12

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 2011 MAR 14 PM 5: 22

In the Mater of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
Application Not for an Increase in Rates Pursuant to Section 4909.18, Revised Code, of Ohio Power Co. and Columbus Southern Power Company to Establish New Market Based rate for Returning CRES Customers that Elected to Avoid the POLR Charge.))))	Case No. 11-531-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of the Shutdown of Unit 5 of the Philip Sporn Generating Station and to Establish a Plant Shutdown Rider.)))	Case No. 10-1454-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)))	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC

REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician Sur_Date Processed__ MAR 1 5 2011 Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record) Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com

March 14, 2011

ATTORNEYS FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Mater of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)))	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
Application Not for an Increase in Rates Pursuant to Section 4909.18, Revised Code, of Ohio Power Co. and Columbus Southern Power Company to Establish New Market Based rate for Returning CRES Customers that Elected to Avoid the POLR Charge.))))	Case No. 11-531-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of the Shutdown of Unit 5 of the Philip Sporn Generating Station and to Establish a Plant Shutdown Rider.)))	Case No. 10-1454-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)))	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC

REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

I. INTRODUCTION

On January 27, 2011, the Ohio Power Company ("OP") and Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP") (collectively "the Companies") filed a joint application seeking to implement new terms under an Electric Security Plan ("ESP") pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code. The application raises questions regarding a variety of matters. Among these are issues that are the subjects of other on-going Commission proceedings. Because of the common factual and legal issues presented by the other proceedings, IEU-Ohio moved on February 18, 2011 for an order consolidating them with the ESP application. Only the Companies filed a memorandum opposing the motion. In its memorandum, the Companies essentially argue that there are not sufficient common issues of law and fact and a lack of identity of parties. They further argue that the Companies would be unduly prejudiced by a joint hearing of the various matters. For the reasons outlined below, the Companies' objections should be rejected, and the motion should be granted.

II. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

OP and CSP have sought in piece-meal fashion to restructure their tariffs and cost recovery through a variety of proceedings. Remarkably, the pieces came together in the ESP filing. Thus, the ESP case has become the repository for the Companies' systematic and siloed attempt to define, or in the Companies' words, "restore the regulatory compact" for the twenty nine (29) month period that commences January 1, 2012.

2

As the Companies correctly point out in the memorandum in opposition, the Commission is to be guided by Civil Rule 42 regarding consolidation. The Rule provides for consolidation when common issues of law and fact are presented.¹ The rule serves particularly well in cases presenting complicated economic issues. For example, in recent price conspiracy cases, a federal trial court concluded that joint hearings were appropriate although only one defendant was common to the three conspiracies. The court ordered joint hearings because there was one common defendant to the three prosecutions, the regulatory and economic issues of the industry were common to all parties, and the expert analysis and testimony were common to the three three matters. *State, ex rel. Montgomery, v. Louis Truath Dairy, Inc.*, 163 F.R.D. 500, 503-04 (S.D. Ohio 1995).

In its opposition to the motion, the Companies first assert that there are not common issues of law and fact. The ESP application itself, however, dispels that notion. In the 2011 ESP application, CSP and OP attempt to incorporate the tariff language proposed in Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA and Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA (ECS Application).² Further, as the Companies noted in the recent amended ECS Application, the sponsoring testimony for the revised tariffs is now found in the 2011

¹ Civil Rule 42(A)(1) provides:

When actions involving a common question of law or fact are pending before a court, that court after a hearing may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all matters in issue in the actions; it may order some or all of the actions consolidated; and it may make such orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

² See In the Mater of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al. (hereinafter, 2011 ESP Case), Exhibit DMR-5 at 111-23 (proposed CSP ECS rider) and DMR-6 at 120-29 (OP ECS rider).

ESP application.³ Similarly, the issue of the appropriate level of the capacity charge is raised in the ESP case and two other proceedings.⁴ Finally, the regulatory fate of the Companies effort to obtain stranded cost recovery for Sporn Unit 5 is presented both by the application to establish a shutdown rider⁵ and in the request for a similar recovery mechanism in the 2011 ESP application.⁶

As in the *Truath* price conspiracy cases noted above, there are sufficient reasons to conduct a joint hearing presented by the cases that the Companies themselves identify as affected by their ESP application. Several issues are common; a common regulatory structure is the centerpiece for resolving these matters; and the need for expert testimony and consistent interpretation of that testimony is obvious. Thus, the conditions that typically result in consolidation and a joint hearing exist in the present circumstances.

The Companies next argue that there is no commonality of parties as a reason for denying the motion to consolidate. As noted in *Truath*, however, commonality of

{C33578:2 }

³ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders, Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA at 2 (filed Feb. 2, 2011). The relevant testimony is found in the 2011 ESP Case, Direct Testimony of David Roush at 6.

⁴ The ESP application uses the cost based calculation throughout the filing; see, e.g., 2011 ESP Case, Testimony of Laura Thomas at 7. The same approach to valuing capacity is used in *Application Not for an Increase in Rates Pursuant to Section 4909.18, Revised Code, of Ohio Power Co. and Columbus Southern Power Co. to Establish New Market Based Rate for Returning CRES Customers that Elected to Avoid the POLR Charge, Case No. 11-531-EL-ATA, and is at issue In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Co.,* Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC.

⁵ In the Matter of the Application of the Ohio Power Company for Approval of the Shutdown of Unit 5 of the Phillip Sporn Generating Station and to Establish a Plant Shutdown Rider, Case No. 10-1454-EL-RDR. Since the motion to consolidate was filed, the Commission has issued an order setting a schedule for comments. *Id.*, Entry (filed Mar. 9, 2011).

⁶ 2011 ESP Case, Testimony of Laura Thomas at 23-25; Testimony of Joseph Hamrock at 19-20 (questioning the delay in the 10-1454 proceeding).

parties is not necessary for consolidation or a joint hearing of the common issues of law and fact.⁷

Finally, the Companies argue in various ways that the joint hearing would be inconvenient and inefficient.⁸ The argument is difficult to understand when the Companies have availed themselves through repeated filings to the resources of the Commission and then placed the same issues in play through the ESP application. More importantly, however, consolidation is precisely the way of handling complicated and related regulatory matters so as to avoid redundant hearings and inconsistent results.⁹ Moreover, it is at least suggested by the lack of opposition from the other intervening parties that consolidation would operate to the benefit of those other parties as well. Like IEU-Ohio and the Commission, they also are absorbing the cost of the piece-meal approach used by the Companies; a coherent hearing schedule would benefit them and the Companies.

The Companies' habit of parading interrelated proposals through the Commission's doorway and then presenting them in individual cases makes it harder for the Commission and stakeholders to connect the dots and accelerates the burn rate of the stakeholders' limited resources. This bad habit should not be enabled by the Commission. It is within the Commission's discretion to structure the appropriate procedural approach to resolve the complicated issues presented by the Companies'

⁷ For a general discussion, see 9A Charles Wright & Arthur Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure §2384 at 57 (3d ed. 2008). The Companies' argument confuses joinder with consolidation.

⁸ The Companies point to the statutory deadline as a basis for their concern as well. Given that the hearing in the *2011 ESP Case* is currently scheduled for July 2011 and the 275th day for completion of the matter is in October, this concern would appear to be misplaced or overstated.

multiple filings. Consolidation for hearing so as to avoid unnecessary and inefficient consumption of resources is one apparent way of managing a difficult situation.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the reasons stated above, IEU-Ohio requests that the identified matters currently before the Commission be consolidated for hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record) Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Reply Memorandum in Support of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio* was served upon the following parties of record this 14th day of March 2011, *via* electronic transmission, hand-delivery or first class mail, postage

prepaid.

Frank P. Darr

Matthew J. Satterwhite Steven T. Nourse American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 mjsatterwhite@aep.com stnourse@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur Huntington Center 41 S. High Street Columbus, OH 43215 dconway@porterwright.com

ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Amy B. Spiller (Counsel of Record) Deputy General Counsel Dorothy K. Corbett Associate General Counsel 139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, OH 45202 Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY RETAIL SALES, LLC

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz . Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

Janine L. Migden-Ostrander Consumers' Counsel Terry L. Etter (Counsel of Record) Michael E. Idzkowski Maureen R. Grady Christopher J. Allwein Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3485 etter@occ.state.oh.us idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us allwein@occ.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Richard L. Sites General Counsel & Senior Director of Health Policy Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH. 43215-4291

tobrien@bricker.com

OH BEHALF OF OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com

ON BEHALF OF THE KROGER CO.

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF PAULDING WIND FARM II LLC

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

John N. Estes III Paul F. Wight Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 John.Estes@skadden.com Paul.Wight@skadden.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

David C. Rinebolt Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793 drinebolt@ohiopartners.org cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

ON BEHALF OF OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY

Meena Sinfelt, Esq. Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 msinfelt@akllp.com

ON BEHALF OF ENERNOC, INC.

Jacqueline Lake Roberts, Counsel of Record 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 jroberts@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF CPOWER, INC., VIRIDITY ENERGY, INC., ENERGYCONNECT, INC., CONVERGE INC., ENERWISE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ENERGY CURTAILMENT SPECIALISTS, INC.

Alien Freifeld Samuel A. Wolfe Viridity Energy, Inc. 100 West Elm Street, Suite 410 Conshohocken, PA 19428 afreifeld@viridityenergy.com swolfe@veridityenergy.com

On Behalf of Viridity Energy, Inc.

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com smhoward@vorys.com COUNSEL FOR DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC AND DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS, LLC AND CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC., HESS CORPORATION AND CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.

Jay L. Kooper Katherine Guerry Hess Corporation One Hess Plaza Woodbridge, NJ 07095 jkooper@hess.com kguerry@hess.com

ON BEHALF OF HESS CORPORATION

David I. Fein Cynthia Fonner Brady Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 West Washington, Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 david.fein@constellation.com cynthia.brady@constellation.com

ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.

William Wright Chief, Public Utilities Service Werner Margard Thomas Lindgren Stephen A. Reilly Assistant Attorneys' General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 william.wright@puc.state.oh.us stephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Clinton A. Vince Douglas G. Bonner Daniel D. Bamowski Emma F. Hand Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 1301 K Street NW, Suite 600, East Tower Washington DC 20005 cvince@sonnenschein.com dbonner@sonnenschein.com dbamowski@sonnenschein.com ehand@sonnenschein.com

ON BEHALF OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORP.

Robert Korandovich KOREnergy P.O. Box 148 Sunbury, Ohio 43074 korenergy@insight.rr.com

ON BEHALF OF KORENERGY

Lisa McAlister Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 Imcalister@bricker.com tobrien@bricker.com

COUNSEL FOR THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION

Sandy I-ru Grace Assistant General Counsel Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez Public Policy & Affairs Manager Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348 jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Constance Whyte Reinhard Assistant General Counsel Exelon Business Services Company 10 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603 constance.reinhard@exeloncorp.com

COUNSEL FOR EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

Kenneth P. Kreider KEATING MUETHING & KLEKAMP PLL One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss Manager, State Rate Proceedings Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Stephen.Chriss@wal-mart.com

ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.

Henry W. Eckhart, Counsel of Record For the Sierra Club of Ohio 50 West Broad Street, #2117 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

ON BEHALF OF THE SIERRA CLUB OF OHIO

William T. Reisinger, Counsel of Record Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449 will@theoec.org nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

ON BEHALF OF OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL

Greta See Jeff Jones Attorney Examiners Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Greta See@puc.state.oh.us Jeff.jones@puc.state.oh.us Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE AND JUSTICE NETWORK

Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Imcalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF OMA ENERGY GROUP

Jay E. Jadwin American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jejadwin@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF AEP RETAIL ENERGY PARTNERS LLC