BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. 10-505-EL-FOR

IN THE MATTER OF THE 2010 LONG-TERM FORECAST REPORT AND RELATED MATTERS OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF HERTZEL SHAMASH IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION

Ш	MANAGEMENT POLICIES, PRACTICES, AND ORGANIZATION
	OPERATING INCOME
	RATE BASE
	ALLOCATIONS
	RATE OF RETURN
	RATES AND TARIFFS
	OTHER

1		
2		BEFORE THE
3		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
4		
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
6 7		HERTZEL SHAMASH
8		ON BEHALF OF
9		THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
10		
11	I.	INTRODUCTION
12	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
13	A.	My name is Hertzel Shamash. My business address is 1065 Woodman Drive,
14		Dayton, Ohio 45432.
15	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
16	A.	I am employed by The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or "Dayton"
17		or the "Company") as Director, Resource Planning. Among other responsibilities, I am
18		responsible for preparing the Company's long-term forecasts, including the supervision of
19		internal and external personnel who may be assisting me.
20	Q.	Will you describe briefly your educational and business background?
21	A.	I have a B.S. in Electrical Engineering, as well as an M.S. in Electrical
22		Engineering, with a power systems focus. I have over 30 years of experience in the
23		electric utility industry, with broad background in all aspects of the business, including
24		generation, transmission, distribution, integrated resource planning, sales forecasting,
25		Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs), regulatory compliance with Public Utilities
26		Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") mandates, power siting, Federal Energy Regulatory -1-

Commission ("FERC") provisions and regulations, ReliabilityFirst Corporation ("RFC"), 1 North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") regulations, 2 financial/strategic analysis, financial investments, contract negotiation and oversight, and 3 management of inter-company issues. I have previously filed testimony in various FERC 4 and PUCO proceedings.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A.

5

II. **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to discuss and support the reasonableness of the Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") entered into by DP&L and several parties to resolve the issues in this case. The Signatory Parties who represent a diverse set of interests include DP&L, the Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel ("OCC"), the Ohio Environmental Council ("OEC") and PUCO Staff ("Staff"). The Signatory Parties recommend that the Commission approve the Stipulation filed in this matter on January 14, 2011 and issue its Opinion and Order in accordance with the recommendations made in the Stipulation because it is the product of serious negotiations among knowledgeable parties, it benefits customers and the public interest, and it does not violate any important regulatory principle or practice.

19

20

STIPULATION SUMMARY III.

21 Q. Can you please summarize the main provisions of the Long-Term Forecast Report?

A. Yes. DP&L filed its Long-Term Forecast Report on April 15, 2010, consistent 22 with the requirements of the Commission. The Report itself consists of four major 23

sections: 1) a section on Forecast Report Requirements which included a summary of the report and discussion of special subject areas, and load forecast documentation; 2) an Electric Transmission Forecast, including data regarding DP&L's current and planned transmission system; 3) an Electric Distribution Forecast, including data regarding DP&L's current and planned distribution system; and 4) a Resource Plan, including data and a discussion of DP&L's current and planned generation resources to meet projected customer demands. Within the Resource Plan, information was also provided on future environmental considerations and DP&L's plans to construct solar generation facilities.

Can you please summarize the main provisions of the Stipulation?

Q.

A.

Yes. This Stipulation represents a resolution of all issues among the intervening parties. Pursuant to the Stipulation, it is agreed that DP&L's Long Term Forecast Report substantially complies in all material respects with the requirements of Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") §4901:5-5 and that, as shown on DP&L's submitted PUCO Form FE-56, DP&L is capacity deficient starting in Year 0 (2010).

The Stipulation further states that the resource planning projections submitted by DP&L establish a need for the 1.1 MW Yankee 1 solar facility that is now in-service and for additional solar facilities during the planning period. In the Stipulation, the plan to install an additional 3.9 MW of solar facilities was identified. The Company committed to monitoring changing market conditions and other factors prior to initiating construction of the additional solar facilities.

The Stipulation does not request a change in rates at this time to recover costs of Yankee 1 or the additional solar facilities. Paragraph 4 of the Stipulation notes that DP&L will seek recovery through a separately filed proceeding. A footnote in the Stipulation sets forth a reservation by the OCC to contest whether DP&L's need for

capacity is appropriately met by the installation of Yankee 1 and the additional solar facilities. OCC further reserved rights to contest in a later proceeding whether DP&L should receive construction work in progress for these projects and to contest the creation of any proposed non-bypassable generation charge associated with these facilities.

The remainder of the Stipulation contains standard language common to many stipulations before the Commission, including provisions that the Signatory parties will support the Stipulation before the Commission, and have rights to withdraw if the Commission makes material modifications to the Stipulation.

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

IV. <u>COMMISSION'S CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING STIPULATIONS</u>

- Q. What criteria does this Commission use to evaluate and approve a Stipulation and Recommendation?
- 13 A. The Commission has applied in the past, and should use in considering this
 14 Stipulation, the following three regulatory criteria to evaluate and approve a stipulation:
 15 First, is the Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable
 16 parties? Second, taken as a package, does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and the
 17 public interest? Third, does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or
 18 practice?
- Q. Does this Stipulation meet those criteria used by the Commission to evaluate and approve a Stipulation and Recommendation?
- 21 A. Yes, this Stipulation does meet the criteria applied by the Commission in past 22 proceedings.
- Q. Turning to the first criterion, was the Stipulation the product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties?

A.	Yes. All Parties to the Stipulation were represented by experienced,
	knowledgeable counsel, who are experienced negotiators and are knowledgeable about the
	subject matter at issue. All Parties, including the Signatory Parties, have participated in
	numerous proceedings before the Commission and are knowledgeable in regulatory
	matters. All parties were provided drafts of the Stipulation and given the opportunity to
	further engage in settlement discussions with DP&L. The issues in the case were
	discussed in great detail over the course of several months. All negotiations were
	conducted at arm's length and countless hours were devoted to the negotiating process
	which produced the Stipulation. Therefore, the Stipulation represents a product of serious
	bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties.

Q. Turning now to the second criterion, does this Stipulation benefit the customers and public interest?

Yes. In my view, the public interest in a proceeding to review a Long-Term Forecast Report is to ensure that the Commission, its Staff, and other interested parties are made aware of the Company's plans to meet its customers' needs over the planning period in the areas of generation, transmission, and distribution service. That public interest has been served through the review that has taken place.

Q. With respect to the third criterion, does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?

A. No. The Stipulation does not violate any important regulatory practice or principle.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

23 A. Yes, it does.

A.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/2/2011 11:15:52 AM

in

Case No(s). 10-0505-EL-FOR

Summary: Testimony Testimony of Hertzel Shamash in Support of Stipulation electronically filed by Mr. Randall V Griffin on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company