowed	OCC .		

															і. Э.	 	in i	j.	·	i e k	· .	ų, N	¢.		:
Total	10000	8	8	2	6000	5000	45	4000	35	8		B	6	5	~	6			i.		. 14		а 1	i Setel Setel	
i de la comunicación de la comunica En la comunicación de la comunicación	8	0000	8000	7000	8	8	8	8	00	00	2300	1000	8	1000	50	600	2					{	: مرد		
Notes and States									Column 1													COL	ĺ	e.) 249
36,340	5	\$	*	\$2	5	2	23	23	\$	2		2	-	4.7	ă.			1.14		ľ		-			2
100	02.15	63	\$586	\$519	52	8	8	\$318	36	51	\$218	<u>2194</u>	\$151	нu	9 89	\$60			4 d.		· ·	ivel levi	5	· ···,	
39 142 Vg. Pct. 1	\$1,344	\$1,210	\$1,075	2		*	5	5	r	2		5	\$198	\$131	6.0			15 12 - 2				Ę	1 2		
49.142 17	4	ð	75	É	\$805	\$670	5003	6	\$468	\$401	1333	226	98	P	\$97	\$63			Ļ		•		7		
	\$1,140	\$1,027	*	2	k	2		*	*	10		Ŀ	5	s										•	
28	8	27	\$913	5003	8	\$573	5 0 18	\$459	\$402	\$346	8238	1232	\$175	<u> 3118</u>	8	361					i Na	Ş			
828)				ŀ					· · :	ŀ	ŀ					ľ					· ••,		. 1	Lar	an Se
10797£3	0015	590	\$805	\$707	3008	560	f.	ĩ	\$360	2	13	\$212	\$162	\$113	5	83							1		
	8	F	ማ	7							ŀ	ľ		20		<u> </u>	2		187		5	2			
\$3.475	\$482	\$438	\$390	1283 1	529 7	\$25	\$226	\$205	\$182	\$159	13	513	g	88	ş	\$43			i,	<u>ETTER</u>		112.2			:
				Ī	Γ						Ī	Ī		1.00	in States	10 15 16	•			Distriction of the second s	ŀ				
11 6%	3539	5488	\$436	\$384	\$132	1281	\$255	\$229	\$203	\$177	1910	1120			3000	01200).).				
23	<u>s</u>	6	ð	4	2	E	lõi T	3		7			88		ð		9				l.		Winter Deto	. K. C 19	
67 89									2	, ,	l.							ţ,		LINY .					
		21	43	1996		E	1.1	<u>155</u>	1.776	86	2217				901	11.91				ANDIAL COULING		ł	Dwanaale		•
1797777	mun	TURNA.	6242	141446	2.22	2223	11.11	Neces Ye	22.24	4.2.4	11.11	1.1.1.1		20.03	1444	150.00	alain alain						6	.84 1	
222	B	32	222	266	273	282	B	177	66	777	177	B	R	\mathbf{R}_{2}	107	1.12	234					1			
																	Ĭ.					1			
33476 0.0%	482	3436	0603	\$344	5287	5251	120	502	\$162	5159	\$136	E	589	305	ŝ	SAN S		Calif.		1					:
			. :											1.		- -	Ĭ					2	All_Elentric		÷
\$5,867 62.8%	\$7.88	5721	3643	\$556	1488		372	\$333	8294	\$255	<u> </u>		901	5100	\$00	561		ALC: NO]					÷
															1. 14.	Γ	•								
15(35) 762/8%	\$798	\$721	564	3586	\$488	r	1372	\$33	\$294	\$256	\$217	5178	5139	0100	880	191		Ranes Year) H		
			3	5		F	ſ	3		1.64				0					14				P		
128	2600	5676	566	\$487	E SAS	5358	\$326	526	\$261	12							R	1				Ĩ	170		
	C	G			3	ľ	đ	1	F	19	P	f		G	G										
87 B	\$580	36	3561	x		3358	5	12	\$2	R	3197	Ŀ	1132	5100	ľ.									÷.	•
A	18	16	H			ľ	ß	f	F	R	F	185	ß	ľ	16.9	-551	3	2	•						
42.0%	8		8851	l.	Ŀ		2	2	5		4	L	Ļ	L			Year 6t	ing The							
刘宏	2680	3618	91	\$487	ß	\$388	5326	Ŷ	1261	\$229	5197		ß	8	0.5	01				Ĩ					

(Setter)

en ander de la composition

200

OCC Proposed Rates Year 5 and Year 6+ are the same as for Year 1. This is because the initial RGCs would not change unless the Std. Rates change. Testimony proposes that the RGC could fluctuate up or down, but will always be maintained at such an amount as would yield a 35% discount off Std. Rates (+J-5%) as computed at the 3500 kwh level. For CEI this represents an average increase of 42.8% over AE Bills Today (\$4961 / \$3475). For CEI this represents an average increase of 17.3% over AE Bills Today (\$4961 / \$3475).	OCC Proposed Rates Year 5 and Year proposes that the RGC could fluctuate as computed at the 3500 kwh level. For CEI this represents an average in For OE this represents an average in
OCC Proposed Rates Year 1 (Winter 2011/2012) computed from OCC Yankel Direct Testimony "Initial RGCa" listed on p. 4. Testimony lists 1.312 cents as the RGC for CEI and 1.268 for OE for all kwh used over 1,000. Year 1 rates computed as 2011 Std. Rate minus (computed RGC) and combined EDR and RDC credits on all kwh over 500 at a rate of 3.6 cents for CEI and 3.67 cents for OE. For CEI this represents an average increase of 42.8% over AE Bills Today (\$4961 / \$3475). For CEI this represents an average increase of 17.3% over AE Bills Today (\$4961 / \$3475).	OCC Proposed Rates Year 1 (Winter 20 RGC for CEI and 1.268 for OE for all on all kwh over 500 at a rate of 3.6 or For CEI this represents an average in For OE this represents an average in
PUCO Proposed Rates Year 6+ taken from PUCO Fortney Direct Testimony p. 6 for CEI and p. 10 for DE. Testimony states "Going Forward All-electric customers receive RDC and EDR credits, but no RGC credit." This implies intention is to keep RDC and EDR credits in place, but not guaranteed. For CEI this represents an average increase of 22.8% over AE Bills Today (\$5657/\$2475), same as PUCO Year 5 and Going Forward.	PUCO Proposed Ratey Year 5+ taken f receive RDC and EDR credits, but no For CEI this represents an average in For OE this represents an average in
For both CEI and OE, this represents a 0% increase over AE Bills Today as PUCO recommends Year 1 Prozen Rates, PUCO Proposed Rates Year 5 (Winter 2015/2016) taken from PUCO Fortney Direct Testimony p. 6 for CEI and p. 10 for OE. (Same as FE Year 5 since both FE and PUCO propose NO RGC) For CEI this represents an average increase of 62.8% over AE Bills Today (\$5557/ \$3475). <u>The aver, CEI bill would only be 37% less than CEI Rate Shock 2010 Bills (\$6971 / \$26971</u> , For CEI this represents an average increase of 33% over AE Bills Today (\$5569 / \$4262). <u>The aver, CEI bill would only be 37% less than OE Rate Shock 2010 Bills (\$6972 / \$56971</u> , For OE this represents an average increase of 33% over AE Bills Today (\$5569 / \$4262). <u>The aver, OE bill would only be 37% less than OE Rate Shock 2010 Bills (\$6972 / \$56971</u>).	For both CEI and OE, this represents PUCO Proposed Rates Year 5 (Winter: For CEI this represents an average in For OE this represents an average in
FE Proposed Rates Year 6+ UNKNOWN since no long term proposal included in FE Ridman Direct Testimony. Years 6+ could remain the same as Year 5 or could increase to 2011 Skt. Rate level if the EDR and RDC credits are eliminated as part of the AE customer's transition "toward standard residential rates" as stated multiple times in Ridman's testimony, including presents an <u>average increases of 120% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7820 / \$3475). Bills 12% <u>Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7820 / \$8973)</u> . For OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminated, this represents an <u>average increases of 120% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7880 / \$3475). <u>Bills 12% Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7820 / \$8973)</u> . For OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminated, this represents an <u>average increases of 120% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7880 / \$3475). <u>Bills 12% Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7880 / \$8972)</u> . FOR OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminated, this represents an <u>average increases of 120% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7880 / \$3475). <u>Bills 12% Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7880 / \$8972)</u> . FOR OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminated, this represents an <u>average increases of 15% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7880 / \$4262). <u>Bills 37% Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7880 / \$8972)</u> . FOR OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminated, this represents an <u>average distribution of 85% over AE Bills Today</u> (\$7880 / \$4262). <u>Bills 37% Indian than 2010 Rate Shock Bills (\$7880 / \$8972)</u> .	FE Proposed Rates Year & UNKNOW Increase to 2011 Std. Rate level if the multiple times in Ridsman's testimony. For CEI, If EDR and RDC are eliminan For OE, if EDR and RDC are eliminan PUCD Proposed Rates Year 1 (Winter)
FE Proposed Rates Year 5 (Writer 2015/2018) computed from 2011 Std. Rates Column as Std. Rate minus combined EDR and RDC credits on all kwh over 500 at a rate of 3.6 cents for CEI and 3.67 cents for OE. (Based on Ridman Teetimony p. 41 staling RGC phaseoul completed within 3 years, leaving only EDR and RDC). For CEI this represents an average increase of 62.8% over AE Bills Todey (\$5667/ \$3475). <u>The aver. CEI bill would only be 23% leas that OE Rets Shock 2019 Bills (\$6677 / \$5667).</u> For OE this represents an average increase of 33% over AE Bills Todey (\$5667/ \$3475). <u>The aver. CEI bill would only be 5% leas that OE Rets Shock 2019 Bills (\$6677 / \$5669)</u> .	FE Proposed Rates Year 3 (Winter 201) rate of 3.8 cents for CEI and 3.87 cen For CEI this represents an average in For CE this represents an average in
FE Proposed Raise Year 1 (Winter 2011/2012) taken from FE Pidman Direct Testimony Exhibit WRR-7 p. 1 for CEI and p. 5 for OE. For CEI this represents an average increase of 11.9% over AE Bills Today (\$3379/ \$3475). For CE this represents an average increase of 11% over AE Bills Today (\$4729 / \$4282).	FE Proposed Rates Year 1 (Winter 201 For CEI this represents an average in For CE this represents an average in
AE Bills Today taken from FE Ridman Direct Testimony Exhibit WRR-7 p. 1 for CEI and p. 5 for OE, Rates are set back to Dec. 2006 levels. OE AE Bills Today are an average of 23% higher than CEI AE Bills Today (\$4282 / \$3475).	AE Bills Today taken from FE Ridman C OE AE Bills Today are an average of
AE Rate Shock Winter Bills 2010 are the bill amounts in effect from Sept. 2009 through Feb 2010. It is compared from the 2010 Std. Rates Column as Std. Rate minus combined EDR and RDC credits on all kuth over 500 et a rate of 3.6 cents for CEI and 3.67 cents for CE. CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills represent an average increase of 101% compared to AE Bills Today which are set back to Dec. 2009 levels (\$6973 / \$3475). CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills 2010 represent an average increase of 40% compared to AE Bills Today which are set back to Dec. 2009 levels (\$6973 / \$3475). CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills 2010 represent an average increase of 40% compared to AE Bills Today which are set back to Dec. 2009 levels (\$6972 / \$4262). CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills were an average of 17% higher than CE Rate Shock Bills (\$6973 / \$5972).	AE Rate Shock Winter Bills 2010 are th combined EDR and RDC credits on a CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills represen OE Rate Shock Winter Bills 2010 repu CEI Rate Shock Winter Bills were an
2011 Std. Rates taken from the PUCO Fortney Direct Testimony p. 8 for CEI and p. 10 for OE. CEI Std. Rates from 2011 decreased an average of 17% compared to CEI Std. Rates from 2010 (39142 / \$7828). OE Std. Rates from 2011 decreased an average of 4% compared to CEI Std. Rates from 2010 (39183 / \$7880).	2011 Std. Rates taken from the PUCO F CEI Std. Rates from 2011 decreased OE Std. Rates from 2011 decreased s
2019 Std. Pasies computed from the per twin retes on the PUCO Docket FE Ridman Direct Teetimony Exhibit WRR-2 p. 1 for CEI and p. 2 for OE. CEI Std. Rates from 2010 increased an everage of 21% compared to CEI Std. Rates from 2008 (98142 / 85340). CEI Std. Rates from 2010 docressed an everage of 3% compared to CEI Std. Rates from 2008 (98142 / 85183).	2010 Std. Particle computed from the per- CEI Std. Rates from 2010 increased CE Std. Rates from 2010 decreased a
2009 Bid, Refee taken from OCC Yankel Direct Testimony Exhibit A/Y-3 p. 2 for CEI, Exhibit A/Y-3 p. 1 for OE.	2009 Bid. Refes taken from OCC Yanke
of Data Used to Produce Rate Chart (All located in the PUCO Docket for Case # 10-176)	Explanation and Sou

۰. . ۷