```
1
     BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    William Steven Gandee, D.C.,
             Complainant,
4
    Choice One Communications of Ohio,:
     Inc., d/b/a One Communications:
5
             Respondent.
                                      :Case No.
    Brian Longworth, D.C.,
6
                                      :09-51-TP-CSS
             Complainant,
7
           VS.
    Choice One Communications of Ohio,: Case No.
     Inc., d/b/a One Communications, :09-52-TP-CSS
8
             Respondent.
9
10
11
                       PROCEEDINGS
12
    before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, at the
13
    Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East
14
    Broad Street, Hearing Room No. 11-D, Columbus,
15
    Ohio, on Thursday, January 20, 2011, at 1:00
16
    P.M.
17
18
19
20
21
22
                 Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
             222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
                  Columbus, Ohio 43215
23
             (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                   Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Thomas Skidmore
            National City Center
 3
            One Cascade Plaza
            12th Floor
            Akron, Ohio 44308
 4
 5
                 On behalf of the Complainants.
 6
 7
            Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC
            By Mr. Michael D. Dortch
            65 East State Street
 8
            Suite 200
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
                     and
10
            Ms. Paula Foley
            Regulatory Affairs Counsel
            5 Wall Street
11
            Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
12
                 On behalf of the Respondent.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

				3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES			
2	COMPLAINANT WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	
3		7	0.6	
4	Brian Longworth	7	26	
5	William Steven Gandee	51	60	
6				
7	RESPONDENT WITNESSES			
8	Richard Wheeler	74	76	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				

,		4	ŀ		
1	INDEX	TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3		IDENTIFIED			
4	RESPONDENT EXHIBITS				
5	1 Choice One Cor Documents	mmunications			
7	2 Letter of Age	ncy			
8	3 AT&T Monthly	Statement			
9	4 AT&T Monthly	Statement			
10	5 Magistrate's 1	Decision 22			
11	6 Judgement Ent:	ry 24			
12	RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS				
13	1 Prepared Direction of Richard When				
14	or Rediala Wil				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

5 1 Thursday Afternoon, 2 January 20, 2011. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public 4 5 Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 09-51-TP-CSS in the matter of William Steven 7 8 Gandee versus Choice One Communications of Ohio, 9 Inc., dba One Communications, and Case No. 09-52-TP-CSS in the matter of Brian Longworth 10 11 versus Choice One Communications of Ohio, Inc., 12 dba One Communications. 13 My name is Jim Lynn and I have been 14 assigned to hear these cases. Mr. Dortch, you 15 have a preliminary matter? 16 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Your Honor, as a preliminary matter and before appearances, Mike Dortch on behalf of One 18 19 Communications of Ohio, Inc. And I would move 20 the Bench to consolidate the matters of William 21 Steven Gandee versus Choice One Communications 2.2 of Ohio, Case No. 09-51-TP-CSS with the matter 23 of Brian Longworth versus Choice One

Communications of Ohio, Inc., Case No.

24

25

09-52-TP-CSS.

6 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 2 Skidmore, any objections to that motion? 3 MR. SKIDMORE: No objections. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The motion will 4 5 be granted. Thank you. 6 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: At this point 8 then we will have the appearances of the 9 parties. Mr. Dortch. 10 MR. DORTCH: Michael Dortch with 11 co-counsel Paula Foley on behalf of Choice One 12 Communications Ohio, Inc., doing business as One 13 Communications. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 15 Skidmore. 16 MR. SKIDMORE: Thomas Skidmore on 17 behalf of the complainants Brian Longworth who is to my right and to his right is William 18 19 Gandee. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, 21 gentlemen. Given those matters then we are 2.2 ready to proceed. Mr. Skidmore, you will have 23 the opportunity to go first. Will you be 24 calling a witness? 25 MR. SKIDMORE: Yes, we will, Your

```
1
            We are going to call Brian Longworth
 2
    first.
 3
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
 4
 5
                     BRIAN LONGWORTH
 6
    called as a witness on behalf of the
    Complainants, being first duly sworn, testified
 7
 8
    as follows:
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please take a
    seat. And, Mr. Skidmore, please continue.
10
11
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
12
    By Mr. Skidmore:
13
                Mr. Longworth, if you could please
            Q.
     introduce yourself to Your Honor and the
14
15
    participants today.
                 My name is Brian Longworth.
16
17
            Q.
                 Mr. Longworth, where do you
    currently reside?
18
19
                 Jackson Township. That is in Stark
            Α.
20
    County, Ohio.
21
                 And do you currently operate a
            Ο.
2.2
    business?
23
            Α.
                Yes.
24
                 And first of all why don't you tell
25
    us a little bit about your educational
```

1 background.

2.2

A. I graduated from High School at

Manchester which is in Summit County, went to
the University of Akron, and then went on to
chiropractic college in Atlanta, Georgia, a
place called Life Chiropractic University, Life
Chiropractic College. I graduated in 1988 and
been in practice since.

I had ten years with my brother then
I started my own practice in 1998 named Health
First Chiropractic.

- Q. Now, you are a graduate of the University of Akron, did you say?
- A. Well, it was two years. You have to have two years of undergraduate work to get into chiropractic college.
- Q. And then you have been a licensed chiropractor in the State of Ohio since 1988?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you ever had your license revoked or suspended?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And your license is current, you currently operate a chiropractic business in Akron; is that right?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. What is the name of your chiropractic business? 3 4 Health First Chiropractic. Α. 5 Are you the sole shareholder of Q. 6 Health First Chiropractic? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. And how long has that corporation 9 been in existence? 10 Α. Since 1998. 11 And at what location was Health 12 First Chiropractic located at in 1998? 13 3577 South Arlington Road. Α. 14 That is currently where your Q. business is located today? 15 16 Α. Yes. And the telephone number that you 17 Q. had for Health First Chiropractic let's say in 18 19 1998 is what telephone number? 20 Α. 330-896-8500. 21 And how long has that been the phone 2.2 number for Health First Chiropractic? 23 Α. Since 1998. 24 And with what phone company has that 25 phone number be associated with since 1998?

A. AT&T.

1

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And from 1998 until now who handles the decisions with regards to that particular phone number on behalf of Health First Chiropractic?
 - A. Me.
 - Q. And since 1998, Mr. Longworth -well, your business moved at some point between
 1998 and today; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 O. And --
- 12 A. April of 2006.
- Q. And where did your company move to?
- 14 A. 2828 South Arlington Road.
- Q. And when you moved your practice in the spring of 2006 -- or 2008?
- 17 A. April of 2006.
- Q. 2006. What happened to your telephone number with AT&T?
- 20 A. It was forwarded to that building.
- Q. And who forwarded your telephone
- 22 number?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. And in the spring of 2006 did you ever authorize another individual to change the

- 1 telecommunications company from anything but
- 2 AT&T?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 3 A. No.
- Q. After the spring of 2006 your business moved back to its original location; is that right?
 - A. In February of 2008.
 - Q. I am sorry. February of 2008. And in February of 2008 if you would tell us what happened when you attempted to forward your phone.
 - A. I attempted to reverse the call forwarding back to my office in February of -- probably February of 2008. And the number had been switched over to Choice One without me having any prior knowledge.
 - Q. So when you went to forward the telephone number back to your location who did you first contact?
 - A. AT&T.
- Q. And you contacted AT&T to request the number be forwarded back to the original location?
- 24 A. Right.
 - Q. And what was your understanding as

```
to the status of that particular phone number with AT&T when you attempted to do that?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. My understanding was that the forwarding would just be reversed, go back to the original location. Unfortunately, that wasn't the case.
- Q. Okay. And what was the status of the phone number with AT&T when you made that request?
 - A. They no longer had they didn't have the number anymore. It was under Choice One. Or One Communications they said.
 - Q. And so after you were given that information by AT&T then what did you do next?
 - A. I called One Communications.
 - Q. Okay. And do you recall approximately when you called?
- A. Probably the same day because I was furious.
- Q. And you called One Communications.
- 21 Do you remember who you called?
- A. I don't know off the top of my head.
- 23 I had actually talked to various individuals,
- 24 but I don't know, I can't remember the names.
 - Q. And what were you told by One

Communications?

2.2

- A. I wasn't the authorized -- I was not authorized to have any authority over that phone number, so I couldn't do anything.
- Q. They told you that you could not authorize the forwarding back of that phone number to your original location?
- A. Yes. I was not the authorizing individual for the phone number anymore.
- Q. Okay. And did you authorize someone at some point since you originally obtained that phone number in 1998 to change or move that phone number --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- somewhere else?
- A. No.
- Q. After you made the initial inquiry in the spring of 2008 to Choice One Communications do you recall what you were told that you were to do from there?
- A. They didn't really give me any instruction I don't believe. They didn't tell me anything. I was no longer the authorized agent, so I had no authority over the phone number.

So I called back to AT&T and they don't have the number anymore, so I pretty much didn't have any recourse. Obviously had to go this route.

- Now, this is the business telephone Q. number for Health First?
 - Α. Yes.
- Ο. And is this the number that patients call?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 And is this a phone number that you 12 have advertised?
 - Every advertising piece I ever did Α. was that phone number.
 - And through that advertising that is Q. how patients contact you; is that right?
 - Α. Sure.
 - So, if you would tell us what happened after February of 2008 with your efforts to reobtain or have your phone number forwarded back to the new location.
- 2.2 MR. DORTCH: Objection. Relevance. 23 If you review the complaint in this matter it is 24 a complaint that raises allegations of slamming. 25
 - Dr. Longworth has established the number has

```
1
    been transferred away. He states it was
2
    transferred away without his authorization.
3
                 Obviously there is more to that
4
     story, but subsequent efforts really aren't
5
    relevant to the substance of the complaint.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore, do
6
    you want to ask that question a different way?
7
8
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Well, first I think
9
     it's certainly relevant to the efforts of my
10
    client attempting to contact Choice One
11
    Communications and what their duty is with
12
    regards to their investigation as to
13
    unauthorized use of the telephone number. So, I
    think it's certainly relevant to what his
14
    communications were with Choice One
15
16
    Communications in his efforts to reobtain his
17
    telephone number.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Repeat
19
    the question one more time.
20
                 Dr. Longworth, after February of
            0.
21
     2008, spring of 2008, tell us about the
2.2
    communications that you had with Choice One in
23
    trying to reacquire your phone number.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let that
25
     question stand. Please go ahead.
```

```
A. I mean, my communication with them was limited so that until there was a hearing that the Court said that they would have to bring the phone number back to me, which was in May of '09.
```

2.2

- Q. When you had conversations with Choice One Communications did you inform them that you had never given anyone authority to transfer your phone number?
- A. Yes. The initial call I said I never authorized that. But, it didn't seem to matter.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If I can ask the witness, what hearing are you --

THE WITNESS: Well, it was a hearing that was in Akron basically to get the phone number back to my name.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hearing at the local court level?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. SKIDMORE: For background information, there was a case in Summit County Common Pleas Court, I believe we referred to it in some of the filings in the documentation when we filed this case, in which there was a hearing

that was held by the Court to determine whether a gentleman by the name of Keith Ungar who had signed a letter of authority had authorization from either Dr. Longworth or Dr. Gandee to port the phone number from AT&T to Choice One.

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I just wanted to be clear for the record. Please go ahead.

MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, I would ask to address one more thing about the hearing, if I might. The hearing that we referred to a moment ago occurred in the Summit County Court of Common Pleas, and the lone object of that hearing was to determine whether Dr. Ungar or Dr. Longworth had the legal right to control the fate of that telephone number.

One Communications participated in that hearing by explaining the facts and law to the Magistrate Judge conducting the hearing, and One Communications took no position one way or another on who should or should not have the right to direct anything at any point in time with regard to that number.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Skidmore.

Q. So, Dr. Longworth, after the spring

- of 2008 -- well, first of all let me ask you
 this. Do you know what was happening to the
 patient calls that were going to the telephone
 number in question in this case?

 A. They remained in that building with
 Dr. Ungar.
 - Q. And so you were not receiving direct telephone calls at your new location?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Is that right? And how long a period of time -- and we are talking again about phone number 330-896-8500; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And from the spring of 2008 the patient calls then continued to go to the other location?
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. And they were being answered by who?
- 19 A. Dr. Ungar's office.
- Q. And what was the name of the
 business that Dr. Ungar was running out of that
 location?
- A. Advanced Pain Relief and Wellness
 Center.
- Q. And Dr. Ungar is also a

```
19
     chiropractor; is that right?
 1
 2
            Α.
                 Correct.
 3
            Ο.
                 And was Dr. Ungar then referring
     those patients to you?
 4
 5
            Α.
                 No.
                 And after the spring of 2008 when
 6
 7
     was the next time that you were able to obtain
 8
     the telephone number 330-896-8500?
                 I want to say it was July of '09,
 9
     August of '09.
10
11
                 So that is approximately --
            0.
12
            Α.
                 Sixteen months.
13
                Sixteen months later?
            Q.
14
            Α.
                 Yes.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You say 16
16
     months, Dr. Longworth. You mean 16 months after
17
     the number was no longer with AT&T or --
                 THE WITNESS: Sixteen months from
18
19
     the time I left that facility and went back to
20
     my old one.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
                                             Thank
22
     you.
23
                 So, it's 16 months approximately
            0.
24
     from the time that you requested Choice One
```

Communications after you had spoken to AT&T?

- Strike that. From the spring of 2008 --
- A. February of '08.

2.2

- Q. You made an initial request to have your phone transferred back to AT&T or originally?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. AT&T then referred you to Choice One Communications or somehow you got to Choice One.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Then it was approximately 16 months after you made that initial request to Choice
 One until you actually received your phone
 number back in August of 2009?
 - MR. DORTCH: Objection. Relevancy,
 Your Honor. The number was either slammed or it
 was not slammed. The amount of time it took for
 Dr. Longworth to prosecute an action against Dr.
 Ungar in which a determination was made about
 who did or did not control that number is not
 relevant to the issue of slamming.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will overrule that. I think what we are trying to do here is determine a time line when things occurred, which will be of value when the Commission finally looks at the outcome, a summary of

- the case. So, I appreciate your comments, but overrule that. Mr. Skidmore.
- Q. So then during that 16-month period you had continued -- or tell me about the advertising for that telephone number.
- A. We got a new phone number.
 Basically started over.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And, I mean, I don't know, following the hearing, which ruled in my favor, I requested Choice One to give me back the number in May of '09 and it took until -- I had to end up doing -- they didn't even release the number then.
- Q. So, in May of 2009 the court in Summit County ruled that Dr. Ungar did not have the authority to transfer the phone number from AT&T to Choice One Communications?
- MR. DORTCH: Objection. Hearsay.

 Your Honor, if I may, One Communications is

 prepared to stipulate that the Magistrate Judge
 conducting the proceedings issued a report and
 recommendation I believe it was May 2009 that
 was adopted by the Judge in that proceeding
 several weeks later, and I believe that that

```
1
     occurred in May of 2009. I am sorry, I don't
 2
    have those pleadings before me.
 3
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, I
 4
     actually have the pleadings we are discussing.
 5
    We may be able to mark it as an exhibit and
 6
    present them so you have the proper time line.
 7
     I can provide those to the Court and to Mr.
 8
    Dortch.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
    will do that.
10
11
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Can I approach, Your
12
    Honor?
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. You were
     going to point to a certain page?
14
15
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Yes. In Exhibit 5,
16
    Your Honor, without getting into too lengthy of
17
    reading of the entire opinion because it's five
    pages long, I will bring your attention to page
18
19
     4 under conclusions of law. So that the Court
20
     is aware of the specific issue that was before
21
     the Court then, under item No. 1, starting in
2.2
     the middle at the end of line 1, it says "The
23
     sole issue for the Magistrate for ruling on
24
     limited order of reference in a hearing
25
     conducted before him on May 14th, 2009 was
```

```
whether or not the transfer of phone number 330-896-8500 by the letter of agency described above was authorized by Dr. Longworth and that Dr. Ungar had complete authority to sign the document and otherwise represent to the phone carriers that he was empowered to make such transfer."
```

2.2

So that pretty much summarizes the particular issue. Then again without reading the whole thing, basically item 2 states that it specifically concluded that "Dr. Ungar was never authorized directly or indirectly in writing or by oral agreement between himself and Brian Longworth wherein Brian Longworth directly or indirectly authorized Keith S. Ungar to transfer Dr. Longworth's phone number 330-896-8500 into the name of Center of Natural Medicine as was accomplished by the false representations made by Keith S. Ungar in the letter of agency described above."

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Having said

that, Mr. Dortch, does that accomplish what -
MR. DORTCH: I am sorry, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Given what Mr.

Skidmore was reading how does that mesh with

```
what you were stating before you were handed
this exhibit? But you were mentioning something
about this being adopted by the Summit County
Court and so forth.
```

2.2

MR. DORTCH: Correct, Your Honor.

And Mr. Skidmore has now read a limited portion of the Magistrate's decision, and I simply would point out that the exhibit that Mr. Skidmore has also included in his package marked as Exhibit 6 is the Judge's decision adopting the Magistrate's report and recommendation as of June 9, 2009 just for purposes of clarifying the dates that things occurred.

I will withdraw my objection based upon the hearsay to Exhibits 5 and 6.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

Thank you for producing the exhibit at that time. Please go ahead, Mr. Skidmore.

Q. So, Dr. Longworth, you participated in the hearing, and according to the decision the court had ruled that the phone number was to be --

MR. DORTCH: Objection. The Court's ruling is clear from the decision. I don't think Dr. Longworth needs to paraphrase it.

MR. SKIDMORE: I will withdraw it.

Q. Dr. Longworth, the date of the Magistrate's decision of May 19th, 2009, page 5 states that "The party known as Choice One Communications, the phone carrier in this matter, is ordered and otherwise directed to forthwith transfer the ownership of the records of such entity and physically allow the change of the phone number, that being 330-896-8500, in the name of Brian Longworth, and to ensure that the corporate records of such business entity show that Keith S. Ungar or any other aforementioned business entities has no ownership in such name."

Do you recall that ruling?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Tell me from the date of this ruling in May of 2008 --
 - A. Wasn't it June 9th?
- Q. Well, this decision came out May 19th, 2009. Sorry. And from the date of that decision in May of 2009 you received your phone numbers back -- your phone number back when?
- A. I want to say it was at least July if not August. But, it was by no help from the

```
1
    Choice One. I can tell you that much.
2
            Q.
                 Tell he how you got --
                 I got my phone numbers by faxing
3
            Α.
4
     that court order to AT&T so AT&T could approach
5
    Choice One, showing them the order, and
    eventually that is what I had to do without --
6
    they didn't help me, give any direction,
7
8
    nothing.
9
                 And that happened you believe
            Q.
    sometime in July or August of 2009?
10
11
            Α.
                 I think July.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you say
13
    they --
14
                 THE WITNESS: Choice One.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
16
                 MR. SKIDMORE: No further questions.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
    Dortch.
18
19
                 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
    Thank you.
21
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
2.2
    By Mr. Dortch:
23
                 Good afternoon, Dr. Longworth.
            0.
                Good afternoon.
24
            Α.
25
                 Dr. Longworth, you testified a few
            Q.
```

- minutes ago there came a point in time when you relocated your business from 3577 South
- Arlington Road to 2828 South Arlington Road. Do you recall testifying to that?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

2.2

23

Ungar?

- Q. Now, the fact is you didn't simply relocate your business; did you?
 - A. We joined.
 - Q. You joined practices?
 - A. The three of us joined practices.
- 11 O. Who were the three?
- 12 A. Dr. Gandee, Dr. Ungar and myself.
- Q. So Dr. Gandee, who is a complainant in Case No. 09-51 and Dr. Ungar. And who is Dr.
- A. Dr. Ungar was the person who
 actually had -- he was the occupant of that
 facility we removed to.
- Q. So you and Dr. Gandee combined your practices with Dr. Ungar at the location controlled by Dr. Ungar; correct?
 - A. I wouldn't say combined. We all practiced under the same facility, yes.
- Q. Practice in the same facility. Did
 you practice under the same name?

- A. For advertising purposes.
- Q. For advertising purposes. And in fact you, Dr. Gandee, you, Dr. Longworth, and Dr. Ungar all practiced under the name Advanced Pain and Wellness Center; correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And you testified earlier that Dr.
 Ungar did business as Advanced Pain and Wellness
 Center, but in fact wasn't he previously doing
 business as the Center for Natural Medicine?
 - A. He did both.
- Q. Thank you. And, so, for some large -- well, strike large. For some period of time you, Dr. Gandee and Dr. Ungar advertised your business collectively under one name, Advanced Pain and Wellness Center?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge then there was no way the public would know that Advanced Pain and Wellness Center was anything but a single entity. Is that fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you testified that you relocated your practice in was it September 2008? April 2008, I think.

- A. When I moved back to my old business?
- Q. No. I am sorry. When you first
 moved from your original location to Dr. Ungar's
 location.
 - A. It was April of '06.
 - Q. April of '06. I apologize. And what time did Dr. Gandee relocate his practice? Was it at the same time?
- 10 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 11 Q. So he also moved in April of '06?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you gentlemen have a joint operating agreement or a partnership agreement or any documents describing how you would operate your practices?
 - A. I would have to say, no. I mean, there was -- we talked and things were written down, but nothing was official
 - Q. You didn't consult anybody to draw up any papers for you. You just had a handshake agreement. Is that fair enough?
 - A. For the most part, yes.
- Q. Well, now, all three of you were in the same location starting April of 2006. And

```
you don't leave until February of 2008. Do I
1
2
    recall that testimony accurately?
3
            Α.
                 Yes.
                 So, nearly two full years you are
4
5
    there; correct?
6
                 Twenty-two months.
            Α.
7
            Q.
                 Twenty-two months. How did you
8
    divide expenses?
9
                 We made the payment out of a single
            Α.
10
    account.
11
                 So you paid it out of a single
            0.
12
    account?
13
                Overhead was paid out of a single
            Α.
14
    account, yes.
                And were one of the three of you
15
            Q.
16
    responsible for seeing that the bills got paid?
17
            Α.
                 Dr. Ungar did it most.
                Dr. Ungar did that?
18
            Q.
19
                 Most of the time, yes, although he
            Α.
20
    had someone --
                 So you three had an office manager
21
            Ο.
```

2.2

23

24

25

or someone?

Α.

Q.

to pay the bills sometimes, yes.

Dr. Ungar had someone that was in

He had someone that worked for him

- his employ who paid these bills?
- A. At times.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

- Q. You testified that when you moved you had your AT&T number forwarded.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Who was paying your AT&T bill after it was forwarded?
- A. The corporation. The three of us. The corporation.
- Q. So, if I understand correctly
 Advanced Pain and Wellness Center was paying
 your AT&T bill?
 - A. Correct. Well, me being part of that Advanced Pain and Wellness Center you understand as well; right?
 - Q. I do. Effectively you were a corporation. I am sorry. Advanced Pain and Wellness Center was a corporation; was it?
- A. Actually I am not sure. I don't think it was -- I don't know. I don't know.
 - Q. So you have no --
 - A. It was the name that Ungar did his chiropractic business under.
- Q. You don't know sitting here today
 whether it was a corporate entity if you owned

- 1 | any shares in it?
- A. We actually were a corporate entity called GLU Corporation.
 - Q. Who was GLU Corporation?
 - A. That was the three of us.
 - Q. Gandee, Longworth and Ungar?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

- Q. Was Dr. Ungar the president of GLU Corporation?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Who was the president of GLU
- 12 | Corporation?
- 13 A. I don't know that we had one.
- Q. Did you have --
- A. I mean, like I said, this was set up
 and I don't know if it ever was filed properly
 or not to be honest with you because he had
 somebody else do that as well.
- Q. And when you say he had somebody else, you mean Dr. Ungar?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So Dr. Ungar has his own location,
 he rents the location. Dr. Ungar controls the
 location that you all moved into; correct?
- A. How do you mean controlled?

```
Q. He rented it?
```

- A. Well, until we moved in then we
- 3 rented it.

1

2

- Q. Did he move his practice to that
- 5 location?
- A. He was paying rent before we moved there, and then when we removed in we all three
- 8 paid rent.
 - Q. You all three divided the rent?
- 10 A. Yes. Well, paid it out of one
- 11 corporation.
- 12 Q. And you keep referring --
- 13 A. Well, call it an account.
- Q. So you are not sure whether GLU
- 15 | Corporation was actually set up?
- 16 A. Properly.
- Q. You don't know whether you owned any
- 18 | shares in GLU Corporation?
- 19 A. If it was set up I would own a
- 20 third.
- Q. Did you ever receive a certificate?
- 22 A. I don't believe so.
- 23 O. Share certificate?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Was there a bank account established

34 for GLU Corporation? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 You believe so? 0. 4 Α. There was. 5 Who established that bank account? Q. 6 Α. Me and Dr. Ungar. 7 Q. You and Dr. Ungar, you went 8 physically to the bank to do so together? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Who were the signatories on that Q. 11 account? 12 All three of us. 13 And all expenses of all three Q. practices were paid out of that account? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 Now, you are aware that Dr. Ungar 17 arranged to have the AT&T account that was held in your name transferred to One Communications 18 19 Corp? 20 Α. Was I aware? 21 Ο. Yes. 2.2 Α. No. 23 Are you aware of that today? Q. 24 Α. What do you mean? He did it. 25 Do you know he did it? Q.

A. I do now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

- Q. And you are not suggesting here today that One Communications just reached out and grabbed your account and move it?
- A. I don't know how it happened to be honest.
- Q. Are you aware that Dr. Ungar submitted a letter of agency?
 - A. I am aware because of the hearing that we had in Akron, yes. That is how I found out about that letter.
- Q. And that letter of agency was submitted to One Communications in October of 2006; correct?
- MR. SKIDMORE: Objection.
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. You don't know?
- 18 A. I mean --
- 19 MR. SKIDMORE: Based on his
- 20 | knowledge. I think he is asking the question --
- 21 I thought he testified he didn't know.
- 22 A. I only --
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What is your
- 24 | question again, Mr. Dortch?
- Q. I don't recall the precise question.

```
I believe my question was are you aware that in
October of 2006 Dr. Ungar submitted a letter of
agency to One Communications?
```

- A. I am aware now, yes.
- Q. And you moved your practice in April of 2006?
 - A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Your AT&T bill was paid until
 9 September of 2006 I presume?
- A. It was until February of '08 because my fax number wasn't transferred so I continued to get a bill.
- Q. So you continued to get billed by
- 14 AT&T?

4

- 15 A. For the forward of the fax.
- Q. Did you notice a difference in your
- 17 AT&T bill?
- 18 A. I didn't look at it.
- 19 Q. Do you have an office manager?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Who pays your bills?
- 22 A. Now, me.
- Q. Did you notice if your AT&T bill
- 24 diminished at some point in time while you were
- 25 | still --

37 1 I didn't pay the bill at that time. Α. I didn't see it. 2 3 Let me finish my question then you Q. 4 can go ahead and answer. Okay? 5 Α. Sorry. 6 0. Thanks. 7 No problem. Α. 8 Q. At any time while you were with Dr. 9 Ungar and still practicing out of that location 10 did you notice that your AT&T bill had 11 diminished? 12 Α. No. 13 And this is because Dr. Ungar was Ο. paying your bills? 14 15 Α. Yes. Pretty much. I understand the relationship 16 17 between you, Dr. Gandee and Dr Ungar proved unsatisfactory. Is that fair? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. What were the issues? 21 Financial for me. Α. 2.2 Q. Did the relationship deteriorate 23 between you, Dr. Gandee and Dr. Ungar before you

MR. SKIDMORE: Objection as to the

made the decision to leave?

24

```
1
     relevancy of this particular line of questioning
2
     in regards to the phone number in this case.
3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Repeat
4
     the question again or state it different.
5
                 MR. DORTCH: I will repeat
6
    the question. The question was whether the
7
    relationship between Dr. Ungar, Dr. Gandee and
8
    Dr. Longworth had deteriorated prior to Drs.
9
    Ungar and Gandee leaving the location. I am
10
     asking the question as part of the foundation
11
    that I would like to lay to explain the ultimate
```

hostility between Dr. Longworth, Gandee and

Ungar that resulted in the need for a court

ruling on who was entitled to possess this

MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

- A. You will have to repeat it.
- Q. No problem. I will try it again. I realize it was long. Dr. Longworth, the question is pretty simple. At some point in time the relationship between you, Dr. Gandee and Dr. Ungar began to deteriorate.
 - A. Yes.

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Did it not? Was that brief and
1
           Ο.
   ugly, meaning a month or so before you decided
2
   to leave, or was this something that occurred
3
4
   over a period of time?
5
                I would say it was more brief.
           Α.
                And the result was that you decided,
6
   you and Dr. Gandee both decided you were pulling
7
8
   up stakes and leaving; correct?
```

- A. Correct.
- Q. Did Dr. Ungar take action to try to stop you from doing so?
- 12 A. Yes.

9

10

- Q. What did he do?
- 14 A. Filed a restraining order.
- Q. He sought and obtained a temporary restraining order?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. That TRO was ultimately dissolved;
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What were you restrained from doing
- 22 | there for a period?
- 23 A. Leaving.
- Q. From leaving.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, based on,

```
1
     I mean, without having the actual restraining
 2
     order, I would like to inform the Court that it
 3
    was an ex parte, illegal order that was obtained
     that was ultimately dissolved for the failure of
 4
 5
    Dr. Ungar to notify my clients of any type of
    potential hearing, it was ultimately dissolved
 6
 7
    and ultimately denied.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 9
                 MR. DORTCH: Well, the injunction
    was denied, but a restraining order, properly or
10
11
     improperly, had in fact been entered; is that
12
     correct?
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
14
     Thank you.
15
                 MR. SKIDMORE: That is true.
16
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
                 In fact, Dr. Ungar locked you and
18
            Q.
    Dr. Gandee out of the practice; correct?
19
20
            Α.
                 After we had moved. In other words,
21
    we still had some possessions there.
2.2
                 And while you had possessions there
            Q.
23
     you chained down your equipment; is that
24
     correct.
```

A specific piece.

25

Α.

1 A specific piece. And you were Q. 2 refused access to the computer system; correct? 3 Α. The server. 4 The server. Okay. And when you Q. 5 asked him -- I don't know Dr. Ungar's first 6 name. 7 Α. Keith. 8 Q. Keith. When you asked him, Keith, 9 why don't you just let go of my telephone 10 number, let it get ported back to me what did he 11 say? 12 MR. SKIDMORE: Objection. Hearsay. 13 I don't know. Α. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I would agree 15 that is hearsay. 16 Did you ask Dr. Ungar to release 17 the telephone number and allow you to retake it? 18 I think I did, yes. Α. 19 Did he respond? Q. 20 I think he said I will think about Α. 21 it. 2.2 Q. Did he ever release it to your 23 knowledge? 24 Α. No. 25 So, in fact, he refused to release Q.

```
it, didn't he? In fact, you had to have a
1
    hearing that we referred to earlier in Summit
2
    County Court of Common Pleas for the Court to
3
    determine whether Dr. Ungar controlled that
4
5
    number or you did; correct?
6
            Α.
                 Yes.
7
            0.
                 And at that hearing Dr. Ungar
8
     insisted that he had the authority to control
9
     that number; did he not?
10
            Α.
                 I believe so.
11
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Objection. Again we
12
    are way off of the particular issue in this
13
    case. We are kind of relitigating a case that
    has already been litigated in Summit County.
14
                 MR. DORTCH: I have know -- I am
15
16
     sorry. I thought you were finished.
                 MR. SKIDMORE: We have a finding
17
     from the Summit County court that has factual
18
19
     findings with regards to the relationship of the
20
    parties and what actually occurred in Summit
21
    County. I am not sure I understand the
2.2
    relevance of this particular line of
23
    questioning.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Dortch.
25
                 MR. DORTCH: The relevance, Your
```

```
Honor, is Mr. Skidmore and his clients' intent,
1
2
     if they can do so, to hold my client responsible
     for some 16 to 18 months of damages ultimately
3
     as a result of what they allege to be a slamming
4
5
     issue.
             The fact of the matter is we are
6
     attempting to show that we had a letter of
    agency that conforms to the FCC rules and
7
    regulations that was issued to us, that we acted
9
    on that letter of agency; that when the
    gentlemens' business relationship fell apart the
10
11
    reason why Drs. Longworth and Gandee were unable
    to recover their telephone numbers is because
12
    their business partner refused to relinquish it
13
    to them.
14
15
                 And as I am about to turn to
16
    the fact of the matter is that my client
    relinquished Dr. Longworth's telephone number
17
     approximately 30 days after the court order
18
19
    determined which of these gentlemen was entitled
20
    to control the number.
21
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That was a very detailed answer, but I lost track of what the initial question was

MR. DORTCH: Well, the objection was

2.2

23

24

25

one of relevancy.

44 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And the question 2 again was? MR. DORTCH: I believe I have lost 3 4 track of the question now. 5 (QUESTION READ) ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let that 6 7 question stand. 8 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 I believe he did. Α. 10 Now, we had a little dialogue Q. 11 earlier about the orders that where issued in 12 that case that Dr. Ungar filed against you and 13 Dr. Gandee. Do you recall that discussion? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And in fact the Magistrate's order Q. was issued in May 2009, and the judgement of 16 17 the Court was issued in June of 2009; correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 You said that you had to fax AT&T a 20 copy of the Court's order. 21 Α. Yes. Do you know when you did so? 2.2 Q. 23 After several attempts to get my Α. 24 phone number I got somebody from AT&T that said 25 that would probably be the best way.

- Q. You said several attempts to get
 your phone number. Several attempts through
 whom?

 A. Both AT&T and Choice One.

 Q. And do you know when AT&T submitted
 a port order to Choice One?
 - A. I don't.
 - Q. But in any event you believe that you obtained your telephone number back at some point in time probably July 2009?
- 11 A. Late July, yes. I am guessing 12 again. I don't know the exact --
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That Court

 order, did you ever fax it to anyone at Choice

 One or --
- THE WITNESS: I did with AT&T.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just with AT&T.
- 18 Okay.

7

8

9

- 19 Q. Do you recall completing a port 20 order for AT&T?
- A. I don't know. No, I don't know.
- Q. So you don't know whether you ever actually provided AT&T with a letter of agency?
- A. I wasn't told I needed to. I don't recall doing that, no.

```
46
1
                 MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, I have no
2
    more questions for Dr. Longworth.
3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Dr.
4
    Longworth.
5
                 THE WITNESS:
                               Sure.
6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore.
7
                 MR. SKIDMORE: I have a couple more
8
     follow-up questions.
9
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION
    By Mr. Skidmore:
10
11
                 Dr. Longworth, is it your testimony
12
    that Choice One Communications never voluntarily
13
    relinquished the phone number back to you?
14
            Α.
                 Yes.
15
                 MR. DORTCH: Objection.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What basis?
17
                 MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, I don't
    know how Dr. Ungar could possibly testify to
18
19
    what my client, a corporate entity, did
20
    voluntarily or involuntarily.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let the
21
2.2
    question stand. Ask the question again.
23
                 The question was, Dr. Longworth,
            0.
24
    Choice One Communications never voluntarily
25
    relinquished the telephone number back to you;
```

is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Correct.
 - Q. And since 1998 your corporate entity, Health First Chiropractic, has remained as a valid corporation in the State of Ohio?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So, when you moved your practice to 2828 South Arlington Road Health First Chiropractic remained as a valid Ohio corporation.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. As a matter of fact, some of your
 billing to your patients was still billed within
 that --
- A. All my billing was still billed under my corporate entity.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Nothing further.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have a few
- 19 questions for you, sir.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 By the Attorney Examiner:
- Q. Just to refresh my memory and summarize some things for the record, you indicated that you joined what I will call the combined practice, three together.

A. That's right.

2.2

Q. At that point in time the telephone number you had for so many years was still -- your carrier was still AT&T and that you didn't become aware that that number -- the carrier for that number was changed to One Communications until you decided to left the combined practice.

And you are indicating that while you had your own practice prior to joining the combined practice, when you had your own practice you paid the bills.

- A. Yes.
- Q. But when you joined the combined practice you no longer actually saw the bills that came in for that telephone number.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And when you did leave the combined practice and you went back to another physical location and you attempted to get that number transferred to your new location, the final location, who did you contact again to try and get that number back?
 - A. AT&T first.
- Q. You tried AT&T. Then apparently they informed you --

- A. They no longer had control of it.
- Q. And how did you find it was a Choice
 One number? Do you recall?
 - A. They told me.
 - Q. Choice One?
 - A. No. The guy at AT&T.
 - Q. Okay. When you contacted Choice One then that was just by telephone; correct?
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

2.2

- Q. Did you make more than one call to them? Do you recall?
- A. I called the local office, then I think I called an 800 number.
 - Q. And the answer they gave you was?
 - A. I had no authority over that number.
- Q. You had no authority. Okay. You

 eventually then because of that Magistrate's

 decision and the local court adopting that

 decision in Summit County, you obtained a

 number, a new location again with AT&T and it

 was through faxing a copy of that court decision
- A. Correct.

to AT&T.

Q. But you never actually sent a copy
of that court decision to Choice One?

- A. I talked to people from Choice One.
- 2 Q. Do you remember when you talked to 3 them?

- A. That wasn't -- I think -- I am not positive.
- Q. Mr. Skidmore indicated the time line.
- A. That particular time, May, early
 June, you know, Choice One was contacted and I
 believe they said you have to contact AT&T or
 whatever. And it was kind of a back and forth
 thing. A lot of phone calls were made, lots of
 this and that. I can't recall specifically.
- Q. I understand. Whatever you can remember.

MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, to clarify the point, Choice One was a party to the case in Summit County, so attorney Dortch would have received a copy of the Court finding as being an attorney of record in that case.

And in addition to that, I can supplement the record later with e-mails that I sent to attorney Dortch requesting the number be transferred.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Let

51 1 me see if I have anymore questions. I have no 2 further questions. Thank you for your testimony. Let's go off the record for a 3 4 minute. 5 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) (RECESS TAKEN) 6 7 (WITNESS SWORN) 8 MR. SKIDMORE: We call Steven 9 Gandee. 10 11 WILLIAM S. GANDEE 12 called as a witness on behalf of the 13 Complainants, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Skidmore: 17 Q. Dr. Gandee, if you could state your full name and introduce yourself to the parties 18 19 here. 20 My name is William s. Gandee, I have Α. 21 been a chiropractor for 30 years in the Akron, 2.2 Ohio area. 23 And you have been a chiropractor Ο. 24 licensed here in the State Ohio for 30 years? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And, Dr. Gandee, could you tell us the if name of the chiropractic practice that you have had is incorporated.
 - A. Gandee Chiropractic Life Center Incorporated.
 - Q. And how long has that company been in existence?
 - A. About 30 years.
- Q. And that company operated under the telephone number what?
- 11 A. 724-5521.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. Area code 330?
- 13 A. Yes. Back in them days we didn't say area code.
- Q. And you had that phone number for how long?
 - A. From the beginning.
- Q. Okay. Besides the time frame involved in this case you had it for approximately 30 years?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Any other telephone numbers
 associated with your practice let's say up until
 the spring of 2006?
- 25 A. Gandee Chiropractic Practice?

Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. I had a fax machine line.
- Q. But that was the primary telephone number for that business?
- A. That was the only phone number, yes.

 I have other numbers, but they all rolled into

 that number.
 - Q. Dr. Gandee, where was Gandee Chiropractic Life Center located?
 - A. 2050 South Arlington Road.
 - Q. And throughout the history of Gandee Chiropractic Life, the corporate entity, have you been the only sole shareholder of that corporation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And in the spring of 2008, you heard the testimony Dr. Longworth, and try to condense some of the testimony, you moved the location of your practice; is that right?
 - A. '06, yes.
 - Q. And you moved in '06 and moved into common space with who?
 - A. Dr. Longworth and Dr. Ungar.
- Q. And at the time that you moved your space your telephone number was with what

- telecommunications provider?
- 2 A. AT&T.

1

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And how long had you --
- A. The entire time.
- Q. All right. So you had AT&T for the entire time that you were Gandee Chiropractic Life?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And when you moved locations from
 10 250 South Arlington to 2028 South Arlington what
 11 did you do with your phone number?
 - A. Very simply just rolled it over to that office address.
 - Q. When you say simply rolled it over, tell us -- first of all, are you the one, the administrator at your office that would have taken care of that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And tell us how you do that. You call AT&T?
- A. AT&T, called them and asked them
 to -- any calls that come into my 724-5521, let
 it ring at the other new location.
- Q. Did at that time you ever grant authority to any other individual to make

- changes to your directives to AT&T on your phone?
 - A. No. I didn't do that.
 - Q. So in the spring of 2006 when you moved you contacted AT&T, you had the phone number rolled to the new location?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. All right. And then I think your testimony was that some 22 months later the practices separated again, and tell me what happened with your telephone number.
- A. I learned that I no longer controlled or managed that phone number.
 - Q. Tell me how you learned that.
- A. I called AT&T and told them we were going to a new location. They said that I no longer managed that phone number. They didn't even have it anymore.
 - Q. And then what did you do after that?
- A. After I got off the floor I tried to find out what happened. They told me who it was with and I made some phone calls.
- Q. So, did you make the calls to Choice
 One Communications?
 - A. Brian did most of that.

- Q. And when you say that -- I am sorry. I don't mean to put words in your mouth, but I can't remember exactly what you said, but you said that to your understanding you no longer had the authority over your telephone number.
 - A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. And do you know at the time that they informed you of that did you even know what that meant or why --
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you know why you didn't?
- A. The only thing AT&T told me was previous that the phone number had been transferred to Choice One Communications.
- Q. Did you have any idea why you no longer controlled your telephone number in the spring of 2008?
 - A. No.
- Q. So 22 months later your are leaving the space, did you make any direct communications with Choice One Communications to have your telephone number transferred?
 - A. Initially I called.
- Q. And what were you informed by Choice
 One?

- 1 A. That my name was no longer
- 2 | the person that needed to make the decisions.
- I was off my own phone number. I had no control over my phone number.
- Q. And you made this initial request in the spring of 2008?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And when is the next time that the telephone rings at 330-724-5521 and it comes to you?
- 11 A. I believe it was in September of 12 '09.
- Q. So February and March 2008 to

 September 2009 where were the phone calls going

 to 330-724-5521?
 - A. They were still going to the previous location.
 - Q. And do you know who was making and receiving those calls?
 - A. I actually do know. Dr. Ungar's office took the phone calls and made the appointments and said they didn't know where we were, and kept the business.
- Q. And do you recall how you reobtained or reacquired that telephone number?

A. Only going through the court system we were able to get back our phone numbers.

2.2

Q. And was there ever a time that you are aware of that Choice One Communications voluntarily transferred the phone number prior to that court order?

MR. DORTCH: Objection. Same objection as earlier, Your Honor. I recognize it, but I don't see how Dr. Gandee can testify as to the subjective intent of my client whether it was voluntary or involuntary.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore.

MR. SKIDMORE: I will rephrase it.

- Q. Prior to the court order in the first of May by the Magistrate's decision and the judgment entry documenting that decision in June of 2009 had Choice One Communications ever allowed the transfer of your phone number back to your possession?
- A. Your Honor, they went out of their way not to help us.

MR. DORTCH: Objection.

- A. That is strictly my opinion.
- MR. DORTCH: Objection. First of
- 25 all, let me finish my objection. I don't

```
59
1
    believe that is responsive to the question that
2
    was asked initially.
3
                 Secondly, again Dr. Gandee is,
     focusing on getting the doctor's name correct,
4
5
    Dr. Gandee again can't possibly testify to
     subjective matters regarding a corporate entity.
6
7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore,
8
    your question was, to Dr. Gandee, whether prior
9
    to the court order, you are referring to this
    action in the Summit County Court, whether
10
11
    Choice One had relinquished the telephone number
    that you are seeking. Is that your question?
12
13
                 MR. SKIDMORE: That's correct.
14
            Α.
                 No.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The answer is
16
    no. Okay.
17
            Q.
                 Did Choice One Communications ever
    provide you a communication of some sort as to
18
19
    why they were not relinquishing your request to
20
    have the phone number transferred?
21
            Α.
                 No.
2.2
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Nothing further.
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Mr.
24
    Dortch.
25
                 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Dortch:

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Dr. Gandee, I will try to be fairly
 brief here as well and not tread over ground
 that I think has been gone over before. But I
 do need to ask, you were doing business as
 Gandee Chiropractic before you relocated your
 business to the same location as Drs. Ungar and
 Longworth; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. And while at that location you too were doing business as Advanced Wellness and Chiropractic. Is that the correct name?

 Advanced Pain and Wellness Center, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And all three of you advertised and put yourself out to the public as Advanced Pain and Wellness Center?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If fact, you used the telephone
 numbers in your advertising that are at issue in
 these proceedings; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you called AT&T in
 February of 2008 and AT&T said that you no

longer managed or controlled the phone number I think your language was, do you recall testifying to that a moment ago?

A. Yes.

- Q. How long did it take you before you understood that Keith Ungar had arranged for a transfer of those numbers to himself?
- A. You are asking after I talked with AT&T and realized what AT&T had just told me?
- Q. No. I don't know what AT&T told you, so did AT&T tell you that Keith Ungar transferred those numbers to One Communications?
- A. I can't remember they said that exactly, but they said that they couldn't talk to me about that number any longer because my name wasn't on they didn't have that number and couldn't talk to me about it because they transferred it to somebody elses name.
- Q. So you knew it had been transferred to someone elses name?
- A. Basically it was very much a shock, yes.
- Q. And again did you recognize right away that it was Keith Ungar?
 - A. I called I believe then Choice One

and tried to get back control of my number.

1

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Did Choice One tell you that its customer's name was Keith Ungar or any of his business entities?
 - A. I don't remember exactly.
 - Q. But this occurred if I recall correctly approximately February 2008?
 - A. Around that area.
- 9 Q. And Dr. Ungar sued you and Dr.
 10 Longworth in February 2008 to try to keep you
 11 from moving?
 - A. When he found out that he were going to do this, yes.
 - Q. Do you recall when precisely you learned that Dr. Ungar had transferred your number to his own service? Was it at the same time as you are learning you don't control the number? Was it years later?
 - A. It was all within that day.
 - Q. So effectively the same day that you learned you don't control the number you do learn Dr. Ungar does control the number?
- A. We determined that, yes, that is what happened
 - Q. Did you ask Dr. Ungar to release

the number?

2.2

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he say?
 - A. He didn't give me a specific answer.
 - Q. Are you aware of him ever doing anything to release the number?
 - A. I am not aware of anything.
 - Q. And are you aware that in the court proceeding that occurred more than a year later Dr. Ungar was still taking the position that he had the right to control that number; wasn't he?

MR. SKIDMORE: Objection. He is characterizing Dr. Ungar's position which I don't think is an accurate characterization without Dr. Ungar here to testify as to that.

- Q. Well, the purpose of that court proceeding was to determine who controlled the number; correct?
- A. Because we were trying to get the number back.
- Q. By that point in time, by the time of that court proceeding, that hearing, you had sued One Communications in this forum before the PUCO; correct?
- MR. SKIDMORE: I am not sure. The

```
1
    dates are available by the records. By May of
2
     2009 I think we can take administrative notice
3
    that this action had been filed. In fact, I
    will give you the date this action was filed. I
4
5
     guess I won't give you the date this action was
6
            I apologize. I can tell you that the
    answer was filed on February 11th, 2009.
7
8
     formal complaint was filed on January 20, 2009.
9
                 MR. DORTCH: January 20th.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The formal
11
    complaints were filed prior to the decision of
12
    the Summit County Court.
13
                 MR. DORTCH: Well, prior to the
    decision -- well, prior to the hearing that we
14
15
    are discussing.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Prior to
17
    the hearing.
                 MR. DORTCH: It is confusing.
18
19
    Multiple proceedings, Your Honor. The hearing
20
    that I was discussing is the hearing conducted
21
    by the Summit County Court of Common Pleas in
2.2
    May of 2009.
23
                 So, in fact, by the date of that
```

hearing you had sued One Communications here

before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

24

```
1
    And in fact by the date of that hearing you were
 2
     suing One Communications in the action pending
     in Summit County as well were you not, Dr.
 3
 4
     Gandee?
 5
                 We were trying to do whatever it
            Α.
 6
    took to get my 30 years of the same phone number
            Whatever it would take that is what we
 7
 8
    were trying to do.
 9
                 You didn't have a contractural
            Q.
    relationship with One Communications?
10
11
            Α.
                 No.
12
            Q.
                 Ever?
13
                Never heard of them.
            Α.
                 You did have a contractural
14
            Q.
15
    relationship with AT&T?
16
                 I thought so.
            Α.
17
            Q.
                 For 30 years. Why didn't you sue
    AT&T for improperly transferring your number?
18
19
            Α.
                 I was --
20
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Objection.
21
                 For the same thing.
            Q.
2.2
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Objection.
                                             It calls
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am not sure

for a legal conclusion which he is certainly not

qualified to give on that particular topic.

23

24

```
66
 1
     that is an issue in this particular case.
 2
    agree with that objection.
 3
                 MR. DORTCH: No further questions,
    Your Honor.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
    Dortch. Mr. Skidmore, any additional
 6
 7
    questions?
 8
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
    By Mr. Skidmore:
10
            Q. Dr. Gandee, this particular issue
11
    with Choice One, I mean, the issue of
12
     the transfer, can you tell what your believe is
13
     as to why you were not given your telephone
    number back by Choice One Communications?
14
15
                 MR. DORTCH: Objection. Relevance.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I agree with
17
     that objection. If you have a different way to
     state that question or some other question.
18
19
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Well, I mean, I
20
    think it's relevant certainly as to what his
21
    belief is as to why he was never able to
22
    reobtain his phone number that he had for 27
23
    years.
24
                 MR. DORTCH: I believe it isn't
25
    relevant, Tom.
```

MR. SKIDMORE: Why wouldn't it be
relevant?

MR. DORTCH: Because the transfer
occurred and it was either compliant with the
law or it wasn't compliant with the law. Why he
wasn't able to reobtain the number is irrelevant

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think having a witness give his opinion on why he didn't obtain the number is different from having him explain his attempts to get the number back. So --

12 MR. SKIDMORE: I will withdraw it.

to the claim before this Commission.

13 | I have nothing further.

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. I
15 have a few questions for Dr. Gandee.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

Q. So your situation then was similar to Dr. Longworth that you were not aware that the telephone number which you had for many years had been switched from AT&T to One Communications, you were not aware of that until you actually left what we are calling the combined practice?

A. That is my understanding, Your

- Honor, that went there in February of '06 and this wasn't done until at least six, maybe eight months after we were there when people didn't personally look so closely at what was happening. So, firstly, that is why you trust entities to help you. I am small business and I don't know -- I know I don't know everything.
 - Q. When you say personally not looking closely at matters, you are saying that once you got into the practice with the other two doctors you weren't really -- you weren't seeing the bills?
 - A. We weren't expecting anything to happen, Your Honor.
 - Q. I am sorry?
 - A. We weren't even expecting anything to happen.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. Because of the trust-type relationship that was going on.
- Q. When you did learn that the number was no longer being provided by AT&T, again you learned that and you tried to go back to having your own practice, you indicated that you did contact Choice One, or I thought you said that

- most of the calls were made by Dr. Longworth.
- A. I talked to AT&T so that then we could just transfer the number.
 - Q. You spoke to AT&T?
 - A. They said they no longer -- I was no longer part of them, they were not with me any longer. I asked who has it. They said Choice One. Then I contacted them.
 - Q. You contacted them by telephone?
 - A. Immediately.
 - Q. And then they told you -- Choice One told you --
 - A. They wouldn't even talk to me.
- 14 Q. They --

2.2

- A. They said I am not the person on record and can't give out information. We wouldn't even be here. All we wanted was the phone number, Your Honor. If we had the phone number we wouldn't be here right this second. We were just getting killed by what was happening.
 - Q. Let's see. The point in time where you were able to reobtain the number again, get the number back with AT&T, what is your recollection when that occurred again?

A. September of '09, I believe.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And prior to that time was it your knowledge that Dr. Ungar still had claim to the number again for lack of a better description?
- A. I believe so. I don't think he is going to admit anything wrong. Your Honor, by the time I got the phone number back the damage had been severely done. If we had gotten the phone number right when we left, no problems.
- Q. And how did it come about that you actually did get the phone back with AT&T? Dr. Longworth was faxing AT&T a copy of the Summit County Court decision. Do you recall how --
- A. I believe that was the same. It was tied together.
- Q. I have an additional question.

 Prior to filing the formal complaint at the

 Commission, or having your counsel file the

 formal complaint for you, did you get in touch

 with the Commission at all? Do you recall

 talking to us by telephone, or by mail prior to

 filing the formal complaint?
- A. One of the things I do, Your Honor, when I have a problem, because I ask myself

questions what can I do, and I go to other people and ask them questions, what are my options.

If I was told that would be one of my options then I would have tried it. But I don't know and I don't remember doing so.

- Q. You don't remember contacting the Commission before filing the formal complaint?
 - A. Not directly. I don't remember.

10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no

further questions at this time. Thank you very
much for your testimony. And if you would like

13 to take your seat.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

18

21

2.2

24

25

And actually I have a question for Dr. Longworth as well. And I will remind you that you are still under oath.

17 | _ _ -

BRIAN LONGWORTH

19 called as a witness on behalf of the

20 Complainants, being previously duly sworn,

testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

23 By the Attorney Examiner:

Q. Prior to having your counsel file the formal complaint here at the Commission did

```
you contact the Commission at all?
```

- A. I did make an attempt to contact them because I think, I don't know, some communication I had said try to contact. But I couldn't get through.
 - Q. So you couldn't get through?
- A. I did call the Utilities Commission, but I didn't have any luck as far as getting through to somebody. I didn't.
- Q. In other words, you ended up not speaking with anyone here?
- 12 A. I don't believe so, no. But I did
 13 in fact call.
 - ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. At this point in time, Mr. Skidmore, do you want to move for admission of your Exhibits 1 through I believe you have 6 exhibits actually?
- MR. SKIDMORE: We would, Your Honor.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So we will call
- 20 this Gandee-Longworth Exhibits 1 through 6.
- 21 They will apply to both of your clients; am I
- 22 | correct?
- MR. SKIDMORE: That's correct, Your
- 24 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And any

```
73
1
     objection, Mr. Dortch?
2
                MR. DORTCH: Exhibit 1, no
3
    objection, Your Honor. Exhibit 2, no objection.
4
    Exhibit 3 and 4, Your Honor, I do object to.
5
    Neither witness was asked any questions
6
    regarding either of these exhibits.
7
                 MR. SKIDMORE:
                                That is fine, Your
8
    Honor. We withdraw the two exhibits.
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Withdraw 3 and 4
10
    then.
11
                 MR. DORTCH: And I have no
12
    objections to Exhibit 5 or 6.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And both
    parties are willing to admit Gandee-Longworth
14
15
    Exhibit 1, 2. Three is objected to and 4.
16
                 MR. DORTCH: 3 and 4, Your Honor.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: 1, 2. We will
    not admit 3 and 4 then. We will admit 5 and 6.
18
19
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. All
21
            Thank you for your testimony of your
2.2
    witnesses, Mr. Skidmore. Mr. Dortch, we will
23
    move on to you.
24
                 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

One Communications or Choice One Communications

74 dba One Communications would call Richard 1 2 Wheeler as its witness. Your Honor, may I 3 approach? 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. 5 (WITNESS SWORN) 6 7 RICHARD WHEELER 8 called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, 9 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you would 11 please take your seat. Mr. Dortch. 12 MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Dortch: 15 Q. Good afternoon. 16 A. Good afternoon. 17 Q. Would you state your name and business address, please? 18 19 My name is Richard Wheeler. My Α. 20 business address is One Communications, 5 Wall 21 Street, Burlington, Massachusetts 018 -- I don't 22 remember the zip code. Choice One doing 23 business as One Communications. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that is 25 adequate.

- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am employed by Choice One

 Communications doing business as One

 Communications. I am the -- my official title

 strategic Compliance Implementation Manager.
 - Q. Mr. Wheeler, do you have in front of you a copy of One Communications Exhibit No. 1?
 - A. Yes, I do.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2.2

- Q. And is the One Communications

 Exhibit No. 1 a copy of your direct prefiled testimony filed January 13, 2011 in these proceedings?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your direct prefiled testimony?
- 17 A. No, I don't.
- Q. If I asked you the questions set

 forth in One Communications Exhibit 1 would your

 answers be the same today as they are within

 the prefiled testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, I will tender the witness for cross-examination.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.

Skidmore, questions for the witness?

MR. SKIDMORE: Yes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Skidmore:

2.2

- Q. Mr. Wheeler, we have never met before but we have spoken before; correct?
 - A. I believe we have spoken, yes.
 - Q. And do you recall when we spoke?
- A. I don't recall a specific date when we spoke, but I do recall it was a couple years ago. I am sure it was contemporaneous with the dates of this.
- Q. Do you know, Mr. Wheeler, whether or not I had made a request upon you and Choice One Communications when we spoke to return the telephone numbers of Brian Longworth and Steven Gandee?
- A. I believe, I recall, my recollection is that you did make such a request.
- Q. And did you learn at that time or during that period of time that there was some question as to whether or not Dr. Ungar, Keith Ungar, had had the legal authority from Brian Longworth or Steve Gandee to sign a letter of authority?

A. I believe you made that allegation verbally and possibly in e-mail, but there was no evidence of that beyond your allegation.

2.2

- Q. Okay. So, you don't disagree with Dr. Longworth and Dr. Gandee's testimony that in I guess the spring of 2008, or February-March of 2008 that they had made a communication to Choice One requesting the two telephone numbers be transferred to their possession?
- A. I know that I spoke with you. I don't believe I ever spoke with either Dr. Longworth or Dr. Gandee. So I can't speak to what communication they had with anybody. I can only speak to the communication that I had.
- Q. And at that time those telephone numbers of Choice One Communications were showing that only Keith Ungar had the authority to discuss those accounts with Choice One; is that correct?
- A. I believe those numbers were on Dr. Ungar's accounts, but he, maybe his office manager, would be authorized to speak.
- Q. Now, in your prefiled testimony at page 3 you stated that in September of 2006 -MR. DORTCH: I am sorry.

MR. SKIDMORE: Page 3, line 14.

MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Tom.

Q. That "In September of 2006, Dr.
Ungar had asked One Communications to obtain
transfers of the telephone numbers 896-8500 and
330-724-5521, which the Ohio Bell Telephone
Company, dba AT&T, had previously assigned to
complainants' AT&T account to One Communications
under Dr. Ungar's One Communications account."

And you go on to say "In response to Dr. Ungar's request, One Communications informed him that he would have to verify his authority to request the transfer by executing a letter of agency."

- A. That's correct. Yes.
- Q. So, to establish a time line here, in September of 2006 Dr. Ungar made a porting request of sorts to port the telephone numbers in question in this case to Choice One Communications?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And One Communications asked that he would have to sign a letter of agency saying he had the authority to do that.
 - A. Yes.

Q. So there was a question as to the ownership of those, I am sorry, the control or authorization of those phone numbers in September of 2006; true?

2.2

- A. I wouldn't characterize it as it was a question. There is a legal process that we have to follow in order to establish someone's authority to do it. It wasn't a case there was a question. We were following our process to establish that Dr. Ungar had the legal authority.
- Q. Now, if Dr. Ungar was attempting to obtain a phone number that was already in his name he wouldn't need a letter of agency; right?
- A. If Dr. Ungar -- I am not sure I understand your question.
- Q. Let's say, for instance, Dr. Ungar was trying to port over his own personal residence telephone number into this account, Advanced Pain Wellness. If he is the authoritative figure on both accounts he doesn't need a letter of agency; is that right?
- A. We would still require a written, some form of written documentation, written letters of agency, yes, for any number.

- Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Wheeler, that part of the problem here in September of 2006 is that Dr. Ungar is requesting the porting of phone numbers that were not in his name?
- A. Dr. Ungar contacted us requesting that we transfer the number. We explained our process requiring a letter of agency, and Dr. Ungar produced a letter of agency.
- Q. Okay. Now, I am going to give you a hypothetical.
 - A. Okay.

2.2

- Q. Key Bank across the street from me in downtown Akron is moving down the street. If I come to you and say -- or come to Choice One Communications and say I need telephone numbers for Key Bank ported over to my law office, and here is a letter of agency, what is the response from Choice One Communications?
- A. Again I am not sure I understand the premise of the question.
- Q. My understanding in this case that Choice One Communications is taking the position that they do not have to ever verify with the prior party that the phone numbers are in fact theirs. Right?

- A. It's not our position. That is the law of the land in case law AT&T versus FCC.

 This issue was litigated and the Court in that area said that actual authority wasn't required.

 Dr. Ungar had apparent authority, he provided the LOA that conformed with the standards required by FCC, and we switched the phone
 - Q. When you say Dr. Ungar had apparent authority, you mean Dr. Ungar signed the letter of agency?
 - A. Yes.

number based on that authority.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. You would agree with me that no one from Choice One Communications ever communicated with Dr. Longworth about this transfer of the phone number; is that correct?
- A. We didn't know that Dr. Longworth existed.
- Q. Okay. Well, when you do a porting request to AT&T Choice One would have to ask AT&T to port the phone number over; right?
- A. We would submit a porting request to AT&T, yes.
- Q. And AT&T would show who the actual owner -- the actual authoritative figure is with

```
1 | the phone number; right?
```

- 2 MR. DORTCH: Objection, Your Honor.
- 3 | I think Mr. Skidmore is confused. AT&T may know
- 4 | who is authorized to speak for that account,
- 5 | but AT&T would not disclose that to One
- 6 Communications.
- 7 MR. SKIDMORE: That is great
- 8 | testimony, but I am asking him whether he knows
- 9 how that works.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let the
- 11 question stand.
- 12 A. We don't have records of AT&T's
- 13 | bills. In fact, AT&T probably would not
- 14 disclose who their customer was to us, for the
- 15 same reasons that we would not disclose it to
- 16 | them because of the privacy rules that we are --
- 17 | all telecommunications carriers are bound to.
- 18 Q. So, if I came to Choice One
- 19 Communications with a porting request for Key
- 20 | Bank, Key National Bank's phone numbers to be
- 21 ported to my office and I signed a letter of
- 22 authority, you are saying Choice One
- 23 | Communications should honor that; is that right?
- MR. DORTCH: Objection, Your Honor.
- 25 | I am going to ask Mr. Skidmore to restate the

```
1
    question, and that may be why I am confused
2
   about his earlier question. You are using Key
3
   Bank as an example, Mr. Skidmore, and, Your
   Honor, if Mr. Skidmore is stating to or is Mr.
4
    Skidmore's hypothetical that he is coming to One
5
6
   Communications and saying I have Key Bank's
7
   phone numbers, or is he saying that he has
8
    specific phone numbers, please port them, and as
    it turns out they belong to Key Bank?
9
```

MR. SKIDMORE: I will be more specific.

MR. DORTCH: Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you can

clarify the question.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Let's say I have a specific different number which is a directory phone number for Key Bank. And I come in to Choice One and say here is the phone number, I want it ported to my office. And here is a letter of agency saying I have the authority to do that.
- A. If you gave us a specific phone number and that is all you gave us, and you said I wanted that number switched over, and you had the letter of authorization, we would look at it and process the port request assuming there was

nothing irregular about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. So no representative of Key Bank is required to sign anything for you to honor that request; is that right?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Before the witness answers I will ask this question. It might at least help to clarify in my mind and for the record. Mr. Wheeler, when Choice One receives a porting request and you will tell whoever makes that request we need a letter of agency, and the letter of agency is submitted to Choice One and it contains numbers on there which are asked to be ported, you are indicating then that Choice One would call back AT&T and basically say here are the numbers I want ported, and you are indicating that you have no further contact, or no further information from AT&T than that. That is, AT&T confirms that, yes, we have those numbers, we will port them over.

THE WITNESS: I believe that process involves us submitting the LOA to -- submitting the port order request to AT&T who does whatever it does on its end. I have no way of knowing what they are doing.

```
1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, letter of
2
    agency comes to Choice One?
3
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then your
5
     request to AT&T, you send the letter of agency
6
    to them, or you actually contact them and say --
7
                 THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't
8
     specifically do it, but we have a department
9
     that processes these orders, and they would
10
     submit the port order request, I mean, it's
11
     automated, electronic, and then AT&T would then,
    you know, assuming that we have the proper
12
    documentation, AT&T would port the order and we
13
    would become the carrier of record.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So to your
16
    knowledge then during that whole transaction
17
    with AT&T, Choice One would not really know to
    whom those numbers previously had been
18
19
     assigned, the names of the persons?
20
                 THE WITNESS: I am not sure how, I
21
    mean, we wouldn't have the records of the prior
2.2
     carrier. So I don't know how we would know.
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I know you
24
    wouldn't have the records, but when you have
25
    your contact with AT&T and they port the number
```

```
1
    over to you, if you are able to answer this
2
     question if you would please do so, is there any
     sort of information submitted with those numbers
3
     like these have been Dr. Gandee's or Dr.
4
5
    Longworth's numbers?
                 THE WITNESS: I don't know the
6
7
    answer to that question.
8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
9
                 THE WITNESS:
                               But, I will tell you
    that, again, I don't know that -- we wouldn't
10
11
     give out the information, but our customer, when
12
    they are switching over, I don't know that other
13
    carriers, largely for the same privacy rules
     that we all have to follow we wouldn't tell
14
15
    them.
16
                 So, Mr. Wheeler, to establish a
            0.
17
     couple things, one, Dr. Longworth and Dr. Gandee
     according to your records that you filed in your
18
19
     statement in the records attached, were never
20
    authorized to make any determinations with
21
    telephone numbers that are at issue in this
2.2
    hearing; is that right? Again if they called
23
    you or they called Choice One they wouldn't talk
```

If they were not listed as people

24

25

to them; is that right?

Α.

- designated to be authorized to speak on behalf of the account we could not speak with them.
- Q. So, if they called Choice One

 Communications and said I want this telephone

 number sent back Choice One Communications

 wouldn't even speak to them because they were

 not the authorized agent of the account?
 - A. Correct. And we do that because of the privacy rules and to protect our customers.
 - Q. Let's take a look at this particular account that the telephone numbers were ported to.
 - A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. What kind of account it is? Is it local service, is it long distance service?
- 16 A. I believe it was local service.

 17 I am not familiar with what products
- and services they have on their account.
- 19 Q. I believe it's local telephone 20 numbers.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And Dr. Ungar made a porting request to move the telephone numbers. Do you know whether or not this account was a local telephone account?

```
Not specifically. I will take your
1
           Α.
2
    word.
3
           Q. I don't know. I am asking you
4
    the question.
5
           Α.
                I believe that was a local telephone
6
    number. I don't know specifically. I
7
    believe --
8
                 MR. DORTCH: May I ask Mr. Skidmore
    to define local telephone number? Do you
9
10
    understand what your are saying there, Tom,
11
    because --
12
                 MR. SKIDMORE: I am just asking him
13
    if he knows what kind of account -- Dr. Ungar's
14
    was with Choice One.
15
           A. As I said a moment ago, I don't
16
    specifically know products and services that
17
    either Dr. Ungar, Dr. Longworth or Dr. Gandee
    had.
18
19
                Do you know what the name of
           Q.
20
    the account is?
```

- like Advanced Health and Wellness, something
 along those lines, whatever.

 MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, I don't
- 25 believe the witness has a copy of the exhibits

21

2.2

23

24

I believe the name was something

```
1
    that I submitted to you this morning for our
2
    portion of the case. I would like to approach
3
     and maybe I could have him take a look at this.
4
                 MR. DORTCH: Your Honor,
5
     alternatively, I don't think the witness
6
    realizes that its contract with Dr. Keith Ungar,
7
    Choice One's contract with Dr. Keith Ungar, is
8
    within your exhibits, and I don't think --
9
                 THE WITNESS:
                               Okay.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Where is that
10
11
    exactly, Mr. Dortch? Do you remember?
12
                 MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, it's
13
     essentially the second item within Exhibit RW 1.
14
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, may I
15
     approach the witness?
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
17
                 Mr. Wheeler, handing you what has
            Q.
    been previously marked as Exhibit 1 submitted on
18
19
    behalf of complainants is documentation that I
20
    received originally from subpoena issued to
21
    Choice One Communications.
2.2
            Α.
                 Okay.
23
                 And from the documentation it
            0.
24
     appears that this account was opened, and
```

referring to -- if you look at the top

- right-hand corner there appears to be some numbering, maybe fax numbers, but page 5.
 - A. It says at the top data services?

 Date services, yes.
 - Q. It says No. 5266, page 5.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And following that page it says the company name is Center for Natural Medicine.
- 9 Do you see that?

4

5

6

7

8

10

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And it appears that President Keith
 Ungar signed this June of 2002. Do you see
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. So is it fair to presume that this is approximately when this account was opened?
 - A. That is fair to presume.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I want to

 clarify for the record, this is Gandee-Longworth

 Exhibit 1, and it's on the page that says data

 services No. 5266 page 5 on the upper right.
- 22 Please go ahead.
- Q. If you turn a couple of pages back from that on page 3, page entitled Application for Service Letter of Agency and Agreement.

Do you see that page?

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And it says client billing name is
 4 Center for Natural Medicine.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And am I to understand then
 the contractual relationship is between Choice
 One Communications and the Center for Natural
 Medicine?
- 10 A. As he originally signed up for it,
 11 yes.
 - Q. Now, contained within these documents, and unfortunately they are not marked or there is not a reference to page numbering for quite a bit of it, but I would like to review a few of the notations. Well, first of all let me ask you this.

This appears to be very similar to the document which was attached to your testimony which begins account 003, and I am sorry, appear to be some kind of diary of phone calls or communications on this particular account.

A. Are you referring from your exhibit or my testimony?

- Q. If you go to the exhibit page 7, the next page appears to be a, I am sorry, page 7 of Exhibit 1. If you go to the next page.
 - A. It says 5266 on page 7.

2.2

- Q. Yes. Very next page. It appears to be a list or diary of -- I guess let me ask what is this document?
- A. I believe these are copies of notes from our customer service system.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just to clarify for the record, Mr. Skidmore is saying is under RW 1 at least in part, not in total, contained within Gandee-Longworth Exhibit 1.

MR. SKIDMORE: That's correct. And I think they are the same document. I haven't had a chance to review it.

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Okay. Well, I am not even going to hold you to that testimony because --
 - A. I believe that they are.
- Q. Okay. And this is a -- how did you describe this document?
- A. I believe these are a printout of notes from our customer service system.
 - Q. So when the actual transfer or

```
porting of these two phone numbers occurred it would actually or potentially be contained within this document; is that right?
```

- A. I would presume so. I haven't looked through it in detail in some time.
- Q. Well, let's take a look at a couple of the entries. We don't have page numbers, it's apparently in date order, chronological order by date. And let's take a look at the entry on September 18th, 2006.
- A. Okay. I am on the page that has September 18, 2006.
- Q. And the notation identifies the caller's name Keith. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the remark is "Dr. Ungar called about porting over some numbers and pricing.
- 18 | I connected him to Andy's voice mail."
- 19 A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. You don't have any personal knowledge as to what is being referred to there; do you?
- A. I do not have personal knowledge of any of those except what I am reading.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore, I

- 1 just want to make sure, where are you reading? 2 Oh, you are talking about the very bottom of the 3 page?
- 4 MR. DORTCH: The one dated September 5 18th, 2006.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- MR. SKIDMORE: In the middle of 7 the page in a darkened box and short description 8 says correspondence and there is an arrow that 9 says product/service. That is where I was reading. Underneath it it says remarks 9-18, 10 11 4:36 P.M.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go off the 13 record for a minute.

(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)

- Then if we turn to the next page at Q. September 20th, 2006, bottom one-third of the page. This would be a telephone communication between Choice One Communications and the caller's name is listed as Keith. Do you see that on 9-20-2006 1:26 P.M?
 - Yes, I see that. Α.
- Q. This would be a notation that a person at Choice One Communications made in accordance with this account based on a call they received from Keith?

A. Correct.

- Q. That communication is kept in the ordinary course of business of Choice One?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it says that he wants two lines ported from AT&T. 330-724-5521 and 330-896-8500. And swapped with numbers that are not being used. Do you see where it says that?
 - A. I do
 - Q. Do you know what that means?
- A. I don't know what that means beyond what is here. I don't have any details about this.
 - Q. That would be September 20th, 2006. So is it fair to assume that the numbers had not been ported over to Choice One Communications as of the date of this notation?
 - A. I don't know the specific date when those numbers were ported over to Choice One Communications.
- Q. And the next page there is a notation at the very middle of the page there October 19th of 2006. On the screen again the caller's name is Keith. And it says 11:28 A.M. And it says "Faxed over revised SA and LOA."

What does SA stand for?

2.2

- A. I believe that would be service agreement.
 - Q. "Need to check account in three months to review usage." Do you know whether or not that the letter of authority, or, I am sorry, the LOA, letter of authority, was being faxed at approximately the same time?
 - A. I don't know, but I believe the LOA that we had been provided as part of my testimony is the LOA that was provided. If the note says on that date then --
 - Q. Now, connected to your testimony, at the very last page of your exhibit connected to your testimony is the LOA. This is my Exhibit 2.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And it appears that there is some fax information at the top of that document indicating there is a fax on October 30th, 2006, this letter of agency?
 - A. Yes. Okay
- Q. There is another fax number above
 that, October 31st with reference to the top of
 the page to Center for Natural Medicine. Do you

see that?

A. Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For the record Mr. Skidmore is referring to the October 30th, 2006 date on Exhibit RW2, and next to the date October 30, 2006 it lists 3:04 P.M. Choice One. And above that is presumably the date when the document was faxed back to Choice One October 30th, 2006.

MR. SKIDMORE: That is correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Center for

Natural Medicine.

- Q. So, is it fair to say, Mr. Wheeler, that until Choice One Communications would have received this letter of authority that the numbers that are at issue in this hearing would not have been ported over from AT&T to Choice One Communications?
- A. As I testified we would require a letter of authorization in order to make the switch.
 - Q. So is it fair to assume then that between spring of 2006 and October of 2006 that the phone numbers at issue here would have remained at AT&T?

- A. I can't speak for them. According to their testimony that seems to be the case. But, I have no knowledge of that. I only know what they have said.
- Q. So is it fair to assume Choice One didn't actually acquire these phone numbers from the porting request until after the date of this letter of authority?
 - A. It is fair to assume that.
- Q. Okay. Now, this letter of agency that we are talking about indicates existing numbers and then it says at line No. 3 "Each telephone number to be covered by the preferred carrier change order. List all the numbers."

Two numbers listed below. Item 3 are the two numbers listed that are in issue in this case. Do you see that?

- A. I am sorry.
 - Q. I get long winded, so --
- A. The letter of agency?
- Q. I am sorry. The letter of agency account, item No. No. 3.
- A. Yes.

2.2

Q. It says "Each telephone number to be covered by the preferred carrier change order."

And the two numbers that appear below are the two numbers that appear to be at issue today.

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Now, I happened to notice that after October 19th of 2006 it was switched back to the, what I am calling your diary for --
 - A. Customer service record.
- Q. Customer service record. I don't see a notation again until November 16th of 2006. So the letter of agency and faxed communication may not appear on the customer service records.
- A. That appears to be the case.

 I can't speak to that except what I see in front of me. But, there is a notation October 19th and the next notation on the customer service record is 11-16.
- Q. Now, again back to the customer service record. Notation of November 17th of 2006 indicates the internal notation that says caller's name internal. I am assuming that is an internal communication that is noted on the customer service record. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And it says "I had to resubmit orders to port line because they are existing FF numbers." What is FF number?
- A. I don't know what the FF number stands for.
- Q. And it says "I submitted two MAC orders." Do you know what that is?
- A. A MAC order is an internal order that we use within the company for correcting technical problems. We submit it to our IT department.
- Q. Then let's go to 11-29-06 on the following page. It appears to be a communication between Keith Ungar and it says "Keith was transferred to me." It says assigned to Grenkens. Do you see that?
- A. I do see a couple of dates. Do you have a specific time?
- 19 Q. Yes, I am sorry. It's 10:15 A.M.
- 20 A. Okay.

- Q. Notation. It says "Keith was transferred to me, very upset, regarding pons" and it gives two numbers. A pons is a what?
- A. To be honest I am not familiar with what these two numbers stand for in connection

```
with this account.
```

2.2

- Q. It says "These are to port two ff this to us." Does that mean two phone numbers to us, if you know?
 - A. I see --

MR. DORTCH: Objection. I don't think we can assume what this means.

- Q. It will stand for itself. If you don't know what it means --
- A. I assume -- I mean, I can make an inference that it stands for telephone number, but I don't know what the writer's shorthand was. A lot of customer service representatives use their own shorthand. I don't know what he was thinking.
- Q. Okay. It says "Keith received a letter advising these tns were to be added to his residence address, not his business address. He said he was very clear with Andy Fagan that these two ff tns were to port to us and be physically installed at his business address. He requested Andy call him back to straighten this out."

Do you know if that notation is talking about telephone numbers that we are here

about today?

2.2

- A. I have no knowledge that this notation is any way related to the telephone numbers that we are talking about here today.
- Q. Now, you are the representative for Choice One Communications here today. Can you tell us when exactly Brian Longworth and Dr. Gandee's telephone numbers were ported from AT&T to Choice One Communications?
- A. From AT&T to Choice One Communications?
 - Q. Right.
- A. I believe it was sometime in the fall of '06 in the October-November time frame. I don't have a specific date.
- Q. And is it my understanding based on your testimony in your filing that it's the position of Choice One Communications that that porting request was allowed on a letter of agency that was signed by Keith Ungar?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you would agree with me that Choice One Communications prior to fulfilling that porting request never had any communication with Brian Longworth?

A. To my knowledge we never had any communications prior to.

2.2

- Q. You will agree with me that prior to honoring that porting request from Dr. Ungar that Choice One Communications never had any communications directly with William Gandee?
- A. To my knowledge we never had any communications with Dr. Gandee.
- Q. Does Choice One Communications have an internal investigative mechanism that is triggered if someone makes a complaint such as Drs. Longworth and Dr. Gandee made in this case?
- A. Well, we would contact I believe -my contact was through you. I don't recall ever
 speaking to them. We had no knowledge of Dr.
 Gandee or Dr. Longworth.

We had the documentations that I believe I provided to you at the time which showed Dr. Ungar had submitted a letter of agency that conformed with the FCC's rules. And it was based on that valid letter of authorization that we made the switch

Q. But when someone makes a complaint to Choice One Communications and says your letter of agency lacked our authority, that

```
someone has falsified our records, does Choice

One Communications have an internal mechanism to

review and conduct an investigation such as this

allegation?
```

2.2

MR. DORTCH: Objection. Relevance.

Once again, Your Honor, the numbers were ported,
and we all understand that the numbers were
ported based upon the letter of agency submitted
by Dr. Keith Ungar.

Now, investigations eight months later when Drs. Gandee and Longworth learned that the numbers had been ported really aren't relevant to the scope of this proceeding.

are you asking when Drs. Gandee and Longworth learned that their telephone numbers were no longer with AT&T? Is your question then when they learned the numbers were no longer with AT&T and they contacted Choice One, what were Choice One's procedures to handle that? Is that what you are asking?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SKIDMORE: That is basically my question, yes.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, I 25 will allow that question. And, Mr. Wheeler?

A. So, our procedure was to review the account, we looked at the notes on the account, we looked at the letter of authorization, and we determined that there was a valid letter of authorization from Dr. Ungar authorizing us to make this switch.

2.2

We understand that we had an allegation from two people that we had no knowledge of prior to the time they contacted us, but that is all it was was an allegation. We had no way to verify.

We had documentation from our customer, Dr. Ungar, that the switch was valid, and no relationship with Drs. Gandee or Longworth. And so all we had was an allegation that was made, but nothing more than an allegation that we had.

And so we investigated, found the proper LOA and determined that the switch was valid based on the information we had at the time.

Q. Okay. Now, so I guess the answer to the specific question is there any internal investigation committee that conducts an investigation with regards to talking to all

the parties, that is the question.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let that question stand. Go ahead. Again just answer to the best of your ability.

- A. There were no internal investigative committee to talk to all the parties.
- Q. And it's Choice One's position that we have a letter of agency signed by Dr. Ungar, that is all we need?
- A. That is what is required under the law by the FCC and established in the AT&T versus FCC case that was cited in my testimony, yes.
- Q. Now, again going to your testimony, second to the last page, is a document that you have submitted --

MR. DORTCH: Tom, you are referring to the exhibits, not the testimony itself?

- Q. I am sorry. The second to the last page of the exhibits.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It is attachment and it says page 2 of 2 at the top.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. It's communication from the

- compliance investigator, investigation and audit division. I assume that is Choice One

 Communications.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go off the record. I want to make sure where you are looking.

(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)

- A. Are you asking about Stephen Watson?
- Q. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. If I am looking at this I believe Stephen Watson is an investigator for the PUCO, I believe. I don't know, but it looks like he's got it looks like looking at page 1 of 2 at the top I see an e-mail from Theresa Morey to contact the PUCO, and the PUCO's response.
- Q. Okay. On that page it says in the second paragraph under Description of Issue/Concern it says "Mr. Gandee alleges that he had the number for 30 years and it was in his name. He had it through AT&T. He recently learned that One Communications became the provider of it in February of 2007." Do you see that?
- A. I do.
- 25 Q. So, is that then approximately --

```
can we assume that that is approximately when the number was ported from AT&T to Choice One Communications?
```

2.2

- A. I can't assume anything. This is something from a PUC investigator based on -- I can't use this to verify certain dates.
- Q. So we don't know as we sit here today, is that fair to assume, we don't know when the porting request was actually finalized?
 - A. I can't give you a specific date.
- Q. Mr. Wheeler, you became aware of the court order from Summit County that we discussed, when was the first time you became aware of that Court initiated order in May of 2009?

MR. DORTCH: I am going to object just on a technicality, Your Honor. Mr. Skidmore represented the Court issued an order in May of 2009. Technically speaking the Court order that would bind One Communications or anyone else in this case is not the Magistrate's order, it is the Judge's order that was entered in June of 2009. That is my only objection

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore, can you rephrase the question?

- Q. You have seen a copy of the Magistrate's decision from May 19th, 2009?
 - A. Yes, I have.

2.2

- Q. And do you know the first time when you saw the Magistrate's decision was?
- A. Me specifically, I don't recall the date, but I specifically saw the Magistrate's order.
- Q. And you also at some point received the ultimate judgement entry that was issued

 June 9th of 2009 which totally adopted the

 Magistrate's decision?
 - A. I have seen the Judge's order, yes.
- Q. In response to the Court ordered transfer of these phone numbers back to Dr. Longworth and Dr. Gandee, can you tell me what Choice One Communications did to be in compliance with that Court order?
- A. Upon receipt of a port order from AT&T One Communications transferred -- and I think this is important to understand from a technical standpoint because -- so I would like to explain this a little bit.
- The Court order was issued in May of -- or the Magistrate's order was issued in

```
1
    May. We got the Court order in June, and then I
    believe that the two numbers were switched at
2
    various times after that. And --
3
4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are
5
    referring to 2009 again?
6
                 THE WITNESS: Yes. So, and so I
7
    have sort of lost track of the numbers. One
8
    number was switched sometime in July and
9
     the other number was switched sometime in
     September, both upon receipt of valid port
10
11
    orders from AT&T. And what I would like to do
12
     is just try to explain technically why that is
13
     important.
14
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Let me ask a
15
    question. I mean, he is kind of giving
16
    testimony which he has a right to do through his
17
    attorney, but I have specific questions with
    regard --
18
19
                 THE WITNESS: And I am trying to
20
    answer it.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What is the
2.2
    question and answer though?
23
                 MR. DORTCH: You asked specifically
24
    what One Communications did in response to
25
     the Court order and he is trying to explain what
```

```
was done and why.
```

2.2

MR. SKIDMORE: I was specifically asking the question in response to the Court's directive and order what did Choice One Communications do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will let the witness answer.

THE WITNESS: In response to the Court order, upon receipt we returned the numbers to Dr. Gandee and Longworth upon receipt of a port order from AT&T. And the reason it's important that you understand why we needed the port order from AT&T is because if we didn't get a port order from AT&T or any other carrier and just released the number it would go back into the general pool.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just to clarify for the court reporter, you are using the terms Court order and port order, I believe. People will be reading the record, including me, in the future and will need to sort that out.

THE WITNESS: I would like to explain it this way. It's like a trapeze. Over here you have One Communications. We are on the trapeze. We want to release the number. We

don't get a port order from somebody, there is nobody on the other trapeze, we can throw the number, but that number then goes into the pool and anybody can take it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are referring to port, P-O-R-T?

2.2

the reason we need the port order from AT&T is to make sure that number gets back to the person that -- to the company that the party wants it to. So, we needed that port order. We did not get port orders from AT&T. My recollection is that one of the numbers, the number that was received in July that we -- the Judge's order was issued in June, and we got a port order within 30 days, and upon receipt of that port order so we could get it back to where the party, whether it was Dr. Gandee or Longworth, I don't remember which one had the July number.

We got that port order, so then we made sure it got back to him. If we had not done that and just released the number it would have gone back into the general pool and anybody, any carrier, could have taken it and reassigning it to that number, which is why we

only release the numbers upon a port order.

2.2

Again, with the number in September we released it in compliance with the order upon receipt of the port order from AT&T so AT&T would be ready to accept it and provision it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore, so I think what you were asking was once AT&T would have learned of the Summit County Court order what did Choice One, what was their response. And Mr. Wheeler, I believe your response was we did not release these numbers back to AT&T until we received a port order from AT&T.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

Q. So that we are clear, which is kind of funny in law, some things don't seem to be so clear sometimes, but the Court order, the Magistrate's decision comes out in May. I want to read specifically the section in the order then I want to be specific with regards to what happened.

On page 5, this is from the Magistrate's decision, May 19th, 2009 in paragraph No. 4 at page 5 about halfway through the paragraph it says "As a result the party

known as Choice One Communications, Inc., the phone carrier in this matter, is ordered and otherwise directed to forthwith transfer the ownership on the records of such entity and to physically allow the change of such phone numbers, that is being 330-896-8500, into the name of Brian Longworth and to ensure that the corporate records of such business entity show that Keith S. Ungar or any other aforementioned business entities have no ownership interest in such name." Okay?

A. Okay.

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is referring to Dr. Longworth's telephone number?

MR. SKIDMORE: Yes. What had actually happened for this Court's knowledge is Dr. Gandee's phone number had been disconnected by Dr. Ungar just prior to this hearing. And I can't remember why Dr. Ungar — or Dr. Gandee's phone number did not become an issue because we had an agreement that that number would be returned.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you cannot

remember -- what was the rest of it?

MR. SKIDMORE: I think we had an

```
1
     agreement, and I think it's explained somewhat
2
     in the Court order, that there was an agreement
3
    by Dr. Ungar to go ahead and relinquish
     the phone number back to Dr. Gandee. Because I
4
5
    don't want to misrepresent that. It's contained
6
    within --
7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Within the
8
    Magistrate's decision?
9
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Right.
10
                 MR.
                    DORTCH: On behalf of One
11
    Communications, I am not aware of any agreement
12
    between Dr. Ungar and Dr. Gandee. What I do
13
    know is that Dr. Gandee or, I am sorry, Dr.
    Ungar released any claim to the telephone
14
15
    number. I believe he may have announced that
16
    while I was at the hearing. I don't recall.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You mean at the
17
     Summit County --
18
19
                 MR. DORTCH: At the Summit County
20
    Court proceeding, yes, Your Honor.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That was after
2.2
    the Magistrate's decision?
23
                 MR. DORTCH: No.
                                   That would be in
24
    the proceeding that led to the Magistrate's
25
    decision. But in any event, Your Honor, the
```

- 1 | fact of the matter is is that only Dr.
- 2 | Longworth's number was submitted to the
- 3 | Magistrate for determination.
- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that is
- 5 an important point for the record.
- 6 MR. SKIDMORE: I believe Dr. Ungar
- 7 | stated on the record prior to the hearing
- 8 beginning that he no longer claimed any
- 9 ownership to Dr. Gandee's phone number.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
- 11 Q. Based upon the Court's order to
- 12 | transfer the phone number forthwith am I to
- 13 | understand that Choice One Communication's
- 14 position is that they didn't comply with that
- 15 order forthwith --
- MR. DORTCH: Objection.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Let me finish my
- 18 question
- 19 MR. DORTCH: I am going to object to
- 20 the characterization anyway. But, go ahead.
- 21 O. Because Choice One felt that it
- 22 | needed a porting order to do that?
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Mr.
- 24 Dortch, your objection.
- MR. DORTCH: Your Honor, Mr.

```
1
     Skidmore just stated in his question that One
2
     Communications did not comply with the Court's
            There is no evidence that One
3
    order.
4
     Communications did not comply with the Court's
5
    order.
             In fact, the only evidence before you,
6
    Your Honor, is that the Court Magistrate ordered
7
    that the number be, I won't read the specific
8
     language, but essentially that the number be --
9
    Dr. Longworth obtain the benefit of that number;
    that the Court several weeks later issued a
10
11
    decision confirming the Magistrate's report that
    within 30 days approximately One Communications
12
13
     comply with the Court's order.
14
                 And as Mr. Wheeler has already said,
15
     it's necessary in order to comply with
16
    the Court's order for there to be a port
17
    request. One Communications cannot unilaterally
    transfer the number anywhere except to the pool.
18
19
     The other carrier has to be prepared to accept
20
     that transfer, thus the port request procedure.
21
                 My objection in this case, Your
2.2
    Honor, is that Mr. Skidmore is stating in his
23
     question that One Communications had not
24
     complied with the Court's order. And that is
```

not a fair characterization.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I agree with the objection. Do you want to restate the question or just withdraw it?

- Q. Well, I am not and I don't mean to say or allege that Choice One did not comply with the Court order. What I am saying based on what I am hearing from your testimony is that without a porting request you couldn't comply with this language as specified by the Magistrate in this case. Is that what I am getting?
- A. I don't think I would characterize it the way you are characterizing it. As I said previously, we need a port order in order to make sure that the party's wishes are carried out and it gets to the proper place.
- Q. So once this Court order comes out -- the Court order is not a porting order; right?
- A. A Court order is not a porting request.
 - Q. Not a porting request. So what did Choice One Communications do in order to make this happen?
 - A. When we received the request from

```
AT&T we complied with the port order and switched the number back.
```

2.2

- Q. But did Choice One send a letter to me, send a letter to Dr. Longworth, send a letter to Dr. Gandee saying we have the court order but we need a porting request? Did anyone ever do that?
- A. I have no knowledge of anything along those lines.
- Q. Now, there is one difference between these two telephone numbers in this case. Do you know prior to the number being ported over, Dr. Gandee's number, what the status of that phone number was prior to receiving a porting request in September of 2009?
- A. I don't know personally. I understand from records that Dr. Ungar had at the hearing had relinquished the number and that it had been -- was no longer -- was no longer in it when we received the court order -- was longer connected, it had been disconnected.

And when we received the port order we switched it over to AT&T.

- Q. When was the number disconnected?
- A. I don't know the specific date.

```
1
                 So that phone number that is at
            Ο.
 2
     issue in these proceeding, is at issue in the
     Summit County proceeding and it's been
 3
    disconnected. What did Choice One
 4
 5
    Communications do to communicate to either
 6
    myself or Dr. Gandee how to obtain the phone
 7
    number back?
 8
                I am not familiar with any
 9
    communications with Drs. Gandee or Longworth as
    to the steps that they may or may not need to
10
11
    take.
12
                 MR. SKIDMORE: If I could have just
13
    one second.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Off the
15
    record for a minute, please.
16
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE
17
    RECORD)
                 MR. SKIDMORE: I have no further
18
19
     questions.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go off the
21
    record and we will take a short break. Thank
22
    you.
23
                 (RECESS TAKEN)
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on the
25
    record, please. Mr. Skidmore, you have no
```

- further questions for the witness?

 MR SKIDMORE: That's of
- 2 MR. SKIDMORE: That's correct, Your
- 3 Honor.

- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Dortch, any
- 5 questions?
- 6 MR. DORTCH: Very limited redirect,
- 7 | Your Honor. I just want to clean up a couple of
- 8 | items for the purposes of the record.
 - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 By Mr. Dortch:
- 11 Q. Mr. Wheeler, do you recall Mr.
- 12 | Skidmore questioning you about the nature of
- 13 the services that Dr. Ungar was receiving,
- 14 | whether local or --
- 15 A. Yes. I do recall the question.
- 16 Q. Could you look at Exhibit RW 1 to
- 17 | your testimony and approximately halfway
- 18 through?
- 19 A. If you are asking about the one
- 20 | dated June 27th, 2002 at 11:09 A.M.
- Q. I am talking about the document that
- 22 | is dated June 27, 2002 11:09 A.M. Yes. The
- 23 document actually begins on the page before.
- MR. DORTCH: And, Your Honor, it's
- 25 | about halfway through the package.

- 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a second.
 2 Let me find that.
- Q. And we are referring to what is
 easiest perhaps to simply use the fax identifier
 at the top of the page. So turn to page 3.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And if you look actually at the bottom you will notice this is actually page 6 of 10 of an agreement.
- 10 A. Yes.

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. Focusing your attention on the box in the middle --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. -- of the page. Can you determine
 what services were being provided to Dr. Ungar?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Pursuant to his contract?
- A. Yes. According to the boxes check
 marked Dr. Ungar signed up for ChoiceXchange
 Local Dial Tone, Choice One Plus long distance
 interlata, Choice One Plus 1 long distance
 intralata and ChoiceNetJet Digital Subscriber
 Line internet access.
- Q. So local interstate -- well, interlata, intralata long distance, and DSL

- internet access; correct?
- A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

- Q. And that is what is identified on that page?
- 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. You may also remember, if you would turn through the fax pages to the last fax page that seems to be part of that group. It ends with page 7.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you see that at the top?
- 12 A. Yes. It says June 27, 2002 11:11
- 13 A.M.
- Q. Correct. Turn to the next page.
- 15 You will see a fax cover sheet that is dated
- $16 \mid 10-31-2006$ at the top.
- 17 A. Yes. I see that.
- 18 Q. It is a Center for Natural Medicine
- 19 | cover sheet; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. We will come back to that in a
- 22 minute. If you will turn to the next page.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You see the One Communications fax
- 25 | cover sheet; correct?

A. Yes, I do.

2.2

- Q. And can you give the date that is handwritten on the One Communications fax cover sheet?
- A. The date that is handwritten is October 19th, 2006.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Skidmore asked you questions regarding notes in the -- customer service notes for October 19, 2006 in which it is stated that a copy of a -- I believe it was SA --
 - A. Yes. I recall.
- Q. And if you recall the notation was indicating that a copy of the service agreement and letter of agency was in fact faxed to the client on October 19th, 2006?
 - A. Yes. I recall that question.
- Q. If you would turn now back to the prior page which is the fax cover sheet from -- I can assume from the Center for Natural Medicine dated 10-31-2006. It appears that the customer sent this back on October 31, 2006.
 - A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- Q. All right. Finally, if you will turn forward a couple pages. You will find a

copy of the letter of agency.

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Within RW 1 and even separately attached as RW 2. If you would compare the fax notations at the top of those several pages. Can you confirm that in fact the letter of agency was faxed back to One Communications from the Center for Natural Medicine on October 31, 2006?
 - A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- Q. Mr. Wheeler, is a providing carrier permitted to simply port its numbers away from itself to other carriers?
 - A. I am not sure I understand.
- Q. Let me re-ask or frame that question again. Are providing telephone carriers permitted by law to simply transfer their customers to other providers without receiving permission from either the customer or from some regulatory body?
 - A. No.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Objection. First of all it's framed as a question of law-type question which requires expert foundation to be laid. He can rephrase it or at lease ask it not

```
126
 1
     to be asked as an expert-type question.
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I agree.
 3
                 MR. DORTCH: Well, in Mr. Wheeler's
    direct testimony he states that he is an
 4
 5
     attorney. He is well versed in
    telecommunications law and he is an expert on
 6
    telecommunications law.
 7
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Skidmore, do
 9
    you have a response to that?
                 MR. SKIDMORE: I don't remember what
10
11
     the question was, but I think the question
12
     was -- I mean, I don't have a problem with him
13
     testifying, and I believe what he is saying is
     another telecommunications carrier unless they
14
15
    receive a porting request, they cannot transfer,
    voluntarily port a number.
16
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is that the
18
     question, Mr. Dortch?
19
                 MR. DORTCH: That is what my
20
     question is, Your Honor.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Fine.
2.2
                 Without the authority of a customer
            Q.
23
     or the authority of a regulatory body can you
24
     simply transfer a customer away?
25
            Α.
                 No.
```

```
Q. So why did you need a port request then?
```

- A. Because both for legal and technical reasons. A port request is really important for technical reasons so that we can get information to make sure we have all the service numbers properly ordered. It's important for the technical to get a valid letter of authorization, but the port order is really the technical sort of mechanism that starts the process.
- Q. If you turn a number off on your switches, One Communications' switches, without coordinating with another carrier what happens?
- A. The number would stay with us, but would be inactive.
- Q. So it could be released and go back into the pool and anybody could then get that number. Is that fair?
- 20 A. Yes, that is fair.
- 21 MR. DORTCH: I have no further
- 22 questions.

- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.
- 24 Skidmore.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Just a couple.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Skidmore:

2.2

- Q. Mr. Wheeler, Dr. Gandee's phone number actually was disconnected, actually did go back into the general pool; didn't it?
- A. I don't believe that to be the case because we continued a hold on that number so that when we got the port order we were able to make the switch.
- Q. So, when Dr. Ungar cancelled the phone number and it was disconnected you are saying that the number would go in the general pool unless you received a porting request sometime later?
- MR. DORTCH: Objection. That is not his testimony, but go ahead and answer.
- A. My understanding is that the number was disconnected and that upon receipt of a -- had two choices. It could have been returned back to the general pool. My recollection is that we had the court order and Dr. Gandee wanted the number back.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Court order?

- A. From the Summit County Court.
- Q. So you had the ability to set

```
the number aside even though Dr. Ungar had disconnected the phone number?
```

- A. I believe, yes.
- Q. Just some last questions I have regarding the facsimile transmission that you discussed with the attorney Dortch and contained within that I think RW 1 Exhibit. You had discussed the fax transmission of October 31, 2006. And one of the pages that you had not discussed was page 3 which is entitled "Loyalty has its rewards. Here's how to get yours."
 - A. Okay.
- MR. SKIDMORE: Your Honor, the page looks like this, for the record.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Still on Exhibit
- 16 RW 1?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

- MR. SKIDMORE: RW-2 facsimile, page
- 18 No. 3.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute,
- 20 please
- Q. Am I to understand that this
- 22 document purports to be a subscription renewal
- 23 by Dr. Ungar of his account?
- A. This form is entitled Loyalty Bonus
- 25 | Account Renewal Form.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute.

2 Please go ahead.

2.2

- Q. This appears to be a -- he also at the time of this faxing back the letter of agency Dr. Ungar apparently is also renewing his account with Choice One Communications. Is that true?
- A. He returned this Loyalty Bonus Account renewal form, yes.
- Q. So he ports over or is making a porting request to have these two numbers ported over and also renewed his account with some incentives at the time?
- A. He checked off the box "One month free with 12 months of extended service and savings." Yes.
- Q. All right. The hypothetical or the question Mr. Dortch asked you that it's not in the normal course of business for Choice One to just port out phone numbers without requests, that obviously would not be a very good financial policy; would it? I mean, as long as the line is attached to that account the customer pays for it; is that right?
 - A. I am not sure I understand your

```
131
1
    question.
2
            Q.
                 Mr. Dortch asked without a porting
3
    request it would not be the policy of
4
     the company to just turn over a phone number to
5
    people; correct?
                 MR. DORTCH: Objection. I didn't
6
7
    say policy. I said they couldn't do it
8
     lawfully.
9
                 You said you don't do that.
            Q.
10
    company wouldn't do that, but it would be in
11
    your financial interest in keeping these phone
12
    numbers with Choice One Communications; isn't
13
    that true?
14
                 I am not -- again I am not sure I
            Α.
15
    understand the question.
16
                 MR. SKIDMORE: I will withdraw it.
17
     Thank you. Your Honor, I have nothing further.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go off the
18
19
    record for a minute.
20
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
```

EXAMINATION

24 By the Attorney Examiner:

21

2.2

record.

Q. Mr. Wheeler, just to clarify in my

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on the

own mind, when Dr. Gandee or Longworth contacted Choice One in the spring of 2008 after leaving the combined practice and wanted their numbers returned to AT&T, Choice One checked into this or determined that Drs. Gandee and Longworth had no authority to make the change for those numbers?

A. Right.

2.2

- Q. Okay. After that request from the Complainants there is in Exhibit RW 1, the last couple pages, there looks to be some contact from the Commission to Choice One about Dr. Gandee's allegation anyway.
- A. I believe there is an e-mail. I believe the last two pages are correspondence between somebody at the Commission.
 - Q. Correct.
- A. And someone at One Communications, Theresa Morey, Service Analyst.
- Q. And it says One Communications is basically saying there is a signed service agreement from Dr. Ungar and that really is the extent of --
- A. That was the extent of the response and this e-mail. I don't know of --

- Q. Anymore correspondence?
- A. I don't.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Let's see. All right. And you also indicated that although there was a decision by Summit County Court indicating that Dr. Longworth's number had to be returned to him, and you are saying that Choice One really would not take action to port the number back to AT&T until you get a porting request from AT&T?
- A. We held the number until we got a valid port order to make sure that Dr. Gandee got the number that he wanted back to him.
- Q. And for Dr. Longworth you also waited for a porting request from AT&T?
- A. Dr. Longworth received his
 Magistrate's decision on May 19th, which was
 adopted by the Judge on June 9th. We received a
 port order from -- port request from AT&T at
 which point we switched the number back to AT&T.
 - Q. This is all during 2009?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. When Dr. Ungar initially contacted Choice One to have the numbers ported from AT&T to Choice One, then it's my understanding Choice One faxed Dr. Ungar a

```
letter of agency, blank letter of agency that he filled out and sent back to you. Signed and sent back.
```

- A. Yes. We obviously couldn't send him a completed one. So, yes, we sent a blank one and he signed and returned it, yes.
- Q. When that is done it's just assumed that that person has the right to request that porting of numbers?
- A. Under the decision AT&T versus FCC, which said we don't have -- we can take the letter of authorization as sufficient evidence of authority.
 - Q. At face value?

15 A. We comply with the law which says we take it at face value.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no further questions. I appreciate your testimony. Thank you for answering. I am sure it's a lot of detail to remember.

Mr. Skidmore, would you like to move admission of your exhibits? I am sorry. Mr. Dortch.

MR. DORTCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Yes, Your Honor. At this time I would move for

```
1
     admission of Mr. Wheeler's testimony and
    attached exhibits which is One Communications
2
    Exhibit No. 1.
3
4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And, Mr.
5
     Skidmore, any objections to that?
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Just for the record I
6
7
    don't believe I have any objections to that.
8
     It does appear to be a series of documents that
9
    probably are cumulative to my materials also.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
11
                 MR. SKIDMORE: No objection.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
13
    will be admitted then for Exhibit 1 of Choice
    One Communications.
14
15
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go off the
17
    record for just a minute.
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
18
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     We have
20
    discussed the filing of briefs. Mr. Skidmore
21
    though wants to just make a closing argument in
2.2
     lieu of filing a brief.
23
                 MR. SKIDMORE: Well, you know, if
24
    the other side wants to file briefs then we will
25
    file a brief and waive closing argument. You
```

136 1 know, I guess I wish to do that too. 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It takes a 3 couple weeks to get a transcript. Off the 4 record. 5 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The parties have 7 agreed that counsel for Dr. Gandee and Dr. 8 Longworth will submit a brief on March 2, and 9 counsel for Choice One will submit a reply brief on the 16th of March. 10 11 And I don't believe there are any 12 further matters to take care of today, so thank 13 everyone for attending and all your time. And 14 the hearing is adjourned. 15 16 (At 4:00 P.M. the hearing was 17 concluded) 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on January 20, 2011, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/7/2011 11:20:59 AM

in

Case No(s). 09-0051-TP-CSS, 09-0052-TP-CSS

Summary: Transcript Transcript of William Steven Gandee, D.C. vs. Choice One held on 01/20/11. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.