

FILE

6

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Adoption of Smart) Case No. 10-2531-EL-UNC
Grid Interoperability Standards.)


2011 FEB 16 AM 9:49
RECEIVED-BOOKKEEPING DIV
PUCO

MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where the effectiveness, efficiency and security of the Smart Grid will be determined and will affect the degree to which residential customers will benefit from the Smart Grid and the degree to which residential customers' privacy will be protected.¹ OCC is filing on behalf of all the approximately 4,211,000 residential utility customers of Ohio's publicly owned electric distribution utilities ("Ohio EDUs" or "Companies"). The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.


Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL


Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record
Christopher J. Allwein
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
(614) 466-8574 – Telephone
(614) 466-9475 – Facsimile
hotz@occ.state.oh.us
allwein@occ.state.oh.us

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician  Date Processed 2-16-2011

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Adoption of Smart) Case No. 10-2531-EL-UNC
Grid Interoperability Standards.)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In this case, the Commission will review and decide upon the adoption of Smart Grid Interoperability Standards proposed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST"). The benefit that residential customers gain from the Smart Grid for which customers are paying and the degree to which customers' privacy will be protected will be affected by the standards adopted. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the approximately 4,211,000 residential utility customers of Ohio EDUs, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding in which the effectiveness and efficiency of the Smart Grid and the degree to which private customer information will be protected is affected. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of the Ohio EDUs in this case involving the interoperability standards of the Smart Grid. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that the interoperability standards should promote the residential customers' effective and efficient use of Smart Grid and should contribute to the protection of private customer information. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm.

Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where the interoperability of standards of the Smart Grid will be reviewed and decided upon.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.


Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.²

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

² See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL




Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record
Christopher J. Allwein
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
(614) 466-8574 – Telephone
(614) 466-9475 – Facsimile
hotz@occ.state.oh.us
allwein@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel* was served on the persons stated below via electronic service this 16th day of February 2011.


Ann M. Hotz
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William Wright
Benjamin A. Stafford
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us
benjamin.stafford@puc.state.oh.us

George Bjelovuk
American Electric Power
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, Ohio 432115
gbelovuk@aep.com